Elevated salivary potassium in paediatric CKD patients: a novel excretion pathway
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Abstract

Background. Hyperkalaemia is one of the complications of chronic renal failure. Gastrointestinal excretion and cellular uptake are two adaptive mechanisms for extra-renal potassium (K) disposal in these patients. The salivary glands’ secretion system can actively excrete K into the oral cavity.

Methods. We examined salivary K levels in four groups of paediatric chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients: 25 pre-

doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfq587
Advance Access publication 4 October 2010
Results. Salivary K levels were significantly higher in the D and PreD groups than the C group (P = 0.03 and P = 0.0004, respectively). Interestingly, a significant negative correlation was found between glomerular filtration rate and salivary K in PreD and T patients. Conclusions. We suggest an extension of the gastrointestinal adaptive K pathway via salivary gland secretion in patients suffering from hyperkalaemia.
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Introduction

Hyperkalaemia is one of the complications of chronic renal failure (CRF), usually developing when glomerular filtration rate (GFR) falls below 20% of normal [1–3]. The hyperkalaemic state in CRF patients occurs for several reasons: (i) high dietary potassium (K) intake relative to reduced renal function, (ii) extracellular shift of K caused by metabolic acidosis and (iii) treatment with renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system blockers that inhibit renal K excretion [4].

Hyperkalaemia may cause adverse cardiac effects, including arrhythmias, complete heart block, atrial systole, ventricular fibrillation, heart standstill and death, in adult as well as in paediatric patients [4,5]. Severe hyperkalaemia is common in patients with end-stage renal disease, observed in ~10% of haemodialysis patients. In these patients, hyperkalaemia is a frequent cause for emergency dialysis procedure [6] and also contributes to 3–5% of their deaths.

Gastrointestinal excretion and cellular uptake are two adaptive mechanisms for the disposal of extra-renal K that play a crucial role in the defence against hyperkalaemia in CRF patients. In advanced renal failure, intestinal K excretion rate may account for as much as 70% of total K excretion [6].

The salivary secretion system plays a role in the digestive action of food in the oral cavity, the portal of entry into the gastrointestinal system. The daily volume of saliva produced by salivary glands is nearly one-fifth of the total plasma volume [7–9]. Over 98% of saliva is water, and the residual 2% consists of electrolytes, minerals, bioactive peptides and proteins [10,11]. The saliva is primarily produced in the acinar compartment where 85% of the proteins are ultrafiltrated from the capillary bed adjacent to the glands [12]. In the ductal system, saliva is converted from an isotonic to hypotonic solution with lower sodium (Na) and chloride (Cl) concentrations compared with the plasma [7–9,12]. To achieve this change in concentration, the salivary gland cells contain complex ion channels, including several ion transporters that involve K.

To the best of our knowledge, no information is available on salivary K secretion behaviour in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients. This study was designed to evaluate K secretion and oral health in children and adolescents in different stages of CKD, including patients on chronic dialysis, in comparison with healthy subjects.

Materials and methods

Upon approval by the Institutional Review Board for Research on Human Subjects and obtaining informed consent, 106 children, adolescents and young adults were recruited for this study (Table 1).

The CKD patients were divided into groups as follows: 25 pre-dialytic (PreD) patients, 18 patients on maintenance dialysis (8 on haemodialysis and 10 on peritoneal dialysis; group D), and 31 transplanted patients with a functioning graft (group T).

All patients were treated at the Institute of Pediatric Nephrology at Schneider Children’s Hospital, Petah Tikva, Israel. The GFR of children after transplantation was significantly higher (mean 58.61) than in the PreD group (mean 40.44, P = 0.0004, Table 1). Thirty-two healthy children with no previous history of impaired renal function served as the control group (C). This group was recruited and examined in the Department of Pediatric Dentistry at the Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Dental Medicine in Jerusalem, Israel (Table 1).

GFR was calculated from serum creatinine (Cr) according to the Schwartz formula [13].

Saliva analysis

Non-stimulated whole saliva was collected using the spitting method as previously described [14–16]. Briefly, saliva collection was performed in a quiet room between 0800 and 1200 h. The subjects refrained from eating, brushing their teeth or rinsing with mouthwash for at least 1 h before spitting. They were asked to collect saliva in their mouth and to spit it into a wide test tube for 5 min. Thereafter, the collected saliva was immediately brought to 4°C and further kept at ~8°C until analysis.

Saliva samples were thawed and then centrifuged (2000 g, 20 min, 25°C). The supernatants were analysed in a Hitachi 917 autoanalyser (Roche Ltd., Bohemia, NY), and the concentrations of K, Na, Cl and U were measured.

Decayed, missing and filled permanent teeth (DMFT)/decayed, missing and filled deciduous teeth score (dmft), [17] plaque index (PI) [18] and gingival index (GI) [19] were measured on the buccal and lingual surfaces of the maxillary first permanent molar or the right primary second molar, primary or permanent left central incisor, and the right first bicuspid or primary molar; or of the mandibular left first permanent molar or second primary molar, the right central primary or permanent incisor, and the right first bicuspid or first primary molar.

Statistical analysis

Frequencies and percentages were calculated for the categorical variables. Frequencies between categorical variables were analysed by chi-square test and Fisher–Irwin exact test. Medians, means and standard errors were calculated for continuous parameters. The pH parameters were compared between subgroups of patients by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Bonferroni model. Due to the large variability in salivary components, the Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare among saliva parameters. The results between pairs of saliva parameters were analysed using the Wilcoxon signal rank test. The correlation between saliva parameters was analysed by Spearman’s correlation coefficient. The significance level of the correlation coefficient was calculated.

Results

Sialochemistry

The following groups of paediatric CKD patients were compared with a group of healthy children (C): pre-dialytic (PreD), on maintenance dialysis (D) and transplanted with functioning graft (T). The concentration of secreted
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Table 1. Features of population, GFR and oral parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Transplanted</th>
<th>Dialysis</th>
<th>Pre-dialysis</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>20/11</td>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>14/11</td>
<td>23/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year) ± SD</td>
<td>14.7 ± 5</td>
<td>14.4 ± 3</td>
<td>12.6 ± 5</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (mean ± SER, range)</td>
<td>58.61 ± 3.15, 11.59–95.9^c</td>
<td>Not relevant</td>
<td>40.44 ± 3.72, 13.7–67.3^d</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI (mean ± SER)</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.16^a</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.10^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI (mean ± SER)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.12^a</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.10^a</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.21^a</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.1^bc,d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMFT (mean ± SER)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2^a</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4^a</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5^a</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8^bc,d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aSignificant difference from the control (C) group.
^bSignificant difference from the dialysis (D) group.
^cSignificant difference from the pre-dialysis (PreD) group.
^dSignificant difference from the transplantation (T) group.

Salivary K in the D group (mean 28.86 mmol/L, median 28.42 mmol/L) was significantly higher than in the C group (mean 23.21 mmol/L, median 22.20 mmol/L, P = 0.03). The K levels in the PreD group (mean 31.6 mmol/L, median 31.10 mmol/L) were significantly higher than in the T (mean 25.65 mmol/L, median 25.00 mmol/L, P = 0.01) and C (P = 0.0004) groups (Figure 1).

No significant differences were found between the CKD groups and controls for Na levels in the saliva. However, Cl level in the D group was significantly higher (mean 22.24 mmol/L, median 18.00 mmol/L) than in the C group (mean 16.00 mmol/L, median 14.50 mmol/L, P = 0.01). The Cl level in the PreD group (mean 24.75 mmol/L, median 23.00 mmol/L) was significantly higher than in the C

Fig. 1. Concentrations of U, Cl, K and Na in the saliva of the four groups. Significant difference from the control (C) group (asterisks). Significant difference from the dialysis (D) group (dots). Significant difference from the pre-dialysis (PreD) group (multiplication symbols). Significant difference from the transplantation (T) group (circumflex accents).
group (P = 0.0001). The Cl level in the T group (mean 20.86 mmol/L, median 21.0 mmol/L) was also significantly higher than in the C group (P = 0.0004) (Figure 1).

The urea (U) concentration in the D group (mean 29.95 mmol/L, median 28.02 mmol/L) was significantly higher than in the C group (mean 11.28 mmol/L, median 9.46 mmol/L, P = 0.002). The U concentration in the PreD group (mean 28.73 mmol/L, median 27.48 mmol/L) was significantly higher than in the T group (mean 18.81 mmol/L, median 14.63 mmol/L, P = 0.007) and C group (P = 0.0001). The U level in the T group was significantly higher than in the C group (P = 0.0001) (Figure 1).

A significant negative correlation was found between GFR and salivary K in PreD and T patients (r = −0.32, P = 0.02).

**Oral health scoring**

The DMFT/dmft, representing the caries status, in the C group was significantly higher than in the D group (P = 0.0001), the PreD group (P = 0.0001) and the T group (P = 0.0001) (Table 1).

The C group had a significantly lower PI score than the D group (P = 0.03, Table 1). The GI score in the C group was also significantly lower than in the D group (P = 0.037) and T group (P = 0.018), and lower with a tendency towards significance than the PreD group (P = 0.06).

**Discussion**

Hyperkalaemia is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in CKD patients. These patients possess an extra-renal K disposal mechanism which involves gastrointestinal excretion and cellular uptake of K that is not excreted via the kidneys [6]. In contrast to patients with normal renal function who only eliminate 5–10% of their daily K load through the gut, gut elimination of K in CKD patients potentially accounts for as much as 25% of daily elimination [2,3,6]. This gut K adaptation mecha-
anism is mediated by increased colonic secretion, which is 2–3-fold higher in patients on dialysis than in patients with normal kidney function [2,3,6].

Approximately 750–1000 mL of saliva, representing ~20% of blood volume, is secreted into the oral cavity daily. The salivary system’s basic unit comprised the acinar and ductal compartments, with K secretion occurring in the ductal compartment (Figure 2) [20,21].

The increased salivary K levels in all study groups compared with controls probably reflect an additional route for expelling K from the circulation via the swallowing of saliva into the gastrointestinal tract (Figure 3). We can only speculate on which of these mechanisms are involved in the adaptation process; thus, increasing plasma K concentration (total body K load) probably enhances the activity of transporters (Figure 2).

The significant negative correlation between salivary K and GFR (increase in secretion strongly related to severity of renal dysfunction) probably reflects activation of this mechanism in parallel to the decrease in renal function. Indeed, most of the oral fluids are reabsorbed through the gastrointestinal system, and the effect of compensatory secretion is therefore attenuated by the ingestion of saliva and its subsequent absorption.

Recently, the natural polymer chitosan incorporated to chewing gum was introduced by Savica et al. as a novel therapeutic device for salivary phosphate-binding vehicle [22]. The authors had shown a significant reduction in salivary and serum phosphate levels (55% and 31%, respectively) upon using this chitosan-loaded chewing gum twice daily for 2 weeks in haemodialysis patients.

Consequently, the question if such a novel approach is applicable to remove excess K in CKD patients by capturing it from the saliva is intriguing. However, two major drawbacks exist: (i) the amount of K excretion via the salivary glands system is not substantial enough to spare the gastrointestinal system’s extra-renal K disposal mechanism, and (ii) chewing gum indeed enhances saliva secretion rate; however, by swallowing the saliva, the K will be reabsorbed in the gastrointestinal tract.

Interestingly, in the present study, we found secreted K levels in the saliva of the PreD group to be the highest, followed by the D group (30%, P = 0.0004 and 25%, P = 0.03, respectively, compared with the C group). This observation can be explained by the removal process of K during dialysis: the haemodialysis procedure leads to rapid and significant K removal from the plasma with a steep decline in plasma K level right after dialysis starts. Saliva was collected from this group during the dialysis session. Consequently, it would be interesting to examine saliva versus serum K levels before, during and after the dialysis process. It should be noted that although the rate of K removal with peritoneal dialysis is much slower than that with haemodialysis, it is still effective, resulting in a high-normal plasma K level in most patients.

We also examined the salivary biochemistry with regard to U, Cl and Na. Lower levels of Na, corresponding to high levels of K, in the saliva relative to the plasma are a reflection of the active transport mechanism that has been reported in the literature [20,21]. Changes in Cl levels were similar to changes in K levels, probably reflecting an increase in corresponding anion secretion, due to the active secretion of cation (K). Interestingly, Na levels did not differ among groups.

We found elevated levels of U in the saliva of CKD patients, as has been previously described [23]. Our data indicated a low incidence of caries in CKD patients, corresponding to the well-established knowledge reported by us and others [23–25]. This can be explained by the fact that high amounts of U in the saliva increase its buffering capacity as a result of the high concentration of ammonia arising from urea hydrolyzation: buffering maintains higher pH levels and consequently a lower risk of caries development.

The PI score measures the accumulation of dental plaque and hence reflects oral hygiene habits. The significantly higher PI score in the D group compared with the C group is not surprising since these patients require frequent hospitalization: this decreases their quality of life, resulting in poor oral hygiene compliance [26,27].

Regarding the GI score in CKD patients, the literature is controversial: some studies [28,29] have shown less gingival inflammation, which might be a reflection of the chronic immunosuppressive state of these patients which masks the inflammatory process. However, more recent studies [23,24,27] have found gingival inflammation in CKD patients with no relation to the extent of the immunosuppressive condition. Our results favour the latter observation, and indicate that inflammation in the gingival tissue may be expressed in a different way, despite the impaired systemic condition. The GI score in the C group was significantly lower than those in the D and T groups, and showed a tendency towards being significantly lower compared with the PreD group. This provides further proof that the GI score, observed in healthy subjects, correlates with plaque and not necessarily with the impaired systemic condition.
Conclusions

Increased excretion of salivary K was found in paediatric patients with CKD, correlating with the severity of renal failure. This finding implies a never before reported extension of the gastrointestinal adaptive mechanism in paediatric CKD patients suffering from K load. Exploration of the exact mechanism of increased salivary K secretion might provide a further therapeutic approach for K removal in the hyperkalaemic state, mainly in CKD patients. Further work should address the K levels in saliva with comparison to blood levels in these patients.
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