We read with interest the article by Chapman et al. [1]. They showed that patients receiving recombinant factor VIIa (rFVIIa) for intractable bleeding after cardiac surgery are not at an increased risk of thromboembolic events. Therefore, they concluded that this effective haemostatic agent can be used with an acceptable safety profile in this patient population. We would like to ask the authors whether, among the 236 patients receiving rFVIIa, they had patients supported by extracorporeal membrane oxygenator (ECMO).

Bleeding is a major problem in postoperative ECMO implantation for post-cardiomyotomy cardiogenic shock [2]. Severe haemorrhage requiring re-exploration occurs in up to 58% of cases [3] and carries a dismal prognosis. Among patients who returned to the operating theatre, a surgical source of bleeding is not identified in more than half of the patients [1]. Hence, the off-label use of rFVIIa represents an attractive haemostatic agent for controlling bleeding attributed to a disseminated intravascular coagulation-like phenomenon [4].

We entirely agree with their opinion about the effectiveness of rFVIIa as a haemostatic agent, and we would like to report an exceptional thrombotic complication that we recently encountered: a native non-calciﬁed aortic valve thrombosis in a patient on ECMO support who received rFVIIa for massive bleeding after coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery.

A 60-year-old male patient was admitted on an elective basis for CABG surgery. His past medical history included hypertension and multiple sclerosis. Coronary angiogram revealed triple vessel disease. Transthoracic echocardiography disclosed a normal aortic valve and an ejection fraction at 45%. The patient had a normal hepatic and renal function and a normal coagulation profile before surgery. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) was established through median sternotomy. The obtuse marginal artery was not identiﬁed during surgery due to severe adherence between the lateral aspect of the left ventricle and the pericardium while the right coronary artery and the left anterior descending artery were bypassed. Weaning from CPB was unsuccessful, and femoro-femoral ECMO was instituted. The patient was transported to the angiography laboratory where a drug eluting stent was successfully implanted in the proximal circumﬂex artery. Massive bleeding from the chest tube was recorded. Therefore, a 90-µg/kg of rFVIIa was infused, and bleeding decreased. Heparin infusion was commenced 18 h after the surgery. On postoperative day (POD) 1, a transoesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) revealed severely depressed contractility of the left ventricle and thrombus formation on the three cusps of the aortic valve on the aortic side. Despite full heparinization, a complete thrombosis of the aortic valve was disclosed on POD 3, and no clots were noted in the ECMO tubing. His family refused permission for further treatment and he died after the removal of the support.

In view of our experience with this drug, we recommend caution when rFVIIa is used in the postoperative period after cardiac surgery in the setting of ECMO support; careful patient management by routinely performing TEE and maintaining inotropic support for ventricular contractility and cusps mobility is mandatory to prevent thrombus formation on the aortic cusps.
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We congratulate our colleagues who have applied the Ross operation thus far [1]. Despite the establishment of annular enlargement, the presence of preoperative aortic regurgitation and autograft re-operation in the aortic stenosis group is said to lessen the need for aortic re-operation and a small-sized homograft leads to higher mortality rates.

In the preoperative aortic regurgitation group, what could be the reason for autograft re-operation? Is it a result of annular...
stabilization or annular enlargement? We do not think the article addresses this situation.

If factors such as left ventricular diameter, the angle between the ascending aorta and interventricular septum, the size and diameter of autografts, the change of body surface area, native aortic diameter and pressure gradient after distal anastomosis had been mentioned, we believe that important criteria would have emerged.
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We thank Bozok and colleagues for their interest in our manuscript and appreciate their comments [1, 2]. The Ross procedure is a versatile operation that can be employed in patients with various congenital and acquired aortic valve disorders. It is considered by many to be the aortic valve substitute of choice in small children as it is associated with excellent haemodynamic and cardiac recovery; it offers potential for growth and does not require long-term anticoagulation. Therefore, early on in our, as well as in others’, experience, it was widely utilized in various children with different cardiac pathologies. The early expectation was that autograft longevity would be better than that of any available prosthesis. Unfortunately, early on we were faced with a high autograft failure rate in several subgroups of patients, which led us to modify our selection criteria for the Ross procedure.

We became aware that the Ross procedure can be done safely with a low overall mortality risk of 3%. We noted that mortality was higher in younger children with congenital aortic stenosis, while we had zero mortality in our older patients with rheumatic aortic diseases, despite the frequent requirement for concomitant cardiac surgery at the time of the Ross procedure [1]. As smaller homografts are employed in smaller children, we believe that small homograft size is a surrogate for those other risk factors such as younger patients and congenital aortic stenosis. In our centre, mortality was particularly higher in neonates and young infants with congenital aortic stenosis, especially those who required simultaneous mitral or arch procedures, and was related to those associated factors rather than the homograft itself.

Annular enlargement was also frequently needed in our patients with congenital left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. When annular enlargement was needed, we used a modified Ross–Konno aorto-ventriculoplasty and we incised the aortic annulus into the septum and performed septal myectomy without the need for a VSD patch insertion. This modified Ross–Konno technique has not been associated with an increased mortality risk. The concern was that patients who have undergone the Ross–Konno procedure may be at an especially high risk of autograft failure and re-operation due to the inherent disruption of the supporting aortic annulus, which may increase the subsequent hazard of annular and neo-aortic root dilatation and autograft regurgitation. We noted in our children who had the modified Ross–Konno procedure that the autograft continued to grow, but that enlargement was not out of proportion to somatic growth and that the risk of autograft failure and subsequent re-operation was not increased when annular enlargement was done.

The vast majority of autograft re-operations in our series were in children who had preoperative aortic regurgitation, especially in patients with a history of rheumatic fever, dilated aortic annulus and concomitant mitral valve disease [1]. In 27 children who had the autograft re-operation following the Ross procedure for rheumatic aortic valve disease, the predominant pathologies causing regurgitation were annular dilatation with cusp prolapse and failure of coaptation (n = 16), recurrence of inflammatory valvulopathy similar to that in rheumatic fever (n = 8), unknown (n = 2) and endocarditis (n = 1). There was a trend for a decreased autograft re-operation rate when annular stabilization was utilized. Of note, autograft failure in our patients with rheumatic aortic disease and preoperative regurgitation was noted mainly within the first 5 years following the Ross procedure, which is different from the more delayed failure described in patients from Europe and Northern America with predominant bicuspid aortic valve disease.

It is noted that better patient selection and the multiple technical modifications that we have adopted have mitigated the need for autograft re-operation and we believe that our results support the Ross procedure as the aortic valve replacement of