Synergism in the effect of prior jasmonic acid application on herbivore-induced volatile emission by Lima bean plants: transcription of a monoterpen synthase gene and volatile emission
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Abstract

Jasmonic acid (JA) plays a central role in induced plant defence e.g. by regulating the biosynthesis of herbivore-induced plant volatiles that mediate the attraction of natural enemies of herbivores. Moreover, exogenous application of JA can be used to elicit plant defence responses similar to those induced by biting-chewing herbivores and mites that pierce cells and consume their contents. In the present study, we used Lima bean (Phaseolus lunatus) plants to explore how application of a low dose of JA followed by minor herbivory by spider mites (Tetranychus urticae) affects transcript levels of P. lunatus (E)-β-ocimene synthase (PlOS), emission of (E)-β-ocimene and nine other plant volatiles commonly associated with herbivory. Furthermore, we investigated the plant's phytohormonal response. Application of a low dose of JA increased PlOS transcript levels in a synergistic manner when followed by minor herbivory for both simultaneous and sequential infestation. Emission of (E)-β-ocimene was also increased, and only JA, but not SA, levels were affected by treatments. Projection to latent structures-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) of other volatiles showed overlap between treatments. Thus, a low-dose JA application results in a synergistic effect on gene transcription and an increased emission of a volatile compound involved in indirect defence after herbivore infestation.
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Introduction

Plants possess a whole arsenal of mechanisms to resist attacks by pathogens and herbivorous arthropods. The basis of induced plant resistance against insect herbivory consists of a complex network of phytohormonal signalling. A general component of the response to chewing herbivores and foliar wounding is elicitation of the jasmonic acid (JA) signalling pathway in which the phytohormone JA plays a central role (McConn et al., 1997; Kessler and Baldwin, 2002). In contrast, piercing-sucking insects and biotrophic pathogens commonly induce the salicylic acid (SA) signalling pathway, which antagonizes the JA pathway (Kempema et al., 2007; Thaler et al., 2012). Both pathways regulate large-scale changes in defence-related parts of the plant transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome, which underlie plant direct and indirect resistance mechanisms (Kessler and Baldwin, 2002; Pieterse and Dicke, 2007).

Biosynthesis of JA is initiated by the perception of herbivore- and damage-associated molecular patterns (HAMPs and DAMPs, respectively), which accompany herbivore attack and mechanical damage of plant tissue (Mithöfer & Boland 2008).
The synthesis and accumulation of the JA–isoleucine conjugate, JA–Ile, generally causes a derepression of relevant transcription factors and defence-related genes in the plant (Boter et al., 2004; Lorenzo et al., 2004; Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007). Activation of these JA-responsive genes then leads to the production of metabolites involved in plant resistance. Local activation of JA signalling also results in the production of signalling molecules that can spread systemically through the plant and induce JA responses in distant organs, where they provide protection against imminent attackers (Ryan, 2000; Koo et al., 2009). Although many processes within the JA pathway have been widely studied, the identity of specific gene products and metabolites that account for JA-mediated resistance are still unknown in most non-model plant species for which genomic sequence information is not yet available.

The role of the JA pathway in the regulation of induced plant volatile synthesis has been well studied. Early and late intermediates of the JA pathway as well as the final product, JA, induce synthesis of volatiles, which serve an important function in plant interactions with arthropods (Dicie et al., 1999; Koch et al., 1999; Bruinsma et al., 2009a; Snoeren et al., 2009; Bruinsma et al., 2010). Volatile compounds that are synthesized de novo or in increased amounts by attacked plants are called herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs). These compounds are particularly involved in mediating triotic interactions, in which natural enemies of herbivores use plant volatiles as cues to locate their herbivorous host or prey (Mumm and Dicie, 2010). Although many of these compounds have been identified, another level of complexity is posed by the fact that the exact expression of the defence response by a plant is often modulated by the ecological context. Timing, intensity, and other characteristics of the defence response are influenced by factors such as the specific nature of the attacker (Takahayashi et al., 1995; De Moraes et al., 1998; Stout et al., 1998; De Vos et al., 2005), ontogenetic stage of the attacked plant (Hare, 2010) and plant tissue (Wentzell and Kliebenstein, 2008), and population density of plants and density of attackers (Gols et al., 2003; Wentzell and Kliebenstein, 2008; Kegge et al., 2013). Moreover, plant defences are further modulated by the simultaneous presence of multiple herbivores and pathogens on the same plant (Moayeri et al., 2007; Dicie et al., 2009), as well as previous infestations (Stout et al., 1998; Jung et al., 2009; Ponzio et al., 2013).

Exogenous application of key phytohormones in defence signalling pathways can be used to elicit plant defence responses similar to those induced by arthropod herbivores or pathogens (Dicie et al., 1999; Gols et al., 1999; Koornneef et al., 2008). Treatment of plants with JA, or its volatile derivative methyl jasmonate (MeJA), has been shown to confer broad resistance against plant attackers such as nematodes (Cooper et al., 2005), biting-chewing insects (Omer et al., 2000; Tierranegra-Garcia et al., 2011), and necrotrophic pathogens (Brader et al., 2001; Yamada et al., 2012). Even plants grown from seeds previously exposed to JA, have been found to be more resistant to herbivory (Worrall et al., 2012). Observed JA-mediated resistance is attributed to enhanced induction of direct resistance mechanisms, such as accumulation of plant toxins or proteinase inhibitors, or indirect resistance mechanisms, that promote the effectiveness of natural enemies of plant attackers. Generally, application of JA induces volatile blends that are similar to those induced by herbivory (Dicie et al., 1999; Gols et al., 1999; Kessler and Baldwin, 2001). These volatile blends consist of compounds that can be exploited by natural enemies as cues to locate their herbivorous prey or host. Several studies have investigated the effect of phytohormonal induction on indirect resistance (e.g. Dicie and Vet, 1999; Gols et al., 1999; Ozawa et al., 2000; Bruinsma et al., 2008; Bruinsma et al., 2009b). Phytohormone application allows for manipulation of defined steps in signal-transduction pathways and to induce plants in a dose-controlled manner without removal of plant tissue.

In the present study, we have explored how a low JA-dose affects Lima bean indirect defence against the generalist herbivorous mite Tetrahygus urticae. JA is a key regulator of the induction of volatiles emitted in response to T. urticae infestation such as (E)-β-ocimene (Dicie et al., 1999; Ament et al., 2004). The monoterpane (E)-β-ocimene is an HIPV released in response to herbivory by a range of plant species including cucumber, apple, Lima bean, cotton, corn, and tobacco (Paré and Tumlinson, 1999). Moreover, (E)-β-ocimene is one of the five principle compounds that mediate the attraction of the specialist predator Phytoseiulus persimilis to T. urticae-infested plants (Dicie et al., 1990; De Boer and Dicie, 2004).

Gols et al. (2003) found that treatment of Lima bean plants with a low dose of JA, which in itself did not result in attraction of the predatory mite P. persimilis, resulted in an enhanced attraction of P. persimilis in response to herbivory by a low density of spider mites. Enhanced predator attraction was still found when a time lapse of 7 days was introduced between the treatment with JA and the infestation of spider mites. Here, we investigated the underlying mechanism. We hypothesized that exogenous application of a low dose of JA to Lima bean would induce JA-responsive gene transcription and subsequent terpene emissions with a priming or additive effect when followed by minor herbivory. We have focused on the transcription of the Phaseolus lunatus ocimene synthase (PLOS) gene. PLOS codes for the enzyme ocimene synthase that mediates the rate-limiting step in the biosynthesis of (E)-β-ocimene (Ament et al., 2004; Arimura et al., 2004).

Materials and methods

Plants and mites

Lima bean plants (Phaseolus lunatus L., cv Wonderbush) were sown and grown in a greenhouse compartment at 23 ± 2 °C with 60 ± 10% R.H., and a photoperiod of 16L:8D. Plants having two fully expanded primary leaves were used for experiments at 12–15 d after sowing. Two-spotted spider mites, Tetrahygus urticae Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae), were reared on Lima bean plants in a different greenhouse compartment under the same conditions as the Lima bean plants. Only adult female mites were used for experiments.

Treatments

Primary leaves of Lima bean plants were sprayed with 1 ml per leaf of 0.1 mM JA solution (Sigma-Aldrich) in water or with 1 ml of
water as a control. The plants were left to dry for 30–60 min. After phytohormone or control treatment, plants were transferred to a climate chamber and incubated separately by treatment in cages (metal frame 90 × 90 × 60 cm, walls of polyethylene sheet) at 23 ± 2 °C, 60 ± 10% RH and 16:8 LD. Each cage contained 16 plants per treatment for gene transcription and phytohormone analysis or four plants per treatment for volatile trapping experiments. The building’s vacuum system was connected to the top of each cage with a suction of approximately 7 l min⁻¹ to avoid interactions through volatiles between plants of different treatments.

The four treatments were: (i) water, (ii) water and mites, (iii) JA, and (iv) JA and mites. For simultaneous infestations, spider mites were applied after plants sprayed with JA solutions were dry. Four adult female mites were evenly distributed over the two primary leaves of plants from the respective treatments using a fine paint brush. Mites were randomly selected from the spider-mite culture. After 2 d of incubation, the mites and their products (webbing, eggs) were removed using a fine paint brush.

In subsequent experiments with sequential infestation, mites were inoculated 7 d after JA treatment and transferred to cages as described above. 2 days before mite application, lanolin paste was applied around the petioles of both primary leaves of each plant to confine the mites to the leaves. After a 7 day incubation period, leaf material from plants of treatments (i) water and (iii) JA was collected. The two other treatments, (ii) water and mites and (iv) JA and mites, received the mite treatment (four adult females per plant) and were incubated for another 2 d, after which leaf material was collected.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Leaf material was collected by excising four leaf discs at 12.00–13.00 h from a primary leaf using a cork borer (diameter 2 cm), and the leaf discs obtained from three plants were pooled to give one biological replicate. Upon collection, samples were immediately shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until processing. The leaf material was homogenized without thawing using a mortar and pestle. Total RNA was extracted and purified using the Qiagen RNeasy Plant Mini kit with integrated DNAse treatment, following the manufacturer’s instructions. Absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by running the Qiagen RNeasy Plant Mini kit with integrated DNAse treatment, following the manufacturer’s instructions. Absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by running the manufacturer’s instructions. Absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by running the manufacturer’s instructions.

Phytohormone quantification
Quantification of JA and SA levels in samples used for gene transcription analysis followed the protocol of Schulze et al. (2006). Samples were analysed on a Finnigan ITQ Instrument (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) running in a CI-negative ion mode.

Dynamic headspace collection of plant volatiles
Collection of plant volatiles was carried out in 204-glass jars sealed with a viton-lined glass lid with an inlet and outlet. Compressed air was filtered by passing through charcoal before entering the glass jar containing the plant. Volatiles were collected by sucking air out of the glass jar at a constant rate of 200 ml min⁻¹ through a stainless steel tube filled with 200 mg Tenax TA (Markes, Llantrisant, UK) for 2 h. Before sampling, empty glass jars were purged with compressed air for 1 h. Pots in which the plants had grown were removed, roots and soil were carefully wrapped in aluminium foil, and then the plant was placed in a glass jar. The glass jars containing the plants were flushed for an additional 30 min before connecting stainless steel tubes filled with Tenax TA. Plant volatiles were collected from seven replicates of each of the treatments: (i) water, (ii) water and mites, (iii) JA, and (iv) JA and mites. Fresh weight of above-ground plant tissue was determined immediately after volatile collection using an analytical balance (NewClassic ML, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).

Analysis of plant volatiles
Thermo Trace GC Ultra coupled with Thermo Trace DSQ quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) was used for separation and detection of plant volatiles. Before release of the volatiles, each sample was spiked with 10 ng μl⁻¹ of 1-bromodecane as internal standard (I.S.) and dry-purged under a stream of nitrogen (50 ml min⁻¹) for 10 min at ambient temperature to remove moisture and the organic solvent methanol used to prepare the I.S. The collected volatiles and I.S. were released from the Tenax TA using the Ultra 50:50 thermodesorption unit (Markes) at 250 °C for 10 min under helium flow of 20 ml min⁻¹, while collecting the volatiles in a thermally cooled universal solvent trap at 10 °C using Unity (Markes). Once the desorption process was completed, volatile compounds were released from the cold trap by ballistic heating at 40 °C s⁻¹ to 280 °C. The temperature was kept at 280 °C for 10 min, while the volatiles were transferred to a ZB-5MSi analytical column [30 m × 0.25 mm I.D. × 0.1 μm F.T. (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA)], in a splitless mode for further separation. The GC oven temperature was initially held at 40 °C for 2 min and was raised at 10 °C min⁻¹ to a final temperature of 280 °C, where it was kept for 4 min under a helium flow of 1 ml min⁻¹ in a constant flow mode. The DSQ mass spectrometer (MS) was operated in a scan mode with a mass range of 35–350 amu at 5.38 scans s⁻¹ and spectra were recorded in electron impact ionisation (EI) mode at 70 eV. MS transfer line and ion source were set at 275 and 250 °C, respectively.
Compound identification was based on retention time of authentic standards and comparison of mass spectra with those in the NIST 2005 and Wageningen Mass Spectral Database of Natural Products MS libraries. Experimentally calculated linear retention indices (LRI) were also used as additional measure to confirm the identity of compounds.

Standards of (E)-2-hexenal, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol acetate, (E)-β-ocimene, linalool, methyl salicylate (MeSA), indole, caryophyllene as well as the internal standard (I.S.) 1-bromodecane, a series of alkane mixtures (C8–C20) and the solvent methanol (GC grade) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). Additional standards (E)-4,8-dimethylnona-1,3,7-triene [(E)-DMNT] and (E,E)-4,8,12-trimethyltrideca-1,3,7,11-tetraene [(E,E)-TMTT] were synthesized at the Max Planck Institute of Chemical Ecology (Jena, Germany) following the procedure by Boland and Gäbler (1989). For quantification, calibration lines were constructed for each compound using seven data points at different concentrations (two replicates of each data point) and was carried out using a single (target) ion, in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode.

**Statistical analysis**

Univariate data, i.e. gene transcription and plant volatile data, were log-transformed to meet the test assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances. Phytohormone data were analysed without transformation. Analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc tests for pair-wise comparisons between treatments in the statistical software SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). If assumptions on normality and equal variance were violated, Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Mann-Whitney U tests with a Bonferroni correction as post-hoc tests were used. Assumption of synergy was tested by subtraction of baseline levels of both single treatments and subsequent summation. If the resulting value was outside the 95% confidence interval of the mean from a combination treatment, the interaction between the single treatments was considered significantly different.

Effects of treatments, time of trapping, and the interaction on (E)-β-ocimene emission were analysed by general linear model (GLM) with LSD post-hoc tests. Evaluation of differences between treatments of morning trapping and afternoon trapping were done by a one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc tests for pair-wise comparisons.

The multivariate data analysis of plant volatiles corrected by fresh weight using projection to latent structures-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was performed to test for differences in volatile profiles among different treatments. The analysis was carried out using the software SIMCA P+ version 12 (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). Data were log-transformed and univariate-scaled prior to PLS-DA analysis.

**Results**

**Transcriptional changes in PIOS levels in response to JA and spider-mite treatment**

Transcript levels of *PlOS* in response to the treatments, i.e. (i) water (control), (ii) 0.1 mM JA, (iii) four *T. urticae*, and the combined treatment (iv) 0.1 mM JA with simultaneous inoculation of four *T. urticae* showed significant differences (Fig. 1A).

Plants treated with 0.1 mM JA or four *T. urticae* alone showed higher (*P*<0.05 for both comparisons) *PlOS* transcript levels after 48 h compared with control plants, but did not differ from each other. Plants treated with the combination of 0.1 mM JA and four simultaneously inoculated *T. urticae* also showed higher (*P*<0.01) *PlOS* levels after 48 h compared with control and the single treatment with JA or mites. The combination treatment resulted in a *PlOS* transcript level that is twice the level that would be obtained if the effects of JA and four *T. urticae* were additive, revealing a synergistic effect of the two treatments on *PlOS* transcript levels.

Significant differences between treatments were also found in the second experiment in which inoculation of *T. urticae* was done 7 days after the application of 0.1 mM JA or water (*P*<0.05; Fig. 1B). *PlOS* transcript levels in plants treated with 0.1 mM JA were not significantly different from control plants after 7 days of incubation. When four *T. urticae* were inoculated on water-treated plants at this time point
and incubated for another 2 days, the *PlOS* transcript level was significantly higher (*P*<0.05) compared with 0.1 mM JA treatment alone. After 7 days of incubation, plants treated with the combination of 0.1 mM JA and four *T. urticae* for 2 days showed higher *PlOS* levels compared with control, 0.1 mM JA, and four *T. urticae* treatment alone (*P*<0.05 for all comparisons). Compared with 0.1 mM JA or four *T. urticae* alone, the combination had a higher *PlOS* level than would be obtained from additive effects of four *T. urticae* and 0.1 mM JA, indicating a synergistic effect of the two treatments on *PlOS* transcript levels.

This experiment has been repeated two and three more times respectively and the results were consistent with those presented in Fig. 1. See Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2 at JXB online for the results.

**Phytohormone levels**

We investigated the effects of single treatments (i) water (control), (ii) 0.1 mM JA, (iii) four *T. urticae*, and (iv) the combined treatment of 0.1 mM JA with simultaneous inoculation of four *T. urticae* on JA levels (Fig. 2). A significant treatment effect was found (*P*=0.01; Fig 2A). Application of 0.1 mM JA resulted in higher JA levels at 48h compared with control plants. Four *T. urticae*, however, did not increase JA levels in the plants compared with the control treatment. Plants treated with the combination of 0.1 mM JA and simultaneously four *T. urticae* also showed higher JA levels compared with control, but not different from 0.1 mM JA treatment alone.

Significant differences in JA levels were also found among treatments when mites had been inoculated 7 days after JA or water application (*P*<0.01; Fig 2B). After 7 days of incubation with 0.1mM JA there is still an increase (*P*<0.001) in JA level compared with control. The combination of 0.1 mM JA application and inoculation of *T. urticae* 7 days later that had been feeding for 2 days resulted in JA levels after 9 days that were similar to that of the control treatment. The introduction of four *T. urticae* alone did not affect JA levels.

No treatment effect was found for SA levels between control and other treatments for simultaneous (*P*=0.81; Supplementary Fig. 3A at JXB online) or sequential mite application (*P*=0.33; Supplementary Fig. 3B at JXB online).

**Volatile emission**

Emission rates of the monoterpen (E)-β-ocimene were compared among treatments and time of trapping of the simultaneous *T. urticae* application experiment. There was a treatment effect (*P*<0.05), however, although emission rates of plants treated with 0.1 mM JA, mites, or both, were higher than control treatment, the post-hoc test did not yield statistical differences among treatments (*P*>0.05; Fig. 3A). However, the time of trapping (morning, i.e. ca. 11.00–13.00 h or afternoon, i.e. ca. 14.00–16.00 h) may also have an effect. Volatile trappings executed during mornings showed no overall effect of treatments (*P*=0.20; Fig. 3B). In afternoon trappings, however, a treatment effect was found (*P*=0.02; Fig. 3C), and plants treated with 0.1 mM JA and four *T. urticae* showed increased (E)-β-ocimene emission compared with other treatments (*P*<0.05).

Emission of a total of the ten major volatile compounds was also compared among the treatments (Fig. 4). These ten compounds were (E)-2-hexenal, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol acetate, (E)-β-ocimene, linalool, methyl salicylate, indole, (E)-caryophyllene, (E)-3-hexen-1-ol acetate, (E)-DMNT, and (E,E)-TMTT. They constitute well-known herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPV) observed in *T. urticae*-infested Lima bean plants (Dicke et al., 1990; Dicke et al., 1999). PLS-DA including all four treatments resulted in a model with one significant component, whereby volatile blends emitted by control (water-treated) plants clearly differed from those emitted by plants exposed to the other three treatments. The volatile emission profiles of plants exposed to the combined 0.1 mM JA plus four *T. urticae* treatment overlapped to a large extent with those of plants exposed to 0.1 mM JA alone. Volatile blends
emitted by plants exposed to four *T. urticae* exhibited similarities with those from control plants, but also with those from 0.1 mM JA-treated plants. Treatment of plants with JA, mites, or a JA-mite combination increased the emission of all ten volatiles (Fig. 4B). Compared with the control treatment, treatment of plants with JA (J and JTu, Fig. 4B) resulted in higher emissions of indole, the green leaf volatiles (*Z*-3-hexen-1-ol acetate and *Z*-3-hexen-1-ol, and to a lesser extent the terpenoids (*E*)-DMNT, (*E*)-β-ocimene, as well as β-caryophyllene. The emission rates of the latter three compounds were intermediate in plants exposed to mites alone.

A pairwise comparison of volatile profiles from treatments including mites, i.e. water plus four *T. urticae* (WTu) and combined 0.1 mM JA treatment plus four *T. urticae* (JTu) resulted in a significant PLS-DA model with one significant component (Fig. 5). Pre-treatment with JA before *T. urticae* infestation resulted in a plant volatile profile that was separate from the profile of plants without the JA treatment.

**Discussion**

In their natural environment plants are frequently exposed to multiple herbivory, whereby herbivores may arrive simultaneously or separated in time. Both types of infestations may influence the plant phenotype and therefore affect tri-trophic interactions with natural enemies involved in plant indirect defence. Here, we used the phytohormone JA followed by herbivory by a low number of herbivores to study the effects of this phytohormone on transcript levels of (*E*)-β-ocimene synthase, emission of the corresponding volatile compound, and other volatiles commonly emitted from plants in response to simultaneous and sequential herbivory. The volatile organic compound (*E*)-β-ocimene plays an important role in plant indirect defence in many plant species, including Lima bean, by attracting natural enemies of herbivorous arthropods (Dicke et al., 1990; Arimura et al., 2000; Arimura et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2009a; Muroi et al., 2011).

We found that Lima bean plants treated with a low dose of JA exhibited increased *PlOS* transcript levels in a synergetic manner when followed by minor herbivory, irrespective of the herbivory occurring simultaneously or sequentially. Accordingly, Gols et al. (2003) found that plants treated with a low dose of JA followed by simultaneous or sequential minor herbivory by *T. urticae* were highly attractive to the predatory mite *P. persimilis*: the predators preferred volatiles emitted from plants treated with 0.1 mM JA and infested with four *T. urticae* over volatiles from plants infested with only four *T. urticae*. Quantification of (*E*)-β-ocimene emission in the headspace of Lima bean plants shows that the emission rate of the volatile itself was also increased in combination treatments. The increase was only significant during the afternoon. The latter connects to findings of Arimura et al. (2008) that show that (*E*)-β-ocimene emission rates increase from the onset of light and peak during the afternoon after herbivory or leaf damage. Generally, (*E*)-β-ocimene seems to play an important role in the attraction of *P. persimilis*.
Fig. 4. Multivariate data analysis by PLS-DA and corresponding loading plot of targeted volatiles of *P. lunatus* plants exposed to (i) water (control, W), (ii) 0.1 mM JA (J), (iii) water and four *T. urticae* spider mites (WTu), or combined treatment (iv) 0.1 mM JA with immediate application of four *T. urticae* (JTu). (A) PLS-DA score plot showing the ordination of the samples according to the first two PLS components based on the quantitative values of volatiles between different treatments. Explained variance by first and second PLS components is given in brackets. Loading plot (B) shows the contribution of each volatile to the discrimination between treatments using the first two PLS components. Numbers represent: 1, (E)-2-hexenal; 2, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol; 3, (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol acetate; 4, (E)-β-ocimene; 5, linalool; 6 (E)-, 4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene ([E]-DMNT); 7, methyl salicylate (MeSA); 8, indole; 9, β-caryophyllene; 10, (E,E)-4,8,12-trimethyltrideca-1,3,7,11-tetraene ([E,E]-TMTT). Squares represent the four treatments (labelled W, WTu, J, and JTu).

in plant interactions with multiple herbivores. For instance, De Boer et al. (2008) found that (E)-β-ocimene emission and predator attraction were increased in a synergistic manner in response to simultaneous infestation by prey and non-prey herbivores on a Lima bean plant. Moreover, Zhang et al. (2009b) showed that feeding by a non-prey herbivore, i.e. whiteflies, negatively affected (E)-β-ocimene emission and corresponding transcript levels of *PIOS*, which resulted in decreased attraction of *P. persimilis* to Lima bean plants simultaneously infested with spider-mites and whiteflies. The main underlying mechanism seems to be phytohormone induction and crosstalk among them. Whiteflies induce SA, which antagonizes the JA pathway, whereas caterpillars and spider mites mainly induce the JA pathway (Blechert et al., 1995; Arimura et al., 2002; Schmelz et al., 2003). In our study we found a synergistic effect of a low dose 0.1 mM JA and a low density infestation by four *T. urticae* on *PIOS* transcript levels after 48 h of spider-mite infestation. In the case of a 7-day delay between JA treatment and spider-mite inoculation, JA did not induce *PIOS* transcription, but in combination with spider mite feeding resulted in an enhanced transcription compared with spider-mite induction alone. Thus, in this case JA had primed the transcription of this gene. Yet JA levels were similar for JA-treated plants and plants induced with both JA and *T. urticae*. Even when JA titres and *PIOS* transcript levels returned to control levels, subsequent mite infestation still increased *PIOS* transcript levels to higher values than recorded after mite infestation alone. Introduction of a time lag between first induction of plant defence by JA and a second induction by herbivory did not impair plant ability for enhanced defence induction. In fact, this corresponds with behavioural results reported by Gols et al. (2003) for the predatory mite *P. persimilis*, which was more strongly attracted to sequentially induced plants than to plants only induced by spider mites. It has been previously suggested that plants are able to form some sort of memory, sometimes called a “primed state”, which enables them to accelerate and/or enhance defense responses to a second challenge (Frost et al., 2008; Conrath, 2009). Maintenance of plant defense is thought to entail costs and is ineffective in the absence of herbivores. Consequently, plants have developed defense mechanisms that are inducible by herbivory (Heil and Baldwin, 2002). In the case of priming, costly defence metabolites are not produced immediately upon a minor challenge, thereby considerably reducing the cost of this mechanism (Van Hulten et al., 2006; Walters et al., 2008; Perazzolli et al., 2011). In our experiments, previous induction of *PIOS* by JA seemed to sensitize the gene in such a way that a second challenge using a small number of herbivores at a later time point resulted in increased transcript levels. The ability of phytohormones to generate a primed state in terms of enhanced defence gene transcription has previously been reported for e.g. SA and the SA-analogue benzothiadiazole (BTH) in *Petroselinum crispum* L. and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Thulke and Conrath, 1998; Kohler et al., 2002).
Natural enemies of herbivores respond to mixtures of HIPV rather than to a single volatile. Blends can carry information on e.g. herbivore identity or herbivore developmental stage (Takabayashi et al., 1995; De Moraes et al., 1998; Stout et al., 1998; De Vos et al., 2005; Mumm and Dicke, 2010). JA application is known to induce a volatile blend that is similar to the blend induced by *T. urticae* mites (Dicke et al., 1999; Gols et al., 1999). However, defence induction by JA seems to be more generic and natural enemies often prefer HIPVs induced by actual hosts or prey over JA-induced plants (Van Poecke and Dicke, 2002; De Boer and Dicke, 2004; Ozawa et al., 2004; Bruinsma et al., 2008; Bruinsma et al., 2009b). Our targeted chemical analysis comparing the volatile profiles of 10 well-known major HIPVs emitted by Lima bean plants among treatments showed indeed a large overlap for JA- and mite-treated plants and a clear separation from the blend emitted by control plants. However, Gols et al. (2003) found that volatiles emitted by Lima bean plants in response to a low dose of 0.1 mM JA do not attract the predator *P. persimilis*, whereas a low infestation density of four *T. urticae*, and particularly the combination of treatments, does. Qualitative and quantitative differences in volatile blends must thus affect the behaviour of the predatory mite. Volatile emission profiles of plants with herbivores with and without simultaneous JA treatment do not only show a great overlap, but also demonstrated that other volatiles, besides *(E)-β*-ocimene, are likely to determine attractiveness of the volatile blend attractive to *P. persimilis*. Although *(E)-β*-ocimene is known to be an important host location cues in Lima bean, De Boer et al. (2004) found that *(E)-β*-ocimene is also emitted in response to caterpillar feeding. Predators must therefore gain additional information from other HIPVs, such as MeSA and *(E,E)-TMTT*, to distinguish prey-infested plants from non-prey infested plants.

**Conclusion**

Application of a low dose of the phytohormone JA results in augmented transcript levels of a terpene biosynthetic gene and emission of a volatile metabolite crucial in plant indirect defence, when followed by a minor infestation of herbivores. This synergistic effect is observed irrespective of whether phytohormone and infestation occur simultaneously or sequentially, and might lead to a memory effect of plant indirect defence. Phytohormone application has thus the potential to induce enhanced biological pest control against spider mites. Moreover, this study provides information that indirect defence is stable in case of simultaneous and sequential attack by herbivores that induce similar signal transduction pathways in plants and may even be enhanced in the presence of multiple herbivores. However, the effect on other tritrophic interactions, other plants species, and the persistence of this effect require further investigation.
Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. Relative gene transcript levels of PLOS of 3 independent experiments spaced in time, quantified in P. lunatus plants treated with (i) water (control), (ii) 0.1 mM JA, (iii) four T. urticae (water+4Tu), or (iv) 0.1 mM JA with four T. urticae mites (0.1 mM JA + 4Tu). Simultaneous application of four T. urticae on plants for 48 h. Values are the mean (± SE) of 10 to 12 biological replicates, different letters above bars indicate significant differences in transcript levels between treatments (Fisher’s LSD tests, α = 0.05). PLOS transcript levels were normalized to the normalization factor obtained from geometrically averaging the Ct values of the two reference genes PlACT1 and PlNMP1 for each sample. Baseline represents transcript level in control plants.

Figure S2. Relative gene transcript levels of PLOS of two experiments spaced in time, quantified in P. lunatus plants treated with (i) water (control), (ii) 0.1 mM JA, (iii) four T. urticae (water + 4Tu), or (iv) 0.1 mM JA with four T. urticae mites (0.1 mM JA + 4Tu). Sequential application of four T. urticae placed on plants for 48 h after prior application with water or 0.1 mM JA 7 days before. Values are the mean (± SE) of six to eight biological replicates, different letters above bars indicate significant differences in transcript levels between treatments (Fisher’s LSD tests, α = 0.05). PLOS transcript levels were normalized to the normalization factor obtained from geometrically averaging the Ct values of the two reference genes PlACT1 and PlNMP1 for each sample. Baseline represents transcript level in control plants.

Figure S3. SA levels in ng SA per g FW in P. lunatus plants treated with (i) water (control), (ii) 0.1 mM JA, (iii) four T. urticae (water + 4Tu), or (iv) 0.1 mM JA with four T. urticae mites (0.1 mM JA + 4Tu). (A) Inoculation of four adult female T. urticae on plants was done immediately following JA-treatment and mites had since been feeding for 48 h, and (B) inoculation of four adult female T. urticae for 48 h was done 7 days after inoculation with water or 0.1 mM JA started and mites had since been feeding for 48 h. Values are the mean (± SE) of four biological replicates, and were analysed by ANOVA (A) or Kruskal-Wallis test (B) respectively (α = 0.05).
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