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Controlling harmful cyanobacterial blooms in a climatically more extreme world: management options and research needs
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Cyanobacteria have a long evolutionary history that has been instrumental in allowing them to adapt to long-term geochemical and climatic changes, as well as current human and climatic alterations of aquatic ecosystems; e.g. nutrient over-enrichment, hydrologic modifications and warming. Harmful (toxic, hypoxia-generating, food web altering) cyanobacterial bloom (CyanoHAB) genera are particularly adept at taking advantage of these changes and perturbations. In addition, they have developed numerous mutualistic and symbiotic associations with other microbes and higher flora and fauna, and they modulate positive biogeochemical feedbacks, instrumental in their survival and dominance in diverse ecosystems. CyanoHABs are controlled by the combined and often synergistic effects of nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) inputs, light, temperature, water residence/flushing times, and biotic interactions. Accordingly, mitigation strategies are oriented towards manipulating these dynamic factors. Physical, chemical (nutrient) and biological manipulations can be effective in reducing CyanoHABs. However, these manipulations should also be accompanied by nutrient (both nitrogen and phosphorus in most cases) input reductions to ensure long-term success and sustainability. A major research and management goal for long-term control of CyanoHABs is to develop strategies that are adaptive to climatic variability and change, because nutrient-CyanoHAB thresholds are likely to be altered in a climatically more extreme world.
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INTRODUCTION

Cyanobacteria were the first oxygen-evolving phototrophs on Earth, having made their appearance well over 2.5 billion years ago (Knoll, 2008). Today, they remain an important and at times dominant component of the phytoplankton, with specific taxa as key indicators of declining water quality as harmful (toxic, hypoxia-generating, food web altering) blooms or CyanoHABs (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Examples of globally distributed harmful cyanobacterial blooms (CyanoHABs). (a) Lake Taihu (NASA-MODIS), China. (b) Lake Atitlan, Guatemala (NASA Earth Observatory). (c) Lake Erie, USA-Canada (NASA-MODIS). (d) Ichetucknee Springs, Florida, USA (H. Paerl). (e, f) Lake Taihu, China (H. Paerl). (g) Zaca Lake, California, USA (A. Wilson). (h, i) St. Johns River, Florida (J. Burns). (j) Liberty Lake, Washington, USA (Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District). (k) Canal near Haarlem, the Netherlands (H. Paerl). (l) Lagoon near St. Lucie River, Florida (Ft. Pierce News Tribune).
From an evolutionary perspective, cyanobacteria “invented” oxygenic photosynthesis, a quantum step in shaping the Earth’s modern-day oxic biosphere. This capability opened up diverse aquatic habitats for cyanobacterial colonization, ranging from the open ocean to alpine lakes, spanning polar to tropical climes (Potts and Whitton, 2000). Cyanobacteria have also developed the ability to survive and at times flourish in physically and chemically extreme environments. This capability is most likely attributed to their long evolutionary history, during which cyanobacteria experienced major climatic changes and extremes on geological timescales. These included ice ages, periods of extreme heat, desiccation, volcanism and altered incident solar radiation. Diverse cyanobacterial taxa exhibit widespread adaptations to these extremes, including the formation of heat and desiccation-tolerant resting cells or akinetes, desiccation-resistant sheaths and capsules. They also have highly effective photoprotective (including UV protective) cellular pigments (Paerl et al., 1985), and can rapidly migrate throughout the water column by regulating their buoyancy, enabling them to optimize their position with regard to vertical light and nutrient gradients (Potts and Whitton, 2000; Huisman et al., 2005; Paerl and Otten, 2013a). They can take advantage of periodic nutrient-rich conditions by rapidly taking up and storing (as polyphosphate granules) phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) (as N-rich phycobilin pigments). They can cope with nutrient deplete conditions, including the ability to convert or “fix” atmospheric nitrogen (N₂), sequester (by chelation) iron (Wilhelm and Trick, 1994; Huisman et al., 2005; Paerl and Otten, 2013a). Cyanobacteria produce a wide array of secondary metabolites (Paul et al., 2007), thought to counter stressful environmental conditions, such as photooxidation and osmotic stress (Paerl and Otten, 2013a, 2013b) Lastly, cyanobacteria participate in associations with other microorganisms as well as higher plants and animals that provide mutual benefits and protection under adverse and stressful environmental conditions (Paerl, 1982; Paerl and Millie, 1996).

These attributes make cyanobacteria well-adapted to aquatic ecosystems undergoing human and climatically driven environmental change, including anthropogenic nutrient over-enrichment (eutrophication) and hydrologic modifications (e.g. water withdrawal, construction of dams and reservoirs) (Huisman et al., 2005; Paerl and Otten, 2013a). In addition, warming, more intense and frequent storms, and extreme droughts, appear favorable for CyanoHAB proliferation in diverse aquatic environments (Paerl and Huisman, 2008, 2009) (Fig. 2).

**NUTRIENTS AND EUTROPHICATION**

We have long recognized the connection between human nutrient over-enrichment, eutrophication and CyanoHAB expansion (Huisman et al., 2005; Paerl and Otten, 2013a). Traditional approaches for addressing the “CyanoHAB problem” have focused on reducing phosphorus (P) inputs, based on the fact that excessive P relative to N inputs (or low N:P ratios) were correlated with a tendency of receiving waters to be dominated by cyanobacterial biomass (Smith, 1983). This relationship was in part attributed to the fact that some CyanoHAB genera (e.g. *Aphanizomenon, Cylindrospermopsis,*

![Fig. 2. Conceptual diagram, illustrating multiple interacting environmental factors controlling harmful cyanobacterial blooms. Figure adapted from Paerl et al. (Paerl et al., 2011).](https://academic.oup.com/plankt/article-abstract/39/5/763/4079732/765)
Delichospermum, Nodularia) can “fix” atmospheric N₂ into biologically available NH₃, thus potentially supporting N requirements of CyanoHAB-impacted ecosystems (Schindler et al., 2008). However, recent analysis of data from CyanoHAB-impacted lakes indicates that N₂ fixers do not provide enough biologically available N to satisfy ecosystem-scale N requirements (Scott and McCarthy, 2010; Paerl et al., 2016a). This indicates that externally supplied N plays a critical role in supporting ecosystem N requirements and eutrophication.

Much has changed, nutrient loading wise, since the 1960–1970s when the “P input control” paradigm was introduced. While efforts have been focused on reducing P inputs since then, and many such efforts were successful in stemming a rise in cyanobacterial bloom activity during that period, N loads have increased dramatically in watersheds and airsheds globally, due to the accelerating use of synthetic N fertilizers, increasing amounts of N-enriched urban and rural wastewaters, stormwater runoff, and increased atmospheric N deposition from fossil fuel combustion and agricultural emissions. We are literally ‘awash’ in anthropogenic N (Galloway et al., 2002), and the CyanoHABs that have aggressively responded to this N “glut” are largely non-N₂ fixers (i.e. requiring exogenous N supplies) genera such as Microcystis and Planktothrix. Furthermore, it should be noted that aquatic ecosystems can to some extent purge themselves of gaseous N₂ via denitrification, while P does not have a gaseous form that can escape. This leads to a situation where much of the P supplied to impacted systems remains there as “legacy P”, cycling between sediments and the water column, while these systems remain N deficient and open to watershed and airshed inputs of “new” biologically available N (Paerl et al., 2016a, 2016b).

Given the observed proliferation of non-diazotrophic CyanoHABs, and recent findings of N-limited and N + P co-limited receiving waters worldwide, recent studies have advocated that both N and P reductions are likely needed in the “long haul” to stem eutrophication and CyanoHABs (Elser et al., 2007; Conley et al., 2009; Lewis et al., 2011; Paerl et al., 2016a, 2016b).

GLOBAL WARMING

Today, the Earth is experiencing significant climatic changes and extremes, with warming as the most widespread and pervasive symptom (IPCC, 2014); with profound effects on the activities, distributions, and survivability of many plant and animal species (Walther et al., 2002). Virtually everywhere the globe is warming, but the symptoms are most obvious in temperate and high latitude regions, where temperatures have increased most rapidly over both seasonal and interannual timescales. This has extended both the periodicity and range of cyanobacterial species (Jöhnk et al., 2008; Paul, 2008; Kosten et al., 2012). Warmer temperatures favor surface bloom-forming cyanobacterial genera, because they are well-adapted to hot conditions, with maximal growth rates occurring at relatively high temperatures, often in excess of 25°C (Paerl and Huisman, 2008; Paerl et al., 2011). Considering growth rates, at these elevated temperatures, cyanobacteria can compete most effectively with eukaryotic algae. Warmer surface waters are also prone to more intense vertical stratification, which would favor buoyant CyanoHAB taxa.

In marine systems, salinity gradients also induce stratification. As mean temperatures rise as a result of climate change, both fresh- and marine waters will stratify earlier in the spring, and stratification tends to persist longer into the fall (Paul, 2008; Paerl and Huisman, 2009; Paerl and Paul, 2012). High latitude regions, lakes, rivers and estuarine ecosystems have shown most profound warming of surface waters, leading to earlier “ice out” and later “ice on” periods and stronger vertical temperature stratification. This is thought to be responsible for expansion of latitudinal range of cyanobacterial species and blooms (Paul, 2008; Kosten et al., 2012), evident in lakes in northern Europe and North America, some of which no longer have ice on them during wintertime (Wiedner et al., 2007; Wagner and Adrian, 2009).

Increasing temperatures, combined with vertical stratification will increase respiration and oxygen consumption. This can directly affect the magnitude, duration and spatial extent of low oxygen (hypoxia and anoxia) conditions in hypolimnetic bottom waters, which in turn will be enhanced under more strongly stratified conditions. Increased hypoxia (<2 mg L⁻¹ O₂) and anoxia (~0 mg L⁻¹ O₂) will benefit cyanobacteria over eukaryotic algae because the former are tolerant to H₂S, which can accumulate under these conditions. Furthermore, motile CyanoHAB species are able to take advantage of these conditions by periodically utilizing soluble (and hence utilizable) hypolimnetic supplies of phosphorus, nitrogen, iron and trace metals. After “dipping” into nutrient-rich hypolimnetic waters and taking up and potentially storing nutrients (e.g. polyphosphates, phycobilins), they can subsequently be utilized to support growth and bloom formation in surface waters. Typically, strongly stratified hypoxic and anoxic conditions are most frequent and severe during summer months, when absolute temperatures are highest; and when blooms and their metabolic activities (including
O₂ consumption) are maximal. Microbial and larger invertebrate biomass and grazing rates are generally highest in the summer months. Increased grazer activity also promotes nutrient regeneration and cycling, which enhances nutrient availability and sustenance of cyanobacterial blooms during this period when nutrient limitation is usually most intense (Paerl and Millie, 1996).

**INCREASED HYDROLOGIC VARIABILITY**

Climate change is also increasing variability and extremeness of the amounts and patterns of precipitation. Storm events, including tropical cyclones, nor’easters, and summer thunderstorms, are becoming more extreme, with higher amounts and intensities of rainfall (Trenberth, 2005; IPCC, 2014). Droughts are also intensifying and more persistent (Trenberth, 2005). From an aquatic ecosystem perspective, this is leading to more extreme freshwater events accompanied by large nutrient input pulses, much of it coming from increasing diffuse, non-point sources, such as agricultural and rural runoff. If followed by more extensive, pervasive droughts, conditions will be optimal for cyanobacterial dominance and bloom development. If this scenario develops during the transition from spring to summer warming, cyanobacteria will particularly benefit because as a group their growth rates are optimized at relatively high temperatures (Paerl and Huisman, 2009; Paerl et al., 2011).

Larger freshwater runoff events also promote enhanced vertical density stratification. Stronger vertical stratification will favor phytoplankton capable of vertical migration to position themselves at physically chemically optimal depths (Paerl and Huisman, 2009). CyanoHAB species able to rapidly alter their buoyancy in response to varying light, temperature and nutrient regimes would be favored under these conditions (Walsby et al., 1997). Surface CyanoHABs can avoid being grazed by zooplankton, which often avoid these waters due to excessive irradiance and the potential of being preyed upon by planktivorous fish. Lastly, sub-surface algal taxa will be shaded by dense surface blooms, leaving them at sub-optimal light conditions (Fig. 2).

**SYNERGISM, FEEDBACKS AND CYANOBACTERIAL DOMINANCE**

Thermal and hydrologic, bioactivity and diversity changes accompanying climatic changes operate in a synergistic fashion; that is, their effects are additive in promoting growth, activity and dominance of CyanoHABs. This is accomplished through a series of positive feedback “loops” that jointly promote bloom development, intensity and maintenance (Fig. 3). This scenario is described below.

Generally, bloom-forming cyanobacteria are not effectively grazed due to inedibility and toxicity, while non-cyanobacterial phytoplankton taxa are readily grazed (Paerl et al., 2001; Ghadouani et al., 2003). Nutrient regenerating activities associated with selective grazing and bacterial metabolism tend to favor bloom-forming cyanobacterial genera, at a time of the year (summer months) when these genera are already

---

**Fig. 3.** Conceptual diagram, showing the synergistic interactions and feedback loops between nutrient supply, freshwater flow (flushing/residence time), temperature and vertical stratification and CyanoHAB growth potential in a eutrophic system experiencing anthropogenic nutrient loading and climatically driven (altered freshwater flow, warming) environmental change.
selectively favored by elevated temperatures. In addition, the enhanced $O_2$ consumption, nutrient cycling and CyanohAB production associated with grazing will tend to promote bottom water hypoxia, which is further promoted by intensification of vertical temperature stratification and nutrient-rich anoxic bottom waters, thereby ensuring a source of nutrients needed to sustain blooms, while eukaryotic algae will be shut off from this nutrient source due to their inability to tolerate sulfide. This “winning” scenario can be maintained during summer bloom periods if intense vertical stratification persists.

In a sense, this constitutes a positive feedback scenario, where blooms, once established, can perpetuate their dominance through enhanced nutrient recycling supported by the bloom biomass, which can cycle through several “bloom and bust” periods where the organic matter from senescent or dying blooms drives nutrient regeneration in hypolimnetic waters. This in turn can support new blooms, as long as warm, adequate light conditions prevail. In this manner bloom succession can persist for the entire spring-through fall growing season in temperate zones and throughout the entire year in the tropics. Unless major flushing events or persistent cold periods associated with vertical mixing occur, there is little environmental pressure to break these cycles; in essence, CyanohABs can determine their own destiny unless an unpredictable and significant climatic or hydrologic perturbation takes place.

**CYANOHAB RESEARCH AND MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES IN A CLIMATICALLY MORE EXTREME WORLD**

Ecosystem level, physical, chemical and biotic regulatory variables often co-occur and interact synergistically and antagonistically to control the activities (e.g. $N_2$ fixation, photosynthesis) and growth of CyanohABs (Huisman et al., 2005; Paerl and Otten, 2013a). Breaking this synergism would be desirable as a means of controlling CyanohABs. Effective and achievable means of controlling blooms include: (i) nutrient input reduction and manipulation (e.g. altering N:P ratios) (Paerl and Otten, 2013a; Paerl, 2014), (ii) applications of algaecides, including copper sulfate and more environmentally friendly hydrogen peroxide (Mathijs et al., 2012), (iii) altering nutrient cycling by chemical binding of nutrients (Robb et al., 2003), (iv) clay algal flocculation treatments (Sengco and Anderson, 2004), (v) disrupting vertical stratification, through either mechanically or hydrologically induced vertical mixing (Visser et al., 1996), (vi) reducing retention time (increasing flushing) of bloom-impacted waters (Mitrovic et al., 2003) and (vii) biological manipulation (Jeppesen et al., 2007). Options (ii) through (vii) have been used in small impoundments, such as ponds and small reservoirs. These approaches often are neither practical nor effective in larger systems, or waters to be used for fishing, drinking water and other animal and human use purposes. If the bloom-affected water body is small and accessible enough for installing destratification equipment, option (iii) may be feasible. If abundant low nutrient water supplies (i.e. upstream reservoirs) are available for hydrodynamic manipulative (flushing) purposes, option (vi) may be possible. Biological manipulation (vii) includes a number of approaches to change the aquatic food web to increase grazing pressure on cyanobacteria or to reduce recycling of nutrients. Biomanipulation approaches can include introducing fish and benthic bivalve mollusk filter feeders capable of consuming cyanobacteria. The most common biomanipulation approaches are intended to increase the abundance of herbivorous zooplankton by removing zooplanktivorous fish or introducing (by stocking) piscivorous fish (Jeppesen et al., 2007), an approach most commonly used in tropical systems. Alternatively, removal of benthivorous fish can reduce resuspension of nutrients from the bottom sediments.

Questions have been raised about the long-term efficacy of curtailing cyanobacterial blooms by increasing grazing pressure, because this may lead to dominance by ungrazable or toxic strains (McQueen, 1990; Ghorayeb et al., 2003). Several aspects of biological control need further clarification. In particular, more detailed studies of the population dynamics within cyanobacterial blooms are needed. For example, competition between toxic and non-toxic strains affects the toxicity of cyanobacterial blooms (Kardinaal et al., 2007). More detailed studies are needed in case of changes in toxicity within one species/strain of cyanobacteria, depending on environmental conditions. Lastly, viruses may attack cyanobacteria, and mediate bloom development and succession (Honjo et al., 2006). How these processes are affected by global warming is, as yet, unknown.

Presently, biomanipulation is viewed as one component of an integrated approach to water quality management in circumstances in which nutrient reductions alone are insufficient to restore water quality (Paerl et al., 2016b). Otherwise, option (i) (nutrient management) is the most practical, economically feasible, environmentally friendly, long-term option.

It is noted that many of the physical–chemical–biotic management procedures presented above are quite
drastic and can have major side effects on ecosystem function (e.g. habitat alterations, which could alter biogeochemical cycling, biodiversity and food web interactions). Therefore, minimal disruption of ecosystem processes should be practiced, or the “cure” to CyanoHABs may be worse than the symptoms. The most prudent “bottom line” approach for long-term CyanoHAB control is to practice reductions in external nutrient (both N and P) inputs, regardless of any other management procedure under consideration or being implemented.

**CLIMATICALLY ALTERED BLOOM THRESHOLDS**

It is very likely that changes in climatic conditions and extremes will lead to altered relationships between freshwater discharge and flushing rates (residence times), nutrient inputs and ratios (N:P), temperature, water column stability and stratification, sedimentation and sediment resuspension, and clarity (turbidity), all of which will impact cyanobacterial growth rates, biomass and bloom intensities, successional patterns and competitive interactions with other phytoplankton groups (Fig. 4).

These important growth, biomass production and community compositional characteristics will strongly rely on changing threshold relationships between environmental drivers and metabolic and growth responses of resident phytoplankton communities. For cyanobacteria, faster growth rates and nutrient transformation and hence availability rates can be expected under warming conditions. It follows that demands on nutrient supplies will increase under such a scenario. Respiration and mineralization rates will also increase, leading to increasing oxygen demand and rising potentials for hypoxia and anoxia to develop. Also, stronger vertical stratification is likely to occur, increasing hypoxia and anoxia potentials. In concert, these changing conditions will promote mobilization of phosphorus and nitrogen as well as most micronutrients (e.g. Fe, and a range of trace metals) from sediments, which will, in addition to changing the kinetic relationships between nutrient concentrations, supply rates and cyanobacterial growth rates, lead to enhanced growth and bloom potentials. This constitutes a positive feedback loop between rising temperatures, increased CyanoHAB potentials and the nutrients to sustain them.

Likewise, larger, more extreme rainfall events, interspersed with more extreme droughts create a “perfect storm” scenario, where the former will lead to increased rates and amounts of nutrients discharged to a water body, with the latter creating increased water column stability and longer residence times (reduced flushing rates); the combined effect being an increase in magnitudes and duration of blooms.

It is likely that in a warmer, more hydrologically variable and extreme world, nutrient input reductions will have to be greater than under more predictable, seasonally stable conditions for CyanoHAB control. Particular emphasis will need to be placed on controlling diffuse non-point nutrient sources, such as agricultural, urban and rural stormwater runoff, as they will be most severely impacted by a predictably more “flashy” pattern of rainfall events (Trenberth, 2005). The extent to which nutrient input reductions will need to be adjusted will likely be system-specific, creating additional research and management challenges.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The synergistic combination of anthropogenic nutrient loading, rising temperatures, enhanced vertical stratification, increased residence time and more extreme climatic conditions overall will favor cyanobacterial dominance in a wide range of aquatic ecosystems (Paerl and Paul, 2012). Ecosystem-scale physical–chemical–biotic mitigation strategies will have to incorporate nutrient (most often N and P) input reductions. Magnitudes of these reductions will need to be system-specific and will likely need to be adjusted with changing conditions.
climatic conditions. Research and management efforts will have to consider and accommodate warming and greater hydrologic variability and extremeness resulting from climate change in formulating their strategies. A key long-term control we can exert to reduce the rate and extent of global warming is curbing greenhouse gas emissions. Without this essential step, future warming trends, hydrologic extremes and their impacts on aquatic ecosystems will continue to promote opportunistic CyanohABs.
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