Impact of graft loss among kidney diseases with a high risk of post-transplant recurrence in the paediatric population
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Abstract

Background. Some kidney diseases tend to recur in the renal allograft after transplantation. We studied the risk of graft loss among primary renal diseases known for their high risk of recurrence and compared it with that of patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia.

Methods. Within the European Society of Paediatric Nephrology and European Renal Association and European Dialysis and Transplant Association (ESPN/ERA-EDTA) registry, we studied children from 33 countries who received a kidney transplant before the age of 20 between 1990 and 2009. Patients were censored after 5 years of follow-up and cumulative incidence competing risk analysis was used to calculate survival curves.

Results. Patients with focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis Type I or II (MPGN), IgA nephropathy or Henoch Schönlein Purpura (HSP/IgA) or systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) underwent pre-emptive transplantation significantly less often than patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. The rate of living donation was lower among patients with FSGS and SLE than in patients...
with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. In comparison with hypopo-
plasia and/or dysplasia patients with a risk of 14.4%, the 5-
year risk of graft loss was significantly increased in patients
with FSGS (25.7%) and MPGN (32.4%) while it was not sig-
ificantly increased in children with HUS (18.9%), HSP/IgA
(16.3%) or SLE (20.3%). One-year graft survival strongly im-
proved among HUS patients from 17.1% in 1995–1999 to
3.6% in 2005–2009 and was not accompanied by a decrease
in the number of transplantations.

Conclusion. The risk of graft loss is increased among specific
causes of renal failure with a high risk of post-transplant re-
currence. It seems likely that, due to anticipation of such risk,
physicians perform less pre-emptive transplantation and
provide fewer grafts from living related donors in patients
with these conditions. Improved risk stratification by phys-
icians, resulting in the identification of patients with HUS at
higher or lower risk of recurrence, might explain the much
improved graft survival rates.

INTRODUCTION

Renal transplantation is the treatment of choice for children
and adults with end-stage renal disease. However, it is not
always an ultimate solution, as some kidney diseases tend to
recur in the renal allograft and disease recurrence is more fre-
quent in children than in adults [1]. One could make a dis-
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tal distinction between kidney diseases that tend to have a ‘full
blown’ recurrence leading to graft loss, and kidney diseases
that recur without apparent increased risk of graft loss [1].

Although several studies reported an increased risk of graft
loss among very rare specific kidney diseases, they were usu-
ally based on a very limited number of patients and were
compared with the general risk of graft loss.

In the present paper we describe the real-life risk of graft
loss among kidney diseases known to be associated with a high
risk of disease recurrence and subsequent graft loss [idiopathic
focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), haemolytic
uranaemic syndrome (HUS), membranoproliferative glomerulo-

MPGN Type I (Code 15), MPGN Type II (Code 13), HSP/IgA (Code 12 and 85), SLE
(Codes 84), hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (Codes 60, 61, 63,
and 66). In the ESPN/ERA-EDTA registry, insufficient data
were available on the recurrence of the disease as the cause
of graft loss. We assumed that any additional risk of graft loss
among diseases known for their increased risk of disease re-
currence, when compared with that among patients with hy-
poplasia and/or dysplasia would be due to disease recurrence.

Patients were censored after living with a transplant at the
end of the observation period, reaching 31 December 2009,
being transferred to a centre for treatment of adult patients
without information on the follow-up or after death without
prior dialysis (assumed with a functioning graft).

Analyses
Analyses were performed using SAS 9.2. Differences
between categorical variables were calculated using the \( \chi^2 \)
test, whereas differences between continuous variables were
calculated using a \( t \)-test. The associations between patient
characteristics at the time of transplantation and the likeli-
hood of transplantation were calculated using logistic

Analyses were performed including adjustment for living versus
deceased donation. P-values of < 0.05 were considered as stat-
istically significant.
RESULTS

In the ESPN/ERA-EDTA registry between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2009, 5892 patients with end-stage renal failure received a renal transplant. The 3937 patients who suffered from other causes of renal failure than the ones under study were excluded. Therefore, 1955 patients were included in the present analyses. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Characteristics at time of transplantation

Patients with a high risk of recurrence of their underlying condition underwent pre-emptive transplantation significantly less frequently than those with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia, even after adjustment for age, gender and time period (Table 2). Compared with children with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia, patients with FSGS were 74% less likely, those with HUS 52% less likely, those with MPGN 80% less likely and those with HSP/IgA 68% less likely to receive a transplant pre-emptively when compared with receiving a transplant while being on dialysis for more than a year. However, when a patient started on dialysis, there were no differences between the different causes of renal failure with respect to receiving a transplant after short-term dialysis or dialysis for more or less than 1 year, except among patients with SLE. In addition, patients with FSGS and SLE received a transplant from a deceased donor significantly more often than from a living donor (OR 1.65, 95% CI 1.16–2.34, respectively, 3.50 95% CI 1.14–10.8) when compared with children with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia.

Risk of graft loss

Patients with FSGS had the highest risk of graft loss in the first year after transplantation namely 14.7%, Table 3. Patients with other kidney diseases lost their graft in 6.8% (HSP/IgA) and 10.1% (MPGN) of the cases, which was not significantly different from those with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (6.4%), Figure 1.

After 5 years, 32.4% of the patients with MPGN had suffered from a graft loss, which was considerably higher than among those with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (14.4%), Table 4, while the risk among patients with FSGS was 25.7%. The patterns of graft loss differed between the different causes of renal failure, while the risks of graft loss for example due to FSGS (Figure 1A) was very high in the first year and were more stable thereafter, the risk of graft loss in MPGN was consistently high over time (Figure 1C).

Focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis

Patients with FSGS who started RRT during puberty (>12) had a significantly higher 5-year risk of graft loss (32.4%) when compared with those who started RRT before the age of 6 (17.1%), Figure 1A. Patients with FSGS who started RRT between the ages of 6 and 12 had an intermediate, but significantly increased risk of graft loss (27.7%). Relative risks for the different age groups when compared with their peers of the same age with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia resulted in HRs of 1.4 for the youngest, 1.7 for those between 6–12 and 3.2 for the oldest age group (Table 4). Among patients with FSGS who received a pre-emptive transplantation the risks of graft loss were very similar (5.8% at 1 year and 22% at 5 years) when compared with the overall FSGS group; however, numbers were small.

Haemolytic uraemic syndrome

The 5-year risk of graft loss among patients with HUS was 18.9%. Patients with HUS who started RRT before the age of 6 had a higher risk (21.9%) of graft loss at 5 years than those who had started thereafter (16.5%), Figure 1B. The risk of 1-year graft loss significantly decreased among patients over time (17.1% in 1995–1999 to 3.6% in 2005–2009, P = 0.03). This decrease was not associated with a change in the number of transplanted patients in absolute terms or relative to the number of patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia.

Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis

When studying MPGN Type I and Type II separately, we found that the risk of 5-year graft loss was 23.5% for MPGN Type I and 67.5% among patients with Type II, Figure 1C. Although the rates between both types were significantly different from patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia, they were not significantly different from each other.

Systemic lupus erythematosus

Patients with SLE had a slightly but not significantly increased risk of graft loss (20.3% at 5 years). However, after adjustment for the lower rate of living donation and pre-emptive transplantation, surprisingly the differences between SLE and hypoplasia and/or dysplasia patients were significant, showing a 3-fold increased risk of graft loss for SLE patients when compared with those with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (Table 4).

HSP/IgA nephropathy

Patients with HSP/IgA had no increased risk of graft loss when compared with patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. There were no differences between patients with HSP alone when compared with those with IgA nephropathy.

DISCUSSION

Patients with FSGS and MPGN had a significantly higher risk of graft loss when compared with patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. There were large differences in the pattern of graft loss; while patients with FSGS mainly suffered from graft loss shortly after transplantation, patients with MPGN had a consistently high rate of graft loss independent from time since transplantation. The risk of graft loss was not increased for patients with HSP/IgA nephropathy. All patients received a pre-emptive transplant less often than patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia, and patients with FSGS or SLE received an organ from a living donor less often in comparison with patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. We will discuss the results separately for each disease.
Focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis

After 5 years, over a quarter of the patients with FSGS had lost their renal allograft, which is equal to the fraction reported in previous studies, and very close to that reported also among adult patients [6, 7]. There was a strong difference in graft survival between patients who were below the age of 6 at the start of RRT and those who started during puberty. Very young patients with FSGS more often have genetic or congenital forms of FSGS, which might less often lead to a recurrence. Unfortunately, no information on the genetic background of the patients was available. Other studies have also suggested higher risks of graft loss among adolescents than among younger patients, with a lower risk again among the adult population [1, 8].

Haemolytic uraemic syndrome

Patients with HUS had an increased risk of graft failure. However, this increased risk only reached significance in the 1994–1999 period. Since then there has been a big improvement in graft survival. Recommendations not to perform transplantations (from living related donors) in patients with atypical HUS [9] may have resulted in a selection towards more patients with typical HUS. Furthermore, genetic screening of patients with atypical HUS for abnormalities in specific

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Age at start RRT Mean (p5–p95)</th>
<th>Age at transplant Mean (p5–p95)</th>
<th>% females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSGS</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>9.7 (2.4–16.8)</td>
<td>11.4 (3.5–18.4)</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUS</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.2 (0.8–17.5)</td>
<td>10.1 (2.5–18.5)</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.8 (7.6–18.8)</td>
<td>15.9 (8.6–19.6)</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPGN</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12.0 (3.6–18.8)</td>
<td>13.7 (4.9–19.2)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP/IgA</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>14.0 (6.7–18.9)</td>
<td>15.3 (9.5–19.4)</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplasia and/or dysplasia</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>8.6 (0.14–17.1)</td>
<td>10.3 (1.9–18.1)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold values denote significant difference from hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (P < 0.05).

FSGS, focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis; HUS, haemolytic uraemic syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythomatosus; MPGN, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis type I or II; HSP/IgA, IgA nephropathy or Henoch Schönlein Purpura.

Table 2. Transplant characteristics for patients with different causes of renal failure, and odds ratios for (i) receiving a transplant from a deceased versus a living donor; (ii) receiving a transplant pre-emptively compared with >1 year on dialysis or (iii) within a year on dialysis compared with >1 year on dialysis, adjusted for age at start of RRT, gender and period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentages</th>
<th>Odds ratios</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Living donor</td>
<td>% Pre-emptive Tx</td>
<td>Deceased versus living donor</td>
<td>Pre-emptive tx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSGS</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.65 (1.16–2.34)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.18–0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUS</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.22 (0.80–1.86)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.29–0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.50 (1.14–10.8)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.07–0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPGN</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.82 (0.44–1.53)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.10–0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP/IgA</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.00 (0.60–1.66)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.18–0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplasia and/or dysplasia</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold values denote significant difference from hypoplasia and/or dysplasia (P < 0.05).

OR, odds ratio; FSGS, focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis; HUS, haemolytic uraemic syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythomatosus; MPGN, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis Type I or II; HSP/IgA, IgA nephropathy or Henoch Schönlein Purpura.

aInformation on living versus deceased donation was available for 55.6% of the patients.
bAdjusted for age, gender and time period.
complement regulating proteins is increasingly performed and a genetic disease cause can now be identified in 50–70% of cases [10, 11]. Since the number of patients with HUS receiving a transplantation did not change, current practice will have most likely led to an improved risk stratification, resulting in a lower number of patients with a high-risk profile receiving a transplant and an increased number of transplants in those with a low-risk profile.

Unfortunately, our data did not allow the distinction between patients with typical and atypical HUS. We tried to distinguish between these groups by studying two different age groups as traditionally young age at onset was an indicator for typical HUS. However, we found a higher risk of graft loss among patients who started RRT before the age of 6, while the risk among those who were above 6 years was very similar to that among patients with hypo- or dysplasia. Recently, Geerdink et al. also showed that nearly half of the patients with atypical HUS first manifest before the age of 5, questioning age as a valuable marker for the type of HUS [12]. Early onset atypical HUS might be an even more aggressive form, explaining the high risk of graft loss.

**Systemic lupus erythomatosus**

There was a tendency towards increased graft loss among patients with SLE, although it was not significantly different from that of patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. Our results were similar to those found in adults, but these varied widely as 5-year graft survival has been shown to be between 69 and 91% [13–15]. Nevertheless, the tendency towards an increased risk of graft loss was worse than expected given the potential for current immunosuppressive regimens to maintain remission [1].

**Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis**

MPGN is usually divided into Type I and II on the basis of ultrastructural features [16, 17]. It has been suggested, however, that differences in recurrence rates may be more related to the severity of the disease than to the underlying
ultrastructural features [17]. We found a higher risk of graft
text loss at 5 years among patients with dense deposit disease
which was similar to that found in previous studies per-
formed in the 1980s [18]. The low graft loss among patients
with MPGN Type I was similar to that reported in other
studies among adult patients [6, 19]. Differences between
MPGN Type I and II did not reach statistical signi-
fi
cance due
to the small number of patients with MPGN Type II. Unlike
the other causes of renal failure, the rate of graft loss did not
improve over time in the years since transplantation. Previous
studies suggested a 50% reduced risk of graft loss among
patients with an organ from a living-related donor [18],
which possibly also explains the slightly higher proportion
of patients who received an organ from a living donor.
However, adjustment for living versus deceased donation did not
affect the risk estimates suggesting a very low advantage
of a living donation among patients with MPGN when com-
pared with that among patients with hypoplasia and/or
dysplasia.

**IgA nephropathy or Henoch Schönlein Purpura**

Even though previous studies have reported recurrence
rates of around 33% (range 9–61%) for patients with HSP/
IgA nephropathy [16], many studies, among adult patients,
have shown that this does not affect graft survival [6, 20].
Our data also show that the risk of graft loss (16.3%) was
very similar to that among patients with hypoplasia and/or
dysplasia. Little is known about the rate of actual graft loss in
these children, but in adults 5-year graft loss rates have been
reported of 16–30% [20–23].

**LIMITATIONS**

In this study, we investigated whether the risk of graft loss was
increased among children suffering from causes of renal
failure with a high rate of recurrence of the primary disease
in the transplantations. We compared those risks with the
risk among patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia.
Although patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia do not
have higher risks of graft loss due to disease recurrence, there
might be other factors affecting the risk of graft loss. Many
patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia are diagnosed an-
tenatally and disease progression is typically slower and more
predictable than patients with glomerulopathy, making these
conditions more amenable to pre-emptive transplantation.
There was quite a large group of patients in whom infor-
mation on whether the received kidney was from a living or a
deceased donor was missing. This might have affected the
results of the sensitivity analyses.

In this study, we cannot say whether an increased risk of
graft loss is actually related to a recurrence of the disease or
whether other factors are involved. Conversely, we do not
have information on disease recurrence without graft loss.
Nevertheless, we believe that most of the additional risk when
compared with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia will have been
cause by a recurrence of the disease.

Finally, children become adults. Although for some of the
patients we had follow-up data into adulthood, this infor-
mation was not available for all. There has been debate on
the presence of an increased graft loss after transfer to adult-
hood [23, 24], although opposed by others [25], and if this

**FIGURE 1:** Graft survival among specific causes of end-stage renal disease compared with patients with hypoplasia and/or dysplasia. The curves have been adjusted for competing risks, namely death. (A and B) Separate curves for those children starting on RRT according to different age groups for patients with FSGS (A) and HUS (B). (C) Separate curves for patients with MPGN Type I and II (dense deposit disease). (D) Curves for patients with HSP/IgA and SLE. FSGS, focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis; RRT, renal replacement therapy; HUS, haemolytic uraemic syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; MPGN, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis Type I or II; HSP/IgA, IgA nephropathy or Henoch Schönlein Purpura.
were the case we might have underestimated the actual risk of graft loss for all causes of renal failure.

**CONCLUSION**

Graft loss remains a major problem in children living with a renal allograft. This large study was able to determine the actual rate of graft loss for many of the rare diseases in whom graft loss is feared to be high. In dense deposit disease, MPGN Type I and FSGS requiring RRT after the age of 6 the risk of graft loss is extremely high. Conversely, we were able to show that among some of these causes of renal failure the rate of graft loss is not increased.
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