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Abstract

Ever since the origin of the first metazoans over 600 million years ago, cell type diversification has been driven by micro-evolutionary processes at population level, leading to macro-evolution changes above species level. In this review, we introduce the marine annelid Platynereis dumerilii, a member of the lophotrochozoan clade (a key yet most understudied superphylum of bilaterians), as a suitable model system for the simultaneous study, at cellular resolution, of macro-evolutionary processes across phyla and of micro-evolutionary processes across highly polymorphic populations collected worldwide. Recent advances in molecular and experimental techniques, easy maintenance and breeding, and the fast, synchronous and stereotypical development have facilitated the establishment of Platynereis as one of the leading model species in the eco-evo-devo field. Most importantly, Platynereis allows the combination of expression profiling, morphological and physiological characterization at the single cell level. Here, we discuss recent advances in the collection of -omics data for the lab strain and for natural populations collected world-wide that can be integrated with population-specific cellular analyses to result in a cellular atlas integrating genetic, phenotypic and ecological variation. This makes Platynereis a tractable system to begin understanding the interplay between macro- and micro-evolutionary processes and cell type diversity.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding the ancient origin and subsequent evolutionary diversification of cell types is one major yet poorly understood area of evolutionary biology. Ever since the beginning of metazoan evolution, animals explored new types of ecological networks (such as simple predator–prey interactions) [1]. Body plan and cell type diversification has been governed by environmental interaction and population variation (i.e. micro-evolution), ultimately resulting in long-term changes in the genomic landscape and phenotypes of a given species over evolutionary time (i.e. macro-evolution). The scope of this review is to explain how the study of both micro- and macro-scales can be combined in the genetic, morphological and physiological characterization of cell types in the marine annelid Platynereis dumerilii, a nereidid errantian annelid [2]. We will first describe Platynereis as an established system for comparative cell type studies across bilaterians, followed by the recent advances in the analysis of its natural populations and their genetic, genomic, as well as phenotypic and ecological variation. Then, we will explain techniques and resources currently available for the system and finally how they all can be integrated to produce a high-resolution cellular model of macro- and micro-evolutionary cell type diversification.
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THE LOPHOTROCHOZOA: A DIVERSITY STILL TO BE UNCOVERED

The lophotrochozoan superphylum is one of the still observable remnants of an ancient bilaterian diversification referred to as Cambrian explosion [3–5]. Having been recognized only recently as a separate superphylum [6, 7], lophotrochozoans represent one of three major bilaterian clades (Figure 1B). Despite this, relatively little is known about their biology and evolution, compared with their deuterostome and ecdysozoan counterparts. Lophotrochozoans predominantly inhabit marine environments and play a major, and arguably ancient, role in their ecological networks [9]. There are also some clades (e.g. some oligochaetes) that specialized to live on land and a few representatives colonizing freshwater (e.g. the flatworm Schmidtea, or even within the mostly marine Nereididae family, Nereis limnicola [10], which suggests that freshwater adaptation arose independently several times). The original naming [6] of the clade comes from the characteristic lophophore present in, e.g. bryozoans and phoronids, and the stereotypic trochophore larvae with ciliary bands (trochs). Morphological diversity of larval and adult forms is large in this clade, and especially pronounced for adult forms that are as diverse in form as flatworms, bivalves and cephalopods.

Despite being one of the most specious and morphologically diverse clades of bilaterians [11], little is known so far about lophotrochozoan genomes. A few years ago, the first efforts had been made to sequence and analyze the genomes of the first few lophotrochozoans, providing the very first glimpse into the genomic diversity of the superphylum. Available lophotrochozoan genomes so far include the conventionally (Sanger dyeoxy reads) sequenced limpet Lottia gigantea, the annelids Capitella teleta (previously referred to as Capitella capitata) and Helobdella robusta, the parasitic and free-living flatworms Schistosoma mansoni [12] and Schmidtea mediterranea [13], as well as the Illumina/454-based genomes of the pacific oyster Pinctada fucata [14] and Crassostrea gigas [15], and, more recently, the annelid P. dumerilii (discussed later). In addition to these eight species, several other projects are underway.

Genome sizes vary significantly between lophotrochozoan species [16]: from 120 Mbp (in a flatworm Olisthanella truncula [17]) up to 7 Gbp (in a polychaete Nephtys [18]) (Table 1). Only two published lophotrochozoan repeat analyses are available: including that of the 1.2 Gbp Pinctada fucata [14] which indicates (potentially due to assembly quality) a relatively low repeat content of about 10%, and that of 637 Mbp Crassostrea gigas genome which is estimated to contain ~30% repeats [15]. It is still unclear whether the observed genome size variation among the lophotrochozoans is due to specific repeat expansions or other mechanisms such as whole genome duplications. Despite the high variability in genome sizes, previous reports, albeit limited to only a few loci (e.g. ParaHox and Antennapedia–class genes in Platynereis [19] and Hox genes in Capitella [20, 21], and Wnt [22] and Forkhead [23] in other
lophotrochozoans), seem to suggest a higher degree of conservation of synteny (gene linkage) between photrochozoans and deuterostomes as compared with ecdysozoans and deuterostomes. However, no large-scale studies have been published so far, and thus the dynamics of lophotrochozoan linkage evolution are yet to be uncovered.

Other genomic characters such as intron splice site and indel position conservation, and other less frequently occurring events (‘rare genomic changes’) have been proposed as reliable characters for phylogeny inference and studies on the evolution of individual gene families [24, 25]. So far only one study exists that shows a high degree of intron splice site conservation in lophotrochozoans (based on Platynereis [26]), compared with most of the sequenced ecdysozoans. Additional support for high degree of conservation of genomic features comes also from non-coding elements, as has been revealed by a recent study that showed a high degree of miRNA repertoire conservation between Platynereis and key deuterostomes [27].

**PLATYNEREIS: A LOPHOTROCHOZOAN MODEL SYSTEM TO STUDY MACRO-EVOLUTION**

Not all lophotrochozoan species that have had their genome sequenced are well suited for long-distance evolutionary comparisons and at the same time are well suited for molecular studies (Table 1). Platynereis has proven to be one of the most accessible model systems for both genomic and experimental approaches in recent years. It has been kept in lab cultures since the 1950s [28] and has already been used in studies ranging from cell type and body plan evolution [29–35], to evolution of genomic characters such as introns [26] and microRNAs [27], to the studies of the evolution of plankton swimming behavior [36, 37].

The main advantages that make it a convenient species for use in the laboratory include mass spawning, transparency of embryos, synchronous and stereotypical development [8], little space requirements and the ease of maintenance and culture (Table 1). Despite being a relatively new model system for molecular biology, both descriptive (e.g. whole mount in situ expression profiling [55], high-throughput single cell expression analysis [32], reflection light sheet confocal microscopy, as described in [56]) and functional methods (such as morpholino knockdown, transgenesis) have been established. Those methods have successfully been combined to yield a complete single-cell level description of developing larvae, as has been shown by [32].

Platynereis genome size is estimated to be ~1 Gbp [57], comparable with the oyster Pinctada fucata (1.2 Gbp). Very little is known about the variation in
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**Table 1: Current state of the sequenced lophotrochozoan genomes and the available methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Platynereis dumerilii</th>
<th>Capitella teleta</th>
<th>Helobdella robusta</th>
<th>Lottia gigantea</th>
<th>Pinctada fucata</th>
<th>Crassostrea gigas</th>
<th>Schmidtea mediterranea</th>
<th>Schistosoma mansoni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genome EST</td>
<td>Illumina/454</td>
<td>Sanger</td>
<td>Sanger</td>
<td>Sanger</td>
<td>Illumina/454</td>
<td>Illumina/454</td>
<td>Sanger</td>
<td>Sanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genome size</td>
<td>1 Gbp</td>
<td>324 Mbp</td>
<td>228 Mbp</td>
<td>348 Mbp</td>
<td>1.2 Gbp</td>
<td>637 Mbp</td>
<td>875 Mbp</td>
<td>370 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression fingerprint profiling</td>
<td>Yes [32]</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineage/fate maps</td>
<td>Yes [8, 45, 46]</td>
<td>Yes [47]</td>
<td>Yes [48, 49]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholinos RNAi</td>
<td>Morpholino</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Morpholino [50]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RNAi [51]</td>
<td>RNAi [52]</td>
<td>RNAi [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgenics</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecology studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotyping data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab facilities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life cycle in the lab</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1–2 weeksb</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Large-scale profiling methods have not been published yet but are possible due to stereotypic development.

bAsexual mode of reproduction.
genome sizes between Platynereis and its sibling species, e.g. P. bicancialsulata, and other nereidids (such as Nereis sp.). Several studies [18] hint at high diversity in genome sizes within this group, varying by a factor of two, e.g. in Alitta succinea (previously named Nereis succinea which has an estimated genome size of 2.1 Gbp).

The sequencing of Platynereis genome is conducted as a community effort involving many laboratories worldwide using a combination of 454 and Illumina sequencing technologies from several individuals of an inbred strain. To counteract the difficulties arising from assembling a large genome, we apply a mixed insert size Illumina strategy [58], which also provides enough coverage to detect persistent polymorphisms and indel variation. To aid in future annotation of the genome, several transcriptome datasets have also been generated which cover different tissues and stages.

**PLATYNEREIS: A MODEL SYSTEM FOR POPULATION MICRO-EVOLUTIONARY STUDIES**

Polychaetates, and nereidids in particular, inhabit many ecological niches ranging from sandy sediment to coral reefs [9] (Figure 2). Despite such high environmental diversity, the individual species seem to implement the same strategies of adaptation (tube building, etc.) [60] and can readily adapt to new environments and/or switch to a different diet, one of the main reasons why Platynereis is easy to culture in the lab [28].

Over the past decades, Platynereis sp. has been a particular good system in classical population studies, in both pollution [61, 62] and ecological [63, 64] areas. Supported by the Association of European Marine Biological Laboratories (ASSEMBLE) [65] and Tara Oceans [66], Platynereis genome and genetic diversity is now being assessed across numerous locations, covering many different ecological niches from sandy sediment to algal beds and corals.

Previous morphological studies already identified high levels of variation between closely related Platynereis species [67] and within a single population [68]. Other reports [69, 70] also predict that genomic variability (e.g. genome size and ribosomal gene complement) and intra-specific variation are high as well. Not surprisingly, cryptic species have been identified in the clade [71]. Being a broadcast spawner, high polymorphism rates are expected for Platynereis, but little is known about the significance of these in a population context.

**TOWARD COMBINED MICRO- AND MACRO-EVOLUTION CELLULAR-RESOLUTION MODELS**

To begin analyzing macro- and micro-evolution in one system, one has to develop a model capable of providing and integrating such information. Taking advantage of the Platynereis technical toolbox, current work focuses on designing a cellular model that integrates variation at gene (allelic diversity, expression), cellular (expression profiling, cellular morphology and physiology) and ecological levels. This interplay is illustrated in Figure 3.

With regard to gene level variation within and between populations, both allelic composition and expression levels can be determined from next-generation (Illumina/454) sequencing data, although results have to be interpreted with caution for lowly expressed genes [72]. Although the majority of detected polymorphisms constitute single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which can be classified as being either neutral (synonymous) or amino acid changing (non-synonymous, Figure 3A, upper panel), other detectable variations include insertion/deletions (indels) of up to several hundred amino acids (Figure 3A, upper panel, gap regions) or even variation in domain order of a gene. Although it is hard to detect alleles with only a few SNPs using currently available expression profiling methods, it is possible to distinguish between the different structural variants using standard in situ protocols.

As to cellular variation, availability and easy-to-collect natural population of Platynereis allow high-throughput in situ screening to identify cell types expressing genes of interest in many individuals (Figure 3B, upper panel). This can be achieved by recently developed methods of single cell expression profiling [32, 37, 73], which allow the alignment of 3D confocal images of individual in situ experiments to an averaged model of larvae at a given developmental stage (using axonal scaffold or nuclei as landmarks). Additionally, this analysis can be supplemented with total transcriptome analysis of isolated single cells or tissues. Ultimately, this dataset does not only provide positional information, but can also be used to infer variation in the expression domain between individuals or populations.
Both genetic (i.e. allelic) and expression variation play a major role in defining the species in its natural environment and affect its diversification. Transcriptomic data from different species reveals macro-evolutionary trends such as evolutionary rates of gene family evolution, domain shuffling, expression differences, etc. In addition to the genetic data, the information on oceanographic (pH, salinity, etc.) and trophic (substrate and metabolomic) parameters of the system is also available (Figure 3C). With the established molecular techniques in *Platynereis*, it is possible to perturb candidate genes and assess the changes in development in the environmental context (e.g. pH, salinity tolerance, food preference, changes to life cycle, etc.).

Taken together, these data can be superimposed onto a three-dimensional cellular model of the animal (Figure 3D) with each cell assigned a certain morphology and expression fingerprint, accompanied by the variation in the expression, morphology or genetic polymorphisms (micro-evolution) and gene family evolutionary dynamics or even linkage (macro-evolution). Such a multi-scale cellular model can be used as a powerful hypothesis testing ground in evolutionary biology, as exemplified in the next paragraph.

**USING MACRO/MICRO EVOLUTIONARY MODELS TO ELUCIDATE CELL TYPE EVOLUTION**

Inferences about metazoan cell type evolution are based on parsimony arguments using comparative molecular and morphological ‘fingerprints’ (e.g. gene expression or cellular morphology; [33, 74]). Such fingerprints are informative about the evolutionary relationships between cell types. Those with most similar fingerprints are often considered ‘sister cell types’ that evolved from one and the same precursor by diversification (Figure 4) [75]. However, the fingerprint data may not be fully conclusive about the nature of the common ancestor cell and the evolutionary mechanisms behind its diversification. For example, if a given function is present in one cell type but not in its sister, it may be difficult to decide whether this is due to loss (i.e. subfunctionalization) or *de novo* acquisition of this function (i.e. neo-functionalization).

We now propose to complement the standard evo-devo fingerprint-based studies with micro-evolutionary variation data to be able to quantitatively assign each cellular function an evolutionary rate, i.e. to identify cell functions that appear to be...
slow- (archaeofunctions) and fast-evolving (neofunctions). For our selection of marker genes for a given cell type, we can assess how variable they are in the natural environment: e.g. average duplication rates, sequence variation, selective pressure, as well as variations in the expression domain among and within natural populations at the cellular level. The more specific a gene is for a given cell type, the more likely it is that there is a direct relationship between genetic variation and phenotypic variation.

By computing an average of those variation parameters among the marker genes, we can assign a ‘dispersal’ rate of a cell type and its characteristic functions in its genetic space. We can then identify cell types that are more or less prone to genetic variation than others. Also, we can identify marker
genes, which are better suited for evo–devo inferences (e.g. having purifying selection and low copy number variation), and which are less reliable (e.g. fast evolving genes with variable expression domain and copy number).

To illustrate this, we give an example of sub- (Figure 4A) and neofunctionalization (Figure 4B) scenarios of cell type evolution [75]. For the sub-functionalization scenario (Figure 4A), because the functionality (archaeofunction) was already present in the ancestral cell type and maintained due to purifying selection, we expect to see a limited amount of accumulated changes. We would also expect to see little amount of recently duplicated genes, because (if kept) they are often associated with relaxed selective pressures and evolution of novel functions [76, 77]. Additionally, we might observe ancient and actively maintained paralogs (maintained allelic variants, as in [78]). If, on the other hand, the cell types with similar molecular fingerprint derived from an undifferentiated ancestral cell type (scenario in Figure 4B), we can suggest that the evolution of novel functionality (neofunctions) might have required higher rates of sequence and regulatory evolution: due to no or presence of modifying selection resulting in many amino acid substitutions in expressed genes, high levels of new polymorphisms, variation of expression domains, etc. We might also observe multiple (recent) paralogs indicating an ongoing evolutionary adaptation.

As yet, it is unclear whether cell type evolution is driven by high rates of sequence substitutions or just by few changes in the gene sequence [79] and/or regulatory landscape (as reported for sea urchin and...
Conclusions

Inferences about early bilaterian evolution so far were mainly based on molecular data from traditional model species (predominantly vertebrates, insects and nematodes). Current advances in the molecular analyses of the lophotrochozoans have changed our understanding of early bilaterian evolution. Because of the recent and still ongoing development of a combination of molecular and genomic tools, Platynereis stands out as one of the more accessible marine model organisms to address these questions and study evolution and development on both macro- and micro-evolutionary scales.

Key Points

- Understanding bilaterian cell type evolution requires models of both macro- and micro-evolution.
- Lophotrochozoans (and in particular Platynereis) are well positioned for such studies due to both pronounced retention of bilaterian ancestral characters and the presence of highly polymorphic, segregating natural strains.
- Recently published studies have established Platynereis as one of the main models in macro-evolutionary studies (comparative gene expression and cell type morphology).
- Platynereis is an emerging model system for population-level micro-evolutionary studies through the ongoing population – omics projects.
- Taking advantage of high-resolution expression profiling in Platynereis, it is possible to combine both macro- and micro-evolutionary data into a single cell type model of the organism and use it for evolutionary hypothesis testing.
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