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Rubisco catalytic properties of wild and domesticated relatives provide scope for improving wheat photosynthesis
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Abstract

Rubisco is a major target for improving crop photosynthesis and yield, yet natural diversity in catalytic properties of this enzyme is poorly understood. Rubisco from 25 genotypes of the Triticeae tribe, including wild relatives of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum), were surveyed to identify superior enzymes for improving photosynthesis in this crop. In vitro Rubisco carboxylation velocity (Vc), Michaelis–Menten constants for CO2 (Kc) and O2 (Ko) and specificity factor (Sc/o) were measured at 25 and 35 °C. Vc and Kc correlated positively, while Vc and Sc/o were inversely related. Rubisco large subunit genes (rbcL) were sequenced, and predicted corresponding amino acid differences analysed in relation to the corresponding catalytic properties. The effect of replacing native wheat Rubisco with counterparts from closely related species was analysed by modelling the response of photosynthesis to varying CO2 concentrations. The model predicted that two Rubisco enzymes would increase photosynthetic performance at 25 °C while only one of these also increased photosynthesis at 35 °C. Thus, under otherwise identical conditions, catalytic variation in the Rubiscos analysed is predicted to improve photosynthetic rates at physiological CO2 concentrations. Naturally occurring Rubiscos with superior properties amongst the Triticeae tribe can be exploited to improve wheat photosynthesis and crop productivity.
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Introduction

Wheat is the most widely grown crop and an important source of protein and calories, providing more than 20% of the calories consumed worldwide (Braun et al., 2010). It is projected that the world population will rise to over 9 billion by the year 2050 (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2013). This growth in population, along with a rise in per capita consumption (Kearney, 2010), will increase the global demand for food. Future increases in crop production will rely mainly on new strategies to increase yield and cropping intensity (Gregory and George, 2011; Alexandratos and Bruinsma, 2012; Fischer et al., 2014).

Yield traits that were positively affected by the green revolution appear to have relatively little remaining potential for...
further exploitation in modern wheat (Zhu et al., 2010), and further increases in yield potential will need to come from the improvement of photosynthetic efficiency. In this context, significant variation in biomass has been identified in exotic wheat genetic resources (Reynolds et al., 2015). Rubisco, (EC.4.1.1.39) is a key player in photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation, as it catalyses the first step of the Calvin–Benson cycle, fixing carbon dioxide through the carboxylation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP). Rubisco also catalyses an additional and competing reaction with oxygen, which leads to the loss of fixed carbon and energy during photorespiration. This, together with the relatively low catalytic rate of Rubisco, limits photosynthetic productivity. Overcoming the limitations of Rubisco is therefore a major target in attempts to increase photosynthesis and yield (Parry et al., 2007; Parry et al., 2013).

There is natural variation in the catalytic properties of Rubisco isolated from various higher plants (Delgado et al., 1995; Galmés et al., 2005; Kapralov and Filatov, 2007; Andralojc et al., 2014; Galmés et al., 2014a; Galmés et al., 2014b). Relatively few studies report all catalytic parameters—the maximum velocities (V) and the Michaelis–Menten constants (Kₘ) for the carboxylase (c) and oxygenase (o) activities (Vₖ, Vₒ, Kₖ, and Kₒ, respectively) and the specificity factor (Sₙₒ=)(Vₒ/Kₒ)/(Vₖ/Kₖ)—or measurements at anything other than a single temperature. Greater natural diversity is likely to be revealed when the catalytic properties of Rubisco from a broader range of species become available. Current evidence suggests that there is a trade-off between the maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco (Vₖ) and the relative specificity for CO₂ (Sₙₒ) (Bainbridge et al. 1995; Zhu et al., 2004; Savir et al., 2010), which may limit the extent to which these parameters can be independently altered. Clearly, a superior Rubisco for improving crop performance will have catalytic properties that maximize carboxylation, minimize the oxygenase activity, and enable enhanced rates of photosynthesis in relevant environments (Galmés et al., 2014b; Sharwood and Whitney, 2014).

Evolution of Rubisco variants with differing catalytic properties has been driven by their respective diverse cellular environments, which in turn are affected by their respective external environments (see Carmo-Silva et al., 2014 and references therein). These conditions provide selective pressures which favour changes in Rubisco structure that optimize performance (Tcherkez et al., 2006). While the plastid-encoded Rubisco large subunits incorporate the catalytic sites and therefore contribute directly to the observed catalytic properties, recent evidence suggests that changes in expression of genes within the nuclear-encoded small subunit multigene family can also cause catalytic variation (e.g. Morita et al., 2014).

The goal of this study was to identify Rubisco variants in the Triticeae tribe with catalytic properties that are likely to improve photosynthetic efficiency in wheat. We focused on wheat relatives so that useful traits could be introduced into a wheat genetic background by means of wide crossing (thus avoiding genetic manipulation), with increased likelihood that the available (wheat) chloroplast chaperones and Rubisco activase isoforms would subsequently promote the assembly and maintenance of catalytic activity in any resulting forms of the Rubisco holoenzyme. Triticeae genotypes from diverse climates and geographical locations were studied to increase the likelihood of identifying forms of Rubisco with different (and hopefully superior) kinetic properties from those found in *Triticum aestivum* (bread wheat). The resulting catalytic parameters were assessed in *silico* using a biochemical model of leaf photosynthesis. This approach suggested that Rubisco from two of the genotypes studied has the potential to improve the photosynthetic capacity and yield potential of wheat.

## Materials and methods

### Plant material and growth conditions

For all kinetic measurements, values obtained in test samples were compared with those of *T. aestivum* cv. Cadenza, which was used as control. Cadenza is widely grown and has routinely been used in transformation experiments, making it a well-known and characterized variety. A total of 25 genotypes were analysed (Table 1).

Species related to bread wheat were chosen with a range of characteristics, such as adaption to warmer conditions (*T. aestivum* SATYN and *T. dicoccon* CIMMYT), or which may have been used to introduce desirable traits into bread wheat (Schneider et al., 2008). Seeds were obtained from CIMMYT (Mexico); the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (UK); and colleagues at Rothamsted Research.

All plants were grown from seed in trays containing Rothamsted Research compost mix in a glasshouse at 20 °C with a 16 h photoperiod. Additional lighting was provided whenever the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) fell below 500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. All plants were well watered. Young, healthy leaves were harvested 2–3 weeks after sowing and rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

### Specificity factor

Rubisco from snap-frozen young leaves (at least 500 cm²) was extracted in homogenization buffer (40 mM triethanolamine pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM KHPO₄, 1 mM benzamidine, 5 mM e-aminocaproic acid, 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM DTT, 10 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1% w/v insoluble polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP)) at 0.3 ml cm⁻². Leaves were homogenized in a pre-cooled blender for 45 s and then filtered through four layers of muslin. Homogenate was clarified by centrifuging at 13 870×g for 12 min at 4 °C, after which PEG₈₀₀ (60% w/v) was added to the supernatant to obtain a final concentration of 20.5% (w/v). MgCl₂ was added to the solution to increase the concentration of MgCl₂ to 20 mM. The ensuing protein precipitation was complete after 30 min at 0 °C, and the precipitate collected by centrifugation at 13 870×g for 20 min at 4 °C. The protein precipitate was redissolved in column buffer containing 25 mM TEA–HCl (pH 7.8), 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM e-aminocaproic acid, 1 mM benzamidine, 12.5% glycerol, 2 mM DTT and 5 mM NaHCO₃. This was clarified by centrifugation at 175 000×g for 20 min at 4 °C followed by filtration through a 0.45 μm regenerated cellulose syringe filter before further purification by anion-exchange chromatography on a 5 ml HiTrap Q column (GE Healthcare, UK). Rubisco was eluted with a 0–1.0 M linear NaCl gradient in the same buffer. Fractions with significant absorbance at 280 nm were tested for Rubisco activity by measuring the RuBP-dependent incorporation of ¹⁴CO₂ into acid-stable products, as detailed below. Fractions showing Rubisco activity were pooled and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Sephacryl S-200 column (GE Healthcare, UK) using a buffer consisting of 50 mM Bicine–NaOH, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl₂.
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Rubisco was extracted from 20–30-cm² of leaf material that was light-adapted immediately before being snap-frozen, then stored at –80 °C. Leaves were ground in an ice-cold mortar with 100 mg quartz sand and 3.5 ml of ice-cold extraction buffer, consisting of 100 mM {Bicine–NaOH, pH 8.2, 20 mM MgCl₂}.

S_{\text{c/o}} was determined by measuring the decline in oxygen that accompanied the total consumption of RuBP in an oxygen electrode (Hansatech Instruments, UK), as described by Parry et al. (1989): Rubisco was activated in extracts by adding orthophosphate (4 mM, pH 8.2) and NaHCO₃ (11 mM), and incubating at 37 °C for 40 min. A reaction mixture containing assay buffer and carbonic anhydrase (0.001% w/v, ≥2500 W-A units mg protein⁻¹) was equilibrated in an oxygen electrode vessel at controlled pH and temperature. All subsequent additions were made through a small aperture using glass syringes. Activated Rubisco and NaHCO₃ (2 mM) were added to the vessel and the oxygen signal allowed to stabilize. RuBP (0.37 mM) was added to the reaction, which was allowed to run to completion over a few minutes, as indicated by a stabilized oxygen signal. The amount of RuBP carboxylated was calculated by subtracting the oxygenated amount (represented by the amount of oxygen consumed during the reaction) from the amount added. The specificity factor was calculated as follows:

\[ S_{\text{c/o}} = \frac{\text{RuBP carboxylated} \times (\text{O}_2\text{concentration} \div \text{CO}_2\text{concentration})}{\text{RuBP oxidogenet} } \]

RuBP was prepared as previously described (Wong et al., 1980).
where $k$ is the Michaelis–Menten constant for CO$_2$ in the absence of O$_2$, and $K_{S_{\text{app}}}$ is the apparent Michaelis–Menten constant for CO$_2$ as measured in the reactions equilibrated with 21, 60, or 100% O$_2$. Specific mixtures of N$_2$ and O$_2$ were prepared using a gas divider (Signal Group, UK) and concentrations of O$_2$ in solution were calculated at 100% relative humidity and standard atmospheric pressure (101.3 kPa). At 25 $^\circ$C, the solubility of O$_2$ was taken as 257.5 $\mu$M and the saturation vapour pressure of water as 11.6 kPa. At 35 $^\circ$C, the solubility of O$_2$ was taken as 216.6 $\mu$M and the saturation vapour pressure of water as 12.0 kPa (http://www.eidusa.com/Theory_DO.htm).

The concentration of CO$_2$ in solution (in equilibrium with HCO$_3^-$) was calculated assuming a pH$_c$ of 6.11 at 25 $^\circ$C and a pH$_c$ of 6.06 at 35 $^\circ$C for carbonic acid, taking into consideration the pH of each buffer solution (measured on the day of assay). Carboxic anhydrase ($\geq$77 W A units per 1 ml reaction; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was present in the reaction solution to maintain equilibrium between NaHCO$_3$ and CO$_2$. Control reactions were performed by measuring CO$_2$ fixation (acid-stable $^{13}$C) in reaction solutions lacking RuBP or NaHCO$_3$, as well as following total inhibition of Rubisco by prior treatment with an excess of the tight-binding inhibitor 2-carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate (CABP). These controls confirmed that the activity measured was entirely due to Rubisco.

Radioactive content of $^{13}$C-labelled compounds was measured in 0.4–0.45ml aqueous solutions to which were added 3.6ml Ultima Gold Scintillation cocktail (Perkin-Elmer, UK), in a Tri-Carb 2100TR Liquid Scintillation Analyser (Perkin-Elmer, USA).

Turnover number ($k_{\text{cat}}$, mol product mol active site$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) was calculated from the corresponding $V_{\text{max}}$ values ($V_c$ and $V_{\text{c}}$: nmol acid-stable $^{13}$C mg Rubisco$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) for each genotype. Equations (2.20 and 2.23, respectively, in von Caemmerer 2000). To accomplish this, values of $K_c, K_o$, and $S_{\text{c}}$ were converted from units of concentration (mol l$^{-1}$) to those of partial pressure (bar), assuming solubilities of $3.34 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.26 \times 10^{-3}$ mol (l bar)$^{-1}$ for CO$_2$ and O$_2$, respectively, for assays performed at 25 $^\circ$C. At 35 $^\circ$C the respective solubilities were taken as $2.51 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.03 \times 10^{-3}$ mol (l bar)$^{-1}$.

We assigned a value of 38 nmol m$^{-2}$ for the estimated number of Rubisco active sites and kept this value constant for all samples. $R_o$ was calculated at 0.015$V_{\text{cmax}}$. We assumed $J_{\text{max}}$ at 1.5$V_{\text{cmax}}$ at 25 $^\circ$C and 35 $^\circ$C giving a good fit above $C_o$. Equations used to generate the $A$–$C_i$ curves were:

\[ A_i = \frac{[(C_i - \Gamma ^* )V_{\text{cmax}}]}{\left[C_i + (K_c + (1 + O_2 / K_o)) \right] - R_o} \]

and

\[ A_i = \frac{[(C_i - \Gamma ^* )J_{\text{max}}]}{\left[4C_i + 8\Gamma ^* \right] - R_d} \]

(von Caemmerer, 2000).

### Statistical methods

Best-fit values of Michaelis–Menten constants ($K_c$ and $K_o$) and maximum velocities ($V_{\text{cmax}}$ and $V_o$) were derived from the kinetic data using SigmaPlot (v12.5). There was one determination per test genotype, with Cadena values calculated from $n=7$ for catalytic properties and $n=9$ for $S_{\text{c}}$. Values of $S_{\text{c}}$ at 25 $^\circ$C were normalized to the corresponding value for the Rubisco of T. aestivum cv Cadena ($S_{\text{c}}=100$), which was determined in parallel to each test sample measured (Parry et al., 1989). For $S_{\text{c}}$, the mean±SEM for every Rubisco preparation was calculated from a minimum of five technical replicates. Correlation coefficients were calculated using the Pearson product moment correlation test. The interaction between genotype and temperature was analysed using a non-parametric statistical approach. Ranking was done in descending order with the highest rank assigned number 1. Ranks of the measured variables for each genotype at 25 $^\circ$C and 35 $^\circ$C were correlated using a Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient and these were tested for statistical significance using Genstat (17th edn, VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK).

### Results

Rubisco catalytic properties at 25 and 35 $^\circ$C were determined for 25 genotypes of Triticaceae. For all genotypes, the maximum carboxylation velocity ($V_c$) was significantly higher at 35 $^\circ$C than at 25 $^\circ$C, ranging from 1.34 times higher in Ae. juvenalis to 2.65 times higher in T. dicoccoides (Figure 1 and Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). At 25 $^\circ$C, H. vulgare ranked the highest for $V_c$, followed by Ae. cylinodra, T. aestivum SATYN3, and Triticale (Cando) above T. aestivum cv Cadena (reference genotype). At 35 $^\circ$C, T. dicoccus4 (a line developed by CIMMYT for warm climates) ranked the highest for $V_c$, followed by the other CIMMYT lines (T. aestivum SATYN and T. dicoccus genotypes) and H. vulgare, before Cadena, which ranked seventh. At both temperatures B. distachyon ranked the lowest for $V_c$.

There is statistical evidence of a correlation between the performance of the genotypes with respect to $V_c$ across temperature, with a Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient $\rho=0.707$ ($P<0.001$) (see Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).
This value was slightly lower when comparing genotypes grouped according to rbcL sequence, although still significant ($p=0.607$, $P=0.035$) (Table 2).

Rubisco from 14 genotypes had a higher affinity for CO$_2$ (lower $K_c$) than Cadenza at 25 °C, with B. distachyon ranking the highest (see Supplementary Table S2). Similarly, at 35 °C 13 genotypes showed a higher affinity for CO$_2$ (lower $K_c$) compared with Cadenza (Supplementary Table S3). Of these, only Rubisco from H. vulgare showed both a higher $V_c$ and a higher affinity for CO$_2$ compared with Cadenza based on rank.

Regardless of the measurement temperature, Rubisco from most of the genotypes had a lower maximum oxygenation velocity ($V_o$) than Cadenza. Rubisco from genotypes that had a low affinity for O$_2$ (i.e. a high $K_o$) at 25 °C also showed a relatively low affinity for O$_2$ at 35 °C (Table 2 and Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

Rubisco specificity factor ($S_{clo}$) ranged from 90.4 (for Ae. juvenalis) to 111.0 (for B. distachyon) at 25 °C and was lower at 35 °C for all species (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Tables S2 and S3), ranging from 68.8 for T. aestivum SATYN1 to 94.0 for B. distachyon. In contrast to its ranking with respect to $V_c$, B. distachyon, ranked the highest for $S_{clo}$ at both temperatures. The CIMMYT lines T. aestivum SATYN3, T. dicoccon1, and T. dicoccon2 ranked much higher at 35 °C than at 25 °C with respect to $S_{clo}$, although only T. dicoccon1 and T. dicoccon2 ranked higher than Cadenza. A correlation was identified in the performance of the genotypes across temperature with respect to $S_{clo}$ ($p=0.857$, $P=0.003$; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). The correlation coefficient for $S_{clo}$ across temperature was lower but still significant when genotypes were grouped according to rbcL ($p=0.503$, $P=0.002$; Table 2). To compare $S_{clo}$ across temperatures, the respective ranks of individual genotypes were added up and compared (see Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). This revealed that B. distachyon (sum of ranks=2), Ae. tauschii (sum of ranks=8), T. monococcum (sum of ranks=8), Ae. cylindrica (sum of ranks=9) and Ae. triuncialis (sum of ranks=10) maintained their ranking much better than Cadenza (sum of ranks=28) across different temperatures.

A positive correlation was observed between Rubisco $V_c$ and $K_c$ for all 25 genotypes, with this correlation being stronger at 35 °C ($r=0.798$, $P<0.001$) than at 25 °C ($r=0.372$, $P=0.062$) (Fig. 3). Rubisco from two genotypes (Ae. cylindrica and H. vulgare) appeared to have superior catalytic properties at 25 °C, possessing higher $V_c$ and lower $K_c$ values than Cadenza. From these, only Rubisco from H. vulgare retained superior properties at 35 °C compared with Cadenza, which performed remarkably well at this higher temperature. A strong positive correlation was found between $V_c$ and $V_o$ at 25 °C ($r=0.726$, $P<0.001$), while a moderate negative correlation was found between $V_c$ and $S_{clo}$ at both temperatures ($r=–0.428$, $P=0.029$ at 25 °C and $r=–0.528$, $P=0.006$ at 35 °C).

Analysis of the rbcL coding sequences (codons 1–463) of the 25 genotypes revealed differences relative to the Cadenza reference sequence in 12 corresponding large subunit (LSu) residues, at positions spanning a number of domains within the Rubisco large subunit structure (Table 3). For 11 genotypes, all of which were either Triticum or Triticale, LSU sequences were identical to Cadenza at the amino acid level (Table 3 and Supplementary Table S1). Of the remaining 14 genotypes, each possessed at least one different amino acid from the Cadenza LSU. The LSU residue differences K14Q and S95N were the most common, and were found to occur together in all but one Aegilops species. These two residue differences were also found in S. cereale and T. monococcum. In H. vulgare, K14Q was the only difference relative to Cadenza rbcL. In Ae. cylindrica, in addition to K14Q and S95N, the LSU sequence also contained the difference V17A compared with Cadenza.

The catalytic efficiency of Rubisco in air (21% O$_2$) can be measured as the ratio between the carboxylase turnover...
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Table 2. Kinetic parameters of Rubisco at 25 and 35 °C according to the respective rbcL sequence (residues 1–463). Where \(>1\), values are means±SEM for the Triticiae Rubiscos containing the same LSu sequence. Other kinetic parameters are calculated using the Michaelis–Menten kinetic model as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay temp</th>
<th>(K_c) ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_s) ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(V_c) ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\text{Kat}) ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\text{Cat}) ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\text{Rnk}) ((\text{Kat})/(\text{Cat}))</th>
<th>(\text{Rnk}) ((\text{Rnk})/(\text{Kat}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>T. aestivum cv Cadenza</td>
<td>0.16±0.3</td>
<td>0.85±0.12</td>
<td>3.3±0.03</td>
<td>3.2±0.03</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35°C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>T. aestivum cv Cadenza</td>
<td>0.16±0.3</td>
<td>0.85±0.12</td>
<td>3.3±0.03</td>
<td>3.2±0.03</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the relationship between catalytic efficiency (\(k_{cat}/K_c\) at 21% \(O_2\)) and \(S_{dio}\) it is also possible to identify Rubisco enzymes with superior catalytic performance (Fig. 4). In general, catalytic efficiency was higher, but \(S_{dio}\) was lower, at 35 °C compared with 25 °C. Forms of Rubisco with the same LSu sequence (residues 1–463) showed considerable variation in their combination of catalytic properties. Rubisco from \(H. vulgare\), which only differs from Cadenza by virtue of the alternative residue Q14 (K14 in Cadenza), stood out as having a promising combination of \(k_{cat}/K_c\) and \(S_{dio}\) at both temperatures. Rubisco from \(Ae. cylindrica\), differing by K14Q, V17A and S95N relative to Cadenza, showed promise only at 25 °C. Rubisco from \(Ae. vavilovii\) showed a similar catalytic response, despite the LSu sequence being identical to that from a number of other species that did not show such catalytic advantage compared with Cadenza. In \(B. distachyon\), six residue changes compared with Cadenza (Table 3) might be associated with a higher \(S_{dio}\) but at the expense of catalytic efficiency (Fig. 4).

The Rubisco catalytic constants measured in vitro at 25 and 35 °C for Cadenza, \(Ae. cylindrica\) and \(H. vulgare\) were used to assess the theoretical impact on photosynthetic performance of wheat leaves over a range of intercellular \(CO_2\) concentrations, including those corresponding to ambient air (c. 210 µbar). This modelling exercise predicted that at 25 °C photosynthetic rates would be improved by replacing wheat Rubisco with the enzyme from either \(Ae. cylindrica\) or \(H. vulgare\) (Fig. 5A, B). At 25 °C Rubisco from \(Ae. cylindrica\) showed a maximal increase in assimilation rate of 23% (6.3 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) compared with Cadenza at 270 µbar \(C_i\) (Fig. 5A), while Rubisco from \(H. vulgare\) maximally increased assimilation rate by 22% (6.7 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at 300 µbar \(C_i\) (Fig. 5B). Rubisco from \(H. vulgare\) also showed promise for the improvement of photosynthesis in wheat at 35 °C, while the enzyme from \(Ae. cylindrica\) was inferior to Cadenza wheat at the higher temperature (Fig. 5C, D).

Discussion
The catalytic properties and primary sequence of the Rubisco large subunits (LSu, encoded by \(rbcL\)) from 25 Triticeae genotypes revealed diversity relevant to improving wheat photosynthetic performance in current and projected warmer temperatures. In the major wheat producing countries, grain filling is accompanied by increasing daytime temperatures (Asseng et al., 2015). Within the limits of resources available to this study, measurements were taken at an ideal growth temperature (25 °C, Nagai and Makino, 2009), and at an elevated temperature at which a pronounced negative impact on yield would be expected (35 °C, Duncan et al., 2014). At the higher temperature \(V_c\) was higher, but \(S_{dio}\) was lower, which
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is consistent with previous research (Brooks and Farquhar, 1985; Tcherkez et al., 2006; Savir et al., 2010; Galmés et al., 2014b). Differences were observed in the Rubisco response to temperature that suggests some acclimation to different geographical locations.

As reported previously (Savir et al., 2010), a positive correlation between \( V_c \) and \( K_c \), the determinants of Rubisco carboxylase efficiency, was observed at both temperatures for the Triticeae genotypes studied here, indicating that genotypes with a high \( V_c \) tend to have lower affinity for \( CO_2 \). The catalytic efficiency of Rubisco in air was a useful tool to identify Rubiscos with superior performance. Furthermore, the combined results suggest that all of the Rubisco catalytic properties, including the specificity factor, must be taken into account during the search for forms of Rubisco with improved performance. Variations in this sequence in wheat relatives represents a potential source of improved catalytic activity.

The majority of the Triticeae genotypes characterized in this study are highly inter-related and this was reflected in the similarity of the respective \( rbcL \) sequences. Some of the observed differences in Rubisco catalytic activity correlated with differences in \( rbcL \) sequence. For example, differences in catalytic properties determined for \( H. vulgaris \), \( Aeglops cylindrica \), and \( B. distachyon \) compared with Cadenza wheat might be associated with their specific \( rbcL \) sequences. Conversely, differences in catalysis for genotypes with the same \( rbcL \) sequence (e.g. the Cadenza group represented by black symbols or the \( Aeglops \) group represented by yellow

Fig. 2. Specificity factor of Rubisco (\( S_{co} \)) at 25 °C (black bars) and 35 °C (hatched bars) in 25 Triticeae genotypes. Data organized in decreasing rank at 25 °C, except for \( T. aestivum \) cv Cadenza, which is shown on the far left-hand side for comparison.

Fig. 3. Relationship between \( V_c \) and \( K_c \) for Rubisco from 25 Triticeae genotypes at 25 °C (A) and 35 °C (B) in the absence of \( O_2 \). Regression lines indicate the best fit through the data. Correlation coefficients (\( r \)) and \( P \)-values shown. The data point represented by \( Triticum aestivum \) cv Cadenza is highlighted by a circle. The area in the graph where Rubiscos with superior characteristics would be found is outlined. Arrows indicate genotypes with potentially superior Rubisco properties compared with Cadenza wheats: (i) \( H. vulgaris \); (ii) \( Aeglops cylindrica \).
Residues under positive selection (Kapralov and Filatov, 2007, Galmés et al. 2014b) are indicated with an asterisk. Functional interactions described in the literature for these residues as indicated (AS, active site; ID, intradimer interactions; DD, dimer:dimer interactions; RA, interactions with Rubisco activase; SSU, interaction with small subunits). Symbols and colours match those used in Fig. 4. na, not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue change</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Location of residue</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>na</td>
<td>■◆</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. aestivum cv. Cadenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>na</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. aestivum SATYN1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>H. vulgare cv. Lenins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>Ae. tauschii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S95N*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>ID, RA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. juvenalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. vavilovii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. biuncialis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. uniaristata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>T. dicoccon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17A</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>T. dicoccon1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. timonovum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. timopheevi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triticale (Talentro)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triticale (Rotego)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G47W</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Strand B</td>
<td>Triticale (Cando)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K81R</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. speltoides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I225T*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>SSU</td>
<td>Helix 2</td>
<td>B. distachyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10S</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K21R</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A91P*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I251M*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>DD, ID, SSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S328A*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Loop 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M341I</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>AS, ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When present as lysine, large subunit residue 14 is known to be a site of post-translational tri-methylation in many flowering plant species, but not T. aestivum (Houtz et al., 2008). Available data show that glutamine is the only alternative residue found at this position (K14Q, Houtz et al., 1989; Houtz et al., 1992; Trievel et al., 2003), although the importance of this position and its modification remains unresolved (Houtz et al., 2008). The results presented here suggest the possibility that either the amino acid difference itself (K14Q) or the absence of methylation at this position alters Rubisco kinetics in a manner favourable to photosynthesis.

When comparing kinetic parameters between species grouped by LSu sequence (Table 2), H. vulgare (difference K14Q) ranked highest in Vc at both 25 and 35 °C, with only Ae. cylindrica (K14Q, V17A, and S95N) also ranking higher than species with the control sequence at 25 °C. Rubisco from Ae. cylindrica also had a lower Ka (higher affinity for CO2) at both temperatures compared with Rubisco from species with the reference rbcL sequence.

Symbols in Fig. 4 may be associated with either changes in the extreme C-terminus whose sequence was not determined or, more likely, diversity in the small subunit sequence (Guo et al., 1997; Spreitzer, 2003; Spreitzer et al., 2005; Ishikawa et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2014; Morita et al., 2014). Future studies to characterize the exact number and relative expression of small subunit genes in wheat and wheat relatives may reveal novel avenues for improving Rubisco catalysis and photosynthesis.

Table 3. Amino acid differences in the Rubisco large subunit predicted protein sequences for 25 Triticeae genotypes relative to T. aestivum cv Cadenza

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue change</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Location of residue</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>H. vulgare cv. Lenins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>Ae. tauschii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S95N*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>ID, RA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. juvenalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. vavilovii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. biuncialis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. uniaristata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14Q*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>T. dicoccon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17A</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td>T. dicoccon1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. dicoccon4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. timonovum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. timopheevi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triticale (Talentro)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triticale (Rotego)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G47W</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Strand B</td>
<td>Triticale (Cando)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K81R</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ae. speltoides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I225T*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>SSU</td>
<td>Helix 2</td>
<td>B. distachyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10S</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K21R</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A91P*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I251M*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>DD, ID, SSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S328A*</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Loop 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M341I</td>
<td>▲●</td>
<td>AS, ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Catalytic diversity of Rubisco from wheat relatives (Carmo-Silva et al., 2014). Recently, this residue was highlighted during a search for residues under positive selection, and it is in proximity to residues involved in L2 intradimer interactions (Galmés et al., 2014b).

Interestingly, species combining the K14Q and S95N residue differences (but having no other differences) showed no consistent catalytic difference compared with the reference LSu sequence group.

The valine at position 17 is known to be involved in intradimer interactions (Knight et al., 1990; Kellogg and Juliano, 1997). Rubisco from Ae. cylinardica containing V17A in combination with K14Q and S95N had improved carboxylation catalytic efficiency at 21% O2 and 25 °C compared with the reference Cadenza (Table 2). This form of Rubisco was predicted by modelling to improve photosynthetic performance at all CO2 levels relative to Cadenza at 25 °C (Fig. 5A). Hence, the influence exerted by the relatively conservative Val–Ala (V17A) difference, when combined with K14Q (and S95N), may explain the positive impact on Rubisco catalysis.

H. vulgare Rubisco had improved $k_{cat}/K_c$ compared with Cadenza, while only containing the K14Q difference. Studies in Anacystis nidulans found that a K14Q or K14L mutation had no influence on enzyme activity (Kettleborough et al., 1991). While the present study did not cover the extreme C-terminus of the large subunit, available sequences showed a KV extension in that region of the H. vulgare sequence (Petersen and Seberg, 2003), which may be relevant to its superior catalysis in comparison to Cadenza. Confirmation of this hypothesis would be valuable, given that modelling of the photosynthetic response to intercellular CO2 predicts a benefit at both 25 and 35 °C by replacing the native Rubisco with the barley enzyme.

![Fig. 4. The relationship between the catalytic efficiency of Rubisco at 21% O2 ($k_{cat}/K_c, \mu M s^{-1}$) and the specificity factor ($S_{c/o}$) of Rubisco at 25 °C (circles) and 35 °C (triangles). Each colour denotes an rbcL sequence (as per Table 3) and Cadenza wheat (C, used as reference) is represented by the diamond and square at 25 and 35 °C, respectively.](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/67/6/1827/2885109)

![Fig. 5. Modelling photosynthesis at 25 °C (A, B) and 35 °C (C, D), to demonstrate the benefit of replacing Rubisco of T. aestivum cv Cadenza (red) with Rubisco from Ae. cylinardica (A, C; blue) or H. vulgare (B, D; blue). Rubisco-limited ($A_c$, solid lines) and RuBP regeneration-limited ($A_j$, dashed lines) rates of net CO2 assimilation ($A$) were derived using the model of Farquhar et al. (1980) and the Rubisco catalytic constants measured in vitro for each genotype. Blue shading indicates where Rubisco from the test genotypes showed higher assimilation rates than native Cadenza Rubisco.](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/67/6/1827/2885109)
As with Ae. cylindrica (Colmer et al., 2006), barley is considered to be a valuable genetic resource for improving stress tolerance in wheat (Dulai et al., 2011; Molnar-Lang et al., 2014). Barley–wheat hybrids have been investigated before (Kruse, 1973; Malik et al., 2011; Dulai et al., 2011; Rodriguez-Suárez et al., 2011; Pershina et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2012), but without a focus on yield improvement. One notable exception is the development of Tritordeum, which is a hybrid between wild barley (H. chilense) and durum wheat (T. turgidum ssp. Durum), haploid genome BA; Martin et al. (1996), which has been commercialized (http://www.agrasys.es/). Tritordeum has particularly high protein content and has shown resistance to drought conditions in field trials (Martin et al., 1999; Villegas et al., 2010). While Tritordeum does not include the D genome present in T. aestivum, data in this study suggest that this hybrid warrants further investigation with respect to Rubisco kinetics and yield potential.

This study has identified residues that warrant further study, e.g. by mutagenesis. Well targeted single amino acid changes can have a dramatic impact on catalytic performance (e.g. Whitney et al., 2011). However, at present there is no available expression system to test the effect of amino acid substitutions on Rubisco from monocots. An alternative, and possibly more promising approach, which utilizes available technology, is the introgression of traits through wide-crossing of Triticeae genotypes.

Conclusion

The Rubisco catalytic properties determined for 25 genotypes showed that variation exists even amongst closely related genotypes. Rubisco from Ae. cylindrica and H. vulgare showed promising catalytic properties that should be explored in the context of improving photosynthesis, and ultimately yield, in wheat. Ideally, this could be carried out by crossing a number of the species examined here with bread wheat and studying the resulting plants with respect to Rubisco catalytic activity, photosynthesis and yield. This study supports the case for investment in genetic resource screening for photosynthesis-related characteristics.
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