

## **Reviewer's report**

**Title:** AGOUTI: improving genome assembly and annotation using transcriptome data

**Version:** 1 **Date:** 01 May 2016

**Reviewer:** Pär Engström

### **Reviewer's report:**

I only have a few comments on the revised version:

1. It should be indicated which assembly was used as "truth" in the evaluation on real data (N2/CB), e.g. to compute the error counts for Table 3.
2. I think the following statement, which occurs both in the abstract and main text, could be misleading: "genomes sequenced using short-read, next-generation sequencing technologies are error-filled and fragmented into thousands of small sequences". The term "error-filled" is imprecise and could lead readers to think that sequences are so filled with errors that they are nearly useless, which is certainly not the case. For small genomes the number of contigs can be much less than 1000, so "thousands" should also be changed.
3. Another reason for the worse performance on real data (page 12) could be that actual breakpoints are more common in intergenic regions, e.g. due to enrichment of repeats in those regions. This could easily be checked.

### **Level of interest**

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

## Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

## Declaration of competing interests

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal