Heart failure has become a worldwide epidemic of the 21st century with increasing impact on healthcare systems. The 2012 ESC and 2013 ACCF/AHA guidelines have set the stage for current therapy to reduce mortality and morbidity. They admit that evidence is sparse for diagnostics, acute heart failure, comorbidities, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), many surgical procedures, and end-of-life care. In addition, it can be questioned whether the same therapy fits to any aetiology and genetic background of individual patients. Adding further principles of neurohumoral inhibition did not further improve therapy. Thus, many questions are left by the ESC-guideline 2012 and ACC/AHA guideline 2013 to be answered by research in 2013 and in the future.

Heart failure diagnostics, evidence of no evidence

While conventional echocardiography remains the bread-and-butter technique, the value of speckle tracking has recently been proposed for estimating prognosis and as a clue in chronic systolic left heart failure of patients without comorbidities (Figure 1). They admit that evidence is sparse for diagnostics, acute heart failure, co-morbidities, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), many surgical procedures, and end-of-life care. In addition, it can be questioned whether the same therapy fits to any aetiology and genetic background of individual patients. Adding further principles of neurohumoral inhibition did not further improve therapy. Thus, many questions are left by the ESC-guideline 2012 and ACC/AHA guideline 2013 to be answered by research in 2013 and in the future.

Acute heart failure, a dawn of hope for therapy?

Acutely decompensated heart failure has recently been recognized as an entity which severely burdens prognosis. The challenge combating acute heart failure has generated a number of trials with classic as well as innovative drugs such as levosimendan, nesiritide, rolofylline, tezosentan, and omecamtivmecarbil: so far in vain. Only recently, a dawn of hope has come up with the peptide relaxin-2, which regulates circulation and renal perfusion in pregnancy. Serelaxin, the recombinant human relaxin-2, reduced a dyspnoea score which was a primary endpoint, and 180-day mortality which was a tertiary endpoint. A clue for the success of this study may have been the exclusion of hypotensive patients. This and other principles are currently better understanding of mechanisms and have the potential to individualize prevention and therapy. So far, the consequences remain unclear of such studies for therapy.

Controlled endpoint studies for diagnostics are missing in patients with heart failure. In addition, heart failure therapy requires information on an aetiology and potential complications, in other words, it is compulsory to combine all clinical information, relevant laboratory tests, and imaging for diagnostics. Thus, diagnostic tools need to be evaluated in the context of diagnostic algorithms rather than in protocols insensitive to information obtained by complementary or competing methods. These studies are virtually missing and guidelines remain on the level of expert recommendations.
Heart failure in real life: managing co-morbidities

The systemic disease “heart failure” starts before clinical manifestation. This may be due to the underlying disease which may be systemic like atherosclerosis, to multiple organ complications of heart failure, or the omnipresence of comorbidities. The Meta-analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure has reported on almost 40,000 patients with chronic heart failure. Next to age, male gender, ejection fraction, New York Heart Association class, renal failure, diabetes, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were confirmed as comorbid predictors of mortality. The EHJ column “The heart and other organs” discussed interactions of the heart with the gut, liver, kidney, and the bronchopulmonary system. Cognition deficiency and depression severely burden on morbidity and mortality. The diversity of multimorbidity and consequent polypharmacy is incompatible with the reductive concept of clinical studies and requires a more comprehensive approach. Recent guidelines consider this inconsistency but do not offer solutions. At least they suggest management programmes for selected patients. Results of controlled studies on such programmes have been controversial but after all it seems that nurse-and-doctor-based programmes may be extremely effective in advanced heart failure. Telemetry may supplement these programmes. At least patients with implanted devices seem to have benefits from telemonitoring technology (In Time Study ESC Highlights 2013). Less ill patients get by with the management of their general practitioner.

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, a surrogate for comorbidity?

For years, clinical studies on HfPEF have been most frustrating. The concepts for treating heart failure with reduced ejection fraction generally have failed in large HfPEF trials. Prevalence of HfPEF seems to rise in our aging society and patients appear to become more and more multimorbid. Two latest studies may exemplify the problem. The aldosterone antagonist spironolactone showed some benefit on left ventricular diastolic function in the Aldo-DHF study but did not reduce the combined cardiovascular primary outcome in the TOPCAT trial (late breaking session at AHA 2013). The RELAX study, testing the effect of the phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor sildenafil, was completely negative. In the RELAX trial, 43% had diabetes mellitus, 19% COPD, 51% atrial fibrillation or flutter, and 35% anaemia. In the Aldo-DHF study, comorbidities were present but prevalence was somewhat lower (diabetes: 17%, COPD: 3%, a trial fibrillation: 5%, average Hb: 13.8 g/dL). Nevertheless, comorbidities may dominate the course of heart failure and may thus defeat potential benefit of a cardiovascular approach of therapy.
In this clinical syndrome, physical exercise could be an attractive alternative to drugs since it may have beneficial effects on HFpEF as well as on various comorbidities.

**Individualized medicine**

Daily life medicine needs to individualize heart failure therapy considering specific patient requirements and requests including but also beyond evidence offered by guidelines. There have been strong concepts deriving an individualized approach from pathophysiologic considerations. One example may be cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) which has proved to be beneficial for patients even in mild heart failure but with wide bundle branch block and asynchronous left ventricular contraction. Pressure from various sides is high to widen the indication to a more general population who does not meet the criteria which have been the conceptual basis for this therapy. It needed a large trial to show that patients with systolic heart failure and a QRS duration of <130 ms had no benefit from CRT. This negative trial was of particular value since it answered a clinically most relevant question but also proved the pathophysiologic concept which confines CRT.

Personalized medicine may substantially add to this approach if it includes all available information. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and plasma proteomics may identify potential new biomarkers for hypertrophy, and chronic or acutely decompensated heart failure. Variants of genes involved in free radical generation, dysregulation of iron homeostasis, and accumulation of cardiotoxic anthracyclines may predict anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. However, the combination of genetic and clinical information performs superior. This may impact on the indication for early heart failure therapy and/or on a potential future specific preventive therapy perhaps with a small molecule that selectively binds to TOP2B. Genome-wide association studies may identify novel risk loci and genetic predisposition for cardiac disease. Thus, GWAS may put forward hypotheses for mechanisms which may be substantiated by functional studies and then give rise to new therapies. Obviously, genetics may be most valuable in rare and monogenetic disease. But cardiac hypertrophy and failure are regulated on transcriptional, translational, and post-translational levels. The majority of our patients need to rely on our capability to adjust guidelines to their individual condition.

**Surgical procedures**

Heart failure surgery has become a rapidly evolving field. Mechanical-assisted technology develops so fast that most studies finish up with an outdated device. Most spectacular progress has been made in valve surgery. Mitral valve reconstruction is clearly superior to artificial valves. The Mitral Regurgitation International Database has shown in 1021 patients that early mitral reconstruction compared with initial medical therapy reduced mortality and the risk of heart failure. In ischaemic mitral regurgitation, valve repair and replacement revealed similar end-systolic volumes at 12 months but in the repair group recurrence of moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation was high (32.6% vs. 2.3%, in replacement). Valve surgery has got strong competition by interventional procedures with potential impact on heart failure; this will be discussed elsewhere in this journal's issue. In contrast, surgical left ventricular reconstruction still awaits the definition of the subgroup of heart failure patients which may profit from the procedure. Hasty conclusions from the STICH-trial that viability testing is not needed may hamper further in depth research. Results of the STICH-trial may have been different if viability testing had been used in all patients for triage to preselected procedures. Studies are urgently required which predefine meticulously patients by testing for ischaemia and viability. Individualized therapy is probably most important for surgical decisions.

**New principles in therapy**

Despite success of standard heart failure therapy, morbidity and mortality remain unacceptably high. Adding angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) or the renin inhibitor aliskiren to standard angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) has not added benefit but increased side effects. These studies suggest that the principle of inhibiting the renin-angiotensin system has reached its limits. It remains unclear whether aliskiren instead of an ARB or ACEI would have been superior to the older drugs or that the combination could be effective in certain subgroups like patients without diabetes mellitus. The ethical consideration that standard therapy may not be withheld from our study patients and that new drugs have to be tested on top of standard therapy creates a difficult situation for clinical research. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors have been tested against previously available vasodilators, and ARB’s against ACEI. We probably have to bring the dogma in question since drugs may be better instead of, but not on top of standard therapy. This is considered in the ongoing ATMOSPHERE trial which includes an arm testing aliskiren vs. enalapril.

We also have to think about reducing the number of pills and principles considering the polypharmacy faced by our multimorbid heart failure patients. It seems that we are well on the way to lose one principle. Studies have suggested no benefit but rather increased mortality among patients taking digoxin even in the presence of a trial fibrillation. But digitalis has been declared dead many times and further retrospective analyses or registries add only weak evidence to weak evidence. Anyway, there are strong rationales for the need of new concepts in drug therapy rather than for new drugs in established concepts. Of those which have reached the clinical study level, intravenous ferric carboxymaltose may represent such a new concept. The independence of its effects from anaemia suggests indeed new and so far unknown mechanisms of action. Neutral endopeptidase (neprilysin) inhibition or novel soluble guanylatecyclase stimulators like riociguat may be other semi-new concepts. Other new concepts are promising but have not yet made it to clinical testing. Gene therapy may address receptors or receptor-kinase expression so far not approachable by conventional drugs. Therapy with or against microRNA is another principle awaiting clinical evaluation.

Finally, there are major efforts ongoing to establish cell therapy. Shock wave-facilitated intracoronary administration of bone marrow cells or endogenous cardiac stem cells may contribute to improved cardiac function.

**Conclusions**

Numerous questions in heart failure research are left by the year 2013 to be answered by research in 2014. Many evidence blanks in
the actual guidelines have still remained but we have got closer to fill some of them. There is some hope for therapy of acute and diastolic heart failure. In the future, additional guidelines and recommendations for heart failure management will be required to address the increasing complexity and heterogeneity of heart failure patients. The incorporation of novel therapeutic strategies and the use of emerging technologies will be crucial in improving outcomes for patients with heart failure.
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