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Objectives. Upward trends in IQ, education, and mental work suggest that cognitive function among seniors should be rising strongly across cohorts. There is little sign of such improvement in recent decades, and some analyses find poorer function in the newer cohorts. This essay explores possible explanations of the anomaly.

Methods. Major long-term trends that might increase cognitive impairment are reviewed, and their implications are considered.

Results. Physical activity is declining, food is increasingly manufactured, body fat is increasing, diabetes and metabolic syndrome are on the rise, the number of prescription drugs per person is increasing, and the proportion of the population either old or obese is growing.

Discussion. Technological and economic development may lower the cognitive function needed for survival. They also lower physical activity in daily life. Sedentary work, transportation, and leisure undermine the aerobic and metabolic fitness required for the brain to perform well. Some prescription drugs impair cognitive function, and others do so when taken for many years or in combination with others. The growing fraction of the population that is either old or obese may further lower physical activity norms and requirements and substitute medical intervention for health, accelerating a trend toward cognitive impairment.


The upward 20th century trends in IQ, education, and mental work suggest that age-specific levels of cognitive function among seniors should be rising strongly across cohorts. There is little sign of such a trend in recent decades, and some analyses suggest the opposite, showing stagnant or poorer cognitive function in the newer cohorts (Alwin, McCammon, & Hofer, 2006; Alwin, McCammon, Wray, & Rodgers, 2008; Schaie, 2008). Demographic interpretations of this anomaly often refer to survival effects. Technological and economic development may lower the degree of cognitive function needed for survival. If so, individuals with poor cognitive function may survive longer in newer cohorts, diminishing the average levels of old-age function (Alwin et al., 2006, 2008). However, other forces also may be suppressing or countervailing the gains from higher IQs, longer schooling, and more engaging work. Notably, the same technological trends that may lower the degree of cognitive function needed to survive also lower the physical activity required in daily life. Increasingly, sedentary forms of work, transportation, and leisure undermine the aerobic and metabolic fitness required for the brain to perform well (Brownson & Boehmer, 2004; Brownson, Boehmer, & Luke, 2005; Duany, Plater-Zyberk, & Speck, 2000; Ewing, Schmid, Killingsworth, Zlot, & Raudenbush, 2003; Kumari, Brunner, & Fuhrer, 2000; Lakdawalla & Philipson, 2002; Transportation Research Board, 2005; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1996; Wyatt & Hecker, 2006).

The brain’s metabolic needs

Cognitive function is, ultimately, brain function. An adult human brain weighs about 3 pounds (1.4 kg; Clarke & Sokoloff, 1999). That is about 2% of total body mass. The brain’s rate of oxygen consumption, its metabolic rate, is about 49 ml/min, that is, about 20% of the body’s total basal energy use. The neurons operate using electrochemical potentials produced by ionic gradients, which in turn are created by potassium–sodium pumps. Those pumps need energy to work, in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). The synthesis of ATP requires oxygen and glucose delivered to the brain’s dense vascular system and from there through the cell walls to the interiors of the active neurons. Normal blood flow to the brain is about 750 ml/minute, which is about 15% of the body’s total flow. The brain works even when the person rests. It works harder when the person thinks, perceives, speaks, reads, plans, acts, intends, or remembers. All that work depends on the body’s ability to deliver oxygen and glucose to the cerebrovascular system and on that system’s ability to route blood to the active neurons. The brain’s functioning depends heavily on cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic fitness (Cotman, Berchtold, & Christie, 2007; Hillman, Erickson, & Kramer, 2008; Kramer & Erickson, 2007; Kumari et al., 2000; Spiro & Brady, 2008). The progressive substitution of mechanical power for human physical activity in jobs, household chores, transportation, and leisure is undermining the physical fitness needed for cognitive function.
The Default American Lifestyle

Physical activity in work, transportation, and recreation has been trending down for a century or more (Brownson & Boehmer, 2004; Brownson et al., 2005; Lakdawalla & Philipson, 2002; Mirowsky & Ross, 2010; Wyatt & Hecker, 2006). A concurrent decline in the cost of food and a shift from home-made to manufactured and restaurant meals magnifies the impact of declining physical activity on the metabolic and vascular systems (Cook & Daponte, 2008; Cutler, Glaeser, & Shapiro, 2003; Hill, 2009; Kessler, 2009; Pollan, 2006; Rashad, Grossman, & Chou, 2005; Schlosser, 2002). An increasing fraction of successive birth cohorts spend an increasing number of years before old age with increasingly severe problems controlling blood pressure, cholesterol, and blood sugar or delivering oxygen on demand (Bray & Bellanger, 2006; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010; Duncan, Li, & Zhou, 2004; Ford, Giles, & Mokdad, 2004; Mensah et al., 2004). On a population level, medical interventions have not, and probably cannot, eliminate the physiological consequences of too much food and too little physical activity throughout life. Those physiological consequences eventually degrade cognitive function (e.g., Cournot et al., 2006; Grodstein, Wilson, Chen, & Manson, 2001; Morley, 2004; Sabia, Kivimaki, Shipley, Marmot, & Singh-Manous, 2009).

The default American lifestyle is unhealthy (Mirowsky & Ross, 2010). It is not a default in the old sense of a failure to perform a task or fulfill an obligation. It is a default in the newer sense of an option, assigned automatically by an operating system, that remains in effect unless canceled or overridden by the operator. The automatic routines of 21st century affluent society are set by the economic system and physical infrastructure. They developed from two related centuries-old trends: the progressive increase in per-capita productivity and wealth and the progressive substitution of mechanical energy and work for human labor (Brownson & Boehmer, 2004; Brownson et al., 2005). These have nearly eliminated the threats to health and survival common in 1900, increasing life expectancy, but leaving as residue the diseases of affluence.

Abundance has its risks. The industrial production of food products provides an excess of cheap calories always ready at hand (Chou, Grossman, & Saffer, 2002; Cook & Daponte, 2008; Cutler et al., 2003; Hill, 2009; Rashad et al., 2005). The food is engineered for production, transportation, marketing, and convenience as much or more than for nutrition (Kessler, 2009; Pollan, 2006; Schlosser, 2002). Gas engines, electric motors, and electronic communication make travel, work, play, and commerce increasingly sedentary (Cutler et al., 2003; Lakdawalla & Philipson, 2002). The locales of daily activity are separated by distances and obstacles that are forbidding and dangerous to anyone not in a motorized vehicle (Duany et al., 2000; Ewing et al., 2003; Transportation Research Board, 2005). So, people eat lots of packaged and prepared foods, drive from place to place, and sit while working, playing, and socializing. Their physiological systems, evolved for physical effort in contexts of scarcity, drift further and further from balance. Their muscles become atrophied, their joints inflamed and calcified, their bones brittle and misaligned, their hearts weak, and their arteries clogged and hardened. They take medicines to control blood pressure, cholesterol, and glucose; to regulate bowel movements, urination, stomach acidity, and reflux; and to stifle anxiety, depression, and pain (Critser, 2005; Petersen, 2008). This is the unhealthy lifestyle that remains in effect unless canceled or overridden by the operator.

Body Mass Trajectories and Trends

The epidemic of excess body weight provides the most obvious sign of trouble to come. Figure 1 shows age–vectors of the body mass index (BMI in kilograms per square meter) for a representative household sample of English-speaking U.S. adults interviewed in 1995, 1998, and 2001. The arrows illustrate the results of a six-year linear latent growth model with the origins and slopes regressed on polynomial functions of age (Mirowsky & Kim, 2007). Each arrow represents the predicted median BMI score at
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Figure 1. Arrows representing the predicted level and change in median body mass index (BMI) by age and sex, for every sixth one-year birth cohort, U.S. 1995–2001. One unit of BMI is 6.8 pounds (3.1 kg) for average height men (5’10": 176 cm), and 5.8 pounds (2.6 kg) for average height women (5’4": 162 cm).
the beginning of the study and the change over the six-year follow-up. For visual clarity, the figure only shows the arrows for every sixth one-year birth cohort (persons born in the same calendar year). It also shows separate vector sets for men and women.

Several things stand out about the body mass vectors. To begin with, median BMI increased considerably within the young and middle-aged cohorts as they aged six years. Expected median BMI increased in every birth cohort up to the ones in their early 70s at the beginning of the study. The largest within-cohort increases were on the order of 12.6 pounds (5.7 kg) over the six-year period. The figure shows that the majority of the men and women between the ages of 30 and 78 were above the BMI cutoff of 25 usually considered overweight. Taking the integral of the age-specific slopes suggests that, by the time the youngest cohorts in the survey reach age 40 (around the year 2020), the majority will be above the BMI cutoff of 30 usually considered obese.

The arrows in Figure 1 show considerable between-cohort trends in age-specific weight. The trends show up in the figure as vertical gaps between adjacent within-sex arrows. The model shows upward BMI trends at all ages for both sexes. The largest gap, implying the fastest age-specific trend, was 2.0 BMI units for the female cohorts aged 24 in 1995 and 2001. In other words, the weight of 24-year-old women was increasing at a rate of nearly 2 pounds (0.9 kg) per calendar year. For men, the fastest upward trend was around age 40 at about 1.3 BMI units or nearly 1.5 pounds (0.68 kg) per calendar year. Although the trend in body mass varies by age and sex, it was upward at all ages for both sexes. Across a broad range of ages, median age-specific body weight was increasing at a rate at or above a pound (0.45 kg) per year across a broad range of ages.

**BMI and Threats to Cognitive Function**

The body mass trends provide the most visible and readily measured sign of hidden physiological trends that threaten the cognitive function of America’s future seniors. Body mass in itself may or may not degrade mental capacities. Among the elderly, a low or declining BMI generally indicates an unhealthy loss of muscle and bone as well as fat, resulting from inactivity, poor nutrition, and chronic conditions (e.g., Dziura, de Leon, Kasl, & DiPietro, 2004; Kahng, Dunkle, & Jackson, 2004). Among seniors who have long been overweight or obese, remaining so may be a relatively good sign for cognitive function (Kuo et al., 2006). For middle-aged adults, though, higher BMI is associated with lower cognitive function and faster subsequent declines in it (Cournot et al., 2006). Likewise, obesity in early and middle adulthood or rapid increases in BMI during adulthood are associated with lower cognitive function near the end of middle age (around age 61; Sabia et al., 2009).
BMI values are correlated with many measures of physiological dysfunctions that may directly or indirectly undermine cognitive function. There are some reasons to suspect that body fat may contribute directly to cognitive dysfunction. Fat cells secrete inflammatory cytokines (Abraham, Brunner, Eriksson, & Robertson, 2007), and the fat they store is triglyceride, which when circulating increases blood viscosity (Kumari et al., 2000). However, most explanations refer to BMI’s relationship to several factors that may undermine cognitive function. They include high blood pressure, high ratios of low-density to high-density lipoprotein, type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance and poor blood sugar control, oxidative stress, heart disease, stroke, deep vein thrombosis, and signs of the inflammatory processes that contribute to the formation of atherosclerotic plaques and embolisms (Abraham et al., 2007; Bray & Bellanger, 2006; Duncan et al., 2004; Ford, Giles, & Dietz, 2002; Ford et al., 2004; Haffner, 2006; Hillman et al., 2008; Kopelman, 2000; Laaksonen et al., 2002; Mensah et al., 2004; Ogden, Yanovski, Carroll, & Flegal, 2007; Vincent, Innes, & Vincent, 2007). The collection of biomarkers related to BMI is often called the metabolic syndrome. Poor cognitive function is related to type 2 diabetes (Arvanitakis, Wilson, Bienias, Evans, & Bennett, 2004; Grodstein et al., 2001) and the metabolic syndrome (Kumari et al., 2000; Morley, 2004), particularly in the presence of inflammation (Yaffe et al., 2004). In addition, the low physical activity associated with higher BMI has a direct association with cognitive dysfunction (Angevaren, Aufdemkampe, Verhaar, Aleman, & Vanhees, 2008; Kramer & Erickson, 2007), partly because of low aerobic capacity.

Many researchers criticize BMI as an indicator of underlying problems and trouble to come (Folsom et al., 2000; Gallagher et al., 2000; Prentice & Jebb, 2001; Snijder, van Dam, Visser, & Seidell, 2006). They note that BMI measures muscle mass and bone density (signs of good health) as well as body fat; that measures of abdominal or visceral fat may be better indicators of underlying health problems; or that BMI’s relationship to health problems differs by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Some researchers also debate whether body fat causes problems, such as high blood pressure and insulin resistance, or simply acts as an easy-to-measure correlate of the things that cause the metabolic syndrome (e.g., Campos, Saguy, Ernsberger, Oliver, & Gaesser, 2005). Whatever view one takes on those issues, the BMI trends suggest that an avalanche of health problems is on its way. Higher prevalence of old-age cognitive impairment seems likely, given its relationship to low physical activity, high BMI, the metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes. In addition, just as type 2 diabetes is no longer solely found among old people, age-related dementia may begin to appear in ever younger segments of the population.

**Bio-accumulation and pharm-accumulation**

Exercise and eating habits, body mass, blood pressure, blood triglyceride and cholesterol levels, insulin resistance, and arteriosclerosis are all accumulators (Mirowsky & Ross, 2003). By definition, accumulators have two properties: they gather many small effects into one large one and once present they tend to stay present. Many known bio-accumulators influence the body’s ability to meet the brain’s metabolic needs, such as resting metabolic rate, cardiac output, vital capacity, and oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA, in addition to the ones mentioned above (Mirowsky & Ross, 2003; and see earlier references regarding the metabolic syndrome and exercise).

Because bio-accumulators represent the sum of many small consequences over time, they provide little or no feedback for protective behavioral conditioning. Body fat serves as a good example of bio-accumulators in general (Mirowsky & Ross, 2003). A man who weighs 150 pounds at age 24 will weigh more than 300 pounds at age 54 simply by eating an extra 50 kcal/day more than he burns. An extra 50 kcal/day of food or of activity is not self-evident. It is the number of calories in one Oreo cookie or half a Fig Newton and the number burned in 10 min of gardening, bicycling, brisk walking, or going up and down stairs. The body mass accumulation cannot be seen on a daily, weekly, or even monthly basis. With careful measurement, it can be seen on a quarterly basis. Over a year, the extra 5 pounds may be visible in a mirror or in the fit of clothing, but the link to behavior will remain invisible. This absence of perceptible feedback means that personally controlling the accumulation requires information, planning, and self-direction (Mirowsky & Ross, 2010). Avoiding the weight gain takes relatively little will or effort compared with losing 150 pounds after having gained it. Just skip the cookies and build some physical activity into daily life. Simple as that seems, fewer and fewer Americans manage it (Khan et al., 2009; Nestle & Jacobson, 2000).

Much of contemporary medical science searches for bio-accumulators that pose long-run risk of death, impairment, or suffering. That provides the information individuals can use to manage their own bio-accumulations. However, medical science and practice and the medical industry lack the ability and incentive to change the way people live and work. Many doctors, and perhaps most, tell patients the facts and urge them to choose the healthy way. Even so, few people go to the doctor to be told how to live. They go to get treated, and they go to the medical industry looks for drugs, devices, or surgeries that promise to manage the accumulators or soften their consequences. The products generally do not eliminate the underlying dysfunction. A stent, for example, reopens an artery or vein without altering the pathophysiology that clogged it. In time, the patient needs another and then another. Stop taking medication for hypertension, low-density cholesterol, or insulin resistance and the accumulator returns to its pre-medication state (or worse).
The strategy of “secondary prevention” inadvertently creates what I call “pharm-accumulation”: the overlaying of medications, protraction of their use, and accrual of their side effects and interactions. Pharm-accumulation creates well-known problems for seniors (Beers & Jones, 2004; Fick et al., 2003). They have had the longest time to accumulate the problems for which the drugs are taken, and the longest time to have taken the drugs. In addition, the extent and variety of their accumulated pathologies makes them both more exposed to complications and interactions and more vulnerable to them.

Pharm-accumulation seriously threatens the cognitive function of seniors. A surprisingly large number of medications produce delirium or dementia, particularly in seniors (Lisi, 2000; Rogers, Wiese, & Rabheru, 2008). Not surprisingly, the list includes antidepressants, benzodiazepines, and opioids. It also includes antihistamines, antispasmodics, antiarhythmics, diuretics, antiparkinsonians, antibiotics, bladder stabilizers, H2 receptor antagonists (taken for peptic ulcer, gastroesophageal reflux, and dyspepsia), anti-inflammatorics, antiemetics, anticonvulsants, alpha 1 blockers (taken for hypertension or benign prostatic hyperplasia), other types of antihypertensives, and bronchial dilation inhalers (taken for asthma attacks or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Lisi, 2000; Rogers et al., 2008). Many of the drugs that cause delirium or dementia in seniors are widely prescribed, such as diazepam, the beta-blockers, and cimetidine. Some sell over the counter, such as diphenhydramine and ibuprofen.

Drug effects on cognitive function tend to add up or even multiply. For example, the odds of drug-induced dementia is nine times greater among seniors taking four or five medications than among those taking one or none (Lisi, 2000). A variety of drugs have anticholinergic effects that can impair memory, learning, concentration and lucidity (Jeffrey, 2008; Lisi, 2000; Rogers et al., 2008; Tsao et al., 2008). Anticholinergic effects add up across drugs prescribed for a variety of diseases and conditions. The effects are greater for older persons because as people age, the body produces less acetylcholine and has fewer sites where it binds. Many medical drugs produce enough anticholinergic effect to impair memory by themselves, including cimetidine, prednisolone, digoxin, lanoxin, theophylline, warfarin, furosemide, nifedipine, isosorbide, and ranitidine. Others can nudge the total anticholinergic effect above its critical level, including dyazide, dipyriramole, and codeine. Over-the-counter sleep aids such as diphenhydramine and dimenhydrinate also can impair memory by themselves and add to the total anticholinergic effect.

The problems with anticholinergic effects provide a warning. Similar problems with a variety of drugs that increase serotonin have begun to emerge, producing a syndrome that includes agitation, restlessness, disorientation, confusion, and unresponsiveness (Keck & Arnold, 2000). Drugs that contribute to serotonin toxicity include antidepressant selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, tricyclic antidepressants, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, narcotic painkillers, over-the-counter cough, and cold remedies containing dextromethorphan, the anticonvulsant valproate, triptans used to treat and prevent migraines, the antibiotic Zyvox, anti-nausea drugs, the anti-Parkinson’s drug L-dopa, the weight-loss drug Meridia, and lithium; the dietary supplements tryptophan, St. John’s worth and ginseng; and several drugs of abuse, including ecstasy, lysergic acid diethylamide, amphetamines, and the hallucinogens foxy methoxy and Syrian rue (Brody, 2007). The future may reveal other classes of pharm-accumulation that degrade cognitive function.

Clinical experiments sometimes find that cognitive function in patients with a specific disease can be improved with precise medical control of a bio-accumulator, particularly hypertension and hyperglycemia (e.g., Amenta, Mignini, Rabbia, Tomassoni, & Veglio, 2002; Duron & Hanon, 2008). “Can be” is a significant part of that statement. Antihypertensive drugs can add to the total anticholinergic burden (Lisi, 2000; Rogers et al., 2008), and antihyperglycemic drugs can produce hypoglycemic events that damage the brain (Whitmer, Karter, Yaffe, Quesenberry, & Selby, 2009). Clinical experiments represent idealized medical practice that often bears little resemblance to actual practice. Experimenters generally select patients without multiple complicating diseases, follow precisely defined dosage protocols designed to maximize potential benefit while minimizing possible side effects, take objective measures of outcomes before and after treatment, and know that the symptoms, treatments, and outcomes are being recorded and reviewed. Real practice is often less coherent (Grimshaw & Russell, 1994; Kohn, Corrigan, & Donaldson, 2000; Thomas et al., 2000; Wagner & Groves, 2002; Wennberg, 2002), with a variety of drugs prescribed by different specialists for a variety of disorders at different times, over-the-counter or herbal medication mixed in, and little or no follow-up short of another crisis, which often leads to another specialist who adds new prescriptions but, like the others, bears no responsibility for the full set and does not feel free to remove drugs prescribed by others.

A case study illustrates the cognitive effects of pharm-accumulation. A 65-year-old man was admitted to a geriatric medicine clinic with a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of 13 of 30 (Iwuagwu, Steiner, & Raji, 2008). (Scores less than 27 indicate cognitive impairment, and those less than 11 indicate severe impairment.) A year earlier the man had undergone a coronary artery bypass graft surgery. During the postoperative hospitalization, he became delirious and agitated. He was discharged with prescriptions for lithium, doxepin (a tricyclic antidepressant), clonazepam (an anxiolytic), and rivastigmine (a cholinergic agent used to treat dementia). At home, he relied entirely on his wife for most activities of daily living and required assistance getting in and out of bed, dressing, bathing, and using the toilet. Eventually, he started using a
wheelchair because of fatigue and near falls. The physicians at the geriatric memory loss clinic stopped his doxepin and naproxen (an over-the-counter non-steroidal anti-inflammatory and pain killer); tapered off the lithium, clonazepam, and rivastigmine; and arranged in-home physical and occupational therapy. Within a month he no longer needed a wheelchair, he was no longer disoriented and confused, his MMSE score was 29 of 30, and he was able to perform all activities of daily living without assistance.

Currently, there is little information on the contribution of pharm-accumulation to overall levels of cognitive dysfunction, to aggregate age-related declines in cognitive function, or to its role linking physical inactivity, body fat, and their correlates with cognitive dysfunction. This needs to change. Thirty of forty years from now, it may be common for Americans to arrive at age 65 having taken drugs for attention deficit since childhood, depression since adolescence, anxiety and acid reflux since early adulthood, hypertension and cholesterol since entering middle age, and insulin resistance since sometime well before the end of middle age. It remains uncertain what effects this medical stew will have, but the threat to cognitive function is clear.

THE NEW MAJORITY: OLD OR OBESE

The size of the baby boom generation relative to those before and after tends to reshape culture and the standards of daily life. Soon, a much larger fraction of the total population will be old than has ever been the case before. In the next twenty years, the fraction of the U.S. population aged 65 years or older will increase from the current 13% (one person in eight), to around 20% (one person in five; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010). The percentage of the entire U.S. population age 65 years or older will be greater than in Florida today (17.8%), which is the state with by far the oldest population. When this happens, culture, buildings, products, and services will adapt to the lower average physical abilities.

As the physical demands of daily life decline, so do the levels of physical abilities. Business and technology provide substitutes for the vanishing abilities, which further reduce the physical demands. As the social norms and built environment adapt to lower physical abilities, they begin to discourage the activities that create the abilities. Walking and bicycling become impractical and dangerous (Lakens and Philipson, 2002; Transportation Research Board, 2005). Desk work allows little movement and arranged in-home physical and occupational therapy. Within a month he no longer needed a wheelchair, he was no longer disoriented and confused, his MMSE score was 29 of 30, and he was able to perform all activities of daily living without assistance.

Currently, there is little information on the contribution of pharm-accumulation to overall levels of cognitive dysfunction, to aggregate age-related declines in cognitive function, or to its role linking physical inactivity, body fat, and their correlates with cognitive dysfunction. This needs to change. Thirty of forty years from now, it may be common for Americans to arrive at age 65 having taken drugs for attention deficit since childhood, depression since adolescence, anxiety and acid reflux since early adulthood, hypertension and cholesterol since entering middle age, and insulin resistance since sometime well before the end of middle age. It remains uncertain what effects this medical stew will have, but the threat to cognitive function is clear.

As the quote illustrates, a product introduced to extend the function of impaired individuals readily displaces physical activity in others.

Mobility scooters provide another example. A mobility scooter is a chair on wheels with an electric motor. They are an offshoot of high-tech wheelchairs but designed for persons who can stand and walk, although with difficulty or limited range. Mobility scooters were introduced to extend the activity range of the injured, arthritic, or frail. Their manufacturers recognize that a large potential market exists among persons overweight or out of shape. Figure 3 shows a picture from one manufacturer’s online brochure (Trevel-scoot.com, 2010). This particular scooter is made of aircraft materials and comes with a lithium ion battery, so it weighs only 35 pounds. The online brochure emphasizes the ease of transporting it, using it in airports, and carrying it past stairways if there is no wheelchair access. Other manufacturers target shopping malls, with scooters that can navigate store isles and carry purchases, and stack like shopping carts in rental kiosks (Articlebase.com, 2010). As the article notes, “the general population is aging and due to that and other factors, an increasing number of the people frequenting malls have mobility issues on one level or another. This has lead to mall wheelchair rental becoming a growth industry.”

What all this means for the future cognitive function of Americans remains uncertain, but the signs are not good. Some of the nations’ best epidemiologists, demographers, and health economists debate whether the obesity epidemic will begin to reduce U.S. life expectancy in the next 30 years. The pessimists think it will (Oshansky et al., 2005; Stewart, Cutler, & Rosen, 2009). The optimists think that continuing medical and technological innovation can keep
Americans living longer and functioning in the community (Preston, 2005) or that broader medication for hypertension and cholesterol might avert a decline in life expectancy (Cutler, Glaeser, & Rosen, 2007). Even the optimistic scenarios suggest an increasing prevalence of the threats to cognitive function reviewed here. Projected growth in the population of diabetics is one sign of the problems to come. The aging of the baby boom generation, combined with the body mass index of too much food and too little physical activity (and the resulting bio- and pharm-accumulations). The aging of the baby boomers together with the rise of body mass may push that balance into the negative zone.
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