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Mobility Limitation and Changes in Personal Goals Among Older Women
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Abstract

Objectives. Several theoretical viewpoints suggest that older adults need to modify their personal goals in the face of functional decline. The aim of this study was to investigate longitudinally the association of mobility limitation with changes in personal goals among older women.

Method. Eight-year follow-up of 205 women aged 66–78 years at baseline.

Results. Health-related goals were the most common at both measurements. Goals related to independent living almost doubled and goals related to exercise and to cultural activities substantially decreased during the follow-up. Higher age decreased the likelihood for engaging in new goals related to cultural activities and disengaging from goals related to independent living. Women who had developed mobility limitation during the follow-up were less likely to engage in new goals related to exercise and more likely to disengage from goals related to cultural activities and to health and functioning.

Discussion. The results of this study support theories suggesting that age-related losses such as mobility limitation may result in older adults modifying or disengaging from personal goals.
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Personal goals are defined as states that people strive to achieve or avoid in the future (Freund & Riediger, 2006). During the life course, people constantly select and reselect personal goals, act toward attaining selected goals, and abandon goals if they become futile or too difficult to reach (Heckhausen, Wrosch, & Schulz, 2010). Personal goals in old age have been rarely studied, and thus far the most often reported goals relate to health, close relationships, basic daily activities, independent living, and leisure time activities (Lapierre, Bouffard, & Bastin, 1992–1993; Lawton, Moss, Winter, & Hoffmann, 2002; Saajanaho et al., 2013). Compared with younger adults, older people focus more on striving for maintenance or avoiding losses instead of aiming for growth (Ebner, Freund, & Baltes, 2006), and more often have goals that support each other, and, thus, are easier to achieve.
simultaneously (Riediger, Freund, & Baltes, 2005). Older adults usually have fewer goals than younger people, and they often focus on goals related to the present or near future rather than longer time spans (Penningroth & Scott, 2012). Some gender differences have also been found in personal goals among older adults. Compared with men, women seem to focus more on goals related to close relationships (Holahan & Chapman, 2002; Lapierre et al., 1992–1993), safety, independent living (Rapkin & Fischer, 1992), and basic daily activities, such as personal care and eating/meal preparation (Lawton et al., 2002). Overall, personal goals reflect, for example, personality (McAdams & Olson, 2010), life situation, and environmental features (Little, 2007), and thus, also older adults have diverse and individualized personal goals (Smith & Freund, 2002). Based on cross-sectional studies, goals related to intellectual (e.g., culture, exercise, travel) and recreational activities (e.g., moving, arranging the home) are less common among the oldest old people (e.g., Lapierre et al., 1992–1993; Lawton et al., 2002). Health-related goals (Frazier, Johnson, Gonzalez, & Kafka, 2002), especially health maintenance goals (Lapierre et al., 1992–1993), are more common among the oldest age groups. In addition, older old people have more often goals related to safe environment, independent living (Rapkin & Fischer, 1992), and spiritual activities reflecting philosophy of life and religious conviction (Lawton et al., 2002), as well as goals related to dying (Lapierre et al., 1992–1993), than younger older people. Goals relating to other people are common throughout old age (Lawton et al., 2002), in terms that goals for meeting other people are more emphasized among the oldest of the population, while altruistic goals (e.g., helping others) are more common among the young-old people (Lapierre et al., 1992–1993).

Only limited knowledge exists on longitudinal changes in personal goals in old age. Smith and Freund (2002) studied changes in older adults’ possible selves in a 4-year follow-up. Possible selves are hoped-for and feared images of future self, which reflect similar contents and strivings as personal goals. The study found that possible selves related to personal characteristics, including hopes and fears concerning personality dispositions, and life events (e.g., moving, death), were more often abandoned than adopted in old age. During the follow-up, health-related possible selves (e.g., maintaining current health status) were more often adopted than abandoned, while possible selves concerning social relationships and personal interests and activities were rather stable. For the possible hoped-for selves maintenance orientation, reflecting the desire to maintain things as they are, became more common over the follow-up, while an orientation toward improving the current situation remained rather stable over years. The desire to avoid feared possible selves (e.g., an illness) remained stable over years.

Besides age, diminished resources are seen as a factor that typically affects older adults’ goal setting (Ebner et al., 2006). There are three commonly accepted theories that address goal modification due to age-related losses in resources: the model of selective optimization with compensation (SOC) by Baltes and Baltes (1990), the dual-process model of developmental regulation by Brandstätter (2009), and the motivational theory of life-span development by Heckhausen and coworkers (2010). The SOC model states that older adults need to use coping methods to adapt to the age-related changes in, for example, biological, mental, and societal resources. Selection is conceptualized as setting personal goals that are compatible with the resources one has, and modifying existing goals when needed (Freund & Riediger, 2006). With selection, older adults can focus on the most important goals and optimize their efforts in striving for them. When needed, compensation, such as assistive devices, is used to enable goal pursuit in the selected areas of life (Baltes & Baltes, 1990).

The dual-process model of developmental regulation describes two coping methods that people use to control their life. Assimilation (i.e., tenacious goal pursuit) includes efforts to modify life situation and action for attaining current personal goals. However, when resources diminish, for example with aging, and attaining current goals seems too difficult, people may use accommodation (i.e., flexible goal adjustment)—that is, modify goals and expectations to be compatible with diminished resources (Brandstätter, 2009). A similar approach is included in the motivational theory of life-span development with primary and secondary control. Primary control refers to efforts targeted to reaching one’s goals by modifying environment (cf. assimilation), and secondary control to inner motivational processes such as goal modification and reconsideration of previous expectations and values (cf. accommodation). As both the SOC model and the dual-process model, this theory also suggests that the need for goal modification (secondary control) is emphasized in old age due to resource deficits (Heckhausen et al., 2010). Although the need for older adults to modify their personal goals has a strong theoretical foundation, and the benefits of goal modification for well-being are recognized (e.g., Boerner, 2004; Dunne, Wrosch, & Miller, 2011; Garnefski et al., 2009), empirical evidence on changes in older adults’ personal goals is scarce and typically based on cross-sectional data (e.g., Penningroth & Scott, 2012; Salmela-Aro, Nurmi, Aro, Poppius, & Riste, 1993). In the current study, we addressed the issue by examining the changes in older women’s personal goals and the associations of these changes with mobility limitation. Mobility, defined as the ability to move around independently, often declines with aging (Rantakokko, Mänty, & Rantanen, 2013). Besides walking difficulties, mobility limitation may refer to problems driving a car or using public transportation, all of which are important elements for participation in out-of-home activities (Satariano et al., 2012). It can therefore be presumed that mobility limitation may be a
powerful influence on personal goal setting and goal modification in old age.

In the current study, we aimed to study the changes in older women’s personal goals in an 8-year follow-up and the associations of these changes with age and mobility limitation. The above-discussed theories lead to similar hypotheses on the associations of age and mobility limitation with changes in personal goals. We predicted that the participants would have abandoned some of their personal goals (cf. selection/goal accommodation/secondary control), and that mobility limitation would be associated with goal disengagement. Based on earlier literature on older adults’ personal goals (Lawton et al., 2002; Rapkin & Fischer, 1992), we hypothesized that older women would disengage especially from goals related to different activities, but that there would be more stability in goals related to close relationships. In addition, we predicted that selection would lead to an increase in goals that are more prioritized with increasing age or with mobility limitation, most likely related to health and independent living.

Method

Participants

The present data were drawn from the Finnish Twin Study on Aging (FITSA). The recruitment procedure of the study is described in detail elsewhere (Pajala et al., 2006). The first FITSA data wave was implemented in 2000–2001. The baseline of the present analysis is the time of the second data wave in 2003–2004, when 308 women participated in a structured interview based on the Personal Project Analysis (PPA) inventory (Little, 1983), which was added to the study protocol at that time. Only women who were able to travel to the research center for the interview were included in this study. Between baseline and the follow-up in 2011, 25 of the participants had died, 15 were unable or unwilling to participate and six could not be reached. A further 57 women did not answer the postal questionnaire on personal goals at follow-up and therefore could not be included in the study. This left 205 women, who had reported their personal goals both at baseline and follow-up, and hence were included in the study. Participants were slightly younger at baseline (70.9 vs. 71.8 years, \( p = .05 \)) than nonparticipants. There were no differences between the participants and nonparticipants in self-rated health, mobility limitations, or personal goals at baseline.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Central Finland Health Care District and the participants gave their written informed consent.

Procedure

Personal goals

PPA, developed by Brian R. Little (1983), was used to study the content of the participants’ personal goals. In PPA, people are asked to generate a listing of as many personal projects/goals they can think of and rate a subset of them on a set of dimensions (e.g., goal importance, control). The PPA was developed as a tool to assess human personality in a social-ecological context and, as such, may be used to address, for example, the contextual and personal features affecting goal setting (Little, 2007; Little & Gee, 2007). For the FITSA study, PPA was revised for the purpose of studying older people, and only the content of four current personal goals was asked. At baseline, PPA was conducted via interview, with the following question: “People have many kinds of things that they think about, hope for and hope to accomplish. Think about the kinds of personal goals/projects you have in your life at the moment. The goals/projects may be related to any life domain, such as hobbies, work, family, friends or yourself.” In the follow-up postal questionnaire, the question on personal goals was introduced with similar wording. Personal goals were classified on the basis of their content by two trained assessors independently. The percentage rate of agreement between the two assessors was 91% at baseline, as reported by Salmela-Aro and coworkers (2009), and 84% at follow-up. Discrepancies between the assessors were discussed until total agreement was achieved. The same coding scheme with 19 personal goal categories was used on both occasions, and each personal goal category was coded on a dichotomous scale. The coding scheme was developed by Salmela-Aro and coworkers (2009) to be similar with schemes used in earlier studies (Little, 1983). A person could have goals in several different categories or several goals in one category. The coding scheme and examples of personal goals in each category are presented in Table 1.

Age

Participants’ date of birth was derived from a national register.

Mobility limitations

Self-reported difficulties in walking 2 km was asked with the question “Do you have difficulties in walking two kilometers due to your health or physical condition?,” difficulties in climbing stairs was asked with the question “Do you have difficulties in climbing one flight of stairs due to your health or physical condition?” and difficulties in using public transportation with the question “Do you have difficulties in using public transportation due to your health or physical condition?” The response options for all of these questions were no difficulties, minor difficulties, major difficulties, and need help/cannot. For further analysis, the answers were dichotomized (having at least minor difficulties vs. having no difficulties). Because 52% of the participants had never had a driver’s license, we were not able to include driving as one of the mobility indicators.
Descriptive characteristics
We used structured questionnaires at both baseline and follow-up. The information used as descriptive characteristics included years of education, depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, CES-D Score; Radloff, 1977), marital status (single, married, remarried, cohabiting, divorced or separated, and widowed; dichotomized as in a relationship vs. not in a relationship), perceived economic situation (self-reported with the options very good, good, moderate, poor, very poor; dichotomized as good or very good vs. moderate, poor, or very poor), and self-rated health (very good, good, moderate, poor, very poor; categorized as good or very good, moderate, and poor or very poor).

Data Analysis
The descriptive characteristics for all the participants are reported as mean values and standard deviations for continuous variables and percentage distributions for categorical variables. The McNemar test was used to test the significance of changes in the total proportions of participants reporting goals in each category between baseline and follow-up. Logistic regression models were used to analyze the association between age, mobility limitations, and changes in personal goals. For each personal goal category, we first formulated univariate logistic regression models for age and each baseline mobility indicator. In the univariate models, each variable was entered in the model separately, first with “engaged in a goal” as the dependent variable (compared with “did not engage in a goal”). When predicting engagement in a goal, the model only included participants who did not have any goals in the goal category at baseline. Each variable was then entered in the model with “disengaged from goals” as the dependent variable (compared with “maintained goals”). When predicting disengagement from goals, the model included only participants who had at least one goal in the goal category at baseline. Finally, we formulated similar univariate models for each goal category, using the follow-up mobility indicators and adjusting these models with the baseline information of the same mobility indicators. Due to small number of participants, we were not able to adjust the models for other baseline characteristics.

The twin sample was treated as a set of individuals and the statistical interdependency between twins was taken into account in all the analyses. Stata statistical software (version 13.0) was used in the analysis (StataCorp., College Station, TX).

Results
Participant Characteristics
The characteristics for the participants are presented in Table 2. Mean age was 70.9 (SD 3.2) at baseline and 78.9 (SD 3.3) at follow-up. Most rated their health as moderate, good, or very good at both baseline and follow-up. At baseline, 33% of the participants reported having difficulties in walking 2 km, 27% in climbing stairs, and 11% in using public transportation. Of those with no difficulties in the
respective mobility indicator at baseline, 42% developed difficulties in walking 2 km, 29% in climbing stairs, and 27% in using public transportation during the follow-up (Table 3).

The frequencies of participants reporting at least one goal in a goal category at baseline and at follow-up are presented in Table 1. The most common goal category at both measurement points was health and functioning, followed by exercise, close relationships and cultural activities at baseline, and independent living and close relationships at follow-up. At both times, only three participants did not mention a single goal. Only five most common goal categories were included in the current analysis, as for the other goal categories (others’ health, busying oneself around the home, social activities, memory, care of others, travel, living with symptoms/illness, diet/outlook, self-development, economic issues, politics, religion, work, and other), further meaningful analysis could not be carried out, because they were reported by less than 40 participants both at baseline and follow-up, which did not allow additional categorizations.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the Study Participants at Baseline and Follow-up (n = 205)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Follow-up Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>70.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>78.9 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>9.0 (3.2)</td>
<td>Not asked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D score (%</td>
<td>11.1 (7.2)</td>
<td>14.1 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a relationship</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good economic situation</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good or very good</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad or very bad</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; Years of education, n = 202; economic situation and in a relationship at follow-up, n = 203; self-rated health at follow-up, n = 203; CES-D at follow-up, n = 194.

Changes in Personal Goals

Of the 152 people (74%) who reported goals related to health and functioning at baseline, 57 (38%, p = .011) disengaged from this goal, while 32 (60%) of those who did not report this goal at baseline reported it at follow-up. The proportion of women reporting goals related to exercise and cultural activities decreased by 80% at follow-up (p < .001), which was accompanied by only few people engaging in these goals over the years (6% for exercise and 9% for cultural activities). Disengagement from goals related to close relationships and to independent living was also relatively common (70% and 53%, respectively), although, during the follow-up years, these goals were commonly adopted (27% and 40%, respectively). Independent living was the only goal category to show a significant increase in the total proportion of women reporting them (p < .001) (Table 4).

Table 3. Frequencies of Mobility Limitation Among Older Women at Baseline and Follow-up (n = 205)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline % (n)</th>
<th>Follow-up % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking 2 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difficulties</td>
<td>67 (137)</td>
<td>58 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties</td>
<td>33 (68)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing stairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difficulties</td>
<td>73 (149)</td>
<td>71 (103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties</td>
<td>27 (56)</td>
<td>26 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using public transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difficulties</td>
<td>89 (183)</td>
<td>73 (130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>27 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Walking 2 km at follow-up, n = 200; climbing stairs at follow-up and using public transportation at follow-up, n = 199.

The Association of Age With Changes in Personal Goals

The results of all the logistic regression analyses predicting engagement in and disengagement from personal goals in relation to age and to changes in mobility limitations are provided as Supplementary Data and only statistically significant associations are reported below. Higher age decreased the likelihood for engaging in new goals related to cultural activities over time (odds ratio [OR]: 0.74, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.62–0.87) and disengaging from goals related to independent living (OR: 0.81, 95% CI: 0.66–0.99).

The Association of Mobility Limitation With Changes in Personal Goals

Incident difficulties in walking 2 km significantly decreased the odds for engaging in new goals related to exercise (OR: 0.05, 95% CI: 0.01–0.39) and increased the odds for disengaging from goals related to cultural activities (OR: 7.68, 95% CI: 1.26–46.74). Incident difficulties in climbing stairs increased the odds for disengaging from goals related to health and functioning (OR: 2.46, 95% CI: 1.10–5.51), and incident difficulties in using public transportation increased the odds for engaging in a new goal related to close relationships (OR: 2.79, 95% CI: 1.18–6.58). In addition, we noticed a trend for incident difficulties in walking 2 km to decrease the odds for engaging in goals related to
cultural activities and for disengaging from goals related to independent living. Incident difficulties in climbing stairs seemed to decrease the odds for engaging in goals related to exercise and to cultural activities and to increase the odds for disengaging from goals related to cultural activities.

### Discussion

Based on three acknowledged theories—the model of SOC (Baltes & Baltes, 1990), the dual-process model of developmental regulation (Brandtstädter, 2009), and the motivational theory of life-span development (Heckhausen et al., 2010), we predicted to find associations between mobility limitation and changes in personal goals among older women. As predicted, the results of this study suggest that mobility limitation may restrain older adults from engaging in goals related to exercise and lead to disengaging from goals related to cultural activities. The decrease in the total proportion of women reporting goals related to exercise and cultural activities during the follow-up was substantial, as was the increase in women reporting goals related to independent living. Higher age was associated with not engaging in goals related to cultural activities and with maintaining goals related to independent living. Health and relationship goals were both abandoned and adopted over years but remained rather common throughout the follow-up time.

In line with our hypotheses, the current results showed that walking difficulties may inhibit older people from setting goals for activities such as exercise or cultural interests and lead to goal disengagement. Women who had developed mobility limitation during the follow-up were less likely to engage in new goals related to exercise and more likely to disengage from goals related to cultural activities. This finding supports the theoretical reasoning that age-related losses may lead to goal modification (Brandtstädter, 2009; Heckhausen et al., 2010; Baltes & Baltes, 1990).

The result is in line with previous studies indicating that when functioning declines, people focus on more pressing things in life instead of leisure-time activities (Schindler & Staudinger, 2008) and select activities that are compatible with their current capabilities (Rush, Watts, & Stanbury, 2011). Participating in many cultural and exercise activities requires the ability to access outdoors (Rantanen, 2013), which makes it understandable that walking difficulties may lead to not striving for goals requiring venturing outside the home. With increasing age, disengagement from goals related to leisure-time activities seems to be common among older women, as the decrease in the proportion of women reporting goals related to exercise and cultural activities from baseline to follow-up was substantial. This result was expected, and similar to previous cross-sectional research indicating fewer activity goals with increasing age among older adults (Lawton et al., 2002). As predicted by the dual-process model of developmental regulation, people often abandon goals that seem too difficult to attain (Brandtstädter, 2009), and it is reasonable to assume that, during the follow-up, these women experienced obstacles in realizing some of their goals. The result is also in line with disengagement theory, which suggests that aging leads to withdrawal from social participation, which is presumed to be an inevitable, but adaptive process related to aging (Cumming & Henry, 1961). Also abandoning goals that are too difficult to reach is considered as an important strategy for adjusting to age-related changes (Freund, Nikitin, & Ritter, 2009), and the ability to modify goals when encountering functional decline is an adaptive process, which may promote the well-being of older adults (Brandtstädter, 2009; Freund et al., 2009; Baltes & Baltes, 1990).

We expected to see an increase in goals related to health and independent living during the follow-up, but independent living was the only goal category that became more frequent over time. This might be due to increasing age, which causes the need to ponder the choices one has as functioning declines. Striving for independent living

### Table 4. Frequencies of Older Women’s Personal Goals at Baseline, at Follow-up and by Changes in Personal Goals (n = 205)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal goal category</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Engaged in a goal</th>
<th>Did not engage in a goal</th>
<th>Disengaged from goals</th>
<th>Maintained goals</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and functioning</td>
<td>74 (152)</td>
<td>62 (127)</td>
<td>60 (32)</td>
<td>40 (21)</td>
<td>38 (57)</td>
<td>63 (95)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>38 (78)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>94 (119)</td>
<td>82 (64)</td>
<td>18 (14)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close relationships</td>
<td>36 (74)</td>
<td>28 (57)</td>
<td>27 (35)</td>
<td>73 (96)</td>
<td>70 (52)</td>
<td>30 (22)</td>
<td>.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural activities</td>
<td>28 (57)</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>9 (13)</td>
<td>91 (135)</td>
<td>81 (46)</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent living</td>
<td>24 (49)</td>
<td>42 (86)</td>
<td>40 (63)</td>
<td>60 (93)</td>
<td>53 (26)</td>
<td>47 (23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes.* Engaged in a goal, meaning those who did not have a goal in the category at baseline but did have at least one goal in the category at follow-up; did not engage in a goal, meaning those who did not have a goal in the category at either baseline or follow-up; disengaged from goals, meaning those who had a goal in the category at baseline but did not at follow-up; maintained goals, meaning those who had a goal in the category at both baseline and follow-up.

1Percentage of those who did not have goals in the category at baseline.

2Percentage of those who had at least one goal in the category at baseline.

McNemar test for the significance of change in the total proportion of participants reporting personal goals in a category.
has previously been associated with higher age (Rapkin & Fischer, 1992), as was also the case in the present study, where higher age decreased the odds for disengaging from these goals. It seems that with age, independent living is prioritized, and older people select more goals related to it, as we hypothesized based on the SOC model. Maintaining an independent lifestyle is even more important for older adults in present-day society, when families and public services do not support them as strongly as before (Denton et al., 2004). Problems related to institutional care are often discussed in the media, which may also induce hopes on being able to live on at home for as long as possible.

Previously health-related goals have been found to be more common among the oldest age groups (Frazier et al., 2002), whereas in the present study, against our hypothesis, the prevalence of health-related goals among older women diminished over time, while nevertheless remaining high. The high prevalence of health-related goals among older adults is typically explained by the fact that, on the one hand, health declines in old age, and on the other hand, that sufficient health is a prerequisite for pursuing other meaningful life goals (Boersma, Maes, & Joëkes, 2005; Martos, Thege, & Kopp, 2010). As health-related goals may relate more to rumination over health issues than striving for better health (Smith & Freund, 2002), their high prevalence in older adults may also result simply from health problems (Frazier et al., 2002). However, in the present study, difficulties in climbing stairs at follow-up increased the likelihood for disengaging from health-related goals during the study period. The women who reported health-related goals at follow-up also rated their health as good or very good more often than women who did not report these goals (31% vs. 18%, p = .053). It may be that more emphasis is placed on health-related goals when no severe health problems are present and striving to maintain the current health status is perceived as satisfactory.

Difficulties in using public transportation increased the odds for engaging in goals related to close relationships. This may indicate a tendency for striving toward closeness when encountering difficulties, such as functional problems. The finding that relationship goals were rather common at both measurements is in line with our hypothesis, and with the socioemotional selectivity theory, which states that in old age, when the future time perspective is limited, people typically strive for emotional closeness (Fung & Carstensen, 2006). This also emerged in a study by Lawton and coworkers (2002), where family and friends were the most common goal categories among older people. Helping other people is important for many older adults (Beadle, Sheehan, Dahlben, & Gutches, 2013), which may contribute to setting goals related to close relationships. Striving for intimacy is central across the whole human life span, and the importance of emotionally meaningful relationships does not vanish with aging (Sheldon & Kasser, 2001) or with health problems. The present results support this, as mobility limitations did not lead to disengagement from relationship goals. Maintaining relationship goals even with functional decline is important, as especially relations with friends are important for the well-being of older people (Huxhold, Miche, & Schüz, 2014).

The strengths of this study include a longitudinal design, which provided new information on changes in older women's personal goals. Age-related losses have been suggested to affect older people's goal setting in three acknowledged theories (Brandstädt, 2009; Heckhausen et al., 2010; Baltes & Baltes, 1990), but there has been little empirical evidence on the changes in personal goals in old age. The present study supports these theories, as we found that goal disengagement occurs with aging and may be related to problems in functioning. We were able to detect associations between mobility limitation and changes in personal goals, despite the fact that our sample consisted of high-functioning older women of whom only a few reported more than minor difficulties in walking 2 km, that is, in a relatively demanding mobility task (Rantanen, 2013). This shows that even minor mobility limitation may affect older women's goal setting and prevent striving for exercise or cultural activities. The measures of mobility limitations in this study were self-reported, and thus, reflect the participants' own evaluation of their problems in a specific environment and in activities that are relevant for them (Rantanen, 2013).

This study has also some important limitations. Due to the FITSA study protocol, the participants represent the healthiest women in their age group, as they needed to be able to travel to the research center, even from a considerable distance. Although twins do not differ from other members of the population in, for example, health habits or mortality, they may benefit from having support from a twin sibling throughout their lives. The fact that the present participants were twins was statistically taken into account in the analysis. This study addressed only the personal goals of older women, which restricts the generalization of the results to the whole aging population. Older women's goals often differ from men's goals (e.g., Rapkin & Fischer, 1992), and women also have more mobility limitations from midlife to old age (Rohlfse & Kronenfeld, 2014), which may have affected the results of this study. The PPA inventory was conducted as a face-to-face interview at baseline and via a postal questionnaire at follow-up, which may have had an effect on the participants' answers. Some participants did not answer the question at follow-up, which may be due to it being the last question on a long postal questionnaire. Even though the same coding scheme was used, the personal goals reported by the participants were classified at baseline and follow-up by different raters, which may have caused some differences in the content of each goal category. The goal category of health and functioning was large and included all kinds of goals related to health, whether they indicated striving for better health, avoiding illnesses, or just maintaining health as it is. Some
intuitively important goals were reported by so few people that we were unable to analyze them in a meaningful way, and, consequently, only five goal categories were analyzed relative to mobility limitations. Due to small number of participants in the current analysis, we need to confirm the results in the future with a larger study population. Further, the follow-up time of this study was perhaps too long and does not allow us to make conclusions about the cause and effect relationships between mobility limitation and changes in personal goals.

The personal goals of older adults reflect the most pressing concerns in old age and most often include striving for health. Based on this study, it seems that, in old age, developing mobility limitation may result in goal disengagement and not engaging in new goals related to activities such as exercise. Goal accommodation is associated with well-being in old age (Heyl, Wahl, & Mollenkopf, 2007), and by modifying their personal goals, older adults may continue striving for meaningful activities even in the face of functional decline. Physical functioning has a strong effect on well-being in old age (Windsor, Burns, & Byles, 2013), but the ability to modify personal goals may adjust the negative effect of poor functioning and improve older people’s well-being (e.g., Boerner, 2004). By decreasing motivation, declining health may also result in less activity participation (Hess, Emery, & Neupert, 2013), but with other helpful resources, and with more tenacious goal pursuit (Heyl et al., 2007), older adults could continue participation in chosen activities, even with mobility limitation (Morrow-Howell et al., 2014). This is important, as striving for engagement in, for example, exercise goals might help prevent further mobility decline (Rantanen, 2013). In line with the United Nations Principles for Older People, the policy framework of World Health Organization states that active aging may be enhanced by diverse participation in community life, which enables self-fulfillment in activities according to a person’s capabilities and preferences (World Health Organization, 2002). This may be reached when older adults are supported in striving for meaningful personal goals throughout their later years of life.
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