Making a difference: 5 years of Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance (CSIA)
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Summary

Informed by the almost unimaginable unmet need for cardiac surgery in the developing regions of the world, leading surgeons, cardiologists, editors in chief of the major cardiothoracic journals as well as representatives of medical industry and government convened in December 2017 to address this unacceptable disparity in access to care. The ensuing “Cape Town Declaration” constituted a clarion call to cardiac surgical societies to jointly advocate the strengthening of sustainable, local cardiac surgical capacity in the developing world. The Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance (CSIA) was thus created, comprising The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS), the American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS), the Asian Society for Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery (ASCVTS), the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the World Heart Federation (WHF). The guiding principle was advocacy for sustainable cardiac surgical capacity in low-income countries. As a first step, a global needs assessment confirmed rheumatic heart disease as the overwhelming pathology requiring cardiac surgery in these regions. Subsequently, CSIA published a request for proposals to support fledgling programmes that could demonstrate the backing by their governments and health care institution. Out of 11 applicants, and following an evaluation of the sites, including site visits to the 3 finalists, Mozambique and Rwanda were selected as the first Pilot Sites. Subsequently, a mentorship and training agreement was completed between Mozambique and the University of Cape Town, a middle-income country with a comparable burden of rheumatic heart disease. The agreement entails regular video calls between the heart teams, targeted training across all aspects of cardiac surgery, as well as on-site presence of mentoring teams for complex cases with the strict observance of ‘assisting only’. In Rwanda, Team Heart, a US and Rwanda-based non-governmental organization (NGO) that has been performing cardiac surgery in Rwanda and helping to train the cardiac surgery workforce since 2008, has agreed to continue providing mentorship for the local team and to assist in the establishment of independent cardiac surgery with all that entails. This involves intermittent virtual conferences between Rwandan and US cardiologists for surgical case selection. Five years after CSIA was founded, its ‘Seal of Approval’ for the sustainability of endorsed programmes in Mozambique and Rwanda has resulted in higher case numbers, a
stronger government commitment, significant upgrades of infrastructure, the nurturing of generous consumable donations by industry and the commencement of negotiations with global donors for major grants. Extending the CSIA Seal to additional deserving programmes could further align the international cardiac surgical community with the principle of local cardiac surgery capacity-building in developing countries.

**Keywords:** Sustainable cardiac surgery • Developing countries

### ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AATS</td>
<td>American Association for Thoracic Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVTS</td>
<td>Asian Society for Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID</td>
<td>Coronavirus disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Cardiac surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA</td>
<td>Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Cape Town Declaration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EACTS</td>
<td>The European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HICs</td>
<td>High-income countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>Intensive care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACES</td>
<td>Latin American Association of Cardiac and Endovascular Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICS</td>
<td>Low-income countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMICs</td>
<td>Low- to middle-income countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Non-governmental Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASCaTS</td>
<td>Pan African Society for Cardiothoracic Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHD</td>
<td>Rheumatic heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>The Society of Thoracic Surgeons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF</td>
<td>Thoracic Surgery Foundation, the charitable arm of STS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>University of Cape Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHF</td>
<td>World Heart Federation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BACKGROUND

Large parts of the world have still no access to life-saving heart surgery [1-5]. Moreover, in the public perception, the need for cardiac surgery (CS) in developing countries has always paled in the face of the infectious diseases challenges, exacerbated by the setting of severe resource limitations. Furthermore, fly-in missions by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) [6, 7]—primarily for children—often gave the impression in some circles that the most urgent needs were taken care of [8]. At the same time, the dramatically diminishing incidence of rheumatic heart disease (RHD) in high-income countries (HICs) was erroneously interpreted as a worldwide development. In the absence of reliable government and/or public health statistics in affected regions, however, the notion of RHD being a disease of the past and coronary artery disease being a disease of the future further kept the perception alive that, in developing countries, adult CS was not the most pressing matter [9]. In this scenario, with the countries hampered by poorly funded healthcare systems, overwhelmed by infectious diseases including HIV, neither the need-assessment for open-heart surgery nor the allocation of the necessary resources were healthcare priorities.

In light of these circumstances, public awareness for the surgical needs of RHD had faded by the time cardiology societies eventually embraced the issue [10, 11]. Such discipline-specific engagements by professional organizations had always played a crucial role in the response of the greater society to health challenges [12-15]. Initially driven by African cardiologists [10, 11, 16, 17], RHD had slowly moved back onto the global research agenda [11, 18, 19] with key data emerging largely from RHD-endemic countries.

The ‘Global Burden of Disease’ estimated that in 2023, there were over 55 million people living with this disease: 10.7 million DALYS (Disability-adjusted Life Years) and >396 000 deaths annually [20]. The increase in prevalence suggests survivor bias, although this is limited to regions with surgical and medical expertise. New datasets on the genetic predisposition to RHD and proteomic signatures represent potential avenues for future interventions [21].

Eventually, sustained multi-pronged advocacy [17] led to the 2018 resolution by the World Health Organisation on Rheumatic Fever and Rheumatic Heart Disease, declaring it a global health priority [22]. This declaration set the stage for the emergence of momentum for a global response [17, 18, 23]. In the wake of these developments, tangible progress was made in primary and secondary prophylaxis with the landmark GOAL clinical trial [24] and the publication of the revised World Heart Federation (WHF) echo screening guidelines [25] and poor 12-month outcomes [26] in low-income countries (LICs). From disease progression studies in Africa [27] to the ambitious and resource-intensive development of a vaccine by the Australian and New Zealand Governments [28] to major non-communicable disease initiatives [29], RHD re-emerged as a top research and health policy concern. Reflecting this new impetus, multi-national clinical studies like the ‘INVICTUS’ study have already created unprecedented data sets for tailor-made treatment regimens in RHD [30] outlining the need for clearer actions regarding tertiary interventions such as surgery [31].

However, while the final goal of this increased focus on RHD [17-19, 22, 32, 33] is preventing RHD in the 1st place, it also became clear that, due to the protracted course of the disease, a large number of patients will continue to require surgery for years to come [19, 32, 34]. Unfortunately, while the prevalence of asymptomatic RHD could be determined in screening programmes [35], the proportion of patients actually needing surgery as a consequence of failed prophylaxis remained unknown. Moreover, without the possibility of surgical referral, there was little impetus for prevalence studies in countries lacking CS [4, 5, 36].

Faced with this growing engagement of cardiology societies for RHD [10, 11, 19], it became increasingly imperative for the global professional associations of CS to also unite behind the cause and take on an activist role. Time seemed ripe to get on board for a multitude of reasons. For 1, several governments have eventually realized the cost effectiveness of heart valve surgery, as it largely restores health at the peak of a person’s productivity [34], often leading to a return to a productive role in society. Addressing this need, significant initiatives in global CS began to emerge, including externally funded infrastructure projects. On the skills side, resident training has begun to shift from the USA and Europe to India and China with their more representative incidences of RHD.
Most promisingly, ground-breaking new technologies have already made tele-medicine a reality. Cellphone-based echo capabilities [37], for instance, and internet-based group-communications, help to better improve communication for the few existing cardiac centres. New drug developments like direct oral anticoagulants may create a new urgency for valve repairs in patients with RHD [38, 39] and atrial fibrillation [40]. Furthermore, transcatheter approaches [41, 42] and affordable modern imaging [43] have the potential to be transformative.

In recognition of the urgency for the CS community to meaningfully engage in these re-emphasized global dynamics, representatives of the major cardiothoracic surgical societies, the editors in chief of their journals and the World Heart Federation undertook to:

- unite behind a call-to-arms to advocate sustainable CS capacity-building in LICs [1, 44–49];
- determine the magnitude of the problem by a jointly conducted surgical need assessment for RHD in low- to middle-income countries (LMICs) [2];
- lend its ‘seal of approval’ to pilot programmes subscribing to the principles of sustainability on the basis of a commitment by the local government and institution; mentorship and training agreements with leading institutions in middle-income countries that reflect the rheumatic predominance of their own environment and long-term academic partnerships with tertiary institutions in industrialized countries [50].

The ensuing umbrella structure is called Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance (CSIA) [50–52]. This is a report 5 years after CSIA has been ratified by all participating societies.

**PRINCIPLES AND IMPLEMENTATION OF CARDIAC SURGERY INTERSOCIETY ALLIANCE**

**Origins of Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance**

At the 50th anniversary of the 1st heart transplant in 2017, a broad consensus was reached among representatives of all major CS societies, the editors of their leading journals and the World Heart Federation that cardiac surgical initiatives in developing countries should henceforth be focussed on the establishment of sustainable local operating capacity [18, 53].

Culminating in the ‘Cape Town Declaration’ (CTD) [1, 44–49], the adoption of this principle inevitably represented a departure from the prevailing enthusiasm for fly-in missions, where an entire team of overseas specialists operates a small number of patients.

To this end, the CSIA called for in the CTD was initiated and endorsed by each of the participating societies. In spite of the unforeseen and difficult obstacles posed by the pandemic, CSIA successfully concludes its first 5 years as a firmly established entity:

- Councils of all 5 major cardiac surgical societies (USA, Asia and Europe) and of the World Heart Federation ‘ratified’ their CSIA participation in 2018/2019. The founding document dedicates the alliance to fostering local cardiac surgical capacity in the developing countries. The initial mandate is to address the neglected yet predominant cardiac pathology of RHD.
- CSIA’s ‘administrative home’ at the University of Cape Town (UCT) was carefully selected as a location in a middle-income country where high standards of CS coincide with a high burden of RHD.

**Needs assessment**

As a first step, signatories of the CTD representing LMICs with a combined population of 1.6 billion people engaged in a needs-assessment for surgery for RHD to grasp the true extent of unmet heart valve interventions in these regions [2, 3]. The study was the first to assess the proportion of patients previously diagnosed in RHD screening studies [35] who ultimately require surgery. It showed that, contrary to the widely perceived downward trend of RHD, it remains the single most common cardiovascular disease in young adult and adolescent patients in need of heart surgery in low- and lower-middle-income countries, outweighing other indications such as congenital cardiac defects almost 4-fold [3]. The overall need ranged from 200–250 operations/million population in endemic regions of South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa to 300–400 operations/million population in endemic hot-spots like Oceania [2, 3]. The study also revealed that only 0.5–7 cardiac operations/million are actually provided in LICs compared to >1000 operations/million in industrialized countries [2, 3].

This dismally low level of CS stands in stark contrast to the often optimistic assessment of NGOs regarding the impact of their ‘missions’ [54, 55]. What aggravates the latter is the disproportional focus on paediatric cases. Of the 86 NGOs actively providing CS in LMICs in 2022, only 5 (6%) focused on adult CS (i.e. RHD), 25 (29%) performed at least some degree of adult open-heart surgery and 56 (65%) performed exclusively paediatric CS [56], although adult and adolescent patients with their predominant RHD are more than 4 times more common than children with heart defects [3]. Moreover, the sophisticated post-operative follow-up adults with congenital heart disease often require for life is non-existent. The fewer than 30 annual cases reported by NGOs focusing on non-paediatric patients seem to confirm this imbalance [56]. The impact of this mission-based model is further diminished by the duplication of efforts of multiple teams flying in and out independently needing the process of approval, local coordination, patient selection, etc., carried out in a repetitive fashion [56]. As such, nearly half of the NGOs responding to a survey reported that distance and cost of the trips made it very difficult to increase the activity of their programmes [57]. Therefore, while this first step of CSIA’s undertaking provided facts regarding the under-delivery of CS in LMICs, it also highlighted the need for a focus-shift towards the strengthening of local surgical capacity.

**Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance endorsement principles**

In LICs, whether CS is offered at all and at what level largely depends on 4 major determinants: (i) the commitment of governments and their available resources [15]; (ii) the primary healthcare systems, which are often inadequate; (iii) socio-economic conditions that trigger RHD in the first place and (iv)
whether the highly specialized discipline of CS itself is capable of dealing with needs that are very different from those of the industrialized world [3]. While a global collective body of professional cardiac surgical representations cannot influence the socioeconomic circumstances in a country, such an entity’s potential to contribute to the sustainability of local CS through its sheer global presence has proven to be an incentive for governments to committing themselves to this goal [52]. Recent negotiations with the Dutch Government, for instance, regarding a substantial infrastructure and training grant for Mozambique, rest entirely on the presence of the CSIA ‘Seal of Approval’, with its demonstrated and published transparency of processes and with the collective weight of the sponsoring organizations. Similarly, the CSIA requirement for a mentorship agreement with a reasonably high-volume tertiary institution in a middle-income country addresses the fact that in a developing country CS itself differs profoundly from how it is being taught in North America and Europe. This carries Dearani et al.’s principle of ‘twinning’ [15, 58], one step further. For an NGO-based model, a symbiotic arrangement between the local champions and a tertiary institution of a HIC has already been crucially augmenting the efficacy of outreach initiatives. In a government-based approach, ‘twinning’ with a functioning institution of a middle-income country has not only the benefit of mirroring the relevant pathologies but also of seeing how resource constraints may be dealt with without jeopardizing service delivery. The CSIA principles expand the twinning to a tri-partite support structure whereby the clinical mentorship comes from a tertiary institution of a middle-income country while research partnerships are encouraged with Universities of industrialized countries.

Finally, the central role of the World Heart Federation in this cardiac surgical initiative has anticipated the necessary cross-fertilization between diagnostic RHD outreach programmes and CS [18]. In a similar multi-country fact-finding study to CSIA’s need-assessment, cardiologists and health economists determined in a Pan African study that costs for valve surgery were below those for primary prevention, greatly refuting the assumption that heart valve surgery is excessively more expensive than current primary prophylaxis—a long-held belief that had contributed widely to de-prioritizing the provision of CS [59].

Against this background, the criteria for CSIA support were developed [50] with the overriding principle of ‘sustainability of local cardiac surgical capabilities’ in mind (Fig. 1):

- CSIA does not see itself as another NGO. The societies making up the fabric of CSIA largely represent the industrialized world, where not only the financial prowess exists to afford the high level of sophistication that comes with modern cardiovascular medicine but also where most of the leading medical industry resides, as well as those cardiac surgeons who are the backbone of philanthropic initiatives. As such, the unique angle at which CSIA can bring new impetus and energy into the efforts to establish sustainable CS in developing countries lies in ‘focused advocacy’. This advocacy has a good chance of exerting an impact due to the dedicated commitment a ‘united global front of cardiac surgical societies’ can bring to bear.
- CSIA serves as a guarantor of sustainability. The ‘CSIA Seal of Approval’ is intended to reassure donors and governments that the outcome of an endorsed programme has a far greater chance of resulting in increased local, sustainable capacity.
- One lesson drawn from the accumulated experience of NGOs relates to local government support. Although many NGO’s intended to create sustainable local capabilities, only a few prevailed [60–62]. Without a full commitment by government—either financially and/or by some integration into

THE 5 PILLARS OF CSIA SUPPORTED PROJECTS: PILOT SITE MOZAMBIQUE

Figure 1: The 7 principles underlying a CSIA agreement as published in the ‘Calls for Proposals to be a Pilot-Site’ in 2019 [50]. Inserted in red are the complying criteria for the Mozambiquean site selection. CSIA: Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance; CEO: chief executive officer; Gov.: government; NGO: non-governmental organization; RHD: rheumatic heart disease; TSF: Thoracic Surgery Foundation.
the national health and referral system—sustainability is unachievable [6, 7]. This crucial role of government involvement and commitment is demonstrated by both the positive example of the Namibian CS programme [63, 64] as well as the demise of the initial Zimbabwean programme in 2003 [65]. The latter had shown how vulnerable fledgling local programmes are when government support is withdrawn [65]. Informed by these examples, as well as others, ‘one pillar for CSIA endorsement’ is a strong contractual commitment by the respective ministry of health and the CEO of the hospital towards the sustainability of a programme [50] (Fig. 2).

- Addressing very different pathologies under extremely different conditions also means that mentorships by institutions of HICs will not result in the optimal preparation of trainees for the daily demands of their profession at their home countries, such as rheumatic multi-valve surgery or Tb lung surgery. Unintentionally, this training-bias towards degenerative cardiovascular diseases may contribute to the pull-effect of private institutions in LICs, where the rich with their predominantly degenerative pathologies are being treated. Therefore, the ‘second pillar of CSIA support is mentorship’ by a high-volume institution—ideally in a middle-income country where the prevailing pathology and the patient profile mirror those of the supported programme [50].

- As RHD mainly affects the indigent population of LICs, its treatment relies on public health care facilities where staff retention is the greatest hurdle to sustainability. As the strongest pull-factors for healthcare workers from local private facilities and from overseas were found to be non-financial [66], addressing staff frustration in a fledgling cardiac surgical programme is key to long-term stability. As such, advanced training of relevant skills including anaesthesiologists, intensivists and perfusionists, access to enabling technology through advocacy and embedding in a supportive and appreciative professional network of institutions, which share patient profiles and daily challenges were defined as those ‘activities’ through which ‘CSIA can contribute most to the sustainability of cardiac services’ in a developing country.

Pilot programme selection: circumstances-guided endorsements

In a next step, ‘pilot programs for CSIA support’ were chosen on the basis of the CSIA criteria published in all major cardiothoracic journals in 2019 [50] (Fig. 1). Criteria focused on existing local operating capacity, local championing, governmental and facility support, appropriate identification of a specific gap in care, and the desire to engage in future research. To create public awareness for these criteria, CSIA activities included steering committee meetings, presidential addresses [67, 68] and plenary sessions at annual conferences [69] as well as exhibit hall participation for advertisement and recruitment. For one, only programmes that had succeeded in setting-up a minimum level of independently performed CS were considered in this first round.

System-inherent hurdles typical for LICs were recognized. Generally, these hurdles to the expansion of a programme have a multitude of causes, most prominently the economic realities and resource limitations which serve to restrict access to imported consumables.

The lack of experience in conducting research studies is indirectly also a hurdle to the expansion of a programme. There would certainly be great interest in studies that can address global questions on the basis of the unique patient population of a LIC (e.g. poor INR control in mechanical heart valves) [70]. Properly conducted, such studies would make a struggling programme in a developing country attractive for industry-support. Therefore, the final requirement for CSIA endorsement of an academic mentorship by a renowned tertiary institution [50] will likely be a significant accelerator for the expansion of a programme but will only have a realistic chance of implementation once clinical services have reached a sustainable steady-state.

Following the 2019 call for proposals [50], 11 submissions were received (Table 1). Even though their geographic spectrum reached from Africa to Asia, the vast majority came from Africa [52]. After 3 finalists had been selected, on the basis of fulfilling the CSIA criteria (Fig. 1) closest, site visits were conducted. The 2 selected programmes were Hospital Central Maputo (Mozambique) and King Faisal Hospital Kigali (Rwanda) [52]. Although the 3 years since this endorsement saw the coronavirus disease (COVID) pandemic, much was achieved and most importantly, the ‘CSIA criteria were vindicated’ as they not only proved to be a powerful tool in selecting those programmes that have the best chance of passing the test of the time, but also helped to greatly accelerate their maturation:

- All finalist countries had their ministers of health and the CEO of the applying hospital personally meet the CSIA delegation pledging full support for the programme in writing.
- Subsequent delivery of government/institutional commitments of the chosen programmes towards infrastructure, consumables and capacity allocation surpassed expectations.
- Mentorship agreements with tertiary institutions of middle-income countries with their high incidence of RHD led to tripartite ‘Memoranda of Understanding’ (MoUs) under CSIA auspices providing direct support of the local team in their daily clinical activities and through staff exchanges (Fig. 2).
- Channelling the donation of consumables like heart valves through CSIA rather than their own charitable programmes provided industry with higher visibility while significantly contributing to the increase in case numbers in regions.

Figure 2: Signing of bilateral Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance Mentorship Agreement between the Mozambiquan Government/Maputo Central Hospital and the University of Cape Town.
Table 1: Evolution of CSIA’s role since its inception at the example of Mozambique as one of the 2 selected CSIA pilot sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Parties involved</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'CPT Declaration' calling for the establishment of ‘CSIA’</td>
<td>Participants of 50th anniversary of 1st HTX; Society Presidents; Editors in Chief</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratification by Societies</td>
<td>STS; AATS; ASCVTS; EACTS; WHF</td>
<td>January 2018 to February 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need assessment: surgery for RHD</td>
<td>13 LMICs; 3 HICs CSIA 32—author study</td>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>[2, 3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Call' for submissions to become a 'Pilot Site'</td>
<td>Defining 5 pillars of CSIA support</td>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submissions</td>
<td>(i) FMI Kabul, Afghanistan; (ii) AIIMS Delhi, India; (iii) Tenwek, Kenya; (iv) Univ. Hosp Ilorin, Nigena (v) Windhoek Central Hospital, Namibia; (vi) Maputo Central, Mozambique; (vii) King Faisal; Kigali, Rwanda; (viii) Tygerberg Hosp, Stellenbosch, South Africa; (ix) Mulago Hospital, Uganda; (x) Univ. Hosp. Lusaka, Zambia; (xi) Pariranyatwa Hosp, Harare, Zimbabwe</td>
<td>July to September 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site visits/site selection</td>
<td>King Faisal Hospital, Kigali, Rwanda (selected), Maputo Central Hospital, Mozambique (selected), Pariranyatwa Hospital, Harare, Zimbabwe</td>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'MV Repair' training in Cape Town</td>
<td>Senior CT-surgeon from Maputo</td>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID pandemic</td>
<td>No surgery</td>
<td>April 2020 to August 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiation of 'Registry for RHD'</td>
<td>UCT—Maputo/Kigali</td>
<td>March 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive hands-on 'TEE course'</td>
<td>10 members of Maputo team</td>
<td>November 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in 'UCT's Journal Club' and 'echo teaching programme'</td>
<td>Cardiologists and fellows of Maputo</td>
<td>Since February 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refurbishment ICU Maputo</td>
<td>CT-surgeons from Maputo and Kigali</td>
<td>February to March 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'AATS Boston presenters'</td>
<td>Cardiologists, Surgeons, Intensivists</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly 'Heart Team meetings' Cape Town-Maputo</td>
<td>From June 2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Perfusionist exchange' Maputo-Kigali plus consumables provided</td>
<td>Senior perfusionist from Maputo</td>
<td>November 2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA 'Presentation ACC/WHF New Orleans (virtual)</td>
<td>By senior CT surgeon, Maputo</td>
<td>March 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Mentorship Agreement CSIA' and University of Cape Town (UCT)</td>
<td>Signatories: Department of Health, Eduardo Mondlane Univ., Maputo Central Hospital and UCT</td>
<td>March 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'1st Mentorship Visit' of senior 'UCT Cardiology team'</td>
<td>Lined-up difficult cases: surgeons and anaesthetists assisting only</td>
<td>April 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'1st Mentorship Visit' of senior 'UCT Anaesthetic team'</td>
<td>Joint patient work-up</td>
<td>April 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'CSIA presentation' and 'AATS' attendance: Los Angeles</td>
<td>Both senior Cardiothoracic Surgeons from Kigali and Maputo presenting in person</td>
<td>May 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of major 'CSIA-Dutch Government Grant'</td>
<td>UCT—CSIA (first 2 rounds passed)</td>
<td>May 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense 'Perfusionist training' at UCT</td>
<td>Perfusionist team from Maputo</td>
<td>June 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'2nd Mentorship Visit' of senior 'UCT Cardiological, 'Anaesthetic' and 'Intensive team'</td>
<td>Lined-up difficult cases: senior surgeons and anaesthetists assisting only; senior intensivist</td>
<td>October 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training of 'Intensivists at UCT'</td>
<td>3 senior intensivists from Maputo</td>
<td>November to December 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training of 'Cardiologists at UCT'</td>
<td>2 senior cardiologists from Maputo</td>
<td>November to December 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in 'ICCVA-CASSA Congress'</td>
<td>2 cardiologists of Maputo as speakers</td>
<td>November to December 2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'CT Fellowship' at 'UCT' (4 months)</td>
<td>Senior CT surgery resident from Maputo</td>
<td>April to July 2024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


where the high costs of such items is the most limiting factor. Here, too, donations exceeded expectations but so did government responses to match this largesse.

- The fact that funding of staff exchanges and teaching programmes through society foundations such as Thoracic Surgery Foundation (TSF) or the American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS) Foundation was denominated in US Dollars had an additional multiplier effect against the depreciating currencies of developing countries

**PROGRESS REPORT**

Given the legitimate prudence of the societies towards entering the parquet of health policy in developing countries and also
towards the hitherto untested structure of an intersociety alliance the in-depth multi-society review—overlapping with the pandemic—dedicated the initial years to getting all framework conditions resolved.

Donated equipment, supplies and mentorship efforts have been made possible by the TSF (the charitable arm of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons) and Edwards Lifesciences through their ‘Every Heartbeat Matters’ philanthropic programme. TSF provided the seed-funds for CSIA activities. Significantly, equally in October 2022, ‘100 heart valves’ were donated to CSIA—50 by Artivion Inc, directed to the programme in Mozambique, and 50 by CorCym, directed to Rwanda. As had been desired, these critical donations were the basis for the Government to permit a significant ‘increase in the number of performed operations’. As the emphasis of CSIA support is on the strengthening of local cardiac surgical capacity, the principle of surgery being conducted by local surgeons and their teams was upheld throughout (Figs 3 and 4).

‘Mozambique’ is one of the poorest countries in the world, ranking 181 out of 189 countries on the human development index. As part of the CSIA initiative:

- Being a middle-income institution, the UCT/Groote Schuur Hospital took on ‘mentorship’ for Maputo Central Hospital/ Eduardo Mondlane University (Fig. 1, Table 1).
- ‘Resident training’ at high-volume Institutions in India and China are being initiated. A Fellowship for a senior resident of the cardiac surgical team of Maputo Central has been arranged at UCT for 2024.
- Staff ‘training at tertiary institution with significant share of RHD’ have been a major focus of CSIA’s role in Mozambique (see Table 1). Starting in June 2023, the teams in Cape Town and Maputo have also instituted monthly video conferences in which challenging surgical ‘cases are presented’ and discussed among the heart teams of both institutions. ‘Telephonic direct lines for intraoperative advice’ have equally been established and utilized. As part of the agreement with the minister of health, CSIA efforts were ‘matched by the government’ by the purchase of a ‘second heart lung machine, 120 additional oxygenators’ and 20 ‘additional mechanical valve prostheses’. A ‘state-of-the-art modern cardiac’ intensive care unit (ICU) is currently being built. Since then, intra-bypass anaesthetics could be switched to from iv to isoflurane on the new heart lung machine for the training of which the ‘perfusionist’ team from Mozambique spent time in Cape Town. Further extended training visits of Mozambiquan specialist and support staff at UCT and mentoring visits of Cardiothoracic, Anaesthetic, Cardiology and Intensivist teams from UCT have become increasingly frequent.
- Overall, CSIA’s involvement in Mozambique has had the effect not only of ‘qualitatively’ strengthening the CS services of the programme, but also ‘quantitatively’ as the Government committed itself to almost ‘doubling the annual case volume’ over the next 2 years. Post-COVID case numbers slowly recovered from 10 in 2021 to 35 in 2022 to 43 in 2023 (including double and triple-valve surgeries) restricted by 1 ICU bed per week. Two ICU beds/week plus the intense staff and support-staff training of the past 18 months promise a noticeable increase of open-heart cases over the next 2 years.

‘Rwanda’: King Faisal Hospital in Kigali, Rwanda, is the site of the open-heart surgery programme in Rwanda. An NGO, Team Heart, had obtained CSIA’s ‘seal of approval’ for Rwanda as a CSIA pilot site after it had driven the development of local CS capacity in Rwanda for several years. While a young Rwandan surgeon completed his ‘general- and cardiothoracic surgery training in South Africa’, expatriate teams from Australia, Belgium, USA and Canada enabled CS in more than 500 adult and paediatric patients. After passing the South African board exams, the local surgeon returned to Rwanda in 2019 with Team Heart taking on the mentorship role. COVID interrupted the efforts to establish sustainable CS at King Faisal, too. Since then, ‘Team Heart’ has completed 5 surgical mentorship trips with the entire ‘focus’ on preparing the local team to ‘perform independent cardiac surgical procedures’. By early 2023, the local heart team at the King Faisal Hospital had crossed the threshold to the performance of independent cardiac surgical procedures, allowing future surgical mentorship trips to ‘prepare’ them for performing ‘operations of increasing complexity’. Strengthening the
INVITING THE ‘SOUTH’

link between the 2 sites, a ‘perfusionist’ of the ‘Mozambiquean’ team was invited to travel to Kigali and to participate in the ‘Team Heart’ mentorship experience. Upon his return to Mozambique, ‘Team Heart donated further heart valves’ for the Mozambiquean programme, as well as other equipment enabling the Maputo programme to re-initiate their open-heart surgery programme, while they awaited the arrival of the heart valves donated through CSIA.

CONCLUDING CARDIAC SURGERY INTERSOCIETY ALLIANCE’S INITIAL YEARS OF ACTIVITY

A unique umbrella structure comprising the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS), the American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS), the Asian Society for Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery (ASCVTS), the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the World Heart Federation (WHF) has been created and ratified by all participating societies, whereby each Society appoints 2 representatives and collectively may invite additional ‘at large’ members to serve on the steering committee. Usually, CSIA delegates have been involved in outreach activities to developing countries before. The administrative seat of CSIA is the ‘University of Cape Town’. At the steering committee’s meeting at the 2023 EACTS meeting in Vienna, the Latin American Association of Cardiac- and Endovascular Surgery (LACES) and Pan African Societies for Cardiothoracic Surgery (PASCaTs) were admitted to the CSIA umbrella to include cardiac surgical representations of the Southern Hemisphere (Fig. 5).

- CSIA, created as a call-to-arms for leaders of CS, cardiology, government and the medical device industry to pool their efforts to increase access to life-saving CS in the developing world, has succeeded in identifying and helping to resource the ‘first two pilot programmes’ for increasing CS in the developing world. This achievement has been realized despite all the impediments posed by the COVID pandemic.
- The initial 5 years vindicated the core principle on which the CSIA concept rests: the ‘power of advocacy’ by an organization that represents the weight of all major cardiac societies. ‘Governments feel supported’ in the daunting task of establishing one of the most technologically demanding surgical disciplines. ‘Donors feel reassured’ that structured oversight and a clear end-point guarantee the most effective use of their contributions. ‘Staff morale’ in mostly isolated out-post programmes gets significantly boosted by feeling embedded in the global cardiac community, thereby significantly lowering the threshold for staff retention.
- Both pilot programmes have ‘demonstrated measurable progress’ in performing ‘independent cardiac surgical procedures’. Support for the sites from hospital and government is highly encouraging: In Mozambique, the Ministry of Health has contributed a 2nd heart lung machine and—in addition to the government-sustained basic CS programme—oxygenators and heart valve prostheses and a state-of-the-art cardiac ICU in order to support the continued performance of open-heart operations. In Rwanda, the King Faisal Hospital has committed to the purchase of all supplies needed for CS, and, in addition, in September, 2023, is renovating an operating theatre for CS. Supply chain issues have also been successfully addressed at both sites.

WAY FORWARD

CSIA has proven to be a ‘powerful tool’ for stream-lining the often widely scattered efforts towards sustainable cardiac surgical services in developing countries. CSIA is ‘not another NGO’
and as such its value lies in ‘focusing and augmenting existing initiatives’ through its clear principles and well-defined endpoint. Its involvement in 2 concrete projects in Africa tested the building and the commitment to focus on rheumatic heart disease in accord with its incidence. CSIA: Cardiac Surgery Intersociety Alliance.

but would not know how to get involved. The publication record of PhD students involved in the first 5 years of CSIA [36, 52, 78] is impressive and should serve as an encouragement for others.

With the CSIA structures being consolidated and the underlying principle vindicated in 2 pilot programmes, time seems to be ripe to take the next steps. The primary goals for the immediate future must therefore be:

- To proceed from pilot programmes to the wider adaptation of a ‘CSIA Seal of Approval’ (Fig. 6), whose fundamental underlying principle must be an unqualified commitment to the establishment or strengthening of local cardiac surgical capacity along the principles published in 2019 [50]. The spectrum of consignees of this ‘Seal of Approval’ can stretch from Government programmes to NGOs. For the time being, RHD will remain the sole focus of CSIA.
- To invite ‘key players of regional’ societies, academic initiatives and ‘global health structures’ to get actively involved in the steering committee of CSIA.
- To ‘ingrain’ the belief and enthusiasm for the CSIA concept even deeper in the ‘DNA of the entire membership of the societies’ through internal advocacy.

Applying measurable metrics [15] to the success of the CSIA will augment its momentum in the cardiac community. Growing specialist- and support-staff-skills will be as central as increasing case numbers. Key goal will have to remain the establishment of a team of local experts who cover a spectrum of ‘skills’ that not only guarantees a stable modern cardiothoracic surgical programme treating RHD but that eventually may become the foundation for also tackling the most common congenital heart defects on the basis of local capabilities [79].

‘If not this, what? If not here, where? If not now, when? If not us, who?’
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