

JULY 11 2014

Comments on the low frequency radiation impedance of a duct exhausting a hot gas

Avraham Hirschberg; Maarten Hoeijmakers



J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 136, EL84–EL89 (2014)

<https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4885540>



WE BRING THE NOISE,
YOU BRING THE PRODUCTS

COMMITTED TO A SMARTER,
MORE CONNECTED FUTURE

ETS-LINDGREN
An ESCO Technologies Company

Comments on the low frequency radiation impedance of a duct exhausting a hot gas

Avraham Hirschberg^{a)}

Department of Applied Physics, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven,
Postbus 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, Netherlands
A.Hirschberg@tue.nl

Maarten Hoeijmakers

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven,
Postbus 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, Netherlands
P.M.G.Hoeijmakers@tue.nl

Abstract: The influence of convection and temperature on the radiation impedance of an open duct termination exhausting a hot gas is commonly described by a complex theory. A simplified analytical expression is proposed for low frequencies. Both models assume a free jet with uniform velocity bounded by infinitely thin shear layers. The convective velocity that should be assumed when applying these models to a non-uniform outflow is uncertain. A simplified version of the so-called Vortex Sound Theory demonstrates that the convective velocity one should assume is lower than the jet centerline velocity.

© 2014 Acoustical Society of America

PACS numbers: 43.28.-g, 43.28.Kt, 43.50.Lj, 43.50.Ed [VO]

Date Received: April 13, 2014 Date Accepted: June 16, 2014

1. Introduction

The present paper was inspired by a study of thermo-acoustic instabilities in a flame placed upstream from an open pipe termination.¹ In such a case the outflowing gases can have temperatures T_p approaching 10^3 K. Also the temperature of the gas at the tailpipe of the muffler of a combustion engine typically has a temperature of 5×10^2 K.

The influence of flow on the acoustic radiation impedance of a circular open pipe termination (radius a) has been studied by Munt.² His theory assumes a uniform mean flow velocity U_p in the pipe and a free jet with uniform velocity $U_j = U_p$ outside the pipe. The jet is delimited by infinitely thin shear layers. A quasi-steady flow separation behavior corresponding to the Kutta condition is assumed at the sharp edge of the pipe termination. The theory is quite involved and results remain obscure for engineers. In the limit of low Mach numbers Cargill³ and Rienstra⁴ propose approximations, which provide some insight. In particular Rienstra⁴ demonstrates that the low Mach number and low Strouhal number limit of the end correction δ is $\delta = 0.22a$, which is quite different from the unflanged pipe low Mach number and low Helmholtz number limit $\delta = 0.61a$ obtained by Levine and Schwinger.⁵ For flows at ambient temperature the results of Munt² have been verified by Peters *et al.*⁶ and Allam and Åbom.⁷ The assumption of the Kutta condition obviously fails when the pipe is terminated by a horn⁶ but remains reasonable even for rounded edges as long as the Strouhal number based on the radius of curvature of the edge is sufficiently small. When the gas flow is hot as expected in combustion engine exhaust and other combustion systems the temperature contrast between the gas flow and the surroundings significantly increases the radiation impedance.⁸⁻¹² The measurements of Tiikoja *et al.*¹² are again in good agreement with the theory of Munt.² The only controversial point is

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

that this theory for a uniform flow is applied to a fully developed turbulent flow profile. Peters *et al.*⁶ chose to use the surface average velocity to estimate the Mach number, while Allam and Åbom⁷ and Tiikoja *et al.*¹² find better agreement with experiments when using the Mach number based on the centerline flow velocity U_{\max} at the pipe exit. It is not certain that any of these two choices is better than the other.

The use of the theory of Munt² is not trivial. Furthermore engineers may also worry about the application of the theory to non-circular pipe terminations. We propose a very simple low frequency limit allowing to predict the effect of convection and temperature contrast on the acoustical radiation impedance of a pipe termination with arbitrary cross sectional shape, valid as long as the edges are sufficiently sharp. Our approach follows the Vortex Sound Theory model proposed by Howe.¹³ In the discussion below we consider basic assumptions in some details, which provides an indication for the possible causes of deviation between theory and experiments. In particular the choice of the effective convection velocity U_j to be used will be discussed.

2. Theory

The basic idea of the theory is that in the low Helmholtz number limit, when the pipe diameter is small compared to the wavelength of acoustic waves, the transition from the hot outlet gas flow to the cold surroundings is compact (small compared to the wavelength). The relevant dimensionless number here is the Helmholtz number $k_p a$ where the wave number is defined as $k_p = \omega/c_p$ with ω the angular frequency and c_p the speed of sound in the pipe. We use as characteristic length $a = \sqrt{S_p/\pi}$ with S_p the outlet pipe cross sectional area. For a pipe flow temperature T_p equal to the temperature T_o of the surroundings the jet core length (so called potential core¹⁴) is about $10a$. This length is inversely proportional to the temperature ratio¹⁴ T_p/T_o . After one or two potential core lengths the jet temperature is close to that of the surroundings.

In the absence of entropy generation the sound sources due to mixing are at most dipoles.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ If this mixing occurs a few diameters from the pipe outlet there is no interaction with the walls and this mixing can only generate quadrupoles, because there are no external forces to sustain dipoles. These quadrupoles are very inefficient sound sources at low Mach numbers. Hence at low frequencies the external sound field is certainly dominated by the acoustic flux from the pipe outlet (monopole). A competing monopole sound source due to mixing of the hot jet is only expected when entropy fluctuations are induced. This can be a very significant effect when combustion occurs in the jet. Unsteady condensation of water vapor in exhaust gases is also a monopole source of sound. We neglect here such complex effects. Note that if the ratio of adiabatic exponents (Poisson ratio of specific heats) of the outflowing gas and surrounding gas is constant and equal to that of the surrounding gas for ideal gases there is no net volume change upon mixing of the jet with the surroundings. Hence the monopole source will be extremely weak.¹⁸

We assume that $k_p a$ is so small that we can find a spherical surface of radius $r > a$ around the pipe outlet such that the acoustic flow at this surface is radial while we still have $k_p r \ll 1$. Since $k_p a < 1$ and we limit our discussion to low Mach numbers, the acoustic field in the pipe consists of an incident plane wave $p_i = p^+ \exp[i(\omega t - k_p^+ x)]$ and a reflected plane wave $p_r = p^- \exp[i(\omega t + k_p^- x)]$ with $k_j^\pm = k_p/(1 \pm M_p)$ and $M_p = U_p/c_p$. For wave propagation at low frequencies $k_p a < 1$ and low Mach numbers $M_p < 0.2$ as considered here U_p is (in the plane wave approximation) the cross-sectional surface averaged flow velocity in the pipe.^{19,20} The origin $x=0$ of the x -coordinate along the pipe is chosen at the pipe outlet. The positive x -direction is pipe outwards. Assuming free field conditions outside the pipe the spherical outgoing wave $p_o = (A/r)\exp[i(\omega t - k_o r)]$, with k_o the wave number in the surroundings and A the amplitude, describes the acoustic field on the spherical control surface at distance r from the outlet. An acoustic mass balance over the compact spherical control surface

of radius r reduces to a volume flow conservation.²¹ This yields in linear approximation, for a pipe of cross-sectional area S_p ,

$$\frac{p^+ - p^-}{\rho_p c_p} S_p + \Phi_V = \frac{4\pi A}{i\omega \rho_o}, \quad (1)$$

where ρ_p and ρ_o are the gas densities, respectively, in the pipe and in the surroundings and Φ_V is the rate of volume production related to entropy production discussed above, which we neglect further. Similarly the acoustic energy balance over the same control surface yields¹³

$$[\langle I_p \rangle + \langle (\Delta p')_{\text{source}} u' \rangle] S_p = 4\pi r^2 \langle I_o \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\langle I_p \rangle$ and $\langle I_o \rangle$ are the time averaged acoustic intensities in the pipes and on the spherical control surface and u' is the plane wave acoustic velocity extrapolated to the open pipe termination $x=0$. As the free jet is close to a pressure node, monopoles in this jet will be inefficient sound sources, we therefore neglect this compared to the dipole sound source $(\Delta p')_{\text{source}}$ due to vortex shedding. Using a quasi-steady low Mach number model,^{13,22,23} we have $(\Delta p')_{\text{source}} = -\rho_p U_j u'$ where $u' = (p^+ - p^-)/(\rho_p c_p)$. This corresponds to a uniform main flow to the Kutta condition combined with the assumption that there is no pressure recovery in the jet. We will further discuss which choice of the velocity U_j is appropriate. We have in the pipe

$$\langle I_p \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_p c_p} [(1 + M_p)^2 |p^+|^2 - (1 - M_p)^2 |p^-|^2] \quad (3)$$

and neglecting convection on the spherical control surface²¹

$$\langle I_o \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_o c_o} \frac{|A|^2}{r^2}. \quad (4)$$

Neglecting the effect of the end correction we assume that the phase of p^- is opposite to that of p^+ , so that $|p^+ - p^-| = |p^+| + |p^-|$. Note that this assumption is less restrictive than the assumption made by Bechert²³ ($p^+ = -p^-$). Note furthermore that the dipole sound source radiates due to coupling with the acoustic field in the pipe, which provides the local acoustic velocity needed to have a production of sound by a dipole sound source. The addition of a free-field dipole radiation due to the fluctuating momentum of the jet as done by Bechert²³ does not seem to be justified. In the approximation considered, one can actually represent the dipole due to the vortex shedding in the shear layers of the jet by a fluctuating pressure discontinuity $(\Delta p')_{\text{source}}$ over a cross section of the pipe a few diameters upstream of the pipe outlet. Also the transition between the hot and the cold gas can be assumed to occur there.

Using this approximation we find after elimination of $|A|$ the real part Z_p of the dimensionless pipe radiation impedance

$$Z_p = \frac{\rho_o c_o k_o^2 S_p}{\rho_p c_p 4\pi}, \quad (5)$$

which relates the transmitted sound power $4\pi r^2 \langle I_o \rangle$ to the acoustic velocity u' ,

$$4\pi r^2 \langle I_o \rangle = S_p \frac{1}{2} Z_p |u'|^2. \quad (6)$$

The pressure reflection coefficient defined by $R = p^-/p^+$ is given by

$$|R| = \frac{(1 + M_p)^2 - (Z_p + M_j)}{Z_p + M_j + \sqrt{(Z_p + M_j)^2 + [(1 + M_p)^2 - (Z_p + M_j)][(1 - M_p)^2 + (Z_p + M_j)]}}, \quad (7)$$

where $M_j = U_j/c_p$. The impedance of the pipe termination $Z \equiv p'/u'$ is given by

$$Z = \rho_p c_p \frac{1 - |R|}{1 + |R|}. \quad (8)$$

The energy reflection coefficient R_E in the presence of flow is

$$R_E = \frac{(1 - M_p)^2}{(1 + M_p)^2} |R|^2. \quad (9)$$

Obviously at this level of approximation the radiation impedance for a flanged pipe outlet is twice that of an unflanged pipe, because the outgoing radiation is limited to the surface $2\pi r^2$ instead of $4\pi r^2$.

Based on the wave number in the pipe we have

$$Z_p = \frac{\rho_o c_p}{\rho_p c_o} \frac{k_p^2 S_p}{4\pi}. \quad (10)$$

Hence for a hot air jet at temperature T_p in cold air at temperature T_o , the dimensionless radiation impedance Z_p increases with a factor $(T_p/T_o)^{3/2}$. This matches within the experimental scatter the low Mach number data provided by Fricker and Roberts,⁸ Cummings,⁹ Mahan *et al.*,¹⁰ and Peters *et al.*,⁶ for $k_p a \leq 0.8$ and $1.0 \leq T_p/T_o \leq 3.5$. This is illustrated by Fig. 1(a) where Z_p is shown as a function of $k_p a$ for various ratios of T_p/T_o . As shown by Tiikoja *et al.*,¹² large deviations from this simple approximation occur for Strouhal numbers $k_p a/M_p > 0.1$. At low Strouhal numbers accurate measurements obtained by Hirschberg and Rienstra²⁴ using the




Fig. 1. (a) Influence of temperature on the open pipe termination Z_p as a function of the Helmholtz number $k_p a$ in the limit $M_p \rightarrow 0$ [Eq. (10)]. Theory $T_p/T_o = 1.0$ (line —) compared to the data of Mahan *et al.* (Ref. 10) (Δ) and Peters *et al.* (Ref. 6) (∇) at room temperature. Theory $T_p/T_o = 1.97$ (line ····) compared to the data of Cummings (Ref. 9) (\circ) at $T_p = 573$ K. Theory $T_p/T_o = 3.44$ (line - - - -) compared to the data of Fricker and Roberts (Ref. 8) (\times) at $T_p = 1273$ K. (b) Influence of the surface averaged Mach number M_p on the energy reflection coefficient at room temperature $T_p = T_o$. The experimental data at low Strouhal numbers $0.065 \leq k_p a/M_p \leq 0.33$ ($+$) has been obtained by Hirschberg and Rienstra (Ref. 24) using the same setup as Peters *et al.* (Ref. 6). Theory (line —) was calculated using Eq. (9) with $|R| = 1$ and the cross sectional averaged Mach number M_p .

same setup as Peters *et al.*⁶ agree for $T_p/T_o = 1$ with our theory when using $M_p = M_j$ based on the surface averaged flow velocity [see Fig. 1(b)].

While the agreement between our theory and experiment seems quite satisfactory [Fig. 1(b)], a key point in the model is as in the case of the model of Munt² that we assume a uniform jet flow with velocity U_j . In the case of a non-uniform pipe flow, such as a fully developed turbulent flow, the value of U_j that should be assumed is a subject of controversy. Peters *et al.*⁶ propose to use the surface average outlet flow velocity. Allam and Åbom⁷ and Tiikola *et al.*¹² claim that the centerline velocity U_{\max} should be used. The Vortex Sound Theory of Howe¹³ helps evaluating such a choice. Following Howe¹³ the sound is absorbed by the interaction of periodically shed vortex rings with the acoustic field. The acoustical dipole (fluctuating force) corresponding to this periodic vortices has a magnitude $S_p(\Delta p')_{\text{source}} = -\rho_p d(S_\Gamma \Gamma)/dt$, where Γ is the circulation of the vortex ring and S_Γ its surface area. Considering the circulation of a small segment dx of the shear layer near the pipe exit, we have in a quasi-steady approximation: $d\Gamma/dx = (U_{\max} + u')$. If U_c is the convection velocity of vorticity perturbations in the shear layer, we have for the amount of circulation shed at the pipe exit: $d\Gamma/dt = (U_{\max} + u')U_c$. In free space the quantity $\rho_p S_\Gamma \Gamma$ is actually the total amount of momentum in the vortex ring. Consequently the time derivative of this quantity is the force needed to generate the vortex ring. Consequently it is the force in the axial direction exerted by the pipe walls on the flow. As the pressure fluctuations near the outlet of the duct remain small and we consider subsonic flows, we neglected here the fluctuations in density. If we assume a jet with uniform velocity U_j , the amount of circulation per unit length of the shear layer is $(U_j + u')$. This vorticity is convected in a thin shear layer with the velocity¹³ $U_c \simeq (U_j + u')/2$. Hence in a quasi-steady linear approximation $d\Gamma/dt \simeq u' U_j$, which gives the dipole source that we used above $(\Delta p')_{\text{source}} = -\rho_p U_j u'$. Furthermore for thin shear layers $S_\Gamma = S_p$. For thick shear layers $d\Gamma/dt = (U_{\max} + u')U_c$ where $U_c \simeq (U_{\max} + u')/2$ will depend on the shear layer profile. In this case the effective vortex ring surface is certainly narrower than the pipe cross section ($S_\Gamma < S_p$). The choice $U_j = U_{\max}$ combined with $S_\Gamma = S_p$ yields obviously an upper bound for the dipole sound source due to vortex shedding. Hence the effective velocity U_j to be used in Eqs. (7)–(9) is expected to be lower than U_{\max} . Taking the surface average velocity seems a reasonable first guess.⁶ Surprisingly, numerical simulations using a Lattice Boltzmann method indicate that $U_j = U_{\max}$ is a good choice.²⁵ Another argument in favor of the choice $U_j = U_{\max}$ is found in the study of Boij and Nilsson²⁶ on the aeroacoustical response of a sudden pipe expansion.

The analytical model of Boij and Nilsson,²⁶ which is the equivalent to the model of Munt² for a free jet, fits better the experimental data and numerical simulations when assuming $U_p = U_j = U_{\max}$.

3. Conclusions

Using a low frequency approximation proposed by Howe¹³ we obtained a simple expression for the influence of temperature on the radiation impedance of a pipe with a hot outgoing flow at low Mach numbers and low Strouhal numbers. The model applies to arbitrary outlet shapes. Significant deviation from this theory is expected when there is a strong entropy production due to combustion or condensation occurring upon mixing of the hot jet with the surroundings close to the pipe exit. The model does not predict the end correction, but results from literature indicate that temperature effects have a limited effect on the end-correction,¹⁰ so that results obtained for low temperatures can be used. Of course when considering arbitrary Strouhal numbers, one can use for circular pipes the general theory of Munt.² Both in the simplified model of Howe¹³ and the more elaborated model of Munt² the choice of the relevant convection velocity U_p and jet velocity U_j is a major source of uncertainty. The cross sectional average velocity $U_p = U_j$ seems a reasonable first guess.⁶ The centerline velocity $U_p = U_j = U_{\max}$ proposed by Allam and Åbom⁷ and Boij and Nilsson²⁶ is an upper

bound. Neither of these choices is obvious. Independent accurate experimental data would be most welcome.

Acknowledgments

The support of the Marie Curie project TANGO (FP7-PEOPLE-ITN-2012) financed by the European Community and of the Dutch Technology foundation STW are acknowledged. The authors are grateful for discussions with Mats Åbom, Susann Boij, Ines Lopez Arteaga, and the TANGO fellows.

References and links

- ¹M. Hoeijmakers, I. Lopez Arteaga, V. Kornilov, H. Nijmeijer, and P. de Goey, “Accuracy assessment of thermoacoustic instability modes using binary classification,” *Int. J. Spray Comb. Dyn.* **5**, 201–224 (2013)
- ²R. M. Munt, “Acoustic transmission properties of a jet pipe with subsonic jet flow: I. The cold jet reflection coefficient,” *J. Sound Vib.* **142**, 413–436 (1990).
- ³A. M. Cargill, “Low frequency acoustic radiation from a jet pipe—A second order theory,” *J. Sound Vib.* **83**, 339–354 (1982).
- ⁴S. W. Rienstra, “A small Strouhal number analysis for acoustic wave-jet flow-pipe interaction,” *J. Sound Vib.* **86**, 539–556 (1983).
- ⁵H. Levine and J. Schwinger, “On the radiation of sound from an unflanged circular pipe,” *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 383–406 (1948).
- ⁶M. C. A. M. Peters, A. Hirschberg, A. J. Reijnen, and A. P. J. Wijnands, “Damping and reflection coefficient measurements at low Mach and low Helmholtz numbers,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **256**, 499–534 (1993).
- ⁷S. Allam and M. Åbom, “Investigation of damping and radiation using full plane wave decomposition in ducts,” *J. Sound Vib.* **292**, 519–534 (2006).
- ⁸N. Fricker and C. A. Roberts, “The measurement of acoustic radiation impedance of the open end of a thick walled tube with hot flow,” *Acustica* **38**, 124–130 (1975).
- ⁹A. Cummings, “High temperature effects on the radiation impedance of an unflanged duct exit,” *J. Sound Vib.* **52**, 299–304 (1977).
- ¹⁰J. R. Mahan, J. G. Cline, and J. D. Jones, “A temperature correlation for the radiation resistance of a thick-walled circular duct exhausting hot gas,” *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **75**, 63–71 (1984).
- ¹¹D. H. Kim and L. L. Koss, “Sound radiation from a circular duct with axial temperature gradients,” *J. Sound Vib.* **141**, 1–16 (1990).
- ¹²H. Tiikoja, J. Lavrentjev, H. Rammal, and M. Åbom, “Experimental investigation of sound reflection from hot and subsonic flow duct termination,” *J. Sound Vib.* **333**, 788–800 (2014).
- ¹³M. S. Howe, “Attenuation of sound in a low Mach number nozzle flow,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **91**, 209–229 (1979).
- ¹⁴P. O. Witze, “Centerline velocity decay of compressible free jets,” *AIAA J.* **12**, 417–418 (1974).
- ¹⁵C. L. Morfey, “Amplification of aerodynamic noise by convected flow inhomogeneities,” *J. Sound Vib.* **31**, 391–397 (1973).
- ¹⁶F. Obermeier, “Sound generation by heated subsonic jets,” *J. Sound Vib.* **41**, 463–472 (1975).
- ¹⁷A. J. Kempton, “Heat diffusion as a source of aerodynamic sound,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **78**, 1–31 (1976).
- ¹⁸J. E. Fowcs Williams, “Aeroacoustics,” *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **9**, 447–508 (1977).
- ¹⁹D. C. Pridmore-Brown, “Sound propagation in a fluid flowing through an attenuating duct,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **4**, 393–406 (1958).
- ²⁰S. D. Savkar, “Propagation of sound in ducts with shear flow,” *J. Sound Vib.* **19**, 355–372 (1971).
- ²¹A. Pierce, *Acoustics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- ²²U. Ingard and V. K. Singhal, “Effect of flow on the acoustic resonances of an open-ended duct,” *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **58**, 788–793 (1975).
- ²³D. W. Bechert, “Sound absorption caused by vorticity shedding demonstrated with a jet flow,” *J. Sound Vib.* **70**, 389–405 (1980).
- ²⁴A. Hirschberg and S. W. Rienstra, “Elements of aeroacoustics,” in *Applied Aero-acoustics*, Von Karman Lecture series 1994-04 (Von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics, Rhodes-St. Genese, Belgium, 1994).
- ²⁵A. R. da Silva, G. P. Scavone, and A. Lefebvre, “Sound reaction at the open end of axisymmetric ducts issuing a subsonic mean flow: A numerical study,” *J. Sound Vib.* **327**, 507–552 (2009).
- ²⁶S. Boij and B. Nilsson, “Reflection of sound at area expansions in a flow duct,” *J. Sound. Vib.* **260**, 477–498 (2003).