Characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana ortholog of the human breast cancer susceptibility gene 1: AtBRCA1, strongly induced by gamma rays
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ABSTRACT

hBRCA1 is involved in 20–45% of inherited breast cancer cases and is implicated in many mechanisms involved in response to DNA damage. To date, BRCA1 orthologs have been characterized in vertebrate genomes only. We have identified the ortholog of BRCA1 in Arabidopsis thaliana. AtBRCA1 is a 5.5 kb part of the locus At4g21070. The corresponding mRNA of 3.5 kb is composed of 14 exons and encodes a 941 amino acid protein (104 kDa). AtBRCA1, which has one N-terminal RING finger, two C-terminal BRCT and the p300/CBP interacting domain, shows a high similarity to hBRCA1 in these motifs and has the same characteristic molecular organization. We have also identified a putative ortholog in rice (OsBRCA1). With 941 and 968 amino acids, respectively, AtBRCA1 and OsBRCA1 are the shortest members of the BRCA1 family, and may represent a plant specificity. AtBRCA1 is expressed ubiquitously in plant tissues, at levels depending on organ type, with highest levels in flower buds and exponentially growing cell cultures. Increase of mRNA levels in all plant tissues 1 h after irradiation with the highest induction level of approximately 150 times for a 100 Gy dose is consistent with a putative role of AtBRCA1 in DNA repair and in cell-cycle control.

INTRODUCTION

DNA damage induced by gamma irradiation, or by other factors such as radio-mimetic drugs, leads to the enhancement of several pathways involved in DNA repair, cell-cycle control, transcriptional regulation and apoptosis. Two major mechanisms are responsible for the repair of double-strand breaks (DSB) generated by ionizing radiation (IR): homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (1–3). Several genes implicated in these pathways are remarkably conserved in different species and are characterized by specific domains (4,5). Genome sequencing of different organisms now allows us to find, by homology searches, new orthologs of genes described earlier. Several Arabidopsis thaliana genes, identified previously in other organisms, are known to be involved in the repair of DSB. Among them, AtXrcc4 (6), AtLig4 (6), AtRad50 (7), AtKu70–80 (8) and AtMre11 (9) are mainly implicated in NHEJ, AtATM (10) and AtRAD51 (11) in HR. To date, orthologs of hBRCA1, implicated in either HR or NHEJ, and also in several other pathways, have been described only in vertebrates: in mammalian (12–14), Xenopus (15) and Gallus genomes (16).

hBRCA1 is located at 17q21 and is involved in 20–45% of inherited breast cancer cases and ~80% of families predisposed to breast and ovarian cancer (17). hBRCA1 is a 220 kDa nuclear phosphoprotein with functional domains: an N-terminal RING finger domain, and two BRCA1 C-terminal (BRCT) domains with a transactivating activity in the C-terminus (18). hBRCA1 is phosphorylated by kinases like ATM (19), chk2 (20) or ATR (21) after DNA damage that leads to delocalization of hBRCA1 and enhancement of transcription-coupled DNA repair (22). Phosphorylation of the transcriptional repressor CtIP by ATM after DNA damage releases hBRCA1 from its interaction with CtIP and allows its transcriptional activity (23,24).

hBRCA1 is involved in transcription regulation, by transactivating transcription through direct protein interactions. hBRCA1 stimulates p53 transcriptional activity on the p21waf1/cip1, mdm2 and bax promoters (25,26), but also in a p53-independent manner for p21waf1/cip1 and c-myc promoters (27,28). Harkin et al. (29) have shown that enhanced hBRCA1 expression led to the modulation of the expression of several genes, such as GADD45. Moreover, hBRCA1 is a component of the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme (30,31) and interacts with proteins involved in the regulation or the transcription machinery, including CtIP (32), CREB binding protein (CBP)/p300 (33) and RNA helicase A (34). A role in chromatin remodeling has been attributed to hBRCA1 through its association with the histone deacetylases HDAC1, HDAC2 (35) and the BRG1 subunit of the SWI/SNF complex (36). Recently, it has been shown that hBRCA1 can also bind directly to DNA, a property which may be associated with its roles in transcription and in DNA repair (37).

hBRCA1 is also involved in maintaining genome integrity in several ways. First, it interacts in nuclear foci with several
proteins involved in DNA repair such as hRad51 (38), BRCA2 (39,40), hRad50/hMre11/nibrin (41) and the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex (BASC) (42). Secondly, it is involved in controlling centrosome duplication by interaction with gamma-tubulin, a component essential for nucleation and mitotic spindle assembly (43). Xu et al. (44) have found that 30% of brca1Δ11/Δ11 cells (lacking exon 11) contained multiple centrosomes, leading to unequal chromosomal segregation and aneuploidy. Additional studies have linked hBRCA1 mRNA levels with sensitivity to microtubule-interfering agents which poison the mitotic spindle by inhibiting either the depolymerization or the polymerization of tubulin (45,46).

Recently, crystal structures of the RING and BRCT domains of hBRCA1 have been established, giving insight into the molecular properties of hBRCA1 (15,47). Brzovic et al. (47) determined the interaction structure between the RING domains of hBRCA1 and hBARD1 (BRCA1 associated ring domain 1), providing information on the structural effects of mutations in this domain and also on the ubiquitin ligase activity of this heterodimer. The crystal structure determination of the BRCT domains has revealed that it can homodimerize and this may be essential to BRCA1 function (48). Moreover, Xenopus orthologs of BRCA1 and BARD1 have been shown to also form functional heterodimers (15).

In an attempt to find Arabidopsis or plant genes implicated in IR response, with a strong implication in DNA repair and transcription regulation, and also because of the presence of DNA repair genes in the A.thaliana genome such as AtATM and AtRAD51, we have searched for an ortholog of BRCA1. Here, we report the characterization of AtBRCA1 and analysis of its mRNA expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
GenBank accession

Arabidopsis thaliana BRCA1 (AtBRCA1) had the GenBank accession no. AF515728.

Materials

Arabidopsis thaliana (var. Columbia, ecotype Col-0) seeds were grown in vitro for 4 or 20 days on modified Somerville medium in a chamber with a dark/light cycle of 10/14 h (49,50). Cell suspensions were cultured at 25°C in an orbital shaker at 120 r.p.m. (Multitron II, Infors, Germany) under continuous light (60 μmol photons/m2/s) in MS medium as described earlier (51). Plants or cells were irradiated with a 60Co source at a dose rate of 22 Gy min⁻¹, maintained for 1 h under respective standard growth conditions and then frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground for 5 min at 300 r.p.m. in the planetary ball mill PM400 (Retsch, Germany). Ground tissues harvested from independent experiments were pooled before RNA extraction.

Computational analysis

AtBRCA1 was identified using BLAST implemented on NCBI and TAIR databases with the hBRCA1 sequence, using the default parameter settings. Gene structure prediction was done on software implemented on the Softberry web page (http://www.softberry.com/), analysis of protein domains using the SMART database (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/), alignment of sequences using Align, and phylogenetic analysis using PHYlogeny Inference Package on Infobiogen web page (http://www.infobiogen.fr). BLAST research on rice genome (Oryza sativa) was performed on the Beijing Genomics Institute database (http://btn.genomics.org.cn/rice) (52).

RNA isolation and reverse transcription

Total RNA extraction was done using Trizol® (Invitrogen) on ground frozen tissues according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was quantified spectrophotometrically at 260 nm. One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed using the first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Amersham Pharmacia) with random hexamers at 37°C for 1 h.

Poly(A)⁺ mRNAs were obtained using the Dynabeads mRNA direct kit (Dynal) following the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, 200 mg of ground frozen tissue was lysed using 1.5 ml of lysis/binding buffer. mRNA isolation was performed on centrifuged crude lysate using 500 μl of Dynabeads oligo (dT)25. cDNA synthesis was performed directly on the beads using oligo (dT)25 primers. Reverse transcription was performed for 1 h at 37°C using 500 U M-MLV reverse transcriptase in 10 mM DTT, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 75 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen). The first-strand cDNA covalently linked to the beads was used for PCR amplification or 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE).

cDNA sequencing

To identify the 5’ end of AtBRCA1, 5’ RACE was done using poly(A)⁺ RNA as template and either poly(T) or a specific primer in the 3.5 kb predicted sequence for reverse transcription. A string of deoxyguanosine was added at the 3’ end of the cDNA by a DNA terminal transferase (10). PCR was done using (GA)₁₂-GCTCACTAGT(C)₁₄ as forward primer and AtBiq-R as reverse primer. PCR products were sequenced as described below.

Gene structure prediction allowed us to design oligonucleotides corresponding to the putative cDNA sequence. Amplification was performed in 25 μl containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 0.001% gelatin, 400 nM primers (MWG-biotech), 200 μM dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 U Taq polymerase (Sigma) and 5 ng of cDNA at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified on Qiaquick columns following the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). Sequence reactions were done with the BigDye terminator kit (Applied Biosystems) on 30 ng of PCR product and analyzed on an ABI Prism 310 (Applied Biosystems).

Northern blot analysis

Poly(A)⁺ mRNA of cultured cells (5 μg/lane) were separated on gel under denaturing conditions and transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond N+, Amersham). Gel was stained with ethidium bromide to ensure that equal amounts had been loaded. Hybridization was performed overnight at 50°C with digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probes in buffer provided by the manufacturer (Roche). Labeling of probe (400 nt) was performed using the PCR DIG labeling kit (Roche) with two
primers in the BRCA1 coding sequence (GAGAGATT-CGAGGTGCTCCA and GGATGCTCATCCAAACGAAT). Washing and detection were done according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Filters were exposed for 1 h to chemiluminescent detection film (Amersham).

**PCR amplification of cDNA coding sequence**

Amplification of cDNA coding sequence was obtained by PCR in 25 μl of buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM (NH4)2SO4, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 400 nM primers (AtB1-5′-F ATCGAAAATGGCGGACACTA, AtB1-5′-R CAATTC-TCCATAATCCTCATAACAA), 200 μM dNTP, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.5 U Pfu Turbo polymerase (Stratagene) and 1 μl of cDNA beads at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 3 min 30 s and 10 min at 72°C.

**Real-time quantitative PCR**

Quantification of transcript abundance was done by real-time PCR which took advantage of the affinity of SYBR Green (Molecular Probes) for double-stranded DNA. The measure of the fluorescence emitted by SYBR Green associated with PCR products is directly proportional to the number of amplicons produced during each cycle. The cycle threshold (Ct) is the number of cycles needed to reach the fluorescence detection threshold and depends on the number of DNA templates at the start of the PCR. 18S ribosomal RNA used as a reference gene provided a control for PCR quantification. Quantifications were done using the comparative Ct method. The ΔCt, which is the subtraction of the average 18S Ct value from the average Ct value of the target gene, is sample-specific and can be compared to the ΔCt of a calibration sample (for example the unirradiated sample). The amount of target, determined by normalization to the endogenous reference (18S) and relative to the calibrator, is 2^(-ΔΔCt) with ΔΔCt being the subtraction of the calibrator ΔCt from the ΔCt of the sample, assuming that the efficiency of both PCRs is close to one (53). Primers were used AtB1q-F CCATGTATTTTGGCAATGCCTG, AtB1q-R TGTTGAGACCTCGAATCTCT, AtRAD51q-F CGAGG-AAGGATCTCCTTGCG, ArRAD51-R GCAGATGTGAAC-CCCAGAGG, 18Sq-F CGGCTACCACATCCAGGAA and 18Sq-R GCTGGAATTACCGCGGCT. Amplification length was near 100 bp. Amplifications were done in triplicate and performed in 25 μl containing 12.5 μl of 2× platinum quantitative supermix-UDG (Invitrogen), 200 nM primers, 0.2× SYBR Green and 5 ng of cDNA (50°C, 2 min for uracil-N-glycosylase activation; 95°C for 10 min and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s; 60°C for 1 min).

**RESULTS**

**Characterization and sequence of AtBRCA1 cDNA**

BRCA1 orthologs have been defined by the presence of one RING and two BRCT domains, respectively, at the N- and C-termini (12–16). We looked for the Arabidopsis putative ortholog by first considering the typical hBRCA1 structural organization of these three domains. The human BRCA1 protein sequence matched on Arabidopsis chromosome 4 at the At4g21070 predicted locus, which encoded a putative protein fragment of 1495 amino acids with strong similarities at the N- and C-termini.

The gene structure of At4g21070 was determined with three gene structure prediction software packages (Softberry, GenScan, Grail). Two different types of results were given. One type predicted a single mRNA of >5.2 kb which encoded a protein with three PPR motifs, one RING domain and two BRCT domains. The other one predicted two distinct mRNA of 1.7 and 3.5 kb, respectively. The first was intron-less and encoded a protein containing a PPR domain which is never present in BRCA1 orthologs. The second has a predicted TATA box located 310 bp before the initiation codon and was made of 14 exons followed by a 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of 610 bp. The corresponding predicted protein has a RING and two BRCT domains at the N- and C-termini, respectively, the typical organization of the BRCA1 orthologs. To resolve this ambiguity in intron–exon prediction, we postulated the presence of two genes given by Softberry prediction software and performed northern blotting and 5′ RACE to characterize the structural organization of the At4g21070 locus. Northern hybridization of poly(A)^+ mRNAs from cells with a probe corresponding to a part of the predicted 3.5 kb mRNA revealed a single band for a transcript of an overall size of 3.5 kb (Fig. 1A). The absence of signal in non-irradiated cells indicates a quite low abundance of the transcript in control conditions. Determination of 5′ UTR of the 3.5 kb transcript by 5′ RACE using either poly(T) or a specific primer for reverse transcription gave in each case a sequence of 50 bp before the translation initiation codon. We sequenced the cDNA of the BRCA1 domain-containing sequence of 3.5 kb that we named the putative AtBRCA1 gene. The AtBRCA1 mRNA is composed of 14 exons with a 2826 bp coding sequence (Table 1) located downstream of a 5′ UTR of 50 bp and upstream of a predicted 3′ UTR of 650 bp. It gave a mRNA length of 3.5 kb in accordance with the northern hybridization result (Fig. 1A). The corresponding AtBRCA1 genomic sequence from the TATA box to the polyadenylation signal is then 5.5 kb long. PCR amplification of the full-length coding sequence (Fig. 1B) gave a unique band as in northern blot analysis. This indicated the absence of splicing variants in the studied mRNA population. These results gave evidence of a wrong electronic annotation in this genomic area and confirmed the presence of two genes at the At4g21070 genomic locus. At this stage, they indicated that only one putative ortholog of BRCA1 was present in the Arabidopsis genome.

**AtBRCA1: protein motifs and homologies with BRCA1 orthologs**

The putative AtBRCA1 cDNA encoded a protein of 941 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 104 kDa. Analysis of domains confirmed the presence of one RING domain between amino acids 16 and 53 (E-value 9.74e-08) and two BRCT domains between amino acids 727 and 809, and 842 and 935 (E-values 2.91e-15 and 6.95e-13, respectively) (see Supplementary Material, Figure S1A and B). Alignment of AtBRCA1 and hBRCA1 showed 34% identity–61% similarity in the RING domain, and 28% identity–61% similarity in the BRCT region (see Figure S1C and D). In the SMART database, four protein sequences contained both RING and BRCT domains in the Arabidopsis proteome. One of them
carried a single BRCT domain in N-terminal and one RING in its central part. The wrong number and location of the domains does not correspond to the organization of BRCA1 orthologs and this candidate gene was discarded in our study. Among the three other sequences predicted from three sequencing projects of the At4g21070 locus only one (ID no. Q8RXD4) corresponded to the protein translated from the putative AtBRCA1 cDNA we have sequenced. Thus, only one protein in the Arabidopsis genome, at the At4g21070 locus, carried a RING and two BRCT domains located at the N- and C-termini, respectively, as with other BRCA1 orthologs. We did not find Arabidopsis sequences containing specific ankyrin repeats together with RING and BRCT domains (54–56), characteristic of BARD1 orthologs. Altogether, these data showed that only one protein in the Arabidopsis genome carries the typical domain organization of BRCA1 orthologs.

Alignment of BRCA1 orthologs using Clustal W (57) confirmed the preceding results but also revealed another homology region between amino acids 134 and 159 of AtBRCA1 and amino acids 181 and 206 for hBRCA1 (30% identity–42% similarity) (Fig. 2A), which correspond to the p300/CBP interacting site. On the overall sequence, identity between AtBRCA1 and hBRCA1 was 15.7 and 21% with the splicing variant hBRCA1Δ11. AtBRCA1 lacks the major part of the region between RING and BRCT domains, corresponding to exon 11 in hBRCA1, giving a coding region twice as short as other orthologs. In order to see if this shorter length could be plant-specific, we looked for a putative BRCA1 ortholog in the recently sequenced rice (O.sativa) genome. Only one candidate gene encoding a predicted protein (Scaffold6799_3, see Materials and Methods) of 968 amino acids contained a RING and two BRCT domains at the N- and C-termini, respectively, and was also twice as short as hBRCA1. Alignment of AtBRCA1 with this putative OsBRCA1 showed 35% identity–49% similarity on the overall sequence, 71% identity–86% similarity in the RING domain and 46% identity–60% similarity between amino acids 560 and 941 of AtBRCA1 (Fig. 2A). Phylogenetic analysis of these plant BRCA1 orthologs using PHYlogeny Inference Package with kitch-Fitch-Margoliash and least squares methods with evolutionary clock (58) clearly showed the distribution of sequences between vertebrates versus plants and in vertebrates, mammalian versus non-mammalian (Fig. 2B).

With all these elements, we proposed that the 3.5 kb mRNA, which is transcribed at the locus At4g21070, is the Arabidopsis ortholog of BRCA1, and suggested that plant orthologs might always be twice as short as vertebrate ones.

Expression of AtBRCA1 mRNA in plant organs and after gamma irradiation

First, AtBRCA1 mRNAs were quantified in whole plantlets after gamma irradiation with doses ranging from 1 to 300 Gy (Fig. 3A). Real-time quantitative PCR was used due to the hard detection conditions by northern analysis without genotoxic stress. A maximal induction of 140 times was observed for a dose close to 100 Gy, but a more than 20-fold induction was already reached at the low doses of 1–3 Gy. Expression levels of transcripts in plantlet leaves versus roots were hardly different within the control tissues (Table 2A) but were both enhanced 160-fold by IR. In adult flowering plants, a gradient of expression of AtBRCA1 transcript was observed from the bottom to the top of the control plants, with the highest value in flower buds, being 10 times higher than in rosette leaves (Table 2B). As in plantlets, AtBRCA1 was strongly induced in all tissues after IR and expression levels reached the same maximal value whatever the basal levels, except for cauline leaves. We can underline the highest value in flower buds, being 10 times higher than in rosette leaves. In siliques, the relative proportion of 18S rRNA levels was too low compared to other tissues to allow a meaningful comparison between the tissues. Even the siliques presumably showed a reduced translational activity compared to the highly dividing tissues, the induction of AtBRCA1 was still more than 10 times (Table 2C).

In human cell cultures, hBRCA1 has been found to interact with the DSB repair protein hRAD51. So, we looked for the

### Table 1. Structure organization of AtBRCA1 mRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position on the mRNA</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5’ UTR</td>
<td>–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>1–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 2</td>
<td>84–137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 3</td>
<td>138–208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 4</td>
<td>209–316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 5</td>
<td>317–777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 6</td>
<td>778–888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>889–1749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 8</td>
<td>1750–1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 9</td>
<td>1851–2037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 10</td>
<td>2038–2135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 11</td>
<td>2136–2262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 12</td>
<td>2263–2428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 13</td>
<td>2429–2547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 14</td>
<td>2548–2854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted 3’ UTR</td>
<td>2855–3474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall size</td>
<td>3.5 kb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Analysis of AtBRCA1 expression. (A) Northern blot analysis of AtBRCA1 in control (C) and 25 Gy irradiated (IR) Arabidopsis cells. (B) Gel electrophoresis of AtBRCA1 coding sequence obtained by PCR amplification using cDNA population from cells.
co-induction of the *Arabidopsis* transcripts of these two genes after IR in cell suspensions and in plant organs. Basal levels of *AtRAD51* and *AtBRCA1* mRNA were 10-fold higher in exponentially growing cell cultures than in entire plants (data not shown). Interestingly, transcripts were co-induced in the same relative proportion in *Arabidopsis* cell cultures as well as in entire plantlets (Fig. 3B). The fold induction value of *AtRAD51* mRNA was between 10 and 80 times and was, in all cases, 50–70% of the *AtBRCA1* induction factor. But since *AtRAD51* was expressed twice more than *AtBRCA1* in both models without IR (data not shown), the same final stoichiometry was finally reached. Taken together, these results demonstrated the ubiquity of *AtBRCA1* transcripts in all *Arabidopsis* tissues and its strong transcriptional induction after DNA damage by IR in the same way as *AtRAD51*.

**DISCUSSION**

Identification of *AtBRCA1* was done by similarity search and the gene predicted in databases differed from that experimentally isolated. Errors in some exon/intron boundaries were found and some programs merged the sequence of the *AtBRCA1* gene with a second gene. During this study, this fusion gene, which does not exist, containing three PPR motifs, one RING and two BRCT domains, was given as a potential *Arabidopsis BRCA1/BARD1* ortholog in an article on *BRCA1* and *BARD1* identification in the *Xenopus laevis* genome (15). Thus, we have characterized the *Arabidopsis* ortholog of *BRCA1*, which was located on chromosome 4 and gave a 3.5 kb transcript that encoded a 941 amino acid protein (104 kDa) with one N-terminal RING and two C-terminal BRCT domains as hBRCA1. In the RIKEN *Arabidopsis* full-length complementary (RAFL) cDNA collection (59), we have found the clone corresponding to *AtBRCA1* cDNA sequence (RAFL09-61-E20), which confirmed our results. This showed the difficulty of precise gene prediction, and also that orthologs can still be identified between distantly related species. To date, *AtBRCA1* is the first non-vertebrate *BRCA1* ortholog.

The known functions of hBRCA1 are nearly all linked to RING and BRCT domains with an E3 ubiquitin autoligase activity and interaction with several proteins in the RING domain, while the BRCT domains are involved in transcriptional transactivation and are also required for interaction with several proteins. This BRCT domain is a signature of proteins involved in DNA repair and is present in numerous species, including vertebrates, yeast and plants, suggesting a conserved role for this domain. As shown by Joukov et al. (15), the *Xenopus* structural ortholog of BRCA1 was also reported as a functional ortholog. So, conserved domains strongly indicated that this structural organization is the signature of BRCA1 orthologs, and are linked to conserved activities. In addition to these two kinds of functional domains, AtBRCA1 shared an additional similarity between amino acids 181 and 206 of
hBRCA1, a region of interacting domains with c-myc (60) and p300/CBP (61), proteins which are endowed with acetyltransferase activity and interact with transcription factors. Since such orthologs have been described in Arabidopsis (62), it may be possible that these two Arabidopsis proteins also interact.

To date, with 941 and 968 amino acids, respectively, AtBRCA1 and OsBRCA1 are the shortest members of the BRCA1 family, except the alternative splicing variant hBRCA1D11, which still contains the two kinds of functional domains and has a molecular weight of 110 kDa. Analysis of other orthologs showed that sequence conservation is particularly important in the RING and BRCT domains but less significant between them. This may suggest that the BRCA1 central region is species-specific. We also notice the reduced length in the predicted sequences of the Arabidopsis and rice putative orthologs of BRCA2 (63), an interacting partner of hBRCA1 and hRAD51 in human cells. If we looked at orthologs of other genes implicated in DNA repair between Arabidopsis and human, some of them are different in length and/or in structural composition. For instance, in AtLig IV (1219 amino acids), there is only one BRCT domain and two in hLig IV (911 amino acids). AtATM (3856 amino acids) is

Table 2. Relative expression of AtBRCA1 mRNA in control Arabidopsis tissues and 1 h after 100 Gy irradiation (IR) determined by real-time quantitative PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissues</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Leaves</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>163.14 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.02</td>
<td>166.53 ± 32.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Flower buds</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>92.67 ± 18.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauline leaves</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>40.21 ± 4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01</td>
<td>105.69 ± 10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosette leaves</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>99.26 ± 8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Siliques</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>16.33 ± 3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All results were normalized using the comparative C\textsubscript{t} method (A) to the leaves control sample value, (B) to the flower bud control sample value and (C) to the siliques control sample value. Each quantification was done on two independent RNA extractions and reverse transcription reactions.
longer than human ortholog (3056 amino acids) and presents additional domains, while AtRAD51 is closely related to the human ortholog which shares the same domains and length (342 versus 339 amino acids for hRAD51). So, there are slight differences between Arabidopsis and human orthologs, but domains linked to protein activities are always conserved within the same structural organization.

AtBRCA1 is expressed ubiquitously but seemed to be elevated in proliferating tissues like in flower buds and in cell cultures. This may be linked to a higher rate of division and suggests a similar role in cell-cycle control of AtBRCA1 as shown for hBRCA1. AtBRCA1 mRNA levels are highly increased after gamma irradiation; this could be due to an enhanced transcription and/or a higher mRNA stability. We observed maximal induction near 100 Gy, a non-lethal dose generally used to screen mutants hypersensitive to gamma irradiation, but was also significantly up-regulated at doses between 1 and 20 Gy. Then, this increase seems to be dose-related from 1 to 100 Gy suggesting a DNA lesion amount dependency. Basal AtBRCA1 expression in different plant tissues varied, but was qualitatively the same after gamma irradiation, showing a higher induction factor in tissues with low basal levels and a plateau response level of AtBRCA1 mRNA. More strikingly, a lower induction observed in cell suspensions compared to plantlets whatever the irradiation dose, may be linked to a higher basal mRNA level. This could be related to homogeneity of cultured cells growing in exponential phase compared to heterogeneity of plantlets, which are a mosaic of meristems and differentiated tissues.

The strong correlation between fold induction and dose suggests a highly sensitive and precise regulation system linked to DNA repair and/or to cell cycle control, which is consistent with the role of hBRCA1. However, there are some discrepancies in the regulation of mRNA levels after DNA damage among species. Indeed, hBRCA1 mRNA is induced by irradiation but much less than AtBRCA1 (64), and hRAD51 is not induced after IR, whereas levels of AtRAD51 mRNA were found to be increased by irradiation, confirming a previous study (11). In our study, fold induction of both genes seemed to be correlated whatever the tissues or irradiation doses, suggesting a common regulation of transcript amount.

Considering molecular organization, transcript behaviour after IR of AtBRCA1 and the large conservation of DNA repair functions and associated proteins among eukaryotes strongly argue in favor of the fact that AtBRCA1 is indeed the ortholog of hBRCA1. The presence of RING, BRCT and p300/CBP interacting domains in AtBRCA1 may guide us in determining the function of this plant ortholog, using our knowledge of the human gene. As several partners of hBRCA1 are present in Arabidopsis, including AtRAD51, AtATM, AtBRCA2 and p300/CBP, there may be conserved functional interactions between them. But we have also to find out its functions specific to plant physiology. For instance, absence of Arabidopsis orthologs of genes such as BARD1 or p53, which are key elements in the DNA damage response of human cells and partners of hBRCA1, may help in answering the question of species specificity of conserved functions.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary Material is available at NAR Online.
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