Sperm competition and the evolution of gametic compatibility in externally fertilizing taxa
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ABSTRACT: Proteins expressed on the surface of sperm and egg mediate gametic compatibility and these proteins can be subject to intense positive selection. In this review, we discuss what is known about the patterns of adaptive evolution of gamete recognition proteins (GRPs). We focus on species that broadcast eggs and sperm into the environment for external fertilization, as the ease of observing and manipulating gamete interactions has allowed for greater advances in the understanding of GRP evolution, uncomplicated by confounding behavioral and physiological components that offer alternative evolutionary targets in internal fertilizers. We discuss whether interspecific mechanisms, such as selection to avoid fertilization between species (reinforcement selection), or intraspecific mechanisms, such as selection to increase (or decrease) the affinity between eggs and sperm based on the intensity of sperm competition, may be responsible for the pattern of GRP evolution observed. Variation in these proteins appears to influence gametic compatibility; GRP divergence among species is a better predictor of hybrid fertilization than neutral genetic markers and GRP variation within species predicts reproductive success among individuals within a population. Evidence suggests that sperm competition may play a large role in the evolution of gametic compatibility.
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Introduction

Gametic compatibility can have important influences on the reproductive success of individuals within a species and the effectiveness of reproductive isolation across species boundaries (Clark et al., 1999; Palumbi, 1999; Evans and Marshall, 2005; Vieira and Miller, 2006; Lessios, 2007). Examining the evolution of gametic compatibility in internal fertilizers has been challenging, as mating is a complex process involving both behavioral and physiological components that might confound studies of gamete interactions (Clark et al., 2006; Vacquier and Swanson, 2011). In contrast, great strides have been made in species that broadcast eggs and sperm into the environment for external fertilization, because individuals release millions of gametes, multiple crosses are simple to generate and gamete interactions can be easily observed (Vacquier and Swanson, 2011). The added benefit of examining broadcast-spawning species is that this mode of reproduction is thought to be the ancestral mating strategy (Ruppert et al., 2004) and thus provides a key insight into how gametes in general, and gametic compatibility in particular, have evolved.

The proteins mediating gametic compatibility, or gamete recognition proteins (GRPs), were first identified in sea urchins, a model broadcast-spawning taxon (Vacquier and Moy, 1977). The genes encoding GRPs have now been characterized in a suite of broadcast-spawning species, including snails, mussels, oysters, tunicates, sea urchins and sea stars (Gao et al., 1986; Rigos and McDonald, 2003; Moy and Vacquier, 2008; Yamaguchi et al., 2011; Hellberg et al., 2012; Sunday and Hart, 2013). Despite the advances in identifying GRPs in many broadcast-spawning species, there have only been a few species in which both the male and female binding partners have been functionally identified (Swanson and Vacquier, 1997; Yamaguchi et al., 2011; Vacquier, 2012). In internal fertilizers, identification of GRPs has lagged behind, although sperm receptors have been identified and several candidate sperm proteins that may act as a binding partner have been isolated in mammals (Gasper and Swanson, 2006; Turner and Hoekstra, 2008a; Vicens et al., 2014). In insects, the focus has mainly been on reproductive proteins not directly involved in sperm–egg binding, but rather on proteins that are known to affect the female reproductive tract (Swanson et al., 2001a, 2004; Panhuis et al., 2006).

Interestingly, given their role in gametic compatibility, GRPs in some species are under positive selection (Swanson et al., 2001b; Swanson and Vacquier, 2002; Moy et al., 2008; Lessios, 2011; Pujolar and Pogson, 2011; Hellberg et al., 2012), and for many of those species, GRP divergence has been found to be greater than non-reproductive protein divergence, indicating that rapid evolution is occurring (Metz et al., 1998; Zigler et al., 2005; Lessios and Zigler, 2012). Additionally, a relatively small number of non-synonymous mutations can have large effects on gametic compatibility; as few as 10 amino acid changes can lead to gamete incompatibility in sea urchins (Zigler et al., 2005). Furthermore, high numbers of non-synonymous substitutions occur at protein-
binding sites in many of these species, implying that these substitutions may affect how the sperm and egg proteins interact (Lyon and Vacquier, 1999; Torgerson et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2007; Springer and Crespi, 2007; Hellberg et al., 2012). Variation in these proteins appears to influence reproductive compatibility; protein divergence among species is a better predictor of hybrid fertilization than neutral genetic markers (Zigler et al., 2005) and protein variation within species predicts reproductive success among individuals within a population (Palumbi, 1999; Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Levitan and Stapper, 2010; Levitan, 2012).

Although there is ample evidence that reproductive compatibility (or incompatibility) can evolve fairly rapidly, there is no consensus on the evolutionary mechanisms that drive these patterns. In this review, we focus on what is known about the patterns of adaptive evolution of GRPs, and discuss whether interspecific mechanisms, such as selection to avoid fertilization between species (reinforcement selection), or intraspecific mechanisms, such as selection to increase or decrease the affinity between eggs and sperm based on the intensity of sperm competition, may be responsible for the patterns observed.

**Predictions for adaptive evolution of GRPs and gametic compatibility**

The idea that GRPs undergo adaptive evolution comes from the analysis of sequence data on these reproductive proteins in which divergence among species or diversification within species accumulates at a higher rate than predicted by neutral models of evolution. This is generally manifested as a higher ratio of non-synonymous nucleotide substitutions to synonymous substitutions than predicted by chance (reviewed in Palumbi, 1999). At first glance, this pattern of positive selection in either male or female proteins seems counterintuitive, because any mutation that causes an individual to have a unique GRP is likely to make that individual less compatible with his or her mates. Why should selection ever favor novel recognition variants? What are the circumstances in which a decrease in gametic compatibility is beneficial?

Preventing hybridization between species (reinforcement) or preventing egg death from polyspermy (sperm competition) could both lead to selection for decreased gametic compatibility (Swanson and Vacquier, 2002; Palumbi, 2009). In both of these scenarios, selection for novel GRPs on the egg surface that reduces deleterious fusions with sperm would be predicted. The circumstances for favoring novel mutations on the sperm surface are more complex. When novel egg proteins become frequent in the population, novel sperm proteins that match these new egg proteins would be favored (Swanson and Vacquier, 1998; Gavrilets et al., 2001; Hayashi et al., 2007), and when the risk of polyspermy is equally shared among males and females, i.e., when sperm competition among males is low, but within males is high, then novel mutations to sperm proteins can also be favored even in the absence of matching egg proteins (Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010).

**Predictions for patterns of selection on gametic compatibility and novel GRPs due to sperm competition**

Intraspecific variation in sperm availability may produce diverse patterns of GRP evolution (Fig. 1) as sexual selection is predicted to select for higher affinities between sperm and eggs when gamete collisions are rare and reduced affinities when polyspermy is a risk. Sperm availability can be modified by mate density, water flow and position in the spawning group (Pennington, 1985; Levitan et al., 1992; Petersen et al., 1992; Coma and Lasker, 1997; Franke et al., 2002; Levitan, 2002). Species that do not aggregate, or that live in environments where sperm is rapidly diluted are more likely to experience sperm limitation, and traits that increase gametic compatibility would be favored (Levitan, 1993, 1998; Franke et al., 2002). Under sperm limitation, selection may result in signatures of purifying selection in both the male and female GRPs, as any novel mutation that decreased gametic compatibility would not be favored in these species (Fig. 1A). Alternately, when sperm are saturating, the female protein may evolve neutrally, while the male protein is under positive selection to match any changes in the female protein if sperm competition is occurring.

When sperm are overly abundant, high levels of sperm competition can result in polyspermy and potentially sexual conflict. Sexual conflict is predicted to produce conflicting selection on affinities when male and female interests are not aligned due to increased sperm competition. High sperm concentrations can occur in those species that aggregate at high densities, live in environments where sperm can be easily concentrated, and/or have synchronized spawning behaviors in general (Franke et al., 2002; Levitan, 2004; Levitan et al., 2004). Species that are found in tidepools and low tidal zones can be routinely subjected to high levels of sperm competition, as sperm can become trapped in the rocky pools causing polyspermy rates as high as 62% (Franke et al., 2002). Sperm competition and sexual conflict may result in GRP
diversification (Franke et al., 2002; Levitan, 2004, 2008; Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Levitan et al., 2007). The rationale for this process is that when a mutation changes the affinity between sperm and eggs it lowers the rate of fertilization because either only a subset of sperm can fertilize the egg or because it takes sperm longer to fuse with eggs. These conditions that slow down the rate of sperm fusion provide more time for an egg to erect a successful block to polyspermy caused by secondary sperm fusions (Frank, 2000). This process of generating polymorphisms in GRPs in sperm and eggs can also lead to assortative mating between the best matching pairs of male and female GRPs. This assortative mating is predicted to generate linkage-disequilibrium between male and female GRP loci (Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010). Support for this notion has been found in both sea urchins (Palumbi, 1999; Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Levitan and Stapper, 2010) and abalone (Clark et al., 2009).

The path and conditions under which male and or female recognition proteins diversify hinge on whether sperm from single or multiple males compete for eggs (Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010). The two extreme conditions of sperm–egg interactions under polyspermic conditions are when sperm from multiple males are well mixed and contact eggs near simultaneously, or when single eggs only face sperm from a single male before a block to polyspermy can be erected (Fig. 1B and C). When sperm from multiple males surround single eggs and the risk of polyspermy is high, then a mutation to either the sperm or egg protein that lowers gamete compatibility and reduces the risk of polyspermy would be favored. In this scenario, sexual conflict is reduced because the cost of polyspermy is more equally shared by males and females. Under these conditions, selection only favors a decrease in compatibility and not necessarily well-matched sperm and egg proteins. Formal modeling can be found in Tomaiuolo and Levitan (2010).

Figure 1 Cartoon of gamete recognition proteins (GRP) evolution as a function of patterns of sperm availability. Black symbols represent common resident protein and open symbols represent a novel mutation with lower gamete affinities. Arrows represent evolutionary transitions. (A) Under sperm-limited conditions, novel mutations that lower gamete compatibility in either eggs or sperm recognition proteins would be selected out of the population. (B) When sperm availability is high and there is a risk of developmental failure caused by multiple sperm fusions (polyspermy) and multiple males directly compete for the same eggs, then there is sexual conflict over gamete affinities. Females are selected to reduce compatibilities while males are always selected to produce high affinity gametes because they are directly competing for fertilizations. Under these conditions, a mutation to the egg surface proteins that lowers compatibility and the risk of polyspermy would be favored. Once these new egg proteins are common in the population, then a mutation to the sperm protein that matches this new egg receptor will be favored and can increase in frequency. (C) When sperm from only single males surround single eggs, and the risk of polyspermy is high, then a mutation to either the sperm or egg protein that lowers gamete compatibility and reduces the risk of polyspermy would be favored. In this scenario, sexual conflict has been reduced because in the absence of competing males, the cost of polyspermy is more equally shared by males and females. Under these conditions, selection only favors a decrease in compatibility and not necessarily well-matched sperm and egg proteins. Formal modeling can be found in Tomaiuolo and Levitan (2010).
Sperm competition and gametic compatibility

Waxman, 2002; Haygood, 2004; Hayashi et al., 2007; Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010). This process, under a restricted set of conditions, can also result in a polymorphism in the egg protein and a single sperm protein with intermediate compatibility with both egg proteins (Gavrilets and Waxman, 2002). This last scenario appears to be a transient state, as any asymmetries in the level of compatibility between the sperm and the two egg partners will lead to a polymorphic state in the GRPs in both sexes (Gavrilets and Waxman, 2002).

The other extreme in which polyspermy is a risk, is when eggs encounter sperm from only single males. Under this scenario sexual conflict is reduced because developmental failure caused by polyspermy reduces offspring production in both sexes. In such cases, mutations to either the sperm or egg protein that lower the risk of polyspermy would be favored (Fig. 1C). Depending on the degree of sperm availability and the compatibility of the novel GRPs, this can lead to the maintenance of GRP polymorphism through negative frequency-dependent selection or selection only favoring the lower compatibility GRPs male—female pairings. Thus, in the absence of among-male competition, mutations that produce matches between sperm and egg GRPs are not required, only mutations that lower gamete affinities and the probability of polyspermy (Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010). Most likely, these two extremes of sperm from multiple males arriving simultaneously or not represent ends in a continuum, and the distribution of these types of gamete encounters produce a complex pattern of selection on sperm and egg proteins (Tomaiuolo and Levitan, 2010).

Patterns of adaptive evolution in GRPs and gametic compatibility

Signatures of positive selection in GRPs among species

Tests for reinforcement as a mechanism for GRP divergence have typically been limited to comparing signatures of positive selection in GRPs among species, with the idea that species in sympathy, where hybrid fertilization is possible, will have stronger signals for positive selection. These studies have typically yielded conflicting results, with some species showing the expected strong signal of positive selection in sympathy and others showing equally high positive selection in allopatry (McCartney and Lessios, 2004; Clark et al., 2007; Lessios, 2007, 2011; Slaughter et al., 2008; Vacquier and Swanson, 2011). Perhaps the most comprehensive interspecific study on male GRP divergence looked for signatures of positive selection based on the presence of sympatric species in eight urchin genera (Palumbi and Lessios, 2005). As predicted, they did find a correlation between sympathy and GRP divergence, however, increased GRP divergence among species was also characterized by high intraspecific polymorphisms, suggesting that the divergence patterns seen may have been driven by intraspecific mechanisms (Palumbi and Lessios, 2005). Other studies in sea urchins have had somewhat less success in linking GRP divergence with sympatric species. One study comparing sea urchins from the genus Echinometra in the pacific regions found that novel GRP variants were favored only in regions where species overlapped, however, other genera of urchins have shown no pattern of positive selection in regions of sympathy (Zigler and Lessios, 2004; Lessios et al., 2012).

In other taxonomic groups, divergence in the male GRP in mussels is higher in populations with a sympatric species present than in alloparry, while divergence between two species of tunicates follows no such pattern (Springer and Crespi, 2007; Nydam and Harrison, 2011). In abalones, divergence in GRPs appears to follow the typical pattern of reinforcement, with sympatric species exhibiting positive selection and allopatic species more prone to purifying selection, however, there are some species that exhibit a different pattern (Yang et al., 2000; Clark et al., 2007). For example, the female GRP of the abalone Haliotis tuberculata has recently undergone a gene duplication event and both copies appear to be under strong positive selection (Clark et al., 2007). This species is also allopatric, so reinforcement is unlikely to be responsible for the positive selection observed on these female proteins (Clark et al., 2007). Otherwise, female proteins in abalone generally have a high rate of divergence between species, but low intraspecific polymorphisms (Galindo et al., 2002). In one of the few studies to date examining intra- and interspecific polymorphisms in female GRPs in mammals, no difference in selection pressures among populations was found in sympathy versus those found in alloparry, nor was there any evidence of novel GRP variants in the sympatric populations (Turner and Hoekstra, 2008b).

Maintenance of intraspecific polymorphisms in GRPs

As predicted for sexual conflict, species that tend to have strong signals of positive selection and maintain high intraspecific variation in male GRPs are generally those from intertidal areas or those who aggregate in high densities, for example mussels and some subtidal sea urchins, that would be more likely to experience polyspermic conditions (McCarty and Lessios, 2004; Riginos et al., 2006; Levitan and Stapper, 2010; Hart et al., 2012; Hellberg et al., 2012; Sunday and Hart, 2013). Shifts in allele frequencies from one to two common GRPs on sperm were noted in a subtidal sea urchin over a 200-year period, likely associated with an increase in population density (Levitan, 2012). Older urchins conceived at lower population densities had a higher prevalence of the common GRP variant with a high affinity for available eggs, while younger urchins conceived at higher population densities have two equally frequent alleles with the emergence of a rare allele that is more resistant to polyspermy with the available eggs (Levitan, 2012).

Species that lack effective electrical blocks to polyspermy also exhibit high amounts of intraspecific GRP polymorphism. The oyster’s electrical block to polyspermy can be slow to develop (Gould and Stephano, 2003; Vacquier and Swanson, 2011), and the male proteins are the most diverse GRPs discovered to date, generating polymorphisms through alternate splicing and recombination, as well as having a high non-synonymous substitution rate (Moy and Vacquier, 2008; Moy et al., 2008). Little is known about the female protein, but it is predicted that male proteins are exhibiting this extreme diversity in response to female protein divergence if sexual conflict is occurring, or in parallel with female divergence under non-competitive conditions of polyspermy.

Few studies have been able to look at the patterns of male and female proteins simultaneously, mainly due to the large size and complexity of female proteins, which typically have many repeated regions further complicating haplotype reconstruction (Swanson and Vacquier, 1997; Hellberg et al., 2012; Hart, 2013). However, the advent of next generation sequencing is making this process easier, and some of the patterns predicted by sexual selection and sexual conflict, such as positive
selection on the female protein and linkage-disequilibrium between male and female proteins, are slowly emerging (Clark et al., 2009; Hellberg et al., 2012; Sunday and Hart, 2013). In a species of abalone, the female protein appears to have diversified into two major clades, while the male protein has not; similar to one of the patterns predicted by sexual conflict (Swanson et al., 2001c; Clark et al., 2009). It remains to be seen whether the male protein has equal compatibility with both female proteins, as predicted by sexual conflict, or whether they are more compatible with only one of the versions. Correlated selection, with both male and female proteins exhibiting similar signatures of positive selection, has been found in some species that aggregate and may also signify that females are under selective pressures to diversify their protein to reduce polyspermy, while males are under selective pressures to increase compatibility with novel female proteins (Hellberg et al., 2012; Sunday and Hart, 2013).

More tantalizing is the possibility that duplicate female genes might be acting to reduce polyspermy by offering false binding sites (Aagaard et al., 2013). In abalone, a gene has been characterized that may be from a distant duplication event of the female GRP gene (Aagaard et al., 2010, 2013). It produces proteins that have similar structural motifs and binding abilities as the currently recognized female sperm receptor, and also has a strong signature of positive selection (Aagaard et al., 2010, 2013). The pattern of selection on these three genes is complex but intriguing. There appears to be a positive correlation in the rates of co-evolution between the male and female protein, as predicted if the female protein is under positive selection to reduce compatibility and male protein to increase it, but a negative correlation in the rates of co-evolution between male protein and the duplicate female protein (Aagaard et al., 2013). This may be because the duplicate female protein acts as a decoy to decrease polyspermy (by binding up excess sperm) when compatibility between the male and female proteins is high, but not when the male protein is somewhat incompatible (Aagaard et al., 2013). This would result in the pattern of positive selection observed, as positive selection would act on the duplicate gene to make it match the male protein when the male protein is not likely to be under positive selection, that is, when it has a high affinity with the original female protein. It would be interesting to see if this type of system, where a decoy female receptor is under positive selection to match the male protein, exists in other species.

**Polymorphisms in GRPs and gametic compatibility**

Studies examining differences in heterospecific gametic compatibility in individuals from sympatric and allopatric populations have rarely been carried out in conjunction with analyses of GRP polymorphisms (Zigler et al., 2005; Lessios, 2007). Those that have, found a positive correlation between number of non-synonymous substitutions in GRPs and the rank order of gametic incompatibility; although this did not necessarily relate to species overlap, as the most divergent/ incompatible species tended to be allopatric (McCartney and Lessios, 2004; Slaughter et al., 2008).

Thus, it appears that GRP divergence is a better predictor of heterospecific gametic incompatibility than overlaps in species ranges (McCartney and Lessios, 2004; Zigler et al., 2005).

In mammals, comparisons of hetero- and conspecific crosses have shown that species tend to favor conspecific sperm (Lambert, 1984; Dean and Nachman, 2009). However, in some cases this advantage for conspecific sperm disappears when crosses are performed in vitro, indicating that suppression of heterospecific sperm binding is mediated by other proteins present in either the female reproductive tract or in the seminal fluid for some species, but in others suppression does occur at the egg (Dean and Nachman, 2009).

Among some non-compatible species, it has been noted that individuals can vary greatly in their ability to block heterospecific sperm, when exposed to sperm from a single heterospecific male (Harper and Hart, 2005; Slaughter et al., 2008; Miranda et al., 2010). Even when exposed to a mixture of heterospecific and conspecific sperm, some females show equivalent compatibilities to these, rather than exhibiting a preference for conspecific sperm (Harper and Hart, 2005; Miranda et al., 2010). It is possible that heterospecific blocks are byproducts of selection for decreased intraspecific compatibility, as species that require low amounts of sperm for conspecific fertilization (i.e. are highly compatible) are also more likely to be fertilized by heterospecific sperm (Levitan, 2002; Fogarty et al., 2012).

Within sea urchin species there is evidence that increased male GRP divergence is correlated with increased gametic compatibility within color morphs (Calderon et al., 2010; Lopes and Ventura, 2012), and that matching GRPs tend to have higher compatibility than non-matching proteins (Palumbi, 1999; Levitan and Ferrell, 2006). However, the relationship between high compatibility and fertilization success appears to be dependent upon sperm concentration, as lower compatibility pairings are favored at higher sperm concentrations, as predicted under poly- spermy conditions (Levitan and Ferrell, 2006). Although less is known about diversity in the female protein, the evidence that female as well as male reproductive success can be predicted by sperm recognition genotypes suggest that linkage-disequilibrium between sperm and egg loci can be generated by assortative mating (Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Calderon et al., 2010; Lopes and Ventura, 2012). Additionally, although there is no direct link between GRP identity and reproductive success and no known link between the genes encoding GRPs and those for color in sea urchins, the fact that GRP divergence is higher between light and dark color morphs, and those color morphs have higher reproductive success when mating within color morph than across color morphs, also indicates that assortative mating may be occurring. These patterns of assortative mating might potentially lead to sympatric speciation (Calderon et al., 2010; Lopes and Ventura, 2012).

**Conclusions and future directions**

Polymorphisms in the genes encoding GRPs have been shown to affect individual reproductive performance in the laboratory (Palumbi, 1999) and under natural conditions in the sea (Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Lessios, 2011; Hart et al., 2012). The amount of polymorphism maintained within species varies widely. Abalone and some sea urchin species possess relatively little variation, while oysters and other sea urchin species can be highly polymorphic (Metz et al., 1998; Metz et al., 2008; Lessios, 2011; Hart et al., 2012). In internal fertilizers, little is known about the amount of variation present within species, as most studies have focused on identifying and documenting positive selection in candidate GRP genes (Swanson et al., 2001a, b; Torgerson et al., 2002; Gasper and Swanson, 2006;
Kelleher et al., 2011), although a study that did compared inter- and intraindividual variation in female GRPs found a high amount of variation within species (Turner and Hoekstra, 2008b). In abalone and some sea urchins, high divergence in GRPs among species is related to the presence of sympatric species, while in other species it is not (Metz et al., 1998; Palumbi and Lessios, 2005; Clark et al., 2007; Geyer and Lessios, 2009). It is unclear if divergence in GRPs arose due to secondary contact between species that initially arose either in allopatry or sympatry, causing reinforcement to occur, or if sympatric species arose due to divergence in GRPs leading to a sympatric speciation event (Gavrilets and Waxman, 2002; Palumbi, 2009). On the other hand, the degree of intraspecific GRP polymorphism maintained within a population appears to be highly correlated to sperm availability and/or population density (Levitan and Stapper, 2010; Pujolar and Pogson, 2011; Levitan, 2012).

Distinguishing between selective forces requires additional information about the relationship between GRP alleles and gametic compatibility. Reinforcement predicts that species from sympatric populations would possess more divergent GRP alleles and be less likely to fuse with heterospecific sperm (Geyer and Palumbi, 2003). Current evidence is mixed, as some species are able to completely block heterospecific fertilizations, while others have individuals that allow conspecific and heterospecific fertilizations equally (McCartney and Lessios, 2004; Lessios, 2007; Miranda et al., 2010). Testing gametic compatibilities based on GRP identity under differing sperm concentrations is the best way to determine if polymorphisms may be maintained through negative frequency-dependent selection mediated by sexual conflict, yet tests such as these have been restricted to a few species within a genus of sea urchins (Levitan and Ferrell, 2006; Levitan and Stapper, 2010; Levitan, 2012). Preliminary results suggest that rare allele advantage under polyspermy conditions may also be present in the tunicate Ciona intestinalis (Kosman and Levitan, unpublished data), but more systems should be studied to determine the generality of these results.

More information about male and female co-evolution of proteins is needed to illuminate the evolutionary history of GRP divergence; currently our knowledge is restricted to a single species of abalone, although incomplete information regarding possible assortative mating exists for sea stars (Clark et al., 2001a, 2001b, 2004; Turner and Hoekstra, 2008a, b). Internal fertilizers have an additional level of protein interactions, with proteins present in the seminal fluid and female reproductive tract, many of which are known to be positively selected and can play a role in gametic compatibility (Swanson et al., 2001b, 2004; Clark et al., 2006; Dean and Nachman, 2009; Vicens et al., 2014). Information about other proteins involved in sperm–egg interactions may be needed to fully understand the evolutionary trajectories of the GRPs discussed here, as they may offer alternate binding sites for excess sperm, as hypothesized in abalone, act as a filter by pre-selecting certain sperm types, or at the very least be under positive selection themselves, offering another loci upon which sexual selection can operate (Aagaard et al., 2010; Pujolar and Pogson, 2011; Vacquier, 2012; Levitan, unpublished data).

In conclusion, examining the prevalence of polymorphism in genes encoding GRPs as well as the functional consequences of those polymorphisms on gametic compatibility in relation to ecological factors, such as sperm concentration, is necessary in understanding the forces that shaped the evolutionary history of these proteins.
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