Phylogeny of Rieske/cytb Complexes with a Special Focus on the Haloarchaeal Enzymes
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Abstract

Rieske/cytochrome b (Rieske/cytb) complexes are proton pumping quinol oxidases that are present in most bacteria and Archaea. The phylogeny of their subunits follows closely the 16S-rRNA phylogeny, indicating that chemiosmotic coupling was already present in the last universal common ancestor of Archaea and bacteria. Haloarchaea are the only organisms found so far that acquired Rieske/cytb complexes via interdomain lateral gene transfer. They encode two Rieske/cytb complexes in their genomes; one of them is found in genetic context with nitrate reductase genes and has its closest relatives among Actinobacteria and the Thermus/Deinococcus group. It is likely to function in nitrate respiration. The second Rieske/cytb complex of Haloarchaea features a split cytochrome b sequence as do Cyanobacteria, chloroplasts, Helioarchaea, and Bacilli. It seems that Haloarchaea acquired this complex from an ancestor of the above-mentioned phyla. Its involvement in the bioenergetic reaction chains of Haloarchaea is unknown. We present arguments in favor of the hypothesis that the ancestor of Haloarchaea, which relied on a highly specialized bioenergetic metabolism, that is, methanogenesis, and was devoid of quinones and most enzymes of anaerobic or aerobic bioenergetic reaction chains, integrated laterally transferred genes into its genome to respond to a change in environmental conditions that made methanogenesis unfavorable.
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Introduction

Rieske/cytochrome b (Rieske/cytb) complexes are energy-converting enzymes involved in bioenergetic electron transfer chains as diverse as oxygenic and anoxygenic photosynthesis, oxygen respiration, and energy-conserving systems using further substrates such as nitrogen oxide (Suharti et al. 1984; Shapeleigh and Payne 1985; Ducluzeau et al. 2009; van Lis et al. 2010), nitrous oxide (Boogerd et al. 1980; Itoh et al. 1989), sulphide (Nübel et al. 2000; Guiral et al. 2009), or hydrogen (Guiral et al. 2009). All these bioenergetic electron transfer chains are based on electrochemical disequilibria between reduced and oxidized substrates and build up a transmembrane proton motive potential as a result of the respective redox reactions. This proton motive potential drives the formation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) through the enzyme ATP synthase via chemiosmotic coupling (Mitchell 1970, 1972). The phylogenies of a number of bioenergetic enzymes have led to the conclusion that chemiosmosis was a process of energy conversion already used by the ancestor of Archaea and bacteria (Castresana et al. 1995; Henninger et al. 1999; Schütz et al. 2000; Baymann et al. 2003; Lebrun et al. 2003; Duval et al. 2008; Ducluzeau et al. 2009; Nitschke and Russell 2009; Lane et al. 2010), frequently referred to as the last universal common ancestor (LUCA)

Rieske/cytb complexes operate between the initial electron donors and the terminal electron acceptors of bioenergetic reaction chains as bioenergetic turbochargers (a turbocharger is a device that increases the power output of an engine by recovering waste energy in the exhaust and feeding it back into the engine intake), serving to harvest the excess electrochemical energy contained in certain substrate couples (Rich 1984; Nitschke and Russell 2009). The phylogeny reconstructed for this class of enzymes was in fact among the first to indicate an operation of the chemiosmotic mechanism in LUCA as a result of its clear-cut cleavage into an archaeal and a bacterial subtree and its far-going congruency with small subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA)–based species trees observed for both conserved subunits, cytochrome b and the Rieske protein (Castresana et al. 1995; Henninger et al. 1999; Schütz et al. 2000; Lebrun et al. 2006).
The phylogenetic trees of cytochrome b published so far suggest an astonishingly low occurrence of lateral gene transfer with the only prominent examples provided by the enzyme of Aquificales (Schütz et al. 2003), Haloarchaea (Boucher et al. 2003), and a potential case for the green sulphur bacteria (Nitschke et al. 2010).

In this study, we confirm and interpret interdomain lateral gene transfers of the Rieske/cytb gene cluster from Bacteria into Haloarchaea taking into account recent genome sequence data.

Basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) searches in Haloarchaea using cytochrome b sequences from various bacterial and archaeal phyla (Rhodobacter, Sulfolobus, Halobacterium, and Synechococcus) as query identified one or two gene clusters containing the two essential subunits of Rieske/cytb complexes, the Rieske iron sulfur protein and the transmembrane cytochrome b subunit, in the sequenced genomes from Haloarchaea marismortui (HalMa), Halogeometricum borinquense (HalBo), Haloquadratum walsbyi (HalWa), Halomicrobium mukohataei (HalMu), Halorhabdus utahensis (HalUt), Haloferax volcanii (HalVo), Haloarchaeum salinarum (HalSa), Halorubrum lacusprofundi (HalLa), and Halalkalicoccus jeotgalii (HalJe) (Boucher et al. 2003; Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007; Yoshimatsu et al. 2007). All tested queries allowed to find the genes coding for Rieske proteins and cytochrome b in Haloarchaea. Already before genome sequencing, inhibitor experiments (2-heptyl-4-hydroxy quinoline-N-oxide and Antimycin A) on membranes (Cheah 1969, 1970a, 1970b; Halberg-Gradin and Colmjo 1989) indicated the presence of Rieske/cytb complexes in Haloarchaea. Sreramulu et al. (1998) reported the only purification procedure so far for a Rieske/cytb complex from Haloarchaea that preserved both Rieske and cytochrome b subunits. Genome analysis revealed that Rieske/cytb-encoding genes are part of a larger gene cluster also encompassing the enzyme nitrate reductase (Nar). The Rieske/cytb complex was correspondingly proposed to participate in energy conversion via the denitrification chain in Haloarchaea (Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007; Yoshimatsu et al. 2007; Bonete et al. 2008; van Lis et al. 2010). This idea was reinforced by the observation that growth with nitrate (NO$_3^-$) is inhibited by antimycin A at a concentration of 100 μM (Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007). Antimycin A is a well-known inhibitor of Rieske/cytb complexes from mitochondria and proteobacteria and does not affect the bacterial Nar at these concentrations (Alefounder et al. 1981).

Here, we set out to analyze sequence conservation and phylogenetic positioning of the haloarchaeal Rieske/cytb complexes in detail, place our results in the context of the adaptation of the bioenergetic reaction chain to the environment, and discuss the selection pressure for integration of laterally transferred genes into the genome.

Materials and Methods

Protein sequences were collected by BLAST searches on completely sequenced genomes on the NCBI server. All available archaeal genomes were included in the analysis. For Bacteria, 5–10 species per phylum were selected, if available. Sequence alignments were done in several steps: A first alignment was done by Clustal (Larkin et al. 2007), and in a second step, the alignment was refined, taking into account the structural features of the protein, that were either taken directly from the 3D structure, when available, or in most cases predicted with the protein sequence analysis and modeling software package PSAAM (http://www.life.illinois.edu/crofts/ahab/psaam.html, last accessed July 26, 2012). With the help of Seaview (Galtier et al. 1996), the alignment was corrected to assure that corresponding structural elements (such as α-helices or β-sheets) were properly aligned. Each of these structural elements and the connecting sequence stretches was then submitted individually to Clustal. This procedure was crucial for the Rieske alignment as explained in detail in a previous study (Lebrun et al. 2006) and was also used to improve the alignment of the cytochrome b sequences. N- or C-terminal extensions that occur in individual species were cut from this alignment before submitting it to tree-building procedures. In the case of cytochrome b, either the entire protein sequence or the first four transmembranous helices and their connecting sequence stretches, corresponding to cytochrome $b_6$ in Cyanobacteria and chloroplasts, were used. Both sets of sequences gave similar results, but the less conserved C-terminal part of the protein induced a higher uncertainty reflected by lower bootstrap values. The “four-helix” alignment was used to calculate the trees of cytochrome b, shown in figures 3, 4, and 5. Different algorithms such as maximum likelihood, minimum evolution, or neighbor joining were tested to calculate the trees with the program MEGA (K. Tamura, D. Peterson, N. Peterson, M. Nei, and S. Kumar). The trees obtained with these different algorithms and with the neighbor joining algorithm (Felsenstein 1997) from Clustal, corrected for multiple substitutions, were essentially identical with respect to the branching order of the different phyla. Clustal was then used to calculate the trees depicted in this article.

Analysis of the Haloarchaeal Rieske/cytb Genes and Their Genomic Context

The Rieske/cytb-NAR Gene Cluster

In six species of Haloarchaea (HalUt, HalMa, HalMu, Hal Vo (plasmid), HalBo, and HalLa), genes coding for the Rieske/cytb complex cluster with the NAR-encoding genes. In the different genomes, they are invariably arranged as follows: Rieske $\rightarrow$ cytochrome $b$ $\rightarrow$ hypothetical protein $\rightarrow$ NarG $\rightarrow$ NarH (see fig. 1). A gene coding for cytochrome $b$ followed by the gene coding for the Rieske protein was already...
described as the canonical order of gene organization for this enzyme and provided the name Rieske/cytb complex for the enzyme family (Schütz et al. 2000; Kramer et al. 2009). The Rieske [2Fe2S] cluster of the haloarchaeal Rieske/cytb-Nar clusters are predicted to be low potential (i.e., below +200 mV) because they feature a glycine and a phenylalanine in the two positions reported to influence the redox potential of the iron–sulfur center (fig. 2b) (Denke et al. 1998; Schröter et al. 1998; Schoepp-Cothenet et al. 2009; Duval et al. 2010). In this cluster, no cytochrome c-encoding gene (such as cytochrome c1 or f, for a review see Kramer et al. (2009)) is present. As discussed by Martinez-Espinosa et al. (2007), the role of the electron shuttle between the Rieske protein and Nar probably is fulfilled by a periplasmic cytochrome b protein, also encoded in this gene cluster.

The Halocyanin–Rieske/cytb Gene Cluster

The above-mentioned six species and three further haloarchaeal species harbor an additional gene cluster coding for a Rieske/cytb complex (see fig. 1b). This cluster is characterized by the presence of a gene coding for halocyanin, a soluble type I copper protein. Cytochrome b is encoded on two genes with the split between the two parts being located at the same sequence position as observed in cytochrome b2f complexes and related enzymes (Widger et al. 1984; Hauska 1986; Sone et al. 1995; Schütz et al. 2000; Nitschke et al. 2010). However, at variance with these latter cytochrome b sequences that have four and three transmembrane helices, hydrophobicity plots indicate the presence of an eighth helix at the end of the C-terminal part of the second subunit. Eight helices are found in unsplit cytochromes b2s to the exception of those from Chlorobiaceae, δ-proteobacteria, and a few other species which have seven helices (members of group A1 and A2 in figs. 4 and 5, see below). The canonical gene order Rieske ▶ cytochrome b is present in this gene cluster in five of nine species (HalMa, HalMu, HalUt, HalSa, and HalJe); in the other four species (HalVo, HalBo, HalWa, and HalLa), a gene coding for the Rieske subunit is found elsewhere in the genome. HalMa has a second gene coding for a Rieske protein at another locus in the genome in addition to the Rieske gene in genomic context with the split cytochrome b genes. All these Rieske subunits, whether isolated in the genome or in context with the cytochrome b genes, are predicted to be of high potential (i.e., at or above +300 mV) because they have a serine and a tyrosine in the crucial positions (fig. 2b) (Denke et al. 1998; Schröter et al. 1998; Schoepp-Cothenet et al. 2009; Duval et al. 2010).

A Third Rieske/cytb Cluster in Haloferax volcanii

*Haloferax volcanii* is the only organism sequenced so far to have a third locus coding for a Rieske/cytb complex. The corresponding Rieske protein is high potential and precedes the gene coding for a cytochrome b, which is not split. Interestingly, this Rieske protein and the associated cytochrome b group on phylogenetic trees with the Rieske/cytb complexes that are in genomic context with Nar, but branch off at the basis of the phylogenetic clade (fig. 5).

Multiple sequence alignments of cytochrome b and the Rieske protein of the two types of gene clusters show high-sequence conservation among the sequences of each type contrasting with relatively low-sequence conservation between the two types. A multiple alignment of the sequence stretches in the vicinity of the strictly conserved heme ligating histidine residues, the most highly conserved part of the cytochrome b sequence, is shown in figure 2a and that of the well-conserved region around the Rieske cluster-binding motif in figure 2b.

**Phylogenetic Affiliation of the Two Distinct Types of Rieske/cytb Complexes**

Phylogenetic trees of cytochrome b and the Rieske protein are shown in figures 3, 4, 5, and 6. A schematic representation of the cytochrome b tree is shown in unrooted form in figure 3. Detailed internal phylogenies of bacterial cytochromes b can be seen in the subtrees in figures 4 and 5. Figure 4 shows a tree reconstructed from the alignment of cytochrome b sequences of Haloarchaea in genomic context with Nar and its
The Cytochrome b Tree

Rather than clustering with the archaeal subtree of the entire phylogeny, the two groups of haloarchaeal cytochromes b are located within bacterial phyla. Cytochrome b genes encoded in Rieske/cyt b complexes emerge from within a common clade comprising Actinobacteria and the Thermus/Deinococcus group (figs. 3 and 4). The second class of sequences, characterized by a split cytochrome b gene, is affiliated with a region in the tree containing the \( b_{6}f \) complex and related enzymes, that is, the "green clade" of Rieske/cyt b complexes (Nitschke et al. 2010). The phyla belonging to this "green clade," as defined in Nitschke et al. (2010) are Heliobacteria, Bacilli, Cyanobacteria, chloroplasts, and Chlorobiaceae. Although the detailed position of cytochrome b of the haloarchaeal Rieske/cyt b-Nar cluster within the Actinobacteria/Deinococcus group is robust and characterized by reasonably high bootstrap values (>90),
the exact branching point of the haloarchaeal split cytochrome $b$ sequences (figs. 3 and 5) varies substantially as a function of the ensemble of sequences of the “green clade” included in the analysis. The low robustness of the internal topology of the “green clade” and low bootstrap values (about 50) in this region of the tree have already been noticed (Nitschke et al. 2010). Our recent genome analysis revealed a number of “additional species” that branch off in this part of the phylogenetic tree according to phylogenetic analysis of their cytochromes $b$ (groups A1 and A2 in fig. 3). They belong to a variety of phylogenetic groups, namely Nitrospiraceae, $\delta$-proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Clamydia, Planctomycetes, Deferribacteres, Chloroflexaceae, Firmicutes, and Gemmatimonadetes. Some of these species have more than one Rieske/cyt$b$ complex and they show part or all of the characteristics that we consider as phylogenetic marker traits of the “green clade,” such as a split cytochrome $b$, seven transmembrane helices in cytochrome $b$, and the presence of the putative cysteine ligand to heme $c_i$ (see below). Their position on the phylogenetic tree of cytochrome $b$ is not in agreement with their position on the 16S-rRNA tree, indicating that these species acquired their Rieske/cyt$b$ complex via lateral gene transfer. Among these species is a group of $\delta$-proteobacteria (Geobacter species). These bacteria encode two Rieske/cyt$b$ complexes in their genome, one that is located in a position of the phylogenetic tree that is in agreement with its position on the 16S-rRNA tree and a second Rieske/cyt$b$ complex with a split cytochrome $b$ that can be found on the tree among the members of the “green clade.” If we include the Rieske/cyt$b$ complexes of these species (groups A1 and A2 in fig. 3) in the analysis, they are positioned among the members of the “green clade.” In the resulting tree, the bootstrap values in this part of the tree drop and the phyla belonging to the “green clade” no longer group on a single branch of the phylogenetic tree. Therefore, they cannot be named a clade anymore and will be referred to as the “green branches” in the following. Within the subtree of group A1 or group A2, the bootstrap values are relatively high (>50), but we cannot exclude that these reasonable bootstrap values and the distribution of the corresponding species into two groups are influenced by long-branch attraction. Despite these possible problems in phylogenetic tree reconstruction, we consider the phylogenetic proximity of the haloarchaeal split cytochrome $b$ sequences to the “green branches” as real, because these sequences were under all circumstances (i.e., set of sequences reconstruction method) found in the region of the tree where the “green branches” are located.

The split cytochrome $b$ gene was previously suggested as a phylogenetic marker characterizing all representatives of the “green branches” except its most basally branching cluster, that is, the green sulphur bacterial sequences (Nitschke et al. 2010). Indeed, split cytochromes $b$ are found only among the “green branches” and in some of the species of groups A1 and A2, namely in two sequences of Candidatus Kuenenia stuttgartiensis, in Blastopirellula marina, Desulfovoccus oleovorans, Pirellula staleyi, and Denitrovibrio acetiphilus, in one of the four cytochrome $b$ sequences from Solibacter usitatus, in Ktedonobacter racemifer, Symbiobacterium thermophilum, and in one group of Geobacter sequences. The fact that the haloarchaeal group of split cytochromes $b$ again phylogenetically emanates from the region of the tree that harbors the “green branches” reinforces the molecular marker property.
of this trait. Archaea and the remaining bacterial phyla among which are found the lowest branching groups of the bacterial domain have an unsplit cytochrome \( b \) corroborating the conclusion that the ancestral cytochrome \( b \) indeed existed as a single gene entity (Kramer et al. 2009). The fact that the split cytochrome \( b \) occurs only among members of the “green branches” and that all sequences are split between the forth and the fifth transmembrane helix may suggest that the split occurred only once during the history of this enzyme. However, in all cytochrome \( b \) trees, some species of groups A1 and A2 with an unsplit cytochrome \( b \) gene are positioned among the species with a split cytochrome. This precludes a clearer statement on the singularity of the splitting event.

The number of transmembrane helices was proposed to be another marker trait of the “green branches” (Schütze et al. 2000; Nitschke et al. 2010). All members of the green branches were reported to have seven helices, the remaining Rieske/cytb enzymes harboring cytochrome \( b \) subunits with eight helices. In haloarchaeal split cytochrome \( b \), however, the hydrophobic stretches in the two subunits sum up to eight, and during sequence analysis for this study, we realized that the cytochrome \( b \) sequences of the two Rieske/cytb complexes present in the so far sequenced Geobacter species (Geobacter sp., G. bemidjiensis, G. metallireducens, G. sulfurreducens, and G. uranireducens) have only seven hydrophobic (putative transmembranous) stretches. Therefore, we now suppose that loss of a C-terminal \( \alpha \)-helix occurred several times during the evolutionary history of this subunit.

The presence of the conserved cysteine residue that covalently binds heme \( c_6 \) in Cyanobacteria (Kurisu et al. 2003) and chloroplasts (Stroebel et al. 2003) is also observed in all members of Heliobacteria and Bacilli. In addition, it occurs in one of the two Rieske/cytb complexes encoded in the genome of Geobacter species and in some of the species of groups A1 and A2, namely in two sequences from Candidatus Kueneria stuttgartiensis, in Blastopirellula marina, Ktedonobacter racemifer, Pirellula staleyi, Desulfococcus oleovorans and in one of the four cytochrome \( b \) sequences from Solibacter usitatus. In all these cases, the presence of the cysteine residue is concomitant to the presence of the split cytochrome \( b \) sequence with seven helices in total. Haloarchaea, Symbiobacterium thermophilum and Denitrovibrio acetophilus are the only organisms known so far that feature a split of the cytochrome \( b \) sequence but not the conserved cysteine residue as a putative ligand to heme \( c_6 \). So far, only for Heliobacteria, it has been confirmed experimentally that the presence of the cysteine indeed correlated with the presence of heme \( c_6 \) (Ducluzeau et al. 2008). Further work is required to extend this correlation to other phyla.

The Rieske Tree

Phylogenetic studies of the Rieske subunit are hampered by features inherent to this protein (Lebrun et al. 2006) as there are its short sequence and the presence of insertions of different length that most probably occurred several times independently in different lineages and in different well-defined positions in the protein sequence. Therefore, structural information is necessary to guide the sequence alignment. We constructed a phylogenetic tree of the Rieske subunit in 2006 (Lebrun et al. 2006), based on the available crystal structures or the structure predictions for proteins of phyla where no structures could be obtained so far. Further work on the phylogeny of this protein subunit is awaiting new crystal structures of representatives of so far unrepresented phyla.

Therefore, for the time being, we base our discussion of the phylogeny of the Rieske subunit on the tree published in 2006 to which we added the sequences of the two types of Rieske subunits of Haloarchaea (see fig. 6).

In the Rieske tree, as in the tree of cytochrome \( b \) sequences from most of the organisms that belong to a phylogenetic group cluster together, and some of the above-discussed cases of lateral gene transfer can be clearly detected: Archaea and bacteria are well separated on the tree to the exception of the Haloarchaea. The Proteobacteria form a well-defined clade and the Aquificales are affiliated to the \( \varepsilon \)-proteobacteria, as observed for cytochrome \( b \). The Rieske protein that is in genomic context with Nar in Haloarchaea is the only organism to which we added the sequences of the two types of Rieske subunits of Haloarchaea (see fig. 6).

The Rieske proteins affiliated to the Nar cluster, indicating that both complexes have been acquired by independent events of lateral gene transfer. Despite the shortcomings of the Rieske tree, we take the similarities between the Rieske and the cytochrome \( b \) trees...
and the fact that the two genes are nearly always organized in the order Rieske→cytochrome b as an indication that the Rieske subunit and the cytochrome b subunit co-evolved.

**Discussion**

Phylogenetic analysis indicated that Haloarchaea acquired their Rieske/cytb complexes twice independently from different bacterial sources. The presence of this enzyme allows optimizing the energy yield of diverse respiratory metabolisms, due to the efficient proton pumping capacity of the complex. Rieske/cytb complexes and their functional role in bioenergetic chains are reasonably well characterized in Heliobacteria (Kramer et al. 1997; Ducluzeau et al. 2008), Bacillii (Yu and Le Brun 1988; Sone and Fujiwara 1991; Liebl et al. 1992; Tanaka et al. 1996), Actinobacteria (Sone et al. 2001, 2003), and Aquificales (Schütz et al. 2003). They are extremely well studied in proteobacteria and mitochondria (p.e. Xia et al. 1984; Zhang et al. 1998; Cape et al. 2006; Swierczek et al. 2010), chloroplasts, and cyanobacteria (p.e. Joliot and Joliot 1986; Kurisu et al. 2003; Stroebel et al. 2003; Alric et al. 2005; Baymann et al. 2007). However, our knowledge on archaeal representatives of this enzyme family remains poor. Biochemical and biophysical characterizations of archaeal representatives of this enzyme have been reported for the Crenarchaeota Sulfolobus acidocaldarius and sp. (Lübben et al. 1994; Brugna et al. 1999; Bönisch et al. 2003; Hiller et al. 2003; Iwasaki et al. 2004) and for Acidilus ambivalens (Bandeiras et al. 2009). For the Sulfolobus species, a functional supercomplex of the Rieske/cyt complex with an oxidase has been obtained (Iwasaki et al. 1995; Komorowski et al. 2002), and a study on Pyrobaculum ogunense (Nunoura et al. 2003) showed that the Rieske/cyt complex is expressed together with a SoxB-type oxidase under anaerobic growth conditions.

In the case of Haloarchaea, a detailed scenario for the involvement of the Rieske/cyt enzymes encoded by the Rieske/cytb-Nar gene clusters in the denitrifying chain has been proposed (Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007). In this model, the Rieske/cytb complex delivers electrons via a periplasmic high-potential b-type cytochrome to the iron–sulphur subunit NarH and eventually to the catalytic subunit NarG of the Nar-type nitrate reductase. In agreement with this electron transfer chain in Haloarchaea, Nar appears to be located in the periplasm in contrast to the characterized denitrification chains of the domain Bacteria, where nitrate reduction via Nar occurs in the cytoplasm and where electron donation to Nar bypasses the Rieske/cytb complex (Yoshimatsu et al. 2002; Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007; van Lis et al. 2010). However, this mode of operation of the haloarchaeal Rieske/cytb-Nar enzymes so far relies mainly on bioinformatic data (Martinez-Espinosa et al. 2007; Bonete et al. 2008) and needs to be tested experimentally.

For the second Rieske/cytb complex in the genome of Haloarchaea, featuring a split cytochrome b and a high-potential Rieske protein, no physiological role has been proposed so far. Halocyanin encoded in the same gene cluster may be an electron acceptor of the Rieske/cytb complex and has been proposed to be a donor to a cytochrome oxidase (Scharf et al. 1997). An implication of this complex in aerobic respiration seems the most likely candidate. We would like to point out in this context that the presence of a high-potential Rieske protein in an organism for which low-potential menaquinone is the only quinone detected (Collins and Jones 1981; de Rosa and Gambacorta 1988; Waino et al. 2000; Gruber et al. 2004) is unusual. In general, the redox potential of the Rieske cluster correlates with the redox potential of its electron donor, the quinone (Schoepp-Cothenet et al. 2009). Experimental results on bc1 complexes that have high-potential Rieske proteins and normally react with high-potential ubiquinones showed that low-potential quinones as substrate resulted in enhanced superoxide production (Cape et al. 2005). However, this combination appears to occur in nature in Haloarchaea that grow for the most part aerobically.

Haloarchaea are hitherto the only recognized representatives of Archaea that imported their Rieske/cytb complexes from the domain of Bacteria. Lateral gene transfer out of the bacterial domain was deduced earlier from the genome sequence of the first sequenced Haloarchaeal genome, Halobacterium NARC-1, for six genes of menaquinone synthesis, cytochrome c oxidase, and NADH dehydrogenase (Kennedy et al. 2001). A later investigation of a few additional halobacterial species confirmed these results (Boucher et al. 2003). Further phylogenetic studies revealed that in addition to the Rieske/cytb complexes, the enzyme nitric oxide reductase also appear to have been imported into Haloarchaea via lateral gene transfer from a donor related to Actinobacteria (Ducluzeau et al. 2009; van Lis et al. 2010). It has been argued that Haloarchaea are specifically prone to lateral gene transfer from other halophilic species, both archaeal and bacterial, due to sharing a very confined, restricted extreme habitat (Mongodin et al. 2005). Certainly, this is a necessary condition for lateral gene transfer to occur but the question of the evolutionary advantage, that is, the selection pressure for the laterally transferred genes to remain and integrate the genome of the receptor species, remains unsolved. A close inspection of recent 16S rRNA phylogenetic trees of the archaeal domain (Yarza et al. 2008; Brochier-Armanet et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2011) prompted us to propose a hypothetical answer to this question. In these species phylogenies, Haloarchaea cluster together with Methanosarcinales and Methanomicrobiales, that is, with archaeal species having a very specialized energy metabolism, that is, methanogenesis. Due to the strongly reducing electrochemical properties of the involved substrates, methanogenic electron transfer chains operate at substantially lower redox potentials than typical aerobic and anaerobic respiratory chains. Methanosarcinales
have methanophenazine, a hydrophobic molecule that fulfills the electron and proton shuttle function of quinones while featuring a much lower redox potential (Thauer et al. 2008). Methanomicrobiales do not have this molecule and like all methanogens are devoid of enzymes involved in the higher potential aerobic or anaerobic respiratory chains to the exception of the ATPase. Therefore, the common ancestor of extant Haloarchaea was restricted in its bioenergetic possibilities to methanogenesis using CO₂ as an electron acceptor and hydrogen, acetate, pyruvate, formate, alcohol, or methyl compounds as electron donors. The ΔG available from these reactions is low (136 kJ at best with H₂ as an electron donor, due to the low ΔF_m of the redox couples CH₄/CO₂ and H⁺/H₂ of 150 mV (Thauer et al. 2008)). If other electron acceptors such as sulphate, nitrate Fe³⁺, or Mn⁴⁺ are available, methanogens will have to compete for H₂ against organisms relying on anaerobic respiration of these oxidized molecules, that is, on a much more energy-rich metabolism.

Environmental changes due to either global geochemical transitions of migration to new habitats, which resulted in a shortage in hydrogen, and/or the appearance of different electron acceptors and bacteria that metabolize them may have rendered the methanogenic lifestyle insufficiently competitive. Devoid of nearly all bioenergetic enzymes for metabolisms besides methanogenesis, the ancestor of Haloarchaea may have found a way to enhance its fitness by taking in the bioenergetic equipment of Bacteria that shared the habitat. Integrating these genes into its genome allowed the Haloarchaea to radiate to the metabolical diversity found today. The phylogeny of the Rieske/cytb complex is a good example for dominant vertical gene transfer to the exception of a few specific cases such as Haloarchaea, where laterally acquired genes provided an evolutionary advantage to the parent species and therefore got stabilized in the genome. Today, only a few other enzymes of the bioenergetic reaction chain of the Haloarchaea are studied by bioinformatic means. The investigation of further enzymes and a biochemical and biophysical characterization of the energy metabolism of Haloarchaea will be necessary to back up our hypothesis and to draw further conclusions. In the meantime, we would like to summarize available information. First, lateral gene transfer between organisms even phylogenetically as distant as Archaea and Bacteria does occur and may involve numerous genes. Second, there are bioenergetic enzymes such as the Rieske/cytb complexes that show only a few cases of lateral gene transfer indicating that in most cases, laterally transferred genes are lost rapidly. Third, for the example analyzed here, Haloarchaea, the bioenergetic equipment of its ancestor was highly specialized and adapted to a metabolism with poor energy yield. At one point, in history, laterally transferred genes could have enlarged the metabolic capacities of individual cells and therefore may have conferred an evolutionary advantage to single organisms in their specific environment. Selection pressure could have operated on the difference between individuals, and as a consequence, organism with acquired metabolic capacities took over in the population and the imported gene became finally part of the genome of the species. Therefore, events of lateral gene transfer do not necessarily fully blur the evolutionary history of enzymes and their parent organisms but may bear witness to the selection pressure that resulted in their integration in the genome. If we can pinpoint these events on a phylogenetic tree of an enzyme and if we know the function of the enzyme and the bioenergetic reaction chains it is operating in, these events may hold information concerning the geochemical and biological history that shaped the organism.
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