Ovary cryopreservation and transplantation for fertility preservation
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ABSTRACT: The aim of this review is to summarize the state-of-the-art of ovarian transplantation and cryopreservation. This field has progressed over the last half century from simple animal experiments to sophisticated application in humans. The initial poor results in humans began to improve when a series of nine monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs discordant for premature ovarian failure (POF) underwent ovary transplantation at one center. All of these fresh ovary transplants were successful, resulting in 11 healthy babies in 7 of the 9 recipients. The same surgical techniques were then applied to 3 frozen ovary tissue transplants, up to 14 years after the ovary had been frozen, resulting in 3 more healthy babies. Around the world, the number of healthy babies has now risen to 28. Even ovary allotransplantation is being attempted in the not so uncommon situation where a previous bone marrow donor is now willing to donate ovarian tissue to the same recipient. Recipients routinely reinitiated ovulatory menstrual cycles and normal Day 3 serum FSH levels by 4.5 months. Most conceived naturally (three of them twice or three times from the same graft). The duration of function of fresh ovarian grafts, contrary to initial expectations, indicated minimal oocyte loss from ischemia time. Grafts of just modest portions of ovarian tissue have lasted 7 years. In vitro studies suggest that vitrification of ovarian tissue may be an improvement over the 70% oocyte viability loss from slow freeze.
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Introduction

The first successful fresh human ovary transplantation was reported between a pair of remarkable monozygotic (MZ) twins discordant for premature ovarian failure (POF) using a cortical grafting technique (Silber et al., 2005). The first successful human frozen ovary auto-grafts were reported around the same time with tissue cryopreserved for cancer patients prior to their sterilizing bone marrow transplants (Donnez et al., 2004; Meirow et al., 2005). This followed similar results described in the sheep over a decade earlier (Gosden et al., 1994). The technique has subsequently been refined over a larger series of nine consecutive successful fresh ovary transplants in identical twins discordant for POF, plus two fresh allotransplants, with a resumption of normal hormonal cycling and menstruation in all cases, eventually leading to 14 pregnancies and 11 healthy babies born from the 9 fresh identical twin recipients (Silber and Gosden, 2007; Silber et al., 2008a, b; Silber et al., 2010). This unusual consecutive series of fresh ovary cortical transplants helped us also refine the techniques necessary for successful preservation of fertility for cancer patients using an ovarian tissue freezing technique, with three additional successful pregnancies from three frozen transplants. This unusual series also helped us to establish a method for distinguishing between the egg loss from transplant ischemia time versus the egg loss from cryopreservation. We now can report long-term follow-ups (up to 8 years) of this original series, and add to it our more recent experience with cryopreserved ovarian tissue. The results appear to be remarkably more robust than had originally been contemplated.

Fresh series of identical twins with POF

Ten MZ twin pairs aged 24–40 years presented with discordant ovarian function, one sibling normal and the other having POF. Nine of the 10 elected to undergo transplantation of an ovary from the normal twin to the twin with POF. Eight of the nine had a cortical graft transplant and one had an intact whole ovary transplant. POF was diagnosed after >4 years of amenorrhea accompanied by elevated serum levels of gonadotrophins, >50 mIU/ml. Their sisters, in contrast, still had normal menstrual cyclicity, normal gonadotropin levels, and eight of the nine donors had successful pregnancy histories. The twins inquired about this possibility originally from researching an earlier testis transplant we had reported for anorchia (Silber, 1978; Silber et al., 2005; Bedaiwy and Falcone, 2007). The patients volunteered many reasons for preferring transplantation over conventional
oocyte donation. Many of them had previous failures with donor oocyte IVF cycles, and did not want to go through more IVF. Some had the opportunity to donate an ovary at the same time as having a surgery they required for other gynecologic problems (such as fibroids or cysts). All of them found the possibility of natural conception more attractive than IVF and egg donation. In most cases, the twins lived far apart (even in different countries) and the donors preferred to make a single visit for a one-time ovary donation, rather than go through multiple cycles of ovarian hypterstimulation.

All studies were carried out with informed consent under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee of St. Luke’s Hospital (St. Louis, MO, USA). Despite risks, the evidence does not support a deleterious effect of unilateral oophorectomy either on fertility or on age of menopause (Gosden et al., 1989; Faddy et al., 1992).

One entire ovary of the donor was removed and the cortex dissected away from the medulla. The cortex of the non-functioning recipient ovaries was removed in entirety, and the donor cortex was examined histologically in all cases (Fig. 1A and B). It should be noted that we avoided micro-hematoma formation under the graft by micro-bipolar cautery and micro-pressure stitches. Constant pulsatile irrigation with heparinized saline prevented adhesions (Fig. 2A–D). Moreover, the high viability (92%) of oocytes in both control (fresh) specimens and vitrified specimens indicated that disaggregation per se had only caused minimal damage to this cell type (Silber et al., 2010). Overall, 2301 oocytes were examined from 16 specimens. The results within each of the three groups revealed no significant difference overall between fresh and vitrified tissue, but the viability of slow freeze-cryopreserved tissue was less than one-half (42%; P < 0.01). Transmission electron microscopy also has been used to analyze ovarian tissue that had been either cryopreserved by slow freezing or vitrified by ultrarapid freezing, showing vitrification to be superior (Keros et al., 2009).

It will be some time before we can accumulate the same clinical experience with transplantation of our vitrified samples as it required 15 years to gain experience with slow freeze samples (it takes that long for many of these patients to come back for their frozen transplant). However, the in vitro evidence strongly favors vitrification.

**Ovarian cryopreservation by original slow freeze technique**

All of our fresh clinical transplant studies involved cryopreservation of spare tissue for future thawed transplants. All of the frozen cases thus far transplanted have utilized the slow freeze approach (Gook et al., 1999). For slow freezing, after enucleating medullary tissue with a sharp scalpel dissection, the cortex was pared down manually to an ultrathin translucent shell with a thickness of ≤ 1 mm. Tissue for cryopreservation was divided into multiple strips and transferred to 1.5 ml cryovials after equilibration in 1.5 mol/l 1,2-propanediol and 0.2 mol/l sucrose at 37°C for 30 min, followed by 1.5 mol/l 1,2-propanediol and 0.1 mol/l sucrose at 8°C for 5 min, then cooled at a controlled rate, as described previously (Gosden et al., 1994). Thawing was achieved rapidly by agitating the vials in a warmed water bath. If tissue had thickened by contraction after thawing, it was pared down again to < 1 mm under an operating microscope with microsurgical scissors before transplantation (Newton et al., 1996).

However, we now use vitrification exclusively for cryopreservation in humans because of our in vitro viability analysis studies as well as in vivo transplant studies in the bovine (Kagawa et al., 2009). A total of 16 cancer patients requesting fertility preservation by ovarian banking consented to an oocyte viability test and histologic review of a small sample (< 10%) of their fresh or preserved tissue. In eight cases, the tissue had been preserved by vitrification, six by a slow freezing protocol and in two only fresh tissue was analyzed. The goal of this in vitro study was to determine which method produced a higher cell survival rate (Silber et al., 2010).

The high viability (92%) of oocytes in both control (fresh) specimens and vitrified specimens indicated that disaggregation per se had only caused minimal damage to this cell type (Silber et al., 2010). Overall, 2301 oocytes were examined from 16 specimens. The results within each of the three groups revealed no significant difference overall between fresh and vitrified tissue, but the viability of slow freeze-cryopreserved tissue was less than one-half (42%; P < 0.01). Transmission electron microscopy also has been used to analyze ovarian tissue that had been either cryopreserved by slow freezing or vitrified by ultrarapid freezing, showing vitrification to be superior (Keros et al., 2009).

It will be some time before we can accumulate the same clinical experience with transplantation of our vitrified samples as it required 15 years to gain experience with slow freeze samples (it takes that long for many of these patients to come back for their frozen transplant). However, the in vitro evidence strongly favors vitrification.

**Ovarian tissue vitrification technique**

Cortex tissue of each ovary is cut into slices of 1 × 10 × 10 mm. The precise 1-mm tissue thickness was guaranteed with a tissue ultrathin translucent shell with a thickness of ≤ 1 mm. Tissue for cryo-
slicer designed explicitly for this purpose (Kitazato Biopharma, Japan). The tissue slicer is put on the surface of ovary. Then another plate is placed over the tissue slicer, and the ovary is cut between the slicer and the surface of the ovary using a sharp blade. The cortical ovarian tissue is thus cut into $1 \times 10 \times 10$ mm pieces. The ultra-thinness of the tissue is crucial, not only for the cryopreservation, but also for rapid revascularization after grafting.

Ovarian tissues are initially equilibrated in 7.5% ethylene glycol (EG) and 7.5% dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) in handling medium (HM: HEPES-buffered TCM-199 solution supplemented with 20% (v/v) synthetic serum substitute (SSS; Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA, USA) for 25 min followed by a second equilibration in 20% EG and 20% DMSO with 0.5 mol/l sucrose for 15 min. Ovarian tissues are then placed in a minimum volume of solution (virtually ‘dry’) onto a thin metal strip (Cryotissue: Kitazato BioPharma, Fujinomiya, Japan), and submerged directly into sterile liquid nitrogen (Fuentes and Dubettier, 2004), following which the strip was inserted into a protective container and placed into a liquid nitrogen storage tank.

For thawing, the protective cover is removed and the Cryotissue metal strip is immersed directly into 40 ml of 37°C HM solution supplemented with 1.0 mol/l sucrose for 1 min. Then, the ovary tissues are transferred into 15 ml of 0.5 mol/l sucrose HM solution for 5 min at room temperature, and washed twice in HM solution for 10 min before viability analysis, or transplantation. No ice crystal formation occurs during any of these vitrification procedures (Kagawa et al., 2009).

**Figure 2** Steps in the procedure of ovarian transplantation between MZ twin sisters: (A) preparation of donor ovarian cortex by dissection in a Petri dish on ice; (B) preparation of recipient ovarian medulla; (C) attaching donor cortical tissue to recipient ovarian medulla; (D) attaching thawed donor cortical tissue for re-transplantation to the recipient medulla. Republished from Silber et al. (2008a, b) with permission.

**Cortical ovarian tissue transplantation technique**

For fresh ovary transplants, under general anesthesia, one ovary is removed from the donor using laparoscopy or minilaparotomy. The whole ovary is transferred to a Petri dish for dissection by hand with a scalpel and toothed forceps. It was felt important to prepare a cortical tissue slice no thicker than $\approx 1.5$ mm to facilitate rapid revascularization while keeping the tissue constantly irrigated with ice-cold Leibovitz L-15 medium (Fig. 2A). We now recommend a special Kitazato tissue slicer rather than dissecting by hand, in order to get a thinner slice. But for these initial fresh cases, the hand pared cortex was divided into several pieces of approximately equal size for grafting, one piece to each recipient ovary. The remaining two-thirds of the cortical tissue was cryopreserved (Newton et al., 1996; Gook et al., 1999; Oktay et al., 2004; Rosendahl et al., 2006). The technique for transplanting thawed ovarian cortical tissue is no different from fresh cortical tissue.

The recipients were prepared by minilaparotomy via a 3.5-cm incision above the pubis. For cortical tissue transplantation, recipient ovarian cortex was resected to completely expose medullary tissue (Fig. 2B); hemostasis was controlled with micro-bipolar forceps, and irrigation with heparinized saline was performed to avoid adhesion formation or micro-hematomas between donor and recipient tissues. This technique may be the most important reason for a minimal ischemic loss of oocyte viability. The tissue graft was trimmed to the dimensions of the exposed surface of the recipient and attached using 9-0.
interrupted sutures under an operating microscope (Fig. 2C). Very importantly, the medullar bed was also sutured to the under surface of the cortical graft with 9-0 sutures to maintain tight tissue approximation, again to avoid micro-hematoma formation under the cortical grafts (Fig. 2D). After removing the old cortex to accommodate the new one, all discarded tissue was examined histologically and found to be completely devoid of follicles. All patients were released from the hospital the same day or the following morning, and had a rapid and uneventful recovery. In the one case of bilateral absence of ovary and ampulla, the graft was attached to the fallopian tube isthmus rather than the ovarian medulla.

**Intact whole ovary transplantation**

To transplant an intact whole ovary, the donor ovary is removed by clamping the infundibular pelvic ligament at its base in order to obtain a maximum length. The veins (3–5 mm) are easily identified, but the ovarian artery (0.3 mm) is often not grossly visible. The entire specimen is placed in the Leibovitz medium at 4° C and two veins and one artery were dissected and isolated under the operating microscope. The recipient’s infundibular pelvic ligament is clamped at the base and transected close to her non-functioning ovary. The donor’s ovarian veins are then anastomosed to the recipient’s with 9-0 nylon interrupted sutures, and the ovarian arteries are anastomosed with 10-0 nylon interrupted sutures (Fig. 3A–C). When the micro-vascular clamps are removed, blood flow is confirmed by fresh bleeding from the surface of the ovary where a cortical slice had been taken for cryopreservation as a backup. The original concept behind whole intact ovary micro-vascular transplantation was to avoid the supposed damage that was incorrectly attributed to cortical grafting (Baird et al., 1999). Current results seem to eliminate the need for whole ovary transplantation, as these fresh cortical ovarian tissue grafts have now been shown to have a long duration of function.

**Results of fresh and frozen ovarian transplantation**

Results are summarized in Table I and in Figs 4 and 5. All nine identical twin pairs underwent their orthotopic ovarian isotransplantation between April 2004 and April 2008. The recipients, for the most part, continued to cycle from 2 years in two patients whose donor had low ovarian reserve to over 6 or 7 years in most cases. The two patients whose donor had low antral follicle counts (AFC) of <10, only functioned for 2 years. However, even these two cases had spare frozen cortical tissue that remains available for future transplants. Menstrual cycles began within 3 months and Day 3 FSH levels returned to normal by 4.5 months in all cases (Figs 4 and 5). A total of 14 healthy babies resulted from the 12 ovary transplants, 11 from the 9 fresh transplants and 3 from the three frozen transplants (Table I).

One of our twins became pregnant at 39 years of age (note that the donor sister of course was also 39 years old) without medical assistance after her fifth menses, 8 months after transplantation. She delivered a healthy baby girl at full term and then conceived again at age 42, and delivered a healthy baby boy, again at full term, 4 years after her transplant. Her ovary is still functioning to date after 7 years, and she conceived again at age 45 with another healthy boy, >7 years after her transplant. One case of ovary transplant was an identical twin whose POF was caused by a bone marrow transplant with pelvic irradiation for leukemia, with her identical twin sister being the donor. She became spontaneously pregnant 5 months after her fresh ovary transplant from her sister, but miscarried. Then at 1.5 years she became pregnant again and had a healthy baby, and at 5 years after the transplant, she became pregnant again, and had a second healthy baby. Over 6 years later, her original transplant is still functioning, and she still has two-thirds of an ovary that remains frozen. It does not appear from this or from the frozen cases that pelvic radiation is incompatible with a healthy pregnancy, and in fact, it appears (contrary to expectations) that transplantation of ovarian cortical tissue using this technique is a very robust procedure.
Only one patient failed to conceive, but she had a marginal AFC and was 41 years old at the time of the transplant. However, the ovary continued to function despite her age and low ovarian reserve (and the age of her donor) for over 4 years, and she still has two-thirds of the ovary frozen for future transplantation. One case had a micro-vascular whole intact ovary transplant and is continuing to cycle regularly. She became pregnant and delivered a healthy baby 2 years later. But it does not appear that her more difficult procedure (micro-vascular whole ovary transplantation) was necessary, as she would have done just as well with a simple outpatient cortical graft.

This newly favorable experience with ovarian cortex grafting is not limited just to our center (Donnez et al., 2011). Equally robust results are being experienced in Brussels, Paris, Spain, Denmark and Israel. Frozen ovarian grafts (even with the slow freeze technique) in Denmark are lasting over 5 years and many spontaneous pregnancies have been reported with no need for IVF or other ancillary treatment. At the time of this writing, 28 healthy babies have been born from ovarian tissue grafting, and most involved no IVF, and resulted from just regular intercourse with no special treatment (see Table II).

**Frozen cortical ovarian transplantation**

The most common benefit of ovarian transplant is not these unusual cases of fresh grafting but rather to protect the fertility and future endocrine function of young women undergoing cancer treatment.
Table II  Worldwide frozen ovarian cortical tissue transplantation pregnancies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Babies</th>
<th>Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hodgkins</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Donnez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Neurotumor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Donnez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non-Hodgkins</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Meirov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hodgkins</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Demeestere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ewings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Andersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hodgkins</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>POF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Silber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hodgkins</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Polyangiitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Piver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pellicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sickle cell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Piver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hodgkins</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Revel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals: 12 patients</td>
<td>17 babies</td>
<td>8 centers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh + frozen</td>
<td>28 babies</td>
<td>Silber: 14 babies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6  All the primordial follicles of the ovary are located in the outer layer of 0.75 mm of the cortex. Therefore, very thin slices of cortex will contain all these follicles and allow rapid revascularization.

Genetics of non-cancer POF, and low ovarian reserve

None of the medical histories provided an explanation for POF with afollicular ovaries in the recipients, except for one who had received chemotherapy, and bone marrow transplant for leukemia. The clinical histories of POF in the other nine MZ cases were idiopathic and consistent with a congenital deficiency of germ cells. According to a mathematical model (Faddy et al., 1992), the follicle reserve at birth must be very small to account for POF as early as adolescence or young adulthood.

Identical twins discordant for ovarian function present a true genetic puzzle. The great majority of women enter menopause in their fifth or sixth decade of life, at an average of 51 years, but 1% undergo menopause quite prematurely, i.e. before 40 years of age (Coulam et al., 1986; Riboli et al., 2002; Luborsky et al., 2003). POF usually presents a genetic etiology and menopausal age normally is strongly heritable judging by the greater concordance between MZ than dizygotic.
Ovary cryopreservation and transplantation

**Future prospects**

Conventional oocyte donation is the common treatment for patients with POF who want to become pregnant. Nevertheless, the robust results obtained in every case of this series of isogenic twins give confidence in ovarian transplantation as an alternative strategy for overcoming sterility. Furthermore, it appears that cryopreservation and subsequent transplantation of ovarian tissue is more robust than had been thought in the previous decade. Although the surgery might seem more burdensome than oocyte retrieval, it is a straightforward and uneventful outpatient procedure, which has been effective in all our cases thus far in restoring menstrual cycles and long-term ovarian function and enabling establishment of viable pregnancies in the majority of cases. After ovarian transplantation, the patients were able to attempt natural conception every month without medical assistance. Heterotopic sites have produced no successful pregnancies to date and our patients preferred the chance of natural conception (Hilders et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2004; Oktay et al., 2004; Rosendahl et al., 2006). It would appear that there is little oocyte loss from the grafting technique (contrary to popular assumptions) and no obvious loss from cryopreservation with vitrification. In fact, the commonly held view that egg freezing is a proven technique and ovary tissue transplantation is ‘experimental,’ is belied by the fact that all of the successful pregnancies resulting from fertility preservation in cancer patients have been from frozen tissue of ovaries and none to date have come from frozen oocytes (Donnez et al., 2011).

It is generally assumed that POF or low ovarian reserve is related to the number of primordial follicles the woman has at birth and this number is certainly heritable and is most likely genetically determined (Gosden et al., 2007). All modern women are concerned about what is commonly referred to as their ‘biological clock’ as they worry about the chances of conceiving by the time they have established their career and/or their marriage and their financial stability. Most of our cured cancer patients, who have young ovarian tissue frozen, feel almost grateful they had cancer, because otherwise they would share this same fear all modern, liberated women have about their ‘biological clock.’ But it is not only having a child that worries them.

In Denmark, demographers are predicting that 25% of women today will live to age 100. They will not want to be menopausal women for half of their lifetime. Aside from freezing eggs for these ‘social’ reasons, which more and more young women are doing, freezing ovarian tissue could preserve not only their chance for having a child, but could also prevent them from ever having to go through menopause.

For leukemia patients, or any patients in whom transplantation of prior frozen ovarian tissue might create a risk of re-introducing cancer cells, we recommend that before the cortical tissue is dissected and frozen, that all the antral follicles of the removed ovary be aspirated for germinal vesicle oocyte (GV) retrieval. These GVs can then be partially denuded of cumulus cells, and vitrified just as for oocyte freezing (with a few minor modifications; see Fig. 7). The reasons for partially denuding these GVs (which can be retrieved in large numbers with no hormonal stimulation) are twofold: (i) to allow ready penetration into the oocyte of the cryoprotectant media and (ii) to preserve enough cumulus cells to allow for later in vitro maturation of the GV oocyte. Thus, even patients with blood borne cancers may possibly have safe preservation of future fertility. However, it is possible that most leukemia patients will not have metastasis to the ovarian cortex as previously feared, and may very
well eventually be able also to have a cortical transplant (Professor Claus Andersen, personal communication).

The major application of ovarian tissue cryopreservation and transplantation is obviously for fertility preservation in cancer patients and possibly for women who need to delay childbearing. However, for patients who have already lost ovarian function from bone marrow transplant, allografts may be an option. Allografts might be considered if ovarian tissue is available from a young woman who previously donated bone marrow to the same patient. However, it is very important not to attempt this without immune suppression if the graft recipient has any sign of graft versus host. Reassuringly, well-matched (human leukocyte antigen) kidney transplant recipients on immunosuppression have favorable obstetric outcomes (Armenti et al., 2000).

At the time of this writing, we are aware of numerous other births after implanting ovarian tissue for a total of 28 live births thus far (Donnez et al., 2004; Meirow et al., 2005; Demeestere et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2008; Piver et al., 2009; Sanchez-Serrano et al., 2010; Donnez et al., 2011). Thus, despite initial skepticism, this technique is now gaining worldwide acceptance, and is being enthusiastically received by young women of reproductive age with cancer. Furthermore, it might become a preferred method for preservation of fertility for ‘social’ reasons, and could completely eliminate the prospect of menopause.

Conclusions

The evidence from this series for the effectiveness of both fresh and frozen ovary tissue transplants gives support for the position that cryopreservation of ovarian tissue for cancer patients should no longer be considered experimental, and might even be ready for consideration by women without cancer who must delay childbearing for other reasons.
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