Recurrent triploidy due to a failure to complete maternal meiosis II: whole-exome sequencing reveals candidate variants
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ABSTRACT: Triploidy is a relatively common cause of miscarriage; however, recurrent triploidy has rarely been reported. A healthy 34-year-old woman was ascertained because of 18 consecutive miscarriages with triploidy found in all 5 karyotyped losses. Molecular results in a sixth loss were also consistent with triploidy. Genotyping of markers near the centromere on multiple chromosomes suggested that all six triploid conceptions occurred as a result of failure to complete meiosis II (MII). The proband’s mother had also experienced recurrent miscarriage, with a total of 18 miscarriages. Based on the hypothesis that an inherited autosomal-dominant maternal predisposition would explain the phenotype, whole-exome sequencing of the proband and her parents was undertaken to identify potential candidate variants. After filtering for quality and rarity, potentially damaging variants shared between the proband and her mother were identified in 47 genes. Variants in genes coding for proteins implicated in oocyte maturation, oocyte activation or polar body extrusion were then prioritized. Eight of the most promising candidate variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. These included a novel change in the PLCD4 gene, and a rare variant in the OSBPL5 gene, which have been implicated in oocyte activation upon fertilization and completion of MII. Several variants in genes coding proteins playing a role in oocyte maturation and early embryonic development were also identified. The genes identified may be candidates for the study in other women experiencing recurrent triploidy or recurrent IVF failure.
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Introduction

Triploidy is one of the more common abnormalities to occur in pregnancy and accounts for ~1% of conceptions and ~10% of early spontaneous abortions (SAs) (Hassold et al., 1980). It occurs independently of maternal age and may be the result of either digyny (extra haploid set from mother) or diandry (extra haploid set from father) (McFadden and Kalousek, 1991). Digynic triploidy predominates in cases with identifiable fetuses while diandry is slightly more common in early triploid SAs that lack embryos (McFadden and Kalousek, 1991). Some mechanisms for the occurrence of triploidy include failure to extrude the first polar body (PB) at maternal meiosis I (MI); failure to divide or failure to extrude the second PB at meiosis II (MII) or, in the case of diandry, polyspermy (McFadden and Langlois, 2000; Zaragoza et al., 2000; McFadden et al., 2002). While failure of paternal MI or MII could result in fertilization by a diploid sperm (Zaragoza et al., 2000; Rosenbusch, 2008), there is no conclusive molecular data to support that such sperm contribute to triploid pregnancies (McFadden et al., 2002).

There is little evidence for a propensity to recurrence of triploidy in the general population (Robinson et al., 2001). Hence, the occurrence of two triploid pregnancies from one parent is usually attributed to chance. Recurrent triploidy, defined as three or more triploid conceptions from one couple, has rarely been reported. Pergament et al. (2000) reported on a woman with two triploid pregnancies, with an
additional 2 triploid embryos identified from 13 embryos produced after IVF using ICSI. It was inferred that these were due to errors of MI division because the oocytes showed the presence of one PB and only two pronuclei were observed at fertilization (Pergament et al., 2000). Brancati et al. (2003) reported on a 36-year-old woman with three consecutive digynic triploid pregnancies consistent with either maternal MI or MI errors. Huang et al. (2004) similarly reported on a woman who at age 31 had had three triploid losses, all of which were determined to be due to maternal MI errors. An additional case with three triploid losses was reported in a mother with low level 45, X mosaicism, but molecular testing was not performed in this case (Johnson et al., 2000).

We hereby report a case with at least six triploid conceptions, each consistent with a failure of maternal MI. A number of rare genetic variants were identified by whole-exome sequencing, and we discuss the possible candidates responsible for this phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the University of British Columbia Clinical Research Ethics Board (H01-70460, Study of Epigenetics of Reproduction and Fertility; H12-00145, Imprinting in Placenta).

Patient and family history details

The proband at age 34 had experienced 18 consecutive SAs all between 5.5 and 12 weeks of gestation and no live births (G18SA18). Her first loss was at age 26. Five SAs were karyotyped with three being diagnosed as 69, XXX and two as 69, XXY. A sixth loss was evaluated by diagnostic array comparative genomic hybridization (oligo-based array) after culture failure and did not show aneuploidy, but triploidy cannot be detected with this approach. The reproductive history of the brother is unknown. The maternal grandmother was not thought to have experienced the proband and her brother. The reproductive history of the proband were negative (Manokhina et al., 2013).

Molecular genotyping

DNA was extracted using standard procedures from all six available chorionic villus samples from miscarriage specimens, as well as from blood samples from the proband and both of her parents. Parental and meiotic origin of the extra set of chromosomes was determined by comparing microsatellite marker inheritance patterns in the triploid placenta to that of the maternal DNA as we have done previously (McFadden et al., 2002; McFadden and Robinson, 2006). Markers used to distinguish MI from MI errors mapped near (<5 centiMorgans (cM) from the centromere (http://cedar.genetics.soton.ac.uk/pub) and were from multiple chromosomes (Table 1). Digyny is expected to be associated with inheritance of either both maternal alleles or a double dose of one maternal allele at all loci, whereas diandry would be expected to show two copies of a non-maternal allele. Digyny as the result of an MI error should show non-reduction to all centromeric markers, while a failure of MI should show reduction to homozygosity at all markers near the centromeres.

Methylation analysis

Recurrent triploidy has been suggested to be a consequence of NLRP7 mutation in some cases (Slip et al., 2011), and NLRP7 mutations have been associated with the loss of maternal methylation imprints; therefore, we wanted to confirm that such methylation imprints were set appropriately in these cases. We estimated methylation at the following imprinting control regions: H19 (ICR1), KvDMR1 (ICR2) and SGCE by pyrosequencing. The assays used and results in normal placenta were described previously (Bourque et al., 2011).

Whole-exome sequencing

Whole-exome sequencing on the proband and both her parents was performed by Perkin Elmer using the Illumina Hiseq 2000 machine for 100 bp paired end sequencing (Instrument control software I.4.8; RTA version 1.24.2). Following targeted enrichment using the SureSelect (Agilent Technologies Canada, Inc.) 50 Mb v3 Capture kit, we obtained a yield of 20–22 Gb of sequence data (~84 000 000 reads) per individual. The mean exome coverage was 89-fold, with at least 80% of the exome covered more than 30 times. Mapping to the reference genome sequence (hg19) and alignment was done using both Bowtie and BWA algorithms in order to effectively align reads with substitutions as well as short indels. The –mi option specifies bowtie to discard any reads that can be mapped to more than one location. Using samtools, sam.files were then converted to bam.files removing unaligned reads as well as alignments with a quality lower than a Phred quality score at 20 for the entire read. For local realignment, we used the GATK aligner, which searches for missing deletions in Bowtie + BWA procedure to reduce false positives. Variant calling was performed by using samtools mpileup. Single-nucleotide variants were filtered for minimum mapping quality of a Phred quality score at 30 allowing 99.9% base call accuracy.

The variants were annotated based on their frequency in the overall population and as being ‘known’ or ‘novel’ according to whether they had been reported in the public databases dbSNP or 1000 Genomes, variants were further assessed for their potential to disrupt protein function using the software ‘Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant’ (SIFT) (http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/www/SIFT_AWS_help.html) (Ng and Henikoff, 2003), PolyPhen-2 (Adzhubei et al., 2010) and Mutation Taster (Schwarz et al., 2014). We deleted non-exonic variants and variants indicated as synonymous to obtain 10 000–15 000 rare (<0.01 minor allele frequency (MAF)) or novel variants for each individual. Given the hypothesized autosomal-dominant nature of the predisposition, heterozygous variants shared between the proband and her mother who at age 31 had had three triploid losses, all of which were...
### Table I Molecular genotyping results for the proband, her parents and six SAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Chromosomal band</th>
<th>Map start position (hg19)</th>
<th>Distance to centromere (cM)</th>
<th>Proband mother</th>
<th>Proband father</th>
<th>Proband</th>
<th>SAS</th>
<th>SAS</th>
<th>SAS</th>
<th>SAS</th>
<th>SAS</th>
<th>SAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DXS1003</td>
<td>Xp11.3</td>
<td>46419301</td>
<td></td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXS6941</td>
<td>Xp11.3</td>
<td>48235256</td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Xq11.2</td>
<td>66800000</td>
<td></td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbc</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3S1271</td>
<td>3q12.3</td>
<td>100734730</td>
<td></td>
<td>l</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S822</td>
<td>5q11.1</td>
<td>50511131</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>bbc</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbc</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12S87</td>
<td>12p11.2</td>
<td>30387939</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>be</td>
<td>dee</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S141</td>
<td>13q12.1.1</td>
<td>20724459</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S175</td>
<td>13q12.1.1</td>
<td>20848380</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S1236</td>
<td>13q12.1.1</td>
<td>22695996</td>
<td></td>
<td>be</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>ade</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>aee</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>cee</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S115</td>
<td>13q12.1.1</td>
<td>22842462</td>
<td></td>
<td>dd</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>bcd</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>bdd</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bcd</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S232</td>
<td></td>
<td>23799762</td>
<td></td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>bcd</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bcd</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S403</td>
<td>16p12.2</td>
<td>23037651</td>
<td></td>
<td>dd</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>abd</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>abd</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>bdd</td>
<td>udd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S401</td>
<td>16p12.1</td>
<td>24685957</td>
<td></td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S3093</td>
<td>16p12.1</td>
<td>26745405</td>
<td></td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>bbd</td>
<td>udd</td>
<td>udd</td>
<td>bbd</td>
<td>udd</td>
<td>udd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S753</td>
<td>16p1.2</td>
<td>31273504</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S3105</td>
<td>16q12.1</td>
<td>46792100</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>aa</td>
<td>aa</td>
<td>aa</td>
<td>aaa</td>
<td>uai</td>
<td>uai</td>
<td>uai</td>
<td>uai</td>
<td>uai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S3044</td>
<td>16q12.1</td>
<td>47437536</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dde</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>dde</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbe</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S409</td>
<td>16q12.1</td>
<td>48775854</td>
<td></td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bbb</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S515</td>
<td>16q23.1</td>
<td>76517028</td>
<td></td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>uai</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S402</td>
<td>16q13.3</td>
<td>83293414</td>
<td></td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>cc</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>abc</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>ui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D18S1093</td>
<td>18q12.2</td>
<td>34019263</td>
<td></td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>adc</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>abd</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D18S1127</td>
<td>18q21.2</td>
<td>53371163</td>
<td></td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dde</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>bde</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ccd</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D18S488</td>
<td>18q22.3</td>
<td>6940462</td>
<td></td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>ee</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>cde</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>cde</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ade</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D22S868</td>
<td>22q12.2</td>
<td>21393073</td>
<td></td>
<td>ab</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>ac</td>
<td>aab</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D22S277</td>
<td>22q13.2</td>
<td>34543413</td>
<td></td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>bc</td>
<td>cd</td>
<td>cdd</td>
<td>ui</td>
<td>aac</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>cdd</td>
<td>ui</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all cases and all informative centromeric markers (bolded), there was a reduction of maternal heterozygosity to homozygosity. Microsatellite genotyping results are designated by a letter with 'a' being the largest amplicon. For SAs, the transmission of maternal heterozygosity is indicated as N (non-reduced), R (reduced) or ui (uninformative).

*Centimorgans.
Results

A maternal MII origin of triploidy

Molecular genotyping results are shown in Table I. Although paternal DNA was not available, the genotype could be inferred at many loci. All markers were consistent with maternal origin of the extra haploid set of chromosomes. As three alleles were observed at many loci, endo-reduplication of one pronucleus could be excluded and a maternal meiotic error could be inferred. A subset of microsatellite markers was chosen based on being very near (<5 cM) to the centromere. For all cases and all informative centromeric markers, there was a reduction of maternal heterozygosity to homozygosity, indicating that the triploids all resulted from a failure of the maternal MII division. In one case (SA18), the closest marker to the centromere on 16q (D16S3044) showed non-reduction, while the closest marker to the centromere on 16p (D16S753) showed reduction to homozygosity, indicating a recombination event had occurred close to the centromere. Hence, this case was uninformative for the chromosome 16 centromere, but all other centromeric markers in this case were reduced to homozygosity, consistent with maternal MII failure. As the additional marker analysis demonstrated apparently normal levels of imprinted methylation, we assume that MI was normal.

Normal methylation at imprinted loci

The proband, her mother and five triploid samples were evaluated for methylation at three imprinting control regions: SGCE, H19 (ICR1) and KvDMR1 (ICR2). The triploid samples exhibited values in that MI was normal.

Molecular genotyping results are shown in Table I. Although paternal DNA was not available, the genotype could be inferred at many loci. All markers were consistent with maternal origin of the extra haploid set of chromosomes. As three alleles were observed at many loci, endo-reduplication of one pronucleus could be excluded and a maternal meiotic error could be inferred. A subset of microsatellite markers was chosen based on being very near (<5 cM) to the centromere. For all cases and all informative centromeric markers, there was a reduction of maternal heterozygosity to homozygosity, indicating that the triploids all resulted from a failure of the maternal MII division. In one case (SA18), the closest marker to the centromere on 16q (D16S3044) showed non-reduction, while the closest marker to the centromere on 16p (D16S753) showed reduction to homozygosity, indicating a recombination event had occurred close to the centromere. Hence, this case was uninformative for the chromosome 16 centromere, but all other centromeric markers in this case were reduced to homozygosity, consistent with maternal MII failure. As the additional marker analysis demonstrated apparently normal levels of imprinted methylation, we assume that MI was normal.

Table II Eight candidate genes involved in cell cycle regulation or oocyte maturation with rare non-synonymous candidate variants that are shared between the proband and her mother.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Single nucleotide polymorphism</th>
<th>Minor allele frequency</th>
<th>Protein change*</th>
<th>Protein damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLCD4</td>
<td>Phospholipase C, delta 4</td>
<td>2.219501020, I, T/C</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>L696P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSBPL5</td>
<td>Oysterol binding protein-like 5</td>
<td>11.3123481, I, C/G</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>G385R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES1</td>
<td>Proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Yes</td>
<td>18.745840, I, T/C</td>
<td>C: 0.009</td>
<td>I198V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBD4</td>
<td>Methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 4</td>
<td>3.129150385, I, C/G</td>
<td>G: 0.004</td>
<td>D250H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1R</td>
<td>Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor</td>
<td>5.149435645, I, G/A</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>T833M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRP10</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor family pyrin-domain containing 10</td>
<td>11.7982144, I, C/A</td>
<td>A: &lt;0.001</td>
<td>D339Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEP250</td>
<td>Centrosomal protein 250 kDa</td>
<td>20.3409255, 1, A/G</td>
<td>G: 0.006</td>
<td>N2120D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC2</td>
<td>Zinc finger protein basonuclin-2</td>
<td>9, 16436952, I, G/C</td>
<td>C: 0.009</td>
<td>L414V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to: NP_116115.1 (PLCD4); NP_06113753.1, NP_663613.1 (OSBPL5); NP_0054241 (YES1); NP_001263202.1 (MBD4); NP_001275634.1, NP_005202.2 (CSF1R); NP_789791.1 (NLRP10); NP_0091172 (CEP250); NP_0601073.8 (BNC2).

Whole-exome sequencing

All filtered variants were manually inspected for gene function in relation to the phenotype. Specifically, genes known or predicted to be involved in cell cycle regulation, oocyte maturation, MII resumption or extrusion of the second PB and those involved in other basic cellular functions were prioritized. Within the prioritized variants, those involving genes conserved across species and harboring significant mutations predicted to be damaging were considered to be the most promising candidates. SIFT, PolyPhen-2, MutationTaster and the Human Splice Finder scores were reviewed for prediction of functional effects of the variants selected. Public databases, including 1000 Genomes and the exome variant server from the NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project, were reviewed for MAFs of those variant alleles. PubMed, OMIM, NCBI Gene, EST and UniProtKB were reviewed for previous publications regarding candidate genes and related genes, as well as phenotypes in humans and other species, and functional and expression data. Reads were inspected for coverage using the Integrated Genome Browser to assess the likelihood of a variant being a true call before using Sanger sequencing for validation of selected variants in the proband and her mother.

We identified rare non-synonymous candidate variants predicted to be protein damaging and shared between the proband and her mother in 47 genes (Supplementary data, Table SI). The literature on gene expression in ovary or oocyte is heterogeneous and expression depends on the tissue used, developmental stages as well as the menstrual cycle, making it difficult to eliminate candidates based on expression patterns. Over 7000 genes are expressed in the MII oocyte alone (Kocabas et al., 2006). We selected eight genes for follow-up validation because they code for proteins known to be involved in cell cycle regulation or oocyte maturation (CSF1R, MIM 164770; MBD4, MIM 603574; BNC2, MIM 608669; CEP250, MIM 609869) or to be involved in MII resumption and extrusion of the second PB (PLCD4, MIM 605939; OSBPL5, MIM 606733; YES1, MIM 604880) (Table II). We also studied NALP10 (MIM 609662) because it is closely related to the NALP gene family that was reported to be required for early embryonic development in the mouse and potentially involved in reproductive disorders in humans.
Candidate variants leading to recurrent triploidy

(Meyer et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2012). The GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geoprofiles/) confirms expression of all candidate genes in the oocyte at the germinal vesicle and MII stage in the mouse, while microarray BIOGPS database (http://biogps.org) show positive expression in the ovary in normal human tissues.

Follow-up Sanger sequencing

The eight candidate variants were validated in the proband and her mother. None of the studied candidate variants were detected in the additional group of 17 samples from women with digynic MII triploid fetus in their pregnancy history (Table I).

Discussion

As triploidy is relatively common at conception, experiencing two cases of triploid miscarriage is most often due to chance (Robinson et al., 2001). There are few reports of recurrent (3+) triploidy in the literature (Pergament et al., 2000; Brancati et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2004). The present case is distinctive by having more losses in total and more confirmed triploid losses than any previously reported case. As the proband’s mother had 2 live births from 23 pregnancies, a small number of eggs may mature and fertilize normally. The patient reported by Pergament et al., had a live birth using IVF and preimplantation genetic screening (PGS), having had two triploid pregnancies and two additional triploid conceptuses identified after IVF. Although the clinical utility of PGS is still debated, the use of IVF may enable the selection of fertilized eggs that may mature and fertilize normally. The patient reported by Pergament’s mother had 2 live births from 23 pregnancies, a small number of eggs may mature and fertilize normally. The patient reported by Pergament et al., had a live birth using IVF and Pergament et al., had a live birth using IVF and preimplantation genetic screening (PGS), having had two triploid pregnancies and two additional triploid conceptuses identified after IVF. Although the clinical utility of PGS is still debated, the use of IVF may enable the selection of fertilized eggs that may mature and fertilize normally.

Origin of triploidy

We performed detailed molecular genotyping that demonstrated that the recurrent triploidy was a consequence of an inherent failure to complete MII, as has also been observed in ~75% of sporadic digynic triploidy (McFadden and Langlois, 2000; Zaragoza et al., 2000). Other described origins for triploidy, including fertilization of a binucleate/diploid [giant] oocyte (derived from a tetraploid progenitor) and endoreduplication (Rosenbusch, 2008) were excluded by molecular genotyping.

Usually, MII is initiated immediately after completion of MII during the oocyte maturation phase. At the time of ovulation, MII is arrested at metaphase. MII resumes after the sperm enters the egg. Sperm entry initiates a signaling cascade that likely involves activation of phospholipase C (PLC) (Suh et al., 2008; Kashir et al., 2010). PLC hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate to produce diacyl-glycerol and inositol triphosphate (IP3). IP3 acts to increase calcium levels, which then triggers the extrusion of the second PB (Ullah et al., 2014). Digynic triploidy after assisted reproduction is commonly characterized by non-extrusion of the second PB and formation of three pronuclei (Grossmann et al., 1997; Rosenbusch, 2008). Also, in vitro creation of digynic triploid blastocysts is usually performed using cytochalasin-B, an inhibitor of actin microfilaments, which prevents PB extrusion (Niemerko, 1975; Speirs and Kaufman, 1989). Hence, defects in genes involved in oocyte activation or PB extrusion can be considered candidates explaining recurrent triploidy.

Several mouse strains produce oocytes that arrest in MII and fail to progress to MII; however, some of these eggs can be activated upon fertilization resulting in digynic triploid conceptuses (Kaufman and Speirs, 1987). The defect in the LT/Sv mouse strain has been linked to a prolonged spindle assembly checkpoint (Hupalowska et al., 2008). Interestingly, the ability of the LT/Sv oocytes to become activated upon fertilization was dependent on the levels of protein kinase C (alpha, delta and zeta) (Archacka et al., 2008). There have been a number of reports of women experiencing infertility due to arrest of oocytes at MI (Mrazek and Fulka, 2003; Heindryckx et al., 2011). Thus, mutations in genes coding for proteins involved in the oocyte maturation/meiotic cell cycle regulation may also be considered candidates contributing to recurrent triploidy.

Candidate genes involved in MII resumption/extrusion of the second PB

The PLCD4 gene encodes a member of the delta class of PLC enzymes. This gene is predominantly expressed in testes, where it functions in the acrosome reaction upon fertilization (Fukami et al., 2003). Male mice with a disruption of PLCD4 produced no or small sized litters and in vitro fertilization using PLCD4+/− sperm resulted in fewer eggs becoming activated (Fukami et al., 2001). While female mice lacking this gene were generally fertile, there was a slight reduction in fertility rates and litter size. Of interest, PLCD4 is specifically up-regulated in the mouse oocyte during MI (Oliveri et al., 2007). Furthermore, mouse eggs injected with IP3, a product of PLC activity, do not emit the second PB (Kurasawa et al., 1989). The mutation in our patient could have resulted in increased or decreased activity and/or interfered with functional PLCD4 in the oocyte, causing a failure of extrusion of the second PB. Such a mutation could also cause increased ability to activate an immature oocyte arrested at MI, thereby resulting in recurrent triploidy, rather than infertility in the presence of an independent oocyte maturation defect.

OSBPL5 encodes a member of the oxysterol-binding protein (OSBP) family, a group of intracellular lipid receptors that play a key role in the maintenance of cholesterol balance in the body. Experiments with cholesterol depletion in mouse disturbed the subcellular localization of the signal molecule c-Src, and subsequent inhibition of Src kinase proteins prevented second PB extrusion (Buschiazzo et al., 2013). Cholesterol repletion recovered the fertilization index and ability to extrude the second PB in cholesterol-depleted oocytes, indicating reversibility of these effects.

The protein tyrosine kinase YES1, the effector molecule of PLCD4, also carries a non-synonymous variant in our case in the highly conserved SH2 domain. Src-kinases Fyn and Yes were found to be specifically up-regulated in mouse oocytes during MII resumption and essential to this process (Luo et al., 2010). Non-specific inhibiting Src family kinase activity blocks MII; in contrast, microinjecting mRNAs of active forms of signal molecules Fyn or c-Yes into ovulated eggs triggered MII without evoking Ca2+ increase (Reut et al., 2007). The patient’s mutation in YES1 is not predicted to have high damaging potential, but given its involvement in the same pathway as PLCD4, it may enhance the pathway damage in the presence of the PLCD4 mutation.

Candidate genes involved in cell cycle regulation/oocyte maturation

As discussed, the appearance of a defect in MII could result from a defect in the timing of oocyte maturation, such that MI, rather than MII, is completed at the time of sperm activation. Oocyte maturation may be affected by a wide variety of processes from those affecting growth of
folicular support cells to those acting inside the egg nucleus itself. Three protein-coding genes (CSF1R, NALP10, MBD4) potentially involved in oocyte maturation revealed heterozygous variants predicted to be damaging. CSF1R encodes for the Receptor for Colony Stimulating Factor 1 and almost exclusively mediates the biological effects of this cytokine (Li et al., 2006). Both CSF1 and CSF1R are expressed at high levels in the mature, unfertilized oocyte (Witt and Pollard, 1997; Salmassi et al., 2005). Following ovulation and fertilization, receptor mRNAs are degraded to undetectable levels (Arcecci et al., 1992). CSF1 also regulates cell division in the blastocyst before implantation and is implicated in the regulation of trophoblast differentiation and local immune responses protecting the fetus (Garcia-Lloret et al., 1994; Gorivodsky et al., 1999). Female mice with a homozygous mutation in CSF1 revealed a reduced fertility rate (Pollard et al., 1991), although this was thought to involve the implantation stage rather than oocyte development.

NALP10 is a member of the nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat containing (NLR) family that serves as major regulators of inflammatory and innate immune response. A number of these family members are expressed in oocyte and involved in reproduction control (Tian et al., 2009), and NLRP7 is a well-known ‘maternal effect gene’, in which biallelic mutations are found in women with recurrent biparental hydatidiform mole (Murdoch et al., 2006) and possibly involved in a wider range of pregnancy pathology including triploidy (Murdoch et al., 2006; Meyer et al., 2009; Fallahian et al., 2013). However, NLRP10 does not appear to be expressed in the oocyte (Tian et al., 2009).

MBD4 (MEDI) belongs to a family of proteins with a methyl-binding domain at the N-terminus that functions both in binding to methylated DNA and in protein interactions and a C-terminal mismatch-specific glycosylase domain that is involved in DNA repair. It was deemed of interest as its expression is specifically up-regulated at MII (Olveri et al., 2007) and it is involved in methylation reprogramming in gametogenesis (Galetzka et al., 2007).

BNC2 encodes basonucin 2, a transcriptional factor from the group of ‘maternal-effect’ genes in mice (Ma et al., 2006). It may play a role in the differentiation of spermatooza and oocytes. Polymorphisms in this gene were associated with serous ovarian cancer. However, the variant in the proband and her mother are assessed as ‘tolerated’, suggesting minor protein damage.

While we did not identify any damaging mutations in genes known to be involved in meiotic spindle assembly checkpoints (as implicated in the MI arrest in the LT/Sv mouse strain), variants predicted to be tolerated were identified in genes coding for centrosomal proteins (CEP192, CEP250) and a spindle associated kinesis protein, KIF9. Although expressed in MI and MII, knockdown of C-NAP1 (product of CEP250) in mouse oocytes did not produce an obvious effect on meiotic progression (Sonn et al., 2011) and Drosophila mutants lacking centrosomes can complete MII (Stevens et al., 2007). KIF9 is a regulator of spindle dynamics and affects mitotic progression (Andrieu et al., 2012), although a function in meiosis is unknown.

Summary

In summary, we present a unique patient exhibiting a high number of miscarriages and recurrence of triploid conceptions with a maternal history of unusually frequent miscarriages. Hypothesizing an inherited autosomal-dominant predisposition, we identified candidate genes potentially involved in the etiology of recurrent triploidy using whole-exome sequencing. While we cannot conclude which mutation(s) caused the reproductive failure in this particular case, a limited number of reasonable candidates playing a role in oocyte maturation, oocyte activation or PB extrusion were identified. This shows the great potential of studying families with rare phenotypes to increase our knowledge about mechanisms of reproductive failure. Future patients with recurrent triploidy or recurrent IVF failure due to failed oocyte maturation could be screened for mutations in these same genes in order to confirm our findings. However, considering genetic heterogeneity and complexity, establishing animal models may be the most reasonable approach in the future for studying the functional impact of variants in genes involved in human reproduction.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at http://molehr.oxfordjournals.org/online.
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