Low- and high-molecular-weight urinary proteins as predictors of response to rituximab in patients with membranous nephropathy: a prospective study
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Abstract

Background. Selective urinary biomarkers have been considered superior to total proteinuria in predicting response to treatment and outcome in patients with membranous nephropathy (MN).

Methods. We prospectively tested whether urinary (U) excretion of retinol-binding protein (RBP), α1-microglobulin (α1M), albumin, immunoglobulin IgG and IgM and/or anti-phospholipase 2 receptor (PLA2R) levels could predict response to rituximab (RTX) therapy better than standard measures in MN. We also correlated changes in antibodies to PLA2R with these urinary biomarkers.

Results. Twenty patients with MN and proteinuria (P) >5 g/24 h received RTX (375 mg/m2 × 4) and at 12 months, 1 patient was in complete remission (CR), 9 were in partial remission (PR), 5 had a limited response (LR) and 4 were non-responders (NR). At 24 months, CR occurred in 4, PR in 12, LR in 1, NR in 2 and 1 patient relapsed. By simple linear regression analysis, UlgG at baseline (mg/24 h) was a significant predictor of change in proteinuria at 12 months (Δ urinary protein) (P = 0.04). In addition, fractional excretion (FE) of IgG, urinary alpha 1 microglobulin (Uα1M) (mg/24 h) and URBH (μg/24 h) were also predictors of response (P = 0.05, 0.04, and 0.03, respectively). On the other hand, UlgM, FEIgM, albumin and FE albumin did not predict response (P = 0.10, 0.27, 0.22 and 0.20, respectively). However, when results were analyzed in relation to proteinuria at 24 months, none of the U markers that predicted response at 12 m could predict response at 24 m (P = 0.55, 0.42, 0.29 and 0.20). Decline in anti-PLA2R levels was associated with and often preceded urinary biomarker response but positivity at baseline was not a predictor of proteinuria response.

Conclusions. The results suggest that in patients with MN, quantification of low-, medium- and high-molecular-weight urinary proteins may be associated with rate of response to RTX, but do not correlate with longer term outcomes.
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Introduction

Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (MN) is a common immune-mediated glomerular disease and remains the leading cause of nephrotic syndrome in Caucasian adults.
[1]. Although in most patients the disease progresses relatively slowly, ~40% of patients eventually develop end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [2]. Because of its frequency, it remains the second or the third most common type of primary glomerulonephritis resulting in ESRD [3]. Patients with MN who remain nephrotic are at an increased risk of thromboembolic [4] and cardiovascular events [5, 6]. Available immunosuppressive therapies include the use of corticosteroids combined with cytotoxic agents, and calcineurin inhibitors. These therapies are at least partially successful in reducing proteinuria, but their use is controversial, associated with significant adverse effects and carries a high rate of relapse (reviewed in [7]). These are important considerations in a disease where up to 30% of MN patients may achieve spontaneous remission of proteinuria and enjoy long-term renal survival without such therapy [8].

Given the variability in the natural history of the disease, an approach has been to limit immunosuppression treatment to those subjects identified as being at higher risk of progression, and a number of predictors of renal outcome and disease progression have been identified for patients with idiopathic MN [9–11]. Among them, some qualitative aspects of proteinuria such as urinary (U) excretion of α1-microglobulin (α1M), β2-microglobulin (B2M), immunoglobulin IgG and IgM have been reported as strong predictors of renal disease progression [12–21]. However, little is known regarding factors that may predict response to therapy, and only a few studies have evaluated the use of urinary markers for this purpose [22–24].

Bazzi et al. [17] quantified UIgG and Uα1M in 38 patients with nephrotic syndrome and normal renal function. Using an arbitrary cutoff value of 110 mg/g urinary creatinine (uCr) for IgG and 33.5 mg/g uCr for α1M, these investigators showed that 100% of patients with a baseline IgG excretion of <110 mg/g uCr underwent remission of proteinuria versus 20% in those with an IgG excretion of >110 mg/g uCr. Similarly, 77% of the patients with an α1M of <33.5 mg/g uCr went into remission versus 17% of the patients with an α1M of >33.5 mg/g uCr. Conversely, the remission rate was independent of baseline proteinuria. Of the 38 patients, 19 were allocated, in a non-randomized fashion, to receive either corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide (CYC) for 6 months (n = 16), or corticosteroids alone (n = 3), versus continuation of conservative therapy (n = 19). There was no difference in remission of proteinuria between the treated and the untreated patients. A greater percentage of patients with UIgG and Uα1M above the cutoff value went into remission with immunosuppressive therapy versus those on conservative treatment, but the results did not reach statistical significance [17]. The same group also suggested the use of fractional excretion (FE) of IgG as a helpful predictor of response in patients with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) [22].

We recently conducted a study in 20 patients with MN treated with response to rituximab (RTX) [25]. Of the 18 patients who completed a 24-month follow-up, remission of proteinuria was seen in 16 patients. However, baseline proteinuria, pharmacokinetic studies and evaluation of B and T cell subsets could not predict which patient would respond to RTX. On the other hand, depletion of anti-PLA2R autoantibodies, a new biomarker identified in the majority of patients with MN [26], predicted proteinuria response in MN [27]. The present study aimed to evaluate whether in patients with MN urinary excretion of low-, medium- and high-molecular-weight (MW) proteins and their correlations with anti-PLA2R antibodies could identify a priori patients who may respond to RTX and thus benefit from this form of therapy.

Materials and methods

Study details including inclusion/exclusion criteria have been previously reported [25]. Briefly, patients included in the study met the following criteria: (i) biopsy-proven MN; (ii) creatinine clearance (CrCl) ≥30 mL/min/1.73 m² and (iii) persistent proteinuria >5 g/24 h despite maximal tolerated angiotensin II blockade for at least 4 months. Patients with active infection, diabetes or a secondary cause of MN were excluded. Patients who had been on treatment with prednisone, cyclosporine or mycophenolate mofetil within the last 4 months, or alkylating agents within the last 6 months were also excluded from the study. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria received RTX, 375 mg/m² (iv) on Days 1, 8, 15 and 22, with retreatment (IRB) at Month 6 regardless of their clinical status. The Institutional Review Boards (IRB) at Mayo Clinic, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, and the University Health Network, University of Toronto, approved the study and all the patients provided written informed consent, which was registered on www.clinicaltrials.gov, identifier NCT00405340.

Follow-up

In all patients, clinical and laboratory parameters, including complete blood counts, electrolytes, serum albumin, serum IgG (IgM, IgA) and a lipid panel, were evaluated at study entry, and at Months 3, 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24. CrCl, urinary protein (UP) and uCr were assessed by performing two consecutive 24-h urine collections at baseline, 6, 12 and 24 months, and one collection at the other visits. Data were considered accurate when uCr excretion was consistent with a complete 24 h collection. The mean of the two measurements was considered for the analysis.

Measurement of UPs

Urinary excretion of retinol-binding protein (RBP; MW 22 kd), α1M (MW 31.8 kd), albumin (MW 67 kd), IgG (MW 150 kd) and IgM (MW 850 kd) were measured in the Mayo Renal Function Laboratory at baseline, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. Quantification of IgG, α1M and RBP assays were performed using a Dade Behring Nephelometer II and manufacturer supplied kits. IgM was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a two-site immunoenzymetric assay (Cygnus Technologies, Inc., Southport, NC). The lower limit of detection, defined as the concentration corresponding to a signal two standard deviations above the mean of the zero standard, was ~4 mg/dL for IgG and 177 pg/mL for IgM. The lower limit of quantification, defined as the lowest concentration where concentration coefficients of variation are <20%, was 3.6 mg/L for IgG and 250 pg/mL for IgM. The levels of albumin and creatinine were measured on a Hitachi 912 chemistry autoanalyzer using an immunometric method (Tina-quant reagent system) and an enzymatic creatinine assay respectively (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Total protein was measured on the Hitachi 912 by pylonicollo red (Wako Chemicals USA, Inc., 1600 Bellwood Road, Richmond, VA).

Anti-PLA2R immunoassay

Baseline samples were tested for the presence of anti-PLA2R antibodies by western blot immunoassay against both native PLA2R (present in extracts from human glomeruli) and cell-expressed recombinant human PLA2R, as previously described [26]. Subsequent sera from patients initially found to be positive in both assays were subsequently tested against native PLA2R only. Sera were routinely tested at a titer of 1:25, which has been shown to be both sensitive and specific. In those samples that were initially negative at 1:25, repeat testing was carried out at a serum dilution of 1:10 to detect very weakly positive samples.
Samples that did not show anti-PLA2-R reactivity at 1:10 dilution were considered negative.

**Data handling and statistical considerations**

The primary efficacy parameter was defined as change in UP excretion from baseline (Week 0) to 12 months post treatment. With institutional review board permission, the follow-up was extended to 24 months. Significance was assessed using a two-sided paired t-test with P-values < 0.05 accepted as significant. Complete remission (CR) was defined as a UP of <0.3 g/24 h, partial remission (PR) a reduction in UP of >50% plus a final UP of <3.5 g but >0.3 g/24 h, limited response (LR) a reduction in UP of <50%. UIgG, UIgM, UIgM, Uα1M, urinary retinol binding protein (URBP), FE IgG, FE IgM and albumin as well as the change in proteinuria at 12 and 24 months were also evaluated by means of simple linear regression analysis. FE of IgG, IgM and albumin, expressed per 100 mL of CrCl, was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\frac{\text{Urinary protein}}{\text{Serum protein}} \times \frac{\text{Scr}}{\text{uCr}} \times 100
\]

All values were log-transformed. Data are presented as means (±SD) or medians (range). All statistical calculations were performed using the JMP software, version 8.0 (Cary, NC).

Percentage (%) of reduction in anti-PLA2-R levels was calculated as % of reduction of each marker for each individual patient at each time point and then average (% reduction = [(UP baseline – UP mo)/UP baseline] × 100).

**Results**

Twenty patients (17 men and 3 women), aged 49 ± 13 years (mean ± SD), were enrolled in the study (Table 1). All the patients were severely nephrotic (proteinuria 11.9 ± 4.9 g/24 h). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures averaged 118 ± 14 and 74 ± 11 mmHg, respectively, at baseline, whereas the mean serum creatinine was 1.5 ± 0.5 mg/dL and the mean CrCl was 72.4 ± 33.1 mL/min/1.73 m².

**Outcome**

In the 18 patients who completed 24 months of follow-up, proteinuria decreased from a baseline of 11.9 ± 4.9 g/24 h (mean ± SD) to 4.2 ± 3.8 g/24 h at 12 months and 2.0 ± 1.7 g/24 h at 24 months (both P < 0.001, paired t-test). By the end of 24 months, CR was achieved in 4 patients, PR in 12 patients, 1 patient had a LR and 1 patient relapsed. The reduction in proteinuria was gradual, and in 18 patients who responded to treatment, the delta (Δ) proteinuria at 24 months was −10.1 ± 5.0 g. Using within-patient slopes (log scale), the average monthly drop in proteinuria through 24 months was found to be 8.5 ± 6.6% (P < 0.001). The reduction in proteinuria was paralleled by a progressive and significant increase in serum albumin levels from 2.7 ± 0.6 g/dL at baseline (≤ 3 g/dL in 12/20 patients) to 3.7 ± 0.4 g/dL at 12 months (≤ 3 g/dL in only

### Table 1. Main clinical and laboratory characteristics at study entry (baseline) of individual patients with IMN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary protein excretion (g per 24 h)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance (mL per min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMN, idiopathic membranous nephropathy.

Patient 7 was discontinued from the study.

**Table 2. Urinary proteins in patients with IMN treated with rituximab**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
<th>Month 9a</th>
<th>Month 12a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uα1M mg/24 h</td>
<td>99.215 ± 63.009</td>
<td>70.744 ± 48.091*</td>
<td>62.659 ± 52.463*</td>
<td>39.377 ± 26.887*</td>
<td>43.970 ± 45.139*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBP µg/24 h</td>
<td>12580.656 ± 12751.142</td>
<td>6911.791 ± 7474.773</td>
<td>6469.075 ± 9928.350</td>
<td>2515.243 ± 2688.243*</td>
<td>379.034 ± 6490.790*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALB mg/24 h</td>
<td>7091.837 ± 3654.818</td>
<td>5420.448 ± 3035.985</td>
<td>4173.181 ± 3043.012*</td>
<td>3134.082 ± 2521.974*</td>
<td>3376.997 ± 2776.854*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE albumin</td>
<td>0.26152 ± 0.17329</td>
<td>0.19418 ± 0.17742*</td>
<td>0.13416 ± 0.13828*</td>
<td>0.07405 ± 0.05381*</td>
<td>0.07106 ± 0.06593*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIgG mg/24 h</td>
<td>442.890 ± 328.361</td>
<td>3.288 ± 4.326</td>
<td>2.696 ± 4.326</td>
<td>1.229 ± 2.110*</td>
<td>0.00517 ± 0.01292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIgM mg/24 h</td>
<td>3.420 ± 3.449</td>
<td>3.002 ± 3.772</td>
<td>2.696 ± 4.326</td>
<td>1.229 ± 2.110*</td>
<td>0.00517 ± 0.01292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD.

*P ≤ 0.05 versus Month 0.
1/19 patients) and 4.0 ± 0.5 (mean ± SD) at 24 months. CrCl increased from 72.4 ± 33 mL/min/1.73 m² at baseline to 88.4 ± 31.5 mL/min/1.73 m² at 24 months (P = 0.02). There were significant changes in serum IgG and IgM levels, with baseline IgG levels increasing toward the normal range and IgM levels decreasing at 12 months and later. Serum IgA levels remained stable over the 2-year time period.

Two patients did not respond to the treatment. One patient (#7) who was immunosuppression-naive prior to entry was removed from the study at 6 months because of worsening proteinuria and progressive decline in kidney function (CrCl = 46 mL/min/1.73 m² at baseline; 25 mL/min/1.73 m² at Month 6). He was treated with prednisone and CYC and went into CR. A second patient who had failed multiple previous immunosuppressive treatments also did not respond to RTX at 12 months. He was subsequently treated with Tacrolimus and went into PR.

Correlation of UP excretion and response to RTX
There were significant changes in the UP profile, with Ur1M, UrBP, Ualbumin, UlG, FE IgG and FE albumin all showing significant decreases from baseline to 12 months. On the other hand, UlG and FE IgM did not change significantly between baseline and Month 12 (Table 2).

As reported by Bazzi et al. [22], we found a positive correlation between baseline UlG and Ur1M P < 0.0001 (Figure 1A). A similar correlation was found between baseline UlM and Ur1M P = 0.006 (Figure 1B). When results were analyzed by simple linear regression analysis in relation to proteinuria at 12 months, baseline UlG (P = 0.04), FE IgG (P = 0.05), Ur1M (P = 0.04) and UrBP (P = 0.03) predicted proteinuria response (Figure 2A–D), while UlG, FE IgM, Ualbumin and FE albumin did not (P = 0.10, 0.27, 0.22 and 0.20, respectively; data not shown). However, when the same analysis was conducted in relation to proteinuria at 24 months, the correlations were no longer significant: UlG (P = 0.55), FE IgG (P = 0.42), Ur1M (P = 0.29) and UrBP (P = 0.20) (Figures 3A–D and Table 3). Baseline values for low- and high-MW proteins for individual patients and stratified according to proteinuria response at 24 months are presented in Table 4.

The mean baseline UlG was 293.03 ± 301.08 and 488.95 ± 356.57 mg/24 h, in patients who reached CR (n = 4) or PR (n = 12) at 24 months, respectively. In patients who were NR or had LR, baseline mean UlG excretion were 601.7 ± 310.7 and 506.6 mg/24, respectively, and although these results tended to be higher than those found in the group with CR or PR, they did not reach statistical significance maybe due to the small
number of patients ($P = 0.26$). Similar results were found for $\alpha_{1}$M, RBP, UαM, FE IgG, FE albumin and IgM (Table 4).

When, as suggested by Bazzi et al. [28], baseline cutoff values of 110 mg/g uCr for IgG and 33.5 mg/g uCr for $\alpha_{1}$M were considered predictors of response at 24 months, only $1/4$ in the CR and $2/12$ in the PR group had IgG values that fulfilled this criteria, while $2/4$ and $3/12$ in the CR and the PR groups had $\alpha_{1}$M below the proposed threshold (Table 4).

None of the urinary markers could predict responses to other forms of immunosuppressive therapy. Patient #7 had one of the highest levels of UIgG and UIgM at baseline, but treatment with corticosteroids and CYC resulted in CR at 12 months. Similarly, Patient #2 failed therapy with RTX but went into PR following therapy with Tacrolimus, while all of his baseline urinary markers were well within mean values for the entire group (Table 4).

There was also no difference in the trend of total proteinuria versus the other U markers at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months, suggesting that the improvement in membrane selectivity occurred equally across all urinary markers. These results suggest that quantification of baseline low- and high-MW proteins do not predict ultimate response when using immunosuppressive therapies associated with high rates of response.

Correlation of anti-PLA2R antibodies and excretion of UPs

As previously reported, baseline anti-PLA2R levels did not correlate with response to therapy. However, a decreased in anti-PLA2R almost always preceded the decline in proteinuria [27]. When the percentage in reduction of U proteins is compared with reduction in anti-PLA2R, it can be seen that initial decline in anti-PLA2R levels, although a bit faster, paralleled declines in U proteins up to Months 3, while after that time, decline in anti-PLA2R in patients who responded to RTX was greater and at a much higher rate than the decline in U markers (Figure 4).

We attempted to compare the rate of decline in anti-PLA2R levels between patients who were in PR and those in CR at 24 months. There are 4 CR at 24 m, but only 2 of those patients were anti-PLA2R positive at baseline so we compared 11 PR at 24 m (1 was anti-PLA2R negative) and 2 CR at 24 m, but the results were not significantly different at any time point.

Discussion

In a number of studies, the use of RTX has induced a CR or PR of proteinuria in 60–80% of patients with idiopathic
MN [2, 25, 29]. However, considering the cost of RTX therapy, the fact that not all patients respond to this therapy and the uncertainty regarding the long-term risk of RTX side-effects, it would be desirable to have a marker that could, a priori, identify patients who would respond to RTX and thus spare patients unlikely to respond from receiving RTX.

In a number of studies, quantification of the urinary excretion of low- and high-MW proteins has been reported as accurately predicting renal outcome in patients with glomerular diseases, including MN with variable results, but predicting response to therapy has been less certain [12–23].

In the study by Bazzi et al. [17] quantification of U%G and Uα1M could not predict response to therapy. Nevertheless, these authors suggested that U%G and Uα1M could be used to identify patients who are at risk of progression and for whom treatment with immunosuppressive therapy is indicated soon after diagnosis [17]. However, a correlation with baseline FE IgG and response to therapy was reported in patients with FSGS [22]. Twenty-seven patients with FSGS and nephrotic syndrome were treated with corticosteroids and CYC (n=19) or corticosteroids alone (n=8). Seventy percent of the patients with FE IgG <0.025 (n=0) responded to corticosteroids alone, 20% responded to corticosteroids combined with CYC and 10% were unresponsive. In patients with FE IgG >0.025 but <0.140 (n=10), 20% were responsive to corticosteroids alone and 80% to corticosteroids and CYC. However, none of the patients with FE IgG >0.140 (n=7) responded to therapy, suggesting that FE IgG may be used to predict response to immunosuppressive therapy in patients with FSGS [22].

On the other hand, du Buf-Vereijken and Wetzels studied U%G, Uα, Uβ2M and Uα1M in 25 patients with MN and renal insufficiency during and after treatment with corticosteroids and CYC and found that neither...

Table 3. Correlation between urinary markers at baseline and patients response at 12 and 24 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinary marker</th>
<th>Patients response at 12 months</th>
<th>Patients response at 24 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fraction of Baseline UP</td>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1M (mg/24h)</td>
<td>0.2218</td>
<td>0.0418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBP (μg/24h)</td>
<td>0.2376</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALB (mg/24)</td>
<td>0.0877</td>
<td>0.2189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE Alb.</td>
<td>0.0938</td>
<td>0.2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE IgG</td>
<td>0.2273</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM (mg/24h)</td>
<td>0.0877</td>
<td>0.2189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE IgM</td>
<td>0.1489</td>
<td>0.1028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0766</td>
<td>0.2662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant values are given in bold.
Table 4. Urinary markers at baseline and patient response at 12 and 24 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UP at Baseline (g/24 h)</th>
<th>UP at 24 months (g/24 h)</th>
<th>α1M (mg/24h)</th>
<th>α1M/UCr (mg/g)</th>
<th>RBP (μg/g)</th>
<th>RBP/UCr (μg/24h)</th>
<th>MALB (mg/24)</th>
<th>FE Alb. (mg/24h)</th>
<th>IgG (mg/24h)</th>
<th>IgG/UCr (mg/g)</th>
<th>IgM (mg/24h)</th>
<th>FE IgM (mg/24h)</th>
<th>IgM/UCr (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>217.1</td>
<td>1541.1</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>3594.3</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>0.01467</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>6118.0</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>2339.2</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>0.01009</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>187.3</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>31314.4</td>
<td>41757.6</td>
<td>15678.6</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>8342.4</td>
<td>722.6</td>
<td>0.13685</td>
<td>363.6</td>
<td>1.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>4226.2</td>
<td>4177.8</td>
<td>6457.4</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>6383.3</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>0.10179</td>
<td>275.6</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>35800.1</td>
<td>22359.6</td>
<td>7744.7</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>4837.1</td>
<td>800.6</td>
<td>0.31579</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>4.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>9867.7</td>
<td>8780.2</td>
<td>5119.1</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>4554.9</td>
<td>380.4</td>
<td>0.21736</td>
<td>338.5</td>
<td>1.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>15704.0</td>
<td>7591.2</td>
<td>9905.6</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>4788.3</td>
<td>604.0</td>
<td>0.13870</td>
<td>292.0</td>
<td>3.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>18873.2</td>
<td>8787.9</td>
<td>7866.5</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>3662.9</td>
<td>357.9</td>
<td>0.09390</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>1.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>3794.7</td>
<td>2215.9</td>
<td>1383.6</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>808.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>0.01485</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>8482.5</td>
<td>4801.5</td>
<td>7332.9</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>4150.7</td>
<td>311.8</td>
<td>0.08858</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>1.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>444.7</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td>6960.0</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>2723.0</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>0.01061</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>1.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>6268.2</td>
<td>5195.1</td>
<td>3034.2</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>2378.0</td>
<td>162.1</td>
<td>0.03530</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>6.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>17483.8</td>
<td>9036.7</td>
<td>7463.9</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>3857.8</td>
<td>853.8</td>
<td>0.14571</td>
<td>441.3</td>
<td>3.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>6040.6</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>2762.4</td>
<td>236.4</td>
<td>0.01642</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>2.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>197.9</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>42477.6</td>
<td>27789.5</td>
<td>8270.3</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>5410.5</td>
<td>796.5</td>
<td>0.33854</td>
<td>521.1</td>
<td>7.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.46</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>203.2</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>14478.1</td>
<td>6475.0</td>
<td>13080.6</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>5850.0</td>
<td>1196.3</td>
<td>0.16411</td>
<td>535.0</td>
<td>13.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>8732.5</td>
<td>4851.9</td>
<td>11310.0</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>6284.0</td>
<td>506.6</td>
<td>0.18765</td>
<td>281.5</td>
<td>1.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>4930.6</td>
<td>2522.7</td>
<td>5705.7</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>2919.3</td>
<td>382.0</td>
<td>0.04190</td>
<td>195.5</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>2682.1</td>
<td>2718.2</td>
<td>6050.0</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>5185.6</td>
<td>974.1</td>
<td>0.04729</td>
<td>1735.0</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>12580.7</td>
<td>7782.2</td>
<td>7091.8</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>4173.4</td>
<td>442.9</td>
<td>0.12184</td>
<td>269.6</td>
<td>3.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>12580.7</td>
<td>7782.2</td>
<td>7091.8</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>4173.4</td>
<td>442.9</td>
<td>0.12184</td>
<td>269.6</td>
<td>3.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>12751.1</td>
<td>8358.6</td>
<td>3654.8</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>1792.2</td>
<td>328.4</td>
<td>0.11188</td>
<td>196.5</td>
<td>3.449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients 2 and 7 were discontinued from the study at 12 and 6 months respectively. Pt #2 went into PR after treatment with Tacrolimus; Pt #7 went into CR after Corticosteroids + Cyclophosphamide therapy.

UP = Urinary Protein; α1M = alpha 1 microglobulin; IgG = Immunoglobulin G; FE = Fractional excretion; RBP = Retinol binding protein; MALB = microalbuminuria; IgM = Immunoglobulin M; UCr= Urinary creatinine.
between urinary excretion of IgG and B). Given its relatively large size, IgG (MW ∼150,000) should have a significant barrier for filtration, whereas α1M (MW ∼25,000) should be freely filtered. Urinary levels of α1M are likely to reflect proximal tubular function, whereas urinary IgG should reflect both permeselectivity defects and tubular reabsorptive capacity. A similar but less compelling relationship existed for urinary IgM and α1M. This tight relationship between urinary level of α1M and IgG and IgM persisted 12 months after therapy, but the correlation was better for IgG than IgM. It is unclear whether tubular cell injury by IgG promotes urinary excretion of multiple proteins due to abnormal proximal tubular reabsorption processes (albumin, α1M, RBP) or whether increased urinary levels of these proteins simply reflect tubular injury by other mechanisms.

It should be taken into account that at least 95% of the proteins filtered through the glomeruli undergo proximal tubular reabsorption. Thus, all the proteins measured in the urine represent a small fraction of the glomerular filtrate, which makes the interpretation difficult [31]. For example, the glomerular fractional clearances (sieving coefficient) of both RBP and α1M are quite high (0.5–1), but their FE in the final urine is almost zero because of a 99.99% proximal tubular reabsorption. Second, albumin and IgG fractional clearances to the Bowman’s space are normally not very different. According to, for example, the two-pore model, they may both be filtered through extremely infrequent ∼110Å (radius) pores, allowing the passage of a fraction of only 10⁻⁴ of the total glomerular filtration rate (GFR) [32]. Third, IgM seems to be totally rejected in the normal glomerular filtration barrier [31]. The mere presence of IgM in the urine may signal a major size-selective defect, if a post-renal source of IgM can be excluded, although a major problem with using IgM as a biomarker is its low concentration and the relatively high determination errors involved [31].

Having an accurate predictor of response to treatment with RTX would allow individualized targeting of therapy. Unfortunately, none of the following have helped to predict response to RTX: serum RTX levels, quantification of B and T cell subpopulations at baseline, testing for the presence of anti-chimeric antibodies, nor quantification of B and T cell numbers in renal biopsies [25, 29].

It is interesting that there was a very tight relationship between urinary excretion of IgG and α1M (Figure 3A and B). Given its relatively large size, IgG (MW ∼150,000) should have a significant barrier for filtration, whereas α1M (MW ∼25,000) should be freely filtered. Urinary levels of α1M are likely to reflect proximal tubular function, whereas urinary IgG should reflect both permeselectivity defects and tubular reabsorptive capacity. A similar but
autoantibody-positive patients (68%) showed a decline and disappearance of anti-PLA2R within 12 months of RTX treatment. These changes in autoantibody almost always preceded changes in proteinuria. Those who demonstrated such an immunologic response fared better clinically than those with persistent anti-PLA2R levels, with 59% attaining complete or PR by 12 months and 88% by 24 months, versus 0 and 33%, respectively.

In the present study, decline in U proteins was already noticeable during the first 3 months. However, as presented in Figure 4, the initial decline in all U markers occurred when significant levels of anti-PLA2R were still present. How can this be reconciled? It is possible that apart from suppression of pathogenic antibodies, RTX may also exert anti-proteinuric effects by modulating podocyte function. Fornoni et al. [35] recently studied 41 patients with ESRD secondary to FSGS and high risk of recurrence post kidney transplant. These investigators studied the effects of sera from patients with recurrent FSGS on normal human podocyte spherolipid-related proteins (SMPDL-3b protein, acid sphingomyelinase (ASMase) activity and cytoskeleton remodeling. These sphingolipid-related proteins are crucial for the organization of receptors and signaling molecules in specialized cells, such as the podocytes [36]. Sera from patients who developed recurrent FSGS on normal human podocyte spherogyminelin phosphodiesterase acid-like 3b (SMPDL-3b) protein, acid spherogyminelin (ASMase) activity and cytoskeleton remodeling. These sphingolipid-related proteins are crucial for the organization of receptors and signaling molecules in specialized cells, such as the podocytes [36]. Sera from patients who developed recurrent FSGS caused a decrease in both SMPDL-3b and ASM. Treatment with RTX partially prevented downregulation of SMPDL-3b and ASM, the disruption of the actin cytoskeleton and podocyte apoptosis induced by podocyte exposure to sera of patients with recurrent FSGS [35]. These results suggest that RTX may have important direct modulatory effects on podocyte function, similar to what has been reported for cyclosporine, and may help explain the initial decline in urinary proteins seen in the current study [37]. Thus, response to RTX may be characterized by an initial immunological-independent effect on podocyte cytoskeleton that is followed by an immunological-dependent effect on the cause of glomerular injury (i.e. anti-PLA2R antibodies).

**Conclusion**

In patients with idiopathic MN, treatment with RTX not only appears to be effective in inducing CR or PR in a significant number of patients, but also significantly improves the urinary excretion of a number of selective proteins, namely α1M, RBP, IgG and albumin. However, pre-treatment quantification of these urinary proteins did not predict the long-term response to RTX therapy. Our data should be interpreted narrowly in view that the number of patients involved in the study was small and the proteinuria response to RTX was high. In particular, we did not study the relationship between these proteins and disease progression and did not vary the therapy. Therefore, our data do not contradict previous studies indicating that these proteins can predict disease progression, nor does it preclude the possibility that they could be used to identify patients who should receive more-aggressive therapies. Whether these markers can identify patients who are likely to go into spontaneous remission requires further study. Reliable markers capable of predicting response to RTX in patients with MN remain to be defined.
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