Identification, evolution, and expression partitioning of miRNAs in allopolyploid Brassica napus
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Abstract

The recently published genome of Brassica napus offers for the first time the opportunity to gain insights into the genomic organization and the evolution of miRNAs in oilseed rape. In this study, 12 small RNA libraries from two B. napus cultivars (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four double-haploid lines were sequenced, employing the newly sequenced B. napus genome, together with genomes of its progenitors Brassica rapa and Brassica oleracea. A total of 645 miRNAs including 280 conserved and 365 novel miRNAs were identified. Comparative analysis revealed a high level of genomic conservation of MIRNA (75.9%) between the subgenomes of B. napus and its two progenitors' genomes, and MIRNA lost/gain events (133) occurred in B. napus after its speciation. Furthermore, significant partitioning of miRNA expressions between the two subgenomes in B. napus was detected. The data of degradome sequencing, miRNA-mediated cleavage, and expression analyses support specific interactions between miRNAs and their targets in the modulation of diverse physiological processes in roots and leaves, as well as in biosynthesis of, for example, glucosinolates and lipids in oilseed rape. These data provide a first genome-wide view on the origin, evolution, and genomic organization of B. napus MIRNAS.
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Introduction

Small RNAs include two main types of small non-coding RNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). miRNAs are endogenous 20–24 nt RNAs that can regulate gene expression via complementary binding to specific mRNAs and achieve their functions via post-transcriptional gene silencing in animals and plants (Voinnet, 2009;
Supplementary Table S1

B. napus (Li–Beisson et al.) was included in miRNA (pre-miRNA). The lack of the genomic sequence for miRNA* genes in rapeseed is challenging. However, several studies, mainly based on EST/GSS sequences of B. napus or genomes of B. rapa and B. oleracea, have reported several hundred miRNAs and demonstrated their possible functions in regulating diverse physiological processes (Chalhoub et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013; Lukasik et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2014). Recently, the genomes of B. napus and its two progenitors (A, A, and C, C) have been sequenced (Wang et al., 2011; Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014), providing for the first time an opportunity to identify and characterize B. napus miRNAs at the whole-genome level.

This work presents the results of identification and characterization of B. napus miRNAs by analysis of small RNA populations from two B. napus cultivars (one European winter cultivar, Tapidor and one Chinese semi-winter cultivar, Ningyou7) and four double-haploid (DH) lines derived from a cross between Tapidor and Ningyou7. These data provide a first genome-wide view on the origin, evolution, and genomic organization of B. napus miRNAs. Potential roles of miRNAs and their targets in the modulation of diverse physiological processes, e.g. for biosynthesis of glucosinolates and lipids, in oilseed rape are discussed.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Two B. napus cultivars (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines (TN151, TN156, TN177, and TN186) (Qu et al., 2006) were used for small RNA population investigation. Tapidor and Ningyou7 have significant differences in seed erucic/glucosinolate content and flowering time. Tapidor was used to generate degradome data. The cultivar Express 617 (Shen et al., 2014) was included in miRNA expression analyses. The plants were grown in a growth chamber at 24 °C and a 14h daytime photoperiod. Leaves and roots were harvested at the six-leaf stage for RNA collection. Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Each RNA sample was generated from leaves or roots of three different plants. The tissue samples used in RNA extraction and small RNA sequencing were collected from two replicated experiments.

Small RNA and degradome sequencing

Small RNA libraries were constructed using the standard Illumina protocol and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2000 (BIOMARKER, China). Twelve libraries (two cultivars and four DH lines from two replicated experiments) were sequenced (Supplementary Table S1, available at JXB online). Before miRNA prediction, the adaptors and low-quality reads were removed. The clean reads were further compared with the annotated non-coding RNA sequences, including plant snoRNA (version 1.2; http://bioinf.scri.sari.ac.uk/cgi-bin/plant_snonrna/home), rRNA (http://grnadb.ucsc.edu), rRNA (V11.0; http://rfam.xfam.org/) and rasiRNA (release 09-02-2014; http://www.girinst.org/server/RepBase/). Two degradome libraries from the leaf and root of the six-leaf stage of Tapidor were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2000 (BIOMARKER) resulting in a total of 47 million raw tags. All sequences have been submitted to the GenBank/EMBL data libraries (accession no. PRJNA272953).

Prediction of miRNA and targets

The clean reads were aligned with the genome of B. napus (Chalhoub et al., 2014; version 5.0) for identification of miRNAs. Mireap (http://sourceforge.net/projects/mireap/) was applied to predict secondary hairpin structures of MIIRNAS with following parameters: the hairpin structure with free energy lower than −18 kcal mol⁻¹; the space between miRNA and miRNA* is less than 300 nt; and with more than 16 matched nucleotides and fewer than four nucleotide bulges between miRNA and miRNA*. Only small RNAs with at least two reads in a library were used for miRNA prediction. By comparison with the miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org, release 21), a miRNA was considered as conserved if its mature sequence had two nucleotide mismatches to the known miRNA or as a novel miRNA when there are more than two nucleotide mismatches (Meyers et al., 2008). For the conserved miRNAs, the same miRNA/family names as in miRBase were assigned, but with new serial numbers (such as b, c, d) in some cases. For the novel miRNAs, the names bna_mir_1x1 to bna_mir_2x24 were given (Meyers et al., 2008).

The targets of miRNAs in B. napus were predicted via the online sever psRNATarget (Dai and Zhao, 2011). Using the function ‘User-submitted small RNAs/ user-submitted transcripts’, the query of transcripts was generated from the B. napus genome. Default parameters were used to filter candidates. The software PatMan was used to map the degradomic reads to the targets, and custom perl scripts were employed to identify candidate degradative targets. According to previous results (Jones-Rhoades and Bartel, 2004; Shen et al., 2014; Song et al., 2010a, b; Zhao et al., 2012), the ends of degradome reads were considered when they were within the 5 nt region of the cleavage site.

Lipid biosynthesis-related genes

As reference, the Arabidopsis lipid-related gene database was downloaded at http://aralip.plantbiology.msu.edu/ (Li-Beisson et al., 2013). The annotation of lipid-related genes in B. napus was carried out using the method of Wang et al. (2014) with minor modifications, i.e. BLASTp under the E-value <1e⁻5 and sequence identify >50% for the search of orthologous genes.

Genomic synteny of MIIRNAS

The synteny or co-linearity of MIIRNAS among the three Brassica species (B. napus, B. rapa, and B. oleracea) was detected by MCScanX (Wang et al., 2012). The genomes of B. oleracea (http://ocri-genomics.org/bolbase/, version 1.0), B. rapa (Phytozone 10.2)
and *B. napus* ([http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicaneus/data/](http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicaneus/data/), version 5.0), and their annotated gene sets were used for the genomic synteny analysis. BLASTp was employed to determine the synteny by pairwise comparison with the parameters of E-value <1e–5 and max_target_seqs < 6. MIRNA orthologues in *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, and *B. oleracea* were analysed using a microsynergy-based method ([Ma et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2014]). For each MIRNA, its 10 flanking protein-coding loci were retrieved from the *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* genomes, respectively. Homology tests of MIRNA and flanking genes were performed by BLASTn and the top five hits of each MIRNA were chosen for flanking loci tests. A syntenic MIRNA pair among *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, and *B. oleracea* was defined with at least one identical upstream or downstream flanking protein-coding gene. Syntenic MIRNA pairs were divided into four sets as described by Shen et al. (2014). The first three sets were taken as syntenic MIRNA pairs for construction of the Circos map ([Krzewinski et al., 2009](#)).

### Transcript analysis of miRNAs and target genes

Low-molecular-weight RNA was enriched by 5% polyethylene glycol (*M*, 8000) and 0.5 M NaCl precipitation ([Lu et al., 2007](#)). Mature miRNA expression analysis was performed by stem–loop reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) ([Shen et al., 2014](#)). For cDNA synthesis, 200 ng of low-molecular-weight RNA from each sample was reverse transcribed using a RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) with U6 snRNA as the internal control. For cDNA synthesis of predicted targets, 2 µg of total RNA from each sample was used. qPCR reactions were performed in a CFX96™ Real-Time System/C1000 Touch™ Thermal Cycler (BioRad) with MAXIMA® SYBR Green Master Mix (Fermentas) and actin as the reference gene. Invariant expression of the actin gene in seedling roots and leaves was assured beforehand. The relative expression fold change of miRNAs and related genes was calculated using the comparative C_{T} method. All reactions were performed in triplicate with three independent experiments. The primer pairs were listed in Supplementary Table S2, available at *JXB* online.

#### Expression levels of miRNAs in parental and DH lines

The expression levels of miRNAs were measured by the small RNA abundance. The amount of small RNA reads was transformed into reads per million (RPM) with the formula RPM=reads count/clean reads×10^{6}. PatMan was employed to map the small RNA reads to mature miRNA sequences. Small RNA reads were counted when their position was within the length of the mature miRNA sequence. The miRNA read that was mapped to both of the A and C subgenomes was counted twice. At least a twofold change was taken to define the differentially expressed miRNAs between offspring and the mean values of parental lines in regard to the read number with corresponding mature pre-miRNAs.

### Sequences alignment and visualization

MAFFT ([http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/](http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/), version 7) was employed for multiple sequences alignment. The WebLogo ([http://weblogo.threeulouse.com/create.cgi](http://weblogo.threeulouse.com/create.cgi)) was used to create the logo for the alignment results and CLC Sequence Viewer 6 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark) was used for the display of the conservation among whole sequences. The distribution of miRNAs and their partners was plotted in a 1 Mb window size by Circos ([Krzewinski et al., 2009](#)). The UEA sRNA workbench ([Stocks et al., 2012](#)) was employed to visualize the hairpin structure of miRNAs with the corresponding mature sequence and parameters generated by Mireap.

### Annotation of transposable elements

As no annotation data for transposable elements in the newly released *B. napus* genome were available, transposable elements were identified in the genome using a combination of de novo and homology-based approaches as follows. First, the RepeatModeler pipeline was used to search for repeats in the genome and RepeatMask was then used to identify repeats in Repbase ([Tempel, 2012](#)). Long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons were found using LTR_FINDER with default parameters ([Xu and Wang, 2007](#)).

#### Search for miRNAs previously identified in the *B. napus* genome

BlastN was employed to map the miRNA precursor sequence onto the *B. napus* genome with an E-value of <1e–5 and a matched length longer than 90% of the query sequence.

### RNA ligase-mediated (RLM)-5′ RACE analysis

To validate the predicted targets of miRNAs, 5′ RACE was carried out with 2 µg of total RNA using a GeneRacer™ Kit (Invitrogen) as described by Shen et al. (2014). The reverse transcription of miRNAs was performed using the random primers. For amplification of cDNA ends, a pair of gene-specific primers was designed ([Supplementary Table S2](#)). A touchdown PCR was performed. One microtitre of this initial touchdown PCR was used as template for the following nested PCR. The reaction products were separated on a 1.7% agarose gel. The bands with the expected size were excised, purified, and cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega) for sequencing.

### Results

#### Identification of miRNAs

For a genome-wide analysis of *B. napus* miRNAs, 136 263 599 raw reads of 18–44 nt were generated from 12 small RNA libraries (two replicates) of young leaves of two parental lines (*Tapidor* and *Ningyou7*) and their four DH lines ([Supplementary Table S1](#)). From these, 105 219 098 clean reads were obtained. The majority of the clean reads were 21–24 nt small RNAs, consistent with a previous report ([Shen et al., 2014; Supplementary Fig. S1](#), available at *JXB* online). Of the clean reads, 64.9% could be mapped to the *B. napus* genome. After removing the non-coding RNAs, the remaining reads, referred to as endogenous small RNAs, were used for miRNA prediction.

We identified 645 miRNAs, including 280 conserved miRNAs from 34 families and 365 novel miRNAs from 225 families (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3, available at *JXB* online). Out of 645 miRNAs, 275 and 364 originated from the *A*_{n} and *C*_{n} subgenomes, respectively (six miRNAs

### Table 1. miRNAs and their targets in *B. napus* identified in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>miRNAs</th>
<th>miRNAs target to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td><em>A</em><em>{n}/<em>C</em></em>{n}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserved</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>143/136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>132/228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of glucosinolates and lipid biosynthesis-related genes that were predicted to be targeted by miRNAs.

<sup>b</sup> Six miRNAs located in unplaced scaffolds.
remained unassigned). Besides the known *B. napus* miRNAs deposited in the current international miRNA database miRBase (release 21) and reported in recent studies (Huang et al., 2013; Lukasik et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2014), 351 miRNAs including 47 conserved from 19 families and 304 novel miRNAs from 187 families were newly identified by this study. To confirm the expression of the predicted miRNAs, eight conserved and 14 novel miRNAs were chosen for stem–loop reverse transcription PCR analysis. The results confirmed the expected expression of all eight conserved and 13 of the 14 novel miRNAs, except for a non-specific amplification of miRX132 in *B. napus* seedlings (Supplementary Fig. S2, available at *JXB* online).

**Prediction and validation of miRNA targets**

The targets of the newly identified miRNAs were predicted (Supplementary Table S4, available at *JXB* online). Consistent with the results reported previously, the majority of potential targets of the conserved miRNAs were transcription factors and shared high homology with *Arabidopsis* orthologues. For example, both miR160 and miR167 target auxin response factor (ARF) transcription factors (Mallory et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006), miR156/miR157 and miR162 target squamosa promoter-binding-like protein (SPL) and Dicer-like (DCL) protein in *Arabidopsis* and *B. napus*. Of the 365 novel miRNAs, 363 (99.4%) had putative targets in the annotated gene sets of *B. napus*. To validate the miRNA–target interaction, degradome sequencing was performed with RNA samples from Tapidor roots and young leaves, confirming 849 targets predicted for 263 conserved and 291 novel miRNAs (31 and 168 families, respectively (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S4). Among the targets of 291 novel miRNAs, a number of genes were stress or environmental adaptation related. The *Arabidopsis* orthologue of the miRX50 target *TIL* is a heat stress-related gene (Chi et al., 2009). The orthologue of the miRX137 target *SBT* is associated with the density and distribution of stomates (Berger and Altmann, 2000). miRX159 and miRX163 target the genes *PRX* and *SDR* encoding dehydrogenase/reductase and peroxidase, respectively (Passardi et al., 2004; Kavanagh et al., 2008).

Ten miRNAs (nine novel miRNAs) were predicted to target genes involved in biosynthesis or breakdown of glucosinolates (GSLs) (Chalhoub et al., 2014) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S5, available at *JXB* online). The miRNA-mediated regulation was confirmed for six of the 10 miRNAs either by degradomic data or by RLM-5’RACE (Supplementary Table S4, Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S4, available at *JXB* online). Three of these miRNAs play a role in GSL metabolism (Supplementary Table S5). 1) miRX95 was predicted to target BnaC05g12520D, an orthologue of the GSL biosynthesis-related gene *CYP79F1* (AT1G16410) in *Arabidopsis* (Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014). The biosynthesis of GSL is mediated by *CYP79F1* (Reintanz et al., 2001). There were two single-nucleotide polymorphisms between Tapidor and Ningyou7 in the predicted miRX95 target region, which resulted in additional (G:U) mismatches in the miRX95 binding site (binding prediction score >3.0) in Tapidor, which has a potential impact on the miRX95-mediated regulation of BnaC05g12520D and consequently the GSL biosynthesis in Tapidor. miR6032a was predicted to target BnaA03g38670D. Its *Arabidopsis* orthologue *APKI* (AT2G14750) is involved in the biosynthesis of a major class of sulfated secondary GSL (Mugford et al., 2009). Lastly, miRX113 was predicted to target BnaA07g19610D, an orthologue of *Arabidopsis* *PEN3* (AT1G59870). *PEN3* is required for breakdown of GSLs (Wittstock and Burrow, 2010).

Based on a homologue search using the 738 annotated lipid biosynthesis-related genes in *Arabidopsis* (http://aralip.plant-biology.msu.edu), 2606 putative lipid biosynthesis-related genes were identified in *B. napus*. Of these, 122 genes were predicted targets of 158 miRNAs, comprising 55 conserved and 103 novel miRNAs (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S6, available at *JXB* online), from which 27 targets of 45 miRNAs were identified by the degradomic data (Supplementary Table S4). For example, one novel miRNA (miRX63) was predicted to target BnaA03g02950D, an orthologue of *Arabidopsis* *PAS2* or AT5G10480 that has acyl-CoA dehydratase activity and has been shown to be involved in the biosynthesis of very long chain fatty acids (see http://www.tair.org). An opposite change in the expression of miRX63 and BnaA03g02950D in leaves and roots of two of the three cultivars was obvious (Fig. 2), suggesting that miRX63-mediated regulation of the lipid biosynthesis-related gene BnaA03g02950D might play a role in the development of leaf and root. As GSL and lipid biosynthesis is regulated by complex mechanisms and by developmental stages (Grubb and Abel, 2006; Pollard et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2009), more detailed investigations are needed to clarify the role of these miRNA–target interactions in the biosynthesis of GSL and lipids in the future.

To identify miRNAs involved in regulating different physiological processes in roots and leaves, respectively, the small RNA populations from leaves were compared with those from roots of *B. napus* (Shen et al., 2014) and 59 miRNAs were identified including 35 novel ones, which gave a significant difference in the read numbers (>2-fold) between the roots and leaves (Supplementary Table S7, available at *JXB* online). Differential expression was confirmed for 10 selected miRNAs (four conserved and six novel miRNAs) by stem–loop qPCR (Supplementary Fig. S3, available at *JXB* online). Among these, several miRNAs (such as miR3159 and miR319) have a pronounced role in regulating plant leaf/root development (Khraiwesh et al., 2012).

The expression profiles of four of these miRNAs and their targets in roots and leaves were further investigated using RT-qPCR. As shown in Fig. 2, in all four cases (miR319, miR6032, miRX63, and miR148), a reciprocal change in the expression levels between the miRNA and its target was evident. Thus, these data suggested a direct miRNA–target expression modulation in regulation of developmental and/or physiological processes in the root and leaf.

**Evolution of miRNAs after the allopolyploidization event**

The availability of the genomes of *B. napus* and their two progenitors provides an opportunity to look at the expansion and
The synteny of \textit{MIRNA}s between \textit{B. napus} and its two progenitor genomes (\textit{A}_n\textit{C}_n\textit{A}_r\textit{C}_o) was first investigated by mapping the 851 \textit{MIRNA}s identified from the two progenitor genomes in a previous study (Shen \textit{et al.}, 2014) to the \textit{B. napus} genome. To ascertain genomic synteny of the predicted \textit{MIRNA}s between the genomes of \textit{B. napus} and its two progenitors, a microsynteny analysis was performed using the \textit{MIRNA}s and their flanking protein-coding sequences. As expected, the majority (646/851, 75.9\%) of the two progenitor \textit{MIRNA} orthologues existed in the corresponding subgenomes of \textit{B. napus} (Supplementary Table S8, available at \textit{JXB} online), although a small number (72) of these \textit{MIRNA}s showed a match to the opposite subgenome of \textit{B. napus} (Fig. 3). These results support the observation of a high conservation between the subgenomes of \textit{B. napus} and its two progenitor genomes (Chalhoub ...)
In addition, 115 MIRNA\(_s\)s, including 104 novel MIRNA\(_s\)s, failed to show any homologous sequence hit in the \(B.\) \(napus\) genome (Supplementary Table S9, available at JXB online). Of these, five conserved MIRNA\(_s\)s (e.g. members of the miR169 and miR172 families) were obviously lost due to sequence deletions or mutations as revealed by comparative genome analysis between \(B.\) \(napus\) and its two progenitors (Table 2; an example of miR169 member is shown in Fig. 4a).

In the next step, the 645 miRNAs of \(B.\) \(napus\) were compared with \(Arabidopsis\) miRNAs (miRBase, release 21). A significant expansion of several miRNA families, including miR156, miR160, and miR169 in \(B.\) \(napus\), was observed (Supplementary Table S10). Since such expanded miRNA families could also be found in the two progenitor genomes, it was concluded that these expansion events must have occurred before the AA-CC speciation hybridization (Supplementary Table S10).

However, 18 \(B.\) \(napus\) MIRNA\(_s\) (six novel and 12 conserved MIRNA\(_s\)s) were not present in the syntenic regions of the two progenitor genomes, suggesting that they might be newly generated after the allopolyploidization event in \(B.\) \(napus\) (Supplementary Table S11, available at JXB online).

![Fig. 2. Differential expression of miRNAs and their targets in roots and leaves of three oilseed rape cultivars. The sequences depict the miRNA-binding site within the target transcript in the middle of each panel. The arrows represent the miRNA cleavage sites on targets with degradome/RACE evidence. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)(This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/66/22/7241/2893284)
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**et al., 2014**. In addition, 115 MIRNA\(_s\)s, including 104 novel MIRNA\(_s\)s, failed to show any homologous sequence hit in the \(B.\) \(napus\) genome (Supplementary Table S9, available at JXB online). Of these, five conserved MIRNA\(_s\)s (e.g. members of the miR169 and miR172 families) were obviously lost due to sequence deletions or mutations as revealed by comparative genome analysis between \(B.\) \(napus\) and its two progenitors (Table 2; an example of miR169 member is shown in Fig. 4a).

In the next step, the 645 miRNAs of \(B.\) \(napus\) were compared with \(Arabidopsis\) miRNAs (miRBase, release 21). A significant expansion of several miRNA families, including miR156, miR160, and miR169 in \(B.\) \(napus\), was observed (Supplementary Table S10). Since such expanded miRNA families could also be found in the two progenitor genomes, it was concluded that these expansion events must have occurred before the AA-CC speciation hybridization (Supplementary Table S10).

However, 18 \(B.\) \(napus\) MIRNA\(_s\)s (six novel and 12 conserved MIRNA\(_s\)s) were not present in the syntenic regions of the two progenitor genomes, suggesting that they might be newly generated after the allopolyploidization event in \(B.\) \(napus\) (Supplementary Table S11, available at JXB online). The 12
Partitioning and heritability of miRNA expression

1. Identification, evolution, and expression partitioning of miRNAs

To investigate the partitioned expression of miRNAs between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes, the expression levels and patterns of miRNAs in the \( A_n \) or \( C_n \) subgenomes were compared based on small RNA reads. Although 275 and 364 \textit{MIRNAs} were identified from the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes, respectively, a slightly higher genomic density of \textit{MIRNAs} was observed in the \( A_n \) subgenome (1.03 and 0.73 \textit{MIRNAs} per Mb for the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes), which was similar to those observed in the two progenitor genomes (Shen \textit{et al.}, 2014). To measure miRNA expression (see Materials and methods), the number of small RNA reads of corresponding mature miRNAs were compared, and a significantly higher abundance of reads (per unique mature miRNA sequence per million reads) was observed in the \( A_n \) subgenome than in the \( C_n \) subgenome (\( P<0.01 \)) (Table 3, Fig. 5). To confirm this, small RNA populations were generated from Tapidor and Ningyou7 seedlings grown under independent environmental conditions and sequenced. A similar bias of miRNA expression was obvious between the two subgenomes (Table 3). The comparison of miRNA expression patterns of four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines with those of their parental lines also gave similar biased expression patterns of miRNAs (\( P<0.01 \) and \( P<0.02 \) in two environments, respectively; Table 3). When the individual miRNA families (for 32 with members > 3) were compared, only 13 of the 32 families were found, which were the main contributors to the different miRNA read abundance or miRNA expression levels between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes, while the remaining 19 miRNA families showed a similar expression pattern between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes. These data strongly suggested a partitioned expression of mRNA between the two subgenomes after the hybridization of speciation in \textit{B. napus}.

To investigate the heredity of miRNA expression, small RNA populations from seedlings of the same developmental stage (six leaves) of the two parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines were analysed. After normalization of small RNA reads, the expression levels of miRNAs in Tapidor and Ningyou7 were compared with those in the four DH lines. Using a 2-fold change of the average expression level of a miRNA in the four DH lines relative to their parental lines as the threshold value (Stupar \textit{et al.}, 2007), the additive genetic effect of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus} was estimated. Significantly, a higher number of miRNAs with a non-additive rather than with an additive genetic effect (2- to 3-fold) were observed in the four DH lines (Supplementary Table S12, available at JXB online), suggesting the potential dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus}.

Finally, the expression levels of other small RNAs in the \textit{B. napus} genome were investigated and a genomic bias was also observed for siRNA expression (Fig. 5). However, this was totally in contrast to the \( A_n \)-biased miRNA expression, i.e. a higher abundance of small RNA reads was observed

![Fig. 3. Synteny of miRNAs between \textit{B. napus} \( A_nA_oC_rC_o \) and its two progenitor genomes \( A_nA_oC_rC_o \). The 851 \textit{MIRNAs} from the \textit{B. rapa} and \textit{B. oleracea} genomes were mapped to the \textit{B. napus} genome. The lines refer to the best matches of the \textit{MIRNAs} from the two progenitors in the \textit{B. napus} genome. \( A_n \) and \( C_r \) represents the \( A \) and \( C \) subgenome of \textit{B. napus}, \( A_o \) indicates the genome of \textit{B. rapa}, and \( C_o \) stands for the genome of \textit{B. oleracea}. The black lines indicate the \textit{MIRNA} best match in \( A_o \) compared based on small RNA reads. Although 275 and 364 per million reads) was observed in the \( A_n \) subgenome. A similar bias dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs was obvious between the two subgenomes (Table 3). The comparison of miRNA expression patterns of four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines with those of their parental lines also gave similar biased expression patterns of miRNAs (\( P<0.01 \) and \( P<0.02 \) in two environments, respectively; Table 3). When the individual miRNA families (for 32 with members > 3) were compared, only 13 of the 32 families were found, which were the main contributors to the different miRNA read abundance or miRNA expression levels between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes, while the remaining 19 miRNA families showed a similar expression pattern between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes. These data strongly suggested a partitioned expression of mRNA between the two subgenomes after the hybridization of speciation in \textit{B. napus}.

To investigate the heredity of miRNA expression, small RNA populations from seedlings of the same developmental stage (six leaves) of the two parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines were analysed. After normalization of small RNA reads, the expression levels of miRNAs in Tapidor and Ningyou7 were compared with those in the four DH lines. Using a 2-fold change of the average expression level of a miRNA in the four DH lines relative to their parental lines as the threshold value (Stupar \textit{et al.}, 2007), the additive genetic effect of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus} was estimated. Significantly, a higher number of miRNAs with a non-additive rather than with an additive genetic effect (2- to 3-fold) were observed in the four DH lines (Supplementary Table S12, available at JXB online), suggesting the potential dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus}.

Finally, the expression levels of other small RNAs in the \textit{B. napus} genome were investigated and a genomic bias was also observed for siRNA expression (Fig. 5). However, this was totally in contrast to the \( A_n \)-biased miRNA expression, i.e. a higher abundance of small RNA reads was observed

![Fig. 3. Synteny of miRNAs between \textit{B. napus} \( A_nA_oC_rC_o \) and its two progenitor genomes \( A_nA_oC_rC_o \). The 851 \textit{MIRNAs} from the \textit{B. rapa} and \textit{B. oleracea} genomes were mapped to the \textit{B. napus} genome. The lines refer to the best matches of the \textit{MIRNAs} from the two progenitors in the \textit{B. napus} genome. \( A_n \) and \( C_r \) represents the \( A \) and \( C \) subgenome of \textit{B. napus}, \( A_o \) indicates the genome of \textit{B. rapa}, and \( C_o \) stands for the genome of \textit{B. oleracea}. The black lines indicate the \textit{MIRNA} best match in \( A_o \) compared based on small RNA reads. Although 275 and 364 per million reads) was observed in the \( A_n \) subgenome. A similar bias dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs was obvious between the two subgenomes (Table 3). The comparison of miRNA expression patterns of four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines with those of their parental lines also gave similar biased expression patterns of miRNAs (\( P<0.01 \) and \( P<0.02 \) in two environments, respectively; Table 3). When the individual miRNA families (for 32 with members > 3) were compared, only 13 of the 32 families were found, which were the main contributors to the different miRNA read abundance or miRNA expression levels between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes, while the remaining 19 miRNA families showed a similar expression pattern between the \( A_n \) and \( C_n \) subgenomes. These data strongly suggested a partitioned expression of mRNA between the two subgenomes after the hybridization of speciation in \textit{B. napus}.

To investigate the heredity of miRNA expression, small RNA populations from seedlings of the same developmental stage (six leaves) of the two parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines were analysed. After normalization of small RNA reads, the expression levels of miRNAs in Tapidor and Ningyou7 were compared with those in the four DH lines. Using a 2-fold change of the average expression level of a miRNA in the four DH lines relative to their parental lines as the threshold value (Stupar \textit{et al.}, 2007), the additive genetic effect of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus} was estimated. Significantly, a higher number of miRNAs with a non-additive rather than with an additive genetic effect (2- to 3-fold) were observed in the four DH lines (Supplementary Table S12, available at JXB online), suggesting the potential dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs in \textit{B. napus}.

Finally, the expression levels of other small RNAs in the \textit{B. napus} genome were investigated and a genomic bias was also observed for siRNA expression (Fig. 5). However, this was totally in contrast to the \( A_n \)-biased miRNA expression, i.e. a higher abundance of small RNA reads was observed
in the C\textsubscript{n} but not in the A\textsubscript{n} subgenome (2.1-fold difference between the two subgenomes), suggesting that more siRNAs are generated or expressed from the C\textsubscript{n} subgenome. Consistent with this, the content of LTR retrotransposons was found to be 10.3-fold higher in the C\textsubscript{n} subgenome than in the A\textsubscript{n} subgenome (Fig. 5), a phenomenon also observed previously (Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014), implying the possible involvement of these repetitive transposable elements in the biogenesis of siRNAs.

**Discussion**

This study carried out the first genome-wide investigation of miRNAs in *B. napus* using the newly sequenced *B. napus* genome. In addition to known *B. napus* miRNAs, identified mainly based on the two progenitor genomes of *B. napus* (Zhou et al., 2012; Korbes et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012, Shen et al., 2014), a new set of *B. napus* miRNAs was identified, including both conserved and novel ones. As expected, the number of *B. napus* miRNAs identified so far is significantly higher than that in the model dicot species *Arabidopsis thaliana* and monocot species rice (*Oryza sativa*). To the best of our knowledge, this represents the largest miRNA population ever found in a single plant species up to now, thus offering a unique dataset for the study of the origin, genomic structure, and evolution of miRNAs in allopolyploid plant genomes.

The results of this study support the observation of a high genomic conservation between the subgenomes of *B. napus* and its two progenitor genomes (Chalhoub et al., 2014). In many cases, it was not possible to distinguish the miRNA reads between the A and C subgenomes as they share the same mature sequence. The genomic synteny regions applied in this study allowed a precise localization of miRNA loci in the orthologous regions of both subgenomes. Only miRNAs with unique mature sequences in the A or C subgenome were defined as A or C subgenome-specific sequences, respectively. The majority of the two progenitor MIRNA orthologues were found to exist in the corresponding subgenomes of *B. napus*, and only a small group of MIRNAs showed an opposite match to the subgenomes. These mismatches might be a result of the homeologous recombination or gene-level duplication events during/after the AA-CC hybridization. Also, 115 MIRNAs were found only in the genomes of the two progenitors and not in the *B. napus* genome, strongly suggesting a possible loss of these MIRNAs due to sequence deletion or mutation (Table 2, Fig. 4a), although these MIRNAs could be undetected due to limited assembly of the two progenitor genomes. Because of a high genetic redundancy after the AA-CC hybridization, it is conceivable that the miRNA loss occurred in the *B. napus* genome. As *B. napus* has a very recent origin (~7500 years ago; Chalhoub et al., 2014), it would be expected that the numbers of conserved miRNAs in *B. napus* will be decreased to a relatively stable level in future, as observed in the tetraploid cotton genome (Xie and Zhang, 2015). However, the allopolyploidization event resulted in an increase in the number of MIRNAs in *B. napus* by generating new MIRNAs through gene or genomic segmental duplication events. As revealed by the genomic microsynteny analysis, at least 12 of the 18 newly generated MIRNAs in *B. napus* obviously originated from the existing conserved MIRNAs (miR156, miR171, and miR166 families) in the two progenitors via such genomic reorganization. For instance, miR156 affects the flowering time and responses to biotic and abiotic stress (Sunkar et al., 2012; Stief et al., 2014). Similarly, miR171 also participates in the biotic and abiotic stress responses (Zhou et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008), while miR166 can alter the development and polarity of the leaf via an interaction with HD-ZIP genes (Emery et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2005). Following this, it is reasonable to speculate that the 12 new copies of these conserved MIRNAs might greatly contribute to the environmental adaptation of *B. napus*. Their origins might be attributed to natural selection. In addition, a significant expansion of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th><em>B. napus</em></th>
<th><em>B. rapa</em></th>
<th><em>B. oleracea</em></th>
<th>(<em>A\textsubscript{n}A\textsubscript{n}C\textsubscript{n}C\textsubscript{n}</em>)-(<em>A\textsubscript{r}A\textsubscript{r}C\textsubscript{o}C\textsubscript{o}</em>)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A\textsubscript{n}A\textsubscript{n}</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{n}C\textsubscript{n}</td>
<td>A\textsubscript{r}A\textsubscript{r}</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{o}C\textsubscript{o}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR156</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR171</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR166</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR168</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR169</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR172</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR390</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR395</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR159</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR398</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR160</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR165</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Based on Shen et al. (2014).
a few conserved miRNA families, such as miR156, miR160, and miR169, have obviously enlarged the number of miRNAs in *B. napus* (Supplementary Table S10), but these expansions have mostly occurred before the AA-CC hybridization,
as such expansion events could also be observed in the two progenitor genomes (Supplementary Table S10). This is consistent with the very recent origin of the AACC genome in *B. napus*. Unequal genomic/segmental duplications as well as tandem duplications of *MIRNAs* obviously might also have greatly contributed to the *MIRNA* expansion in the *B. napus* genome (Shen et al., 2014).

An interesting finding in this study was the partitioned expression of *miRNAs* between the two subgenomes of *B. napus*. A significantly higher abundance of *miRNAs* in the *A* subgenome than in the *C* subgenome was observed in at least 13 *miRNA* families in two different genetic backgrounds (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and under different environmental conditions. Furthermore, similar results observed in the four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines provide further genetic evidence for partitioning of *miRNA* expression between the two subgenomes in *B. napus*. It is of great interest and importance to explore the regulation and functional relevance of the

### Table 3. Comparison of miRNA expression in the two subgenomes in *B. napus*

The expression levels were measured by Illumina sequencing reads per unique mature sequence of *miRNA* or per Mb per million reads in two DH parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7 or T/N) and their four DH lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression level (read density)</th>
<th><em>A</em> subgenome</th>
<th><em>C</em> subgenome</th>
<th><em>C</em>/<em>A</em></th>
<th><em>P</em> value</th>
<th>Based on:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E1) per mature miRNA</td>
<td>4293.26</td>
<td>2360.90</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>T/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E2) per mature miRNA</td>
<td>3119.39</td>
<td>2261.51</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>T/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E1) per Mb</td>
<td>3928</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>T/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E2) per Mb</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>4231.09</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>T/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E1) per mature miRNA</td>
<td>6226.15</td>
<td>4231.09</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4 DH lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E2) per mature miRNA</td>
<td>2006.64</td>
<td>1449.13</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4 DH lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E1) per Mb</td>
<td>5696.26</td>
<td>2792.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4 DH lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads (E2) per Mb</td>
<td>1835.86</td>
<td>956.43</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4 DH lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Small RNA populations in two parental lines (T/N) were collected and sequenced in two different environments (E1 and E2), respectively.

**Fig. 5.** Genomic distribution of *miRNAs* in *B. napus*. From outer to inter circles: (1) chromosomes of *B. napus*; (2) LTR retrotransposon; (3) small RNA reads; (4) 24 nt small RNA reads; (5) *miRNAs* identified by this study; (6) small RNA reads that can be mapped to the mature sequences of *miRNAs*. The peak value represents the density of each element with a window of 1 Mb in the *B. napus* genome.
partitioning of miRNA expression in oilseed rape in the future. In this study, a potential dominance of non-additive genetics for miRNAs in *B. napus* was suggested for the first time by heredity analyses of the expression patterns of each miRNA using small RNA populations from the parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines. Nevertheless, further investigations using more DH lines or offspring lines from different parental lines and in particular their degradome data are needed to confirm this observation.

miRNAs are believed to have played an important role in genome polyploidy (*Ng et al.,* 2012), as well as in regulating network in speciation and subsequent evolution of polyploidy crops (*Yao et al.,* 2007; *Kenan-Eichler et al.,* 2011; *Tang et al.,* 2012; *Gong et al.,* 2013; *Xie and Zhang,* 2015). In upland cotton (AADD, *Gossypium hirsutum* L.), miRNA loss and gain after the hybridization of AA and DD species were detected at the genomic level. However, significant expansions of several AADD-specific miRNA families were identified in cotton but not in *B. napus*. This might be attributed to the post-Neolithic origin of *B. napus* (*Chalhoub et al.,* 2014). The allotetraploid cotton arose from the union of two types of diploid cotton genomes about 1–2 million years ago (*Li et al.,* 2015; *Zhang et al.,* 2015). Similar to the observations here in *B. napus*, the biased expressions of miRNAs in the two subgenomes of a tetraploid were also observed in cotton (*Gong et al.,* 2013; *Xie and Zhang,* 2015). Significantly higher expression of ~20 conserved miRNAs in the AA subgenome than in the DD subgenome in cotton were reported (*Gong et al.,* 2013). These results suggest that miRNAs play an important role in the regulation network in speciation and subsequent evolution of polyploidy crops.

Increasing data suggest that miRNAs are involved in regulating plant responses to diverse developmental and physiological processes, as well as plant responses to diverse biotic and abiotic stress (*Khraiwesh et al.,* 2012). By comparison with previously sequenced small RNA populations from roots (*Shen et al.,* 2014), a number of miRNAs were identified here that are differentially expressed between the root and leaf of *B. napus*. Among these are miR159, miR164, miR166, miR168, miR172, miR319, and miR390, which have been demonstrated previously to have an effect on leaf development in *Arabidopsis* (*Emery et al.,* 2003; *Palatnik et al.,* 2003; *Achard et al.,* 2004; *Allen et al.,* 2005; *Laufs et al.,* 2004; *Vaucheret et al.,* 2004; *Guo et al.,* 2005; *Schwab et al.,* 2005; *Williams et al.,* 2005), as well as miR160 and miR393, which have been shown to influence the development of roots via inhibition of their target genes (*ARF* and *TIR*, respectively) in *Arabidopsis* (*Navarro et al.,* 2006; *Liu et al.,* 2007). The opposite expression patterns between miRNAs and their targets occurred in the root and leaf of *B. napus*, suggesting their conserved role in the regulation of developmental or physiological processes in the root and leaf of *B. napus*. Of great importance, a subset of novel miRNAs were identified that could have a specific function in regulating the expression of specific traits, such as biosynthesis of lipids and GSLs in oilseed rape. Further experimental investigation and analysis are needed to gain insights into the underlying mechanisms.
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