Physical activity in adolescents and adults with congenital heart defects: individualized exercise prescription†
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Introduction

Studies in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) indicate that the majority of individuals participating in such programs achieve significant improvement of their exercise capacity and psychological state. The challenge is to ensure safe participation in regular physical activity (PA) in order to avoid the detrimental effects associated with sedentary lifestyle.

Why are physical activity recommendations for adolescents and adults with congenital heart disease needed?

The improved surgical techniques and clinical care of children with CHD have led to a considerable increase in the population of patients with CHD who reach adulthood, and the number of adults is expected to grow at a rate of 5% per year. Cardiac rehabilitation programs in patients with CHD have shown improvements in peak-VO2. Additionally, regular physical exercise is associated with lower risk of future obesity and ischaemic heart disease. Paradoxically, only a minority of CHD patients (19%) receives formal PA advice, and are often encouraged towards a sedentary lifestyle as a result of overprotection, and uncertainty as to which physical activities and with what intensity should be recommended. This is of particular importance when one considers that children with CHD are more likely to be overweight because of physical inactivity compared with children without CHD. On the other end of the spectrum, young patients may reject exercise limitations and engage in unsafe sporting practices.

Existing exercise guidelines are of limited value for the majority of patients with CHD because they focus predominantly on competitive athletes. Consequently, they cover less than 1% of the CHD population and applying these recommendations to leisure time activities would be too restrictive. In addition, in all current recommendations, the decision making process is primarily based on the individual anatomic lesions. As a result formulating recommendations becomes complex and the documents are long and impractical (Figure 1).8

Aim of the recommendations

The scope of this article is to produce concise and practical recommendations relating to PA for adolescents and adults with CHD. The novel approach is to formulate clinically useful recommendations based on haemodynamic and electrophysiological parameters, instead of focusing solely on specific defects. Although the recommendations are aimed at CHD specialists, it is important to acknowledge that CHD patients with mild disease are frequently managed by non-specialists.

Methodology

Nature of recommendations

The present document is a consensus of an international panel of cardiologists with expertise in CHD, sports cardiology, and exercise
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physiology. In consideration of the scarcity of scientific data, the panel acknowledges the challenges in formulating arbitrary recommendations. Therefore, it is essential to inform patients of the potential uncertainties relating to the recommended exercise prescription regime.

**Target patient population**

Given that most of children with CHD have reached physical maturity by the age of 16 years, these recommendations apply to CHD patients ≥ 16 years. The authors concede, however, that the recommendations are not applicable to all patients with CHD and in particular not to patients with congenital rhythm or conduction disorders and with isolated congenital coronary artery anomalies.

**Clinical approach to congenital heart disease**

Emphasis is placed on associated haemodynamic and electrophysiologic factors rather than on specific defects. All individuals are assessed by five specific parameters (Figures 2 and 3). This novel approach simplifies the evaluation process and at the same time allows for tailored advice since individuals with the same lesion may be affected to different degrees.

**Relative intensity and classification of sports**

Absolute intensity, expressed as the energy cost in metabolic equivalents, does not take into account the individual variations in cardiopulmonary capacity (fitness). Our exercise prescription utilizes the concept of relative intensity in order to provide individualized exercise prescription.

Sports are in general classified from low static to high static according to the estimated percentage of maximal voluntary contraction. The static component of specific sports has been summarized and discussed by Mitchell et al. For example, golf and long distance running have typical low static demands, whereas weightlifting, water skiing, and rowing have principally high static demands. However, this classification remains very arbitrary and needs interpreting with caution. Indeed, some sports involve heterogeneity with respect to static (and dynamic) cardiovascular demands in either different athletic disciplines—such as parallel bars and floor exercises in gymnastics or positions such as lineman and running back in football, or goalkeeper and mid-fielder in soccer. The dynamic component in this article is replaced by relative intensity.

**How to calculate training intensity?**

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) can provide parameters such as the maximal heart rate (MHR), heart rate reserve, maximal/peak oxygen consumption (peak-VO₂), first ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) (also referred in the literature as the ‘aerobic threshold’ or ‘first lactate turn point’), as well as the maximal workload. To avoid confusion, it is important to note that exercise is divided into three phases marked by two ventilatory thresholds; the first lactate threshold, also referred as aerobic threshold represents 40–60% of peak-VO₂ and the second lactate threshold, referred as the anaerobic threshold represents 60–90% of peak-VO₂.

Objective CPET measurements allow correlation with subjective estimates such as the rate of perceived exertion (RPE) (Borg scale). Individual RPE ratings correlate well with blood lactate levels and oxygen consumption. Beside RPE, the authors recommend the use of a fixed percentage of the MHR which correlates well with RPE of the Borg scale (Table 1). Monitoring of both the training heart rate and RPE is simple and can be performed by exercising individuals in the field without the need of complicated or expensive equipment. Training bursts could be allowed for patients with high intensity training, but is less applicable for patients in whom moderate or low intensity physical exercise is recommended. For CHD patients, who may have chronotropic incompetence or atrial fibrillation and in whom the use of a fixed percentage of MHR is not applicable, the exercise prescription could be based on maximal workload or VAT, as the preferred approximation of training heart rate. However, not all patients will have a typical s-shaped heart response pattern in incremental exercise.
individuals who are unable to monitor their heart rate, also the use of ‘if you can talk while exercising’ rule could be applied to approximate moderate relative exercise intensity.22

Parameters to evaluate prior to exercise prescription
Exercise is associated with an augmentation of the cardiac output, enhanced ventricular preload, and an increase in both the pulmonary and systemic arterial pressures.23,24 Dynamic exercise imposes primarily a volume load, while static exercise produces primarily a pressure load. The physician needs to assess the potential haemodynamic repercussions, but also the potential risk for sudden cardiac death.

General recommendations
The recommended steps for comprehensive evaluation of patients with CHD in order to advise are outlined in Figure 2.

Step 1. History and physical examination
The physician should obtain a detailed medical and surgical history and a precise account of on-going symptoms and perform a detailed physical examination. Knowledge of the type of CHD raises awareness of potential haemodynamic and electrophysiological complications.
Step 2. Assessment of five baseline parameters

(1) Assessment of ventricular function:

A transthoracic echocardiogram is usually sufficient to evaluate left ventricular function. For the morphological right ventricle or univentricular heart physiology additional imaging techniques may be required, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). For cut-off values, we refer to published imaging (MRI). For cut-off values, we refer to published
Step 3. Decision on type of exercise

The physician should assess each parameter (1 – 5) in turn, assign the individual patient in a specific route, and decide on the static component of the exercise (Figure 3). When at least one of the parameters is outside the conventional normal limits, moderate or low statics sport is recommended (solid lines, Figure 3). This does not necessarily exclude sports with a high static component, but it is then recommended to exercise at reduced intensity, as discussed in step 5 (dotted lines, Figure 3).

Step 4. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing

The results of the exercise test will help determine the relative intensity. When CPET is not available, regular exercise testing without measurement of expired air might be an alternative. The following parameters need extra attention for individualized advice.

(1) Peak VO₂, MHR, Borg scale:
The fitness levels of patients with CHD are decreased when compared with normal subjects, as shown by a lower peak VO₂. Importantly, VO₂ max has been found to be one of the best predictors of morbidity and mortality in patients with CHD. The Borg scale will assist the patient to get a feeling as at what level he/she should exercise.

(2) Transcutaneous saturation or arterial blood gases:
When desaturation is suspected during PA, continuous measurement of saturation is needed when performing CPET. If desaturation is present during exercise, the patient has to re-enter the algorithm at step 2 (Figure 2).

(3) Detection of arrhythmia or conduction disorder during exercise:
Recently, Koyak et al. demonstrated that exercise-induced arrhythmias increase the risk of sudden death by 6.6-fold. In case of the detection of arrhythmia, the patient has to re-enter the algorithm at step 2 (Figure 2). When treatment is established, repeat assessment of all five variables is recommended.

(4) Blood pressure response to exercise:
A normal blood pressure response during exercise includes a rise in systolic blood pressure by ≥25 mmHg. Diastolic blood pressure is usually associated with a small drop and an increase of >10 mmHg during exercise or recovery is abnormal. Individual centers may use their own criteria to determine `abnormal blood pressure response’.

Step 5. Recommendation on relative intensity

After interpretation of the CPET, an individualized recommendation can be provided (Figure 3). In the interest of encouraging participation in regular exercise of as many individuals with CHD as possible, the authors wish to provide patients with a wide range of sporting disciplines. As such, we accept that some patients may wish to participate in PA classified as high static, despite a recommendation for a medium or low static activity. In these cases, we suggest a compromise in the relative intensity of exercise, starting at a lower intensity level, compared with the recommended one. However, some types of sports cannot be practiced at reduced intensity and then preferred to be discouraged.

For the frequency and duration of each exercise session, a combined minimum of 3 – 4.5 h of physical activities per week is recommended; the minimum minutes per session should be 30 min (for daily or almost daily PA). Although no data are available in the literature for CHD patients, we hypothesize that habitual training will be necessary to achieve a long-term clinical benefit. For team sports, the intensity of PA is difficult to restrict for the individual. Patients should be encouraged to participate in teams (or with peers) of similar physical fitness, i.e. when someone want to play football, he plays with colleagues some of which may be a bit more or less physically fit compared with the patient. That is where support groups may also come handy. Patients should monitor their symptoms (Borg scale) and heart rate to ensure they do not exceed the recommendations, at least for prolonged period of times, particularly for start-stop sports like football. Individuals who feel that their fitness is lower than that of their peers should be supported to either find an alternative team or sport.
Step 6. Follow-up

New symptoms should prompt discontinuation of the patient’s exercise regime and re-evaluation. For physical activities of medium and low intensity, we recommend follow-up as frequently as suggested by the ESC GUCH guidelines. In patients with regular high intensity PA, follow-up is recommended by the ESC guidelines for competitive and elite sports. We recommend going through the PA algorithm at each routine visit. As the performance of the patient changes, the degree of PA should be adapted.

Specific recommendations

Individuals with permanent pacemaker or resynchronization therapy

Pacemaker therapy per se does not preclude high intensity PA. Modern pacemakers provide bi-ventricular synchronization to optimize systemic ventricular ejection. Implantation of the leads is often performed epicardially during surgical corrective interventions, allowing for optimal positioning on both ventricles to achieve adequate resynchronization. Programming of the pacemaker needs to assure appropriate rate adaptation during exercise. Persistent sinus rhythm can be used for tracking. Minute ventilation-based rate-response systems may be preferred over accelerometer-based systems since they provide more physiological rate response. Exercise testing and Holter ECG monitoring may help to program appropriate pacing rate responsiveness during exercise. It should be emphasized, however, that chronotropic incompetence in CHD patients is often a symptom of ventricular dysfunction or ischaemia, and is difficult to adjust by rate responsive pacing. This was highlighted by the study of Uebing et al. where rate responsive pacing in patients with a systemic right ventricle did not improve either the right ventricular haemodynamics or exercise capacity.

Individuals with implantable cardioverter defibrillator

For patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD), only low to moderate intensity PA is recommended. It remains unclear how effective cardiac defibrillation is during peak PA and the ICD cannot be regarded as a substitute for activity restraints based on the underlying cardiovascular disorder. A recently presented US-EU international registry (Boston, Heart Rhythm Society Meeting, 2012) showed that fatalities in ICD recipients, per se, based on limited clinical evidence, underscoring the urgent need for physiologic studies regarding mechanisms of central and peripheral effects of various forms of exercise training in specific populations, as well as the acute and chronic benefits and risks of different training regimes. It would therefore be prudent to apply this document with caution and tailor advice to individual patients. Additionally, because of the variety of CHD with different residua and sequelae, not all patients will fit into the algorithm. Therefore, the physician has to take into consideration the individual anatomical lesion in order to assess potential complications at an early stage.

Conclusion

We envisage that our recommendations will result in more unified protocols throughout Europe and offer the required reassurance to both patients and physicians who manage patients with adult CHD to encourage these patients to enjoy a physically active life style. Such an individualized exercise prescription might offer a platform with the aim to maximize benefit for health and minimize the cardiovascular risk. Finally, it could be used as a tool for future research to increase the level of evidence.
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