Inhibition of human papilloma virus E2 DNA binding protein by covalently linked polyamides
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ABSTRACT

Polyamides are a class of heterocyclic small molecules with the potential of controlling gene expression by binding to the minor groove of DNA in a sequence-specific manner. To evaluate the feasibility of this class of compounds as antiviral therapeutics, molecules were designed to essential sequence elements occurring numerous times in the HPV genome. This sequence element is bound by a virus-encoded transcription and replication factor E2, which binds to a 12 bp recognition site as a homodimeric protein. Here, we take advantage of polyamide:DNA and E2:DNA co-crystal structural information and advances in polyamide synthetic chemistry to design tandem hairpin polyamides that are capable of displacing the major groove-binding E2 homodimer from its DNA binding site. The binding of tandem hairpin polyamides and the E2 DNA binding protein to the DNA site is mutually exclusive even though the two ligands occupy opposite faces of the DNA double helix. We show with circular permutation studies that the tandem hairpin polyamide prevents the intrinsic bending of the E2 DNA site important for binding of the protein. Taken together, these results illustrate the feasibility of inhibiting the binding of homodimeric, major groove-binding transcription factors by altering the local DNA geometry using minor groove-binding tandem hairpin polyamides.

INTRODUCTION

Human papilloma viruses (HPV) belong to a large family of double-stranded DNA viruses that can induce benign epithelial or fibroepithelial papillomas (warts) of the skin. To date, over 70 subtypes of HPV have been described. Only a small subgroup of these viruses, the ‘high risk genital papillomas’ (namely HPV-16, HPV-18, HPV-31 and HPV-33), have been found to be associated with squamous cell carcinomas of the cervix and anogenital tumors (1–5). HPV can infect the basal layer of the squamous epithelial cells even though viral replication is restricted to the terminally differentiated keratinocytes (for a review see 6). In malignant tumors, these viruses overexpress two virus-encoded proteins, E6 and E7, which bind to and inactivate the host tumor suppressor proteins p53 and the retinoblastoma gene product Rb, respectively (7–14). These observations strongly suggest that the E6/E7 gene products participate directly in the oncogenic progression in these cancers (for reviews see 10,12,14,15).

All HPV subtypes contain a highly conserved region called the long control region (LCR) encoding the DNA elements responsible for both replication of the viral genome and regulated transcription of the viral gene products. In HPV-18 expression of the E6/E7 genes is tightly regulated by the upstream promoter P105 embedded within the LCR. The P105 promoter activity is regulated by the virus-encoded transcription factor E2 and a complex of cellular host proteins in response to tissue-specific and physiological signals. HPV-18 E2 regulates transcription by binding specifically to four conserved sites in the LCR termed BS4, BS3, BS2 and BS1 (16–18). Interestingly, E2 functions both as an activator and repressor of transcription depending on the cellular context and the particular sites occupied within the promoter. Depending on the cellular context, E2 binding to the promoter-distal sites (BS4 and BS3) activates transcription, whereas binding to the promoter-proximal sites (BS2 and BS1) results in repression of transcription (17–19). The BS2 and BS1 cis elements overlap binding sites for the general transcription factors Sp1 and TBP, respectively. The trans-repression effects mediated by the E2 gene product are thought to occur by disrupting preinitiation complex formation via displacement of Sp1 and TBP from their proximal
promoter elements within the viral LCR (20). In contrast, E2-dependent trans-activation involves interaction with the co-activator protein CBP and the potential involvement of chromatin remodeling activities (21).

In addition to its role in regulating transcription, E2 is also essential for viral replication. In this role, E2 functions by interacting with and recruiting the virally encoded DNA helicase E1 (22) to an adjacent AT-rich site in the LCR. E2 appears to stimulate replication by recruiting host replication factors to the origin such as the host single-strand DNA binding protein, replication protein A (23–27). Replication factor A can bind to acidic trans-activation domains similar to those found in E2 (28). Recently, E2 has also been shown to mediate the assembly of the pre-initiation replication complex at the origin, but it does not play a direct role in the replication activity per se (23). Thus, any approach that inhibits the binding of HPV-18 E2 to its consensus binding site could potentially affect both transcription and replication of the virus. This approach could provide a novel and unique venue for therapeutic intervention against HPV infection.

The E2 gene product derived from all known HPV strains binds to a consensus site ACCGNNcGGTG (lower case letters are preferred but not required) as a homodimer and makes contacts exclusively in the major groove of the DNA helix. The E2-DBD (DNA binding domain) represents a novel structural class of DNA binding proteins. It forms a dimeric b-barrel with each subunit contributing an antiparallel four-stranded b-sheet (29). Upon binding to its site, E2 bends the DNA by ~43–51° towards the body of the protein leading to the compression and narrowing of the minor groove to 8.5 Å (30–32). The central N4 nucleotides are not conserved in sequence but tend to be A/T-rich in base composition. In particular, E2 binding sites within the genomes of high-risk HPVs contain A/T sequences predisposed to inherent DNA deformation. A large body of crystal structure data of E2-DNA binding domains derived from several HPV strains and its cognate binding site in both the bound and unbound form suggests that the sequence-dependent deformation of the DNA is crucial for E2-DNA binding specificity (29,30,32,33).

In this report, we demonstrate that E2-DNA binding activity can be specifically disrupted by small synthetic pyrrole–imidazole polyamides. Polyamides are synthetic ligands that bind in the minor groove of DNA with affinities and specificities comparable to those of DNA binding proteins (34) and can therefore potentially serve as promoter- and transcription factor-specific inhibitors of gene expression (35). Polyamides can be rationally designed to bind to almost any predetermined DNA sequence using the ‘Dervan pairing rules’. Hairpin-shaped polyamides that contain the aromatic rings N-methylimidazole (Im) and N-methylpyrrole (Py) can bind in the minor groove in a side-by-side, antiparallel fashion to specifically distinguish G-C (Im/Py) from C-G (Py/Im) base pairs. Py/Py pairs are partially degenerate and bind both A-T and T-A pairs (for reviews on the pairing rules, see 36,37). A consecutive run of more than five aromatic rings is overwound relative to the curvature and helical phasing of the DNA helix. To compensate for the overwinding a b-alanine unit is inserted to alleviate the strain in polyamides with longer than five consecutive aromatic residues. Moreover, b-alanine (b) has proven to be a conformationally flexible functional analog of a pyrrole carboxamide unit (38). A b/Py pair can replace a Py/Py pair and allow for recognition of longer DNA sequences while maintaining the degenerate specificity for A-T or T-A base pairs. Using the pairing rules, polyamides designed to interfere with TFIIA binding to its promoter-response element were shown to be potent and specific inhibitors of 5S RNA gene transcription (35). Such designed polyamides have also been shown to specifically inhibit the transcription of human immunodeficiency virus type I LTR within the genome of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (39). Recently, polyamides have been used as small molecule transcription activators in vitro by covalently tethering a small peptide activation domain to the C-terminus of a hairpin polyamide (40,41). In addition to targeting specific transcription factor binding sites, polyamides have also been used to indirectly activate and repress particular genes by targeting large blocks of repetitive DNA sequences found in chromosome satellite regions in the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Both cytological (42) and genetic (43) evidence suggests that polyamides mediate opening of heterochromatin resulting in specific phenotypic changes reminiscent of well characterized D.melanogaster homeotic mutants (for a review see 44).

In all examples reported, hairpin polyamides have been employed to displace transcription factors or DNA binding proteins that make essential minor groove contacts with the DNA such as TFIIA, TBP, LEF-1, ets-related factor (ESX) and deadpan (35,39,45). In contrast, displacement of GCN4, which makes exclusively major groove contacts, has been accomplished only by the attachment of positively charged residues (Arg-Pro-Arg) at the tail end of polyamides which can compete with the protein for a shared phosphate backbone contact (46). Here, we demonstrate the inhibition of DNA binding by HPV-18 E2 protein, an exclusively major groove-binding protein, by a DNA minor groove-binding tandem hairpin polyamide. Using structural information derived from published X-ray co-crystal structures of the E2–DNA complex (47), we have designed linker moieties that transverse into the minor groove at the dimerization interface of the homodimer. This bound ligand prevents compression of the minor groove necessary for E2 binding and thus destabilizes the E2–DNA complex. The success of this approach opens the possibility of interfering with other major groove interacting proteins by the rational design of polyamides that can alter and/or prevent the alteration of local DNA geometry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis of polyamides

Polyamides were synthesized linearly via semi-automated solid phase synthesis using Argonaut Quest technology and well established synthesis and purification protocols (42,48, 49). FMOC protected 8-amino-3,6-dioxaoctanoic acid linkage was purchased from Nova. Deprotection and functionalization from the DABA amino turn was carried out as previously described (42) followed by standard solid phase peptide synthesis couplings.

Oligonucleotides

Footprinting sense, 5′-AGCTTGAACCCGATTTTCCGTTG-CCCAAACCCGATTTCGGTGGA-3′; footprinting antisense, 5′-AGCTTGGCAACCCGAAATCGTTCGGCGCAAC-
CGAAATCGGGTTGCA-3'; BS4 gel shift sense, 5'-GTGCAACCCGATTTGGCTGCT-3'; BS4 gel shift antisense, 5'-AGGCAACCGAAGCAGCTGAC-3'; BS4-Bend-BS, 5'-CTAATGGCAACCCGATTTGGCTGCT-3'; BS4-Bend-BS, 5'-TCGAGCAACCCGAAATCGGTTGCA-3'; E2dbdNdeI, 5'-GACGCCATATGCTCTGTAATGGTAACACTGCCC-3'; E2dbdBamHI, 5'-GATC GGATCCCTTACATTTGTATGAT-CCACC-3'.

Plasmid constructs

Plasmid 6XBS4-pBLCAT2, containing six multimerized HPV-18 E2 binding sites was constructed as follows. Two complementary oligonucleotides (footprinting sense and antisense), encoding two BS4 sites each, were annealed and ligated using T4 ligase. The resulting concatamers of the appropriate size were gel isolated and subcloned into the HindIII site of pBluescriptII. Transformants were screened by sequencing transformants for clones that encoded three inserts in the same orientation. The resulting concatameric insert has the sequence 5'-(AAGGCTGCAGGATTTGGCTGCTGCGCAACCCGATTTGGCTGCTG)3-3' with the E2 BS4 site in bold type. Plasmid E2dbd-pET15b was constructed as follows. The DNA binding domain of HPV-18 E2 (amino acids 1–137) was amplified with primers E2dbdNdeI and E2dbdBamHI from plasmid pHVPV18-E2 (Michael Botchan, University of California–Berkeley) by PCR and subcloned into the NdeI and BamHI sites of plasmid pET15b (Novagen). Clones were verified by DNA sequencing. Plasmid pBS4-Bend5 was constructed by cloning annealed oligonucleotides BS4-Bend-BS and BS4-Bend-BS into the XbaI and SalI sites of plasmid pBend5 (ATCC).

Protein purification

The E2dbd-pET15b transformed BL21(DE3)plysS cells (Invitrogen) were used for the induction and overexpression of the 6× His-tagged E2dbd protein. Proteins were purified from a 250 ml culture using Ni-NTA resin using protocols and buffers recommended by the manufacturer (Qiagen) for the batch purification of native proteins from Escherichia coli. Following elution from the resin, the protein was dialyzed against buffer D (50 mM Tris±HCl, 1 M NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, pH 8.0) using 5 kDa molecular weight cut-off dialysis tubing (Spectra). The specific activity of E2dbd was determined by EMSA using a stoichiometric binding analysis with an excess (100 nM) of double-stranded oligonucleotide containing a single BS4 site (50). The protein preparation was determined to be 60% active. The equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) for E2dbd was also determined to be 4.5 nM using EMSA with a limiting concentration (50 pM) of the same oligonucleotide duplex.

Quantitative DNase I footprinting titration experiments

DNaseI footprinting was performed as described by Trauger and Dervan (51). Reactions were performed with a 32P 5'-end-labeled 250 bp restriction fragment (10 pM) derived from plasmid 6XBS4-pBluescriptII, in a final volume of 400 μl in EMSA buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM CaCl2, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml BSA and 0.01% NP-40). Polyamide stock solution was added to this assay mixture and allowed to equilibrate at 22°C for 16 h. For reactions containing E2dbd, 10 μl of protein sample was added at increasing concentration and allowed to incubate for an additional hour. Footprinting reactions were initiated by the addition of 10 μl of DNase I (0.03 U final concentration) containing 1 mM DTT and allowed to proceed for 7 min at 22°C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 50 μl of a stop solution (1.25 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 0.2 mg/ml glycogen and 28 μM base pair calf thymus DNA) and ethanol precipitated. Reactions were resuspended in 98% formamide, 10 mM EDTA loading buffer, denatured by heating at 85°C for 10 min, and placed on ice. The reaction products were separated by electrophoresis on an 8% polyacrylamide–7 M urea gel. Fixed and dried gels were exposed to the Molecular Dynamics phosphorimager plate and data analysis was performed using the equipped ImageQuant software.

Gel mobility shift assays

Complementary oligonucleotides containing the BS4 binding site were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies) for use as double-stranded probes in electrophoretic mobility shift assays. Equimolar amounts (10 pmol) of two complementary oligonucleotides were annealed and end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. After labeling, unincorporated nucleotides were removed using the QiaQuick nucleotide removal kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Mobility shift assays were performed in 20 μl reaction volumes consisting of 50 pM labeled oligonucleotides in EMSA buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM CaCl2, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml BSA and 0.01% NP-40). Polyamide stock solutions were serially diluted in DMSO and added to the reaction mixture and allowed to equilibrate at 37°C for 2 h. E2dbd (10 μg/ml) was diluted 1:4 in EMSA buffer immediately before adding 1.0 μl to the samples (final concentration of E2dbd ~10 nM). The samples were further incubated at 37°C for 90 min. The order of addition of polyamide and E2dbd was reversed for the experiment shown in Figure 3B. The bound and free DNA forms were resolved on non-denaturing polyacrylamide±7 M urea gel. Fixed and dried gels were exposed to the Molecular Dynamics phosphorimager plate and data analysis was performed using the equipped ImageQuant software.

Circular permutation assay

Double-stranded oligonucleotides containing the BS4 site were synthesized (IDT), annealed and ligated into the XbaI–SalI site of pBend5 (ATCC) to generate plasmid pBS4-Bend5. Orientation of the insert was verified by DNA sequencing. Isomeric DNA fragments containing the BS4 site at various positions in the fragment were generated by digesting pBS4-Bend5 with MluI, NheI, SpeI, PsiI, XhoI, EcoRV, NruI, Rsal and BamHI restriction endonucleases. DNA fragments were purified by agarose gel electrophoresis and recovered with the QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA fragments were 5'-end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. After labeling, unincorporated nucleotides were removed using the QiaQuick nucleotide removal kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The eluted samples were applied to 10% polyacrylamide gels and electrophoresed at 22°C and 17 V/cm for 6 h. The bend angle α was calculated using the equation,
\[ \mu_M/\mu_E = \cos(\alpha/2), \]
where \( \mu_M \) and \( \mu_E \) represent the mobility of the protein–DNA complex with the bend at the middle and at the end of the DNA fragment, respectively (52).

**RESULTS**

Tandem hairpin polyamides efficiently displace E2 compared to the single hairpin polyamides

In order to examine the ability of tandem linked or single hairpin polyamides to displace E2, we designed molecules targeted to the E2 binding site BS4 (Fig. 1). The pseudo-symmetry of this site presents a unique advantage in the ability to design polyamides that could bind in either forward or reverse orientation. We designed two single hairpin polyamides: PA2 to the 5' half-site and PA3 to the 3' half-site of BS4. A tandem hairpin polyamide, PA1, was synthesized by linking the two hairpins with a diethylene linker (42,53). This strategy of linking two hairpin designs by a flexible linker was previously shown to provide enhanced binding affinity and binding specificity to DNA (49,53). The DNA binding affinities of these tandem hairpin molecules designed for the DNA E2 binding site were measured by quantitative DNase I footprinting assay (Fig. 2). In addition, they were assayed for an ability to displace E2 from its DNA binding site as determined by both electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) and quantitative DNase I footprinting (Fig. 4).

Quantitative DNase I footprinting experiments were performed by incubating individual polyamides with a radio-labeled DNA probe encoding six identical copies of the HPV-18 E2 binding site 4 (BS4) (18). Lane 1 contained no polyamide. The DNA was incubated with increasing concentrations of tandem hairpin PA1: 0.01 (lane 2), 0.1 (lane 3), 1 (lane 4), 10 (lane 5) and 100 nM (lane 6). Concentrations of polyamides PA3 and PA2 surveyed were 0.1 (lanes 7 and 12), 1 (lanes 8 and 13), 10 (lanes 9 and 14), 100 (lanes 10 and 15) and 1000 nM (lanes 11 and 16). Note that the concentration ranges tested for the tandem hairpin and single hairpins differ by an order of magnitude. Vertical bars on the right of the figures represent the six tandem BS4 sites.
observations suggest that linking hairpin polyamides can lead to a dramatic enhancement in DNA binding affinity.

EMSA experiments were conducted to test the ability of these three polyamides to displace E2 (Figs 3 and 4A). For these experiments, we generated a derivative of the E2 protein, E2dbd, which encodes both its DNA binding and dimerization domains (see Materials and Methods). The measured dissociation constant ($K_d = 4.5 \text{ nM}$) of E2dbd is similar to the previously reported $K_d$ for this protein. These experiments were performed under equilibrium conditions as evidenced by the observation that the ability of PA1 to displace E2 from the BS4 site is independent of whether PA1 is added to the DNA prior to or after the addition of protein (Fig. 3). The tandem hairpin PA1 displaced E2 at a concentration of $\sim 2 \text{ nM}$, the same concentration range as PA1's measured DNA binding affinity ($K_d = 1 \text{ nM}$). In sharp contrast, the single hairpin PA3 is unable to displace E2 even at high concentrations (10 $\mu$M) (Fig. 4A, lanes 11–18) despite an apparent binding affinity of 100 nM. The single hairpin polyamide PA2 displaces E2 at $\sim 2 \mu$M, similar to its binding affinity ($K_d = 1 \mu$M) (Fig. 4A, lanes 19–26). The equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_d$) and equilibrium inhibition constant ($K_i$) of each polyamide are summarized in Table 1. These data suggest that the tandem hairpin polyamide motif is more efficient at displacing E2 than either of the single hairpin polyamides.

To directly demonstrate that PA1 displaces E2 by mutual competition for the same site, we performed a DNase I footprinting assay using a DNA probe containing six identical copies of BS4. Although the footprinting patterns of both PA1 and E2dbd are very similar, they each produce a unique identifiable DNase I hypersensitivity pattern as indicated by arrows in Figure 4B. Strikingly, in the presence of increasing concentrations of PA1, the DNase I hypersensitivity pattern characteristic of E2dbd is replaced by a DNase I hypersensitivity pattern attributable to PA1 (see inset in Fig. 4B comparing lanes 4 and 5). The inhibition of E2 binding by PA1 was confirmed in parallel samples by EMSA demonstrating the same polyamide concentration dependence (data not shown). These data indicate that the binding of tandem hairpin PA1 and E2dbd protein to BS4 is mutually exclusive.

Effect of linker length on inhibition of DNA binding
In addition to increasing the DNA binding affinity of the PA1 molecule, the linker moiety in the tandem hairpin appears to displace E2dbd protein more efficiently. To further address the role of linker moieties, we systemically tested the effectiveness of different linker lengths. We generated analogs of PA1 with different length linkers in the context of single hairpin modules (PA3 and PA2) and tested their ability to displace E2 protein. Tandem hairpin polyamides linked by a tetraethylene linker (PA6) (Fig. 5), hexaethylene linker (PA5) (Fig. 5) and a single ethylene linker (PA4) (data not shown) were synthesized and their ability to displace E2 was characterized using the EMSA. The tetraethylene-linked PA6 was equally as effective as the diethylene-linked PA1 ($K_i = 2 \text{ nM}$). In
The EMSA and DNase I footprinting displacement experiments (Figs 2 and 4) indicate that binding of PA1 and E2 are mutually exclusive. This was an unexpected observation given that PA1 is a minor groove DNA binding ligand whereas E2 is a major groove DNA binding protein. This observation raises the question as to how PA1 inhibits E2-DNA binding activity. Recent biochemical and structural data have indicated that the E2 binding site is intrinsically bent presumably due to the central A/T-tract in the binding site (31,33,47). Computer-assisted algorithms have also been used to model the curvature and step-wise helicoidal parameters of predetermined nucleic acid sequences. Interestingly, using the software Curves developed by Lavery and Sklenar, the minor groove width of BS4 at the central A/T-tract is predicted to be narrowed to 4.5 Å (54–56).

The elimination of the DNA bend by site-directed mutagenesis of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein even though E2 protein does not make any base-specific contacts in this region. It is postulated that the presence of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein to the BS4 site is mutually exclusive. This was an unexpected observation given that PA1 is a minor groove DNA binding ligand whereas E2 is a major groove DNA binding protein. This observation raises the question as to how PA1 inhibits E2-DNA binding activity. Recent biochemical and structural data have indicated that the E2 binding site is intrinsically bent presumably due to the central A/T-tract in the binding site (31,33,47). Computer-assisted algorithms have also been used to model the curvature and step-wise helicoidal parameters of predetermined nucleic acid sequences. Interestingly, using the software Curves developed by Lavery and Sklenar, the minor groove width of BS4 at the central A/T-tract is predicted to be narrowed to 4.5 Å (54–56).

This bend in the DNA is further accentuated by E2 protein binding and bending the DNA towards the body of the protein. The elimination of the DNA bend by site-directed mutagenesis of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein even though E2 protein does not make any base-specific contacts in this region. It is postulated that the presence of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein to the BS4 site is mutually exclusive. This was an unexpected observation given that PA1 is a minor groove DNA binding ligand whereas E2 is a major groove DNA binding protein. This observation raises the question as to how PA1 inhibits E2-DNA binding activity. Recent biochemical and structural data have indicated that the E2 binding site is intrinsically bent presumably due to the central A/T-tract in the binding site (31,33,47). Computer-assisted algorithms have also been used to model the curvature and step-wise helicoidal parameters of predetermined nucleic acid sequences. Interestingly, using the software Curves developed by Lavery and Sklenar, the minor groove width of BS4 at the central A/T-tract is predicted to be narrowed to 4.5 Å (54–56).

The elimination of the DNA bend by site-directed mutagenesis of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein even though E2 protein does not make any base-specific contacts in this region. It is postulated that the presence of the A/T-tract results in lower DNA binding affinity for E2 protein to the BS4 site is mutually exclusive. This was an unexpected observation given that PA1 is a minor groove DNA binding ligand whereas E2 is a major groove DNA binding protein. This observation raises the question as to how PA1 inhibits E2-DNA binding activity. Recent biochemical and structural data have indicated that the E2 binding site is intrinsically bent presumably due to the central A/T-tract in the binding site (31,33,47). Computer-assisted algorithms have also been used to model the curvature and step-wise helicoidal parameters of predetermined nucleic acid sequences. Interestingly, using the software Curves developed by Lavery and Sklenar, the minor groove width of BS4 at the central A/T-tract is predicted to be narrowed to 4.5 Å (54–56).
is capable of unbending the BS4 site. A model for the mechanism of tandem hairpin polyamide-mediated inhibition of binding of the homodimeric transcription factor E2 by unbending the DNA binding site is shown in Figure 7. In addition to the DNA unbending mechanism shown in Figure 7, another possible mechanism of inhibition of E2 binding might be steric inhibition by the linker of the tandem hairpin polyamide at the dimerization interface of the E2 homodimer in the minor groove of the DNA. Distinguishing between these non-mutually exclusive possibilities will likely require structural studies (NMR or X-ray) of the tandem hairpin polyamide–DNA complex.

**DISCUSSION**

Over the last decade, the pioneering work by Peter Dervan and his colleagues has described the design of synthetic polyamides with DNA binding affinities and specificities comparable to that of natural DNA binding transcriptional regulatory proteins. The initial work was borne out of synthesizing analogs of the A/T-selective minor groove-binding ligand distamycin, which consists of three N-methylpyrrole rings. Subsequent efforts have led to the incorporation of novel heterocycles and chemical moieties paired with alternate structural motifs such as hairpins, slipped dimers, cycles, H-pins and tandem linked hairpins (58). With these developments, polyamides have been synthesized to recognize DNA sequences of increasing length and sequence composition.

Single eight-ring hairpin polyamides have been used successfully to inhibit several DNA binding proteins, such as TBP, LEF-1, ets-1, deadpan, TFIIIA, Herpes simplex viral repressor IE-80 and HTLV-1 Tax. However, in all of these cases the proteins make either exclusively minor groove contacts or combined major and minor groove contacts in the DNA helix. Thus, in many of these examples, polyamides that overlap completely (or partially) with the protein binding sites are effective at displacing the DNA binding protein by disrupting essential amino acid residue–base pair contacts.

In this study, we have extended the utility of the tandem linked hairpin polyamide motif by demonstrating the ability to displace HPV-18 E2, an exclusively major groove-interacting protein. Comparison of a linked tandem hairpin polyamide (PA1) to single hairpin polyamides (PA3 and PA2) designed to the BS4 site showed a dramatic increase in DNA binding affinity of the linked hairpin polyamide as shown in Figure 2. This observation is entirely consistent with earlier reports of Herman et al. (49) that showed that linking two identical
six-ring polyamides increased DNA binding affinity by more than 200-fold. In this case, the two six-ring hairpin polyamides are tethered by a five-carbon linker, 5-aminovaleric acid. This polyamide also demonstrated more than 4500-fold specificity for the match site versus a double mismatch site. More recently, Janssen et al. (42) have shown that linking two oligopyrrolole type polyamides by an eight-atom linker (8-amino-3,6-dioxaoctanoic acid) also substantially increases the DNA affinity and specificity of the linked molecules compared to the individual modules. Using the same linker, we show that the binding of PA1 and E2 protein to the BS4 site is mutually exclusive as evidenced by our DNase I footprinting experiments. Importantly, the equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_d$) of PA1 is similar to its equilibrium inhibition constant ($K_i$). The inability of the single hairpin polyamide (PA3) to displace E2 suggests the possibility of co-occupancy of the site by both polyamide and E2 protein as the two molecules bind on opposite faces of the DNA double helix. This was also evidenced in an earlier study from the Dervan group that showed that an eight-ring polyamide could co-occupy a DNA fragment along with the major groove-binding transcription factor GCN4 (46).

We find that the ability of PA1 to displace E2 from the BS4 site is independent of whether PA1 is added to the DNA prior to or after the addition of protein. Even though the affinities of PA1 and E2 for BS4 are similar (2 nM for PA1 and 4.5 nM for E2), the order of addition of the compound does not affect the $K_i$. This finding further underscores the suggestion that binding of PA1 and E2 protein is mutually exclusive. Also, this result is consistent with the distinct binding sites of protein and polyamide: PA1 can access its minor groove site even when E2 is bound in the major groove; once bound the polyamide may straighten the DNA and destabilize the E2–DNA complex.

The ability of PA1 to displace E2 prompted us to systematically examine the role of linker lengths in displacement activity. We found that a shorter linker than a diethylene linker greatly affected the DNA binding affinity of the polyamide whereas increasing the length of the linker only moderately affected DNA binding and displacement activity. Unlike the longer linkers, the shorter linker most likely does not provide the conformational flexibility to align the individual polyamide modules to bind to their cognate sites on the duplex.

How does PA1 displace E2? E2 protein, like many other DNA binding proteins that bind either as homodimers or as heterodimers in conjunction with other family members, imparts a bend upon binding. Unlike TBP, which bends the DNA away from the body of the protein, most proteins bend the DNA towards the body of the protein. In many instances, DNA bending appears to play a pivotal role in stabilizing the protein–DNA complex. E2 binds to its core consensus, ACCgN4cGGT, as a homodimer and makes contacts exclusively in the major groove of the DNA helix sequence bending the DNA towards the body of the protein thereby compressing the minor groove at the dimerization interface. The most likely consequence of the binding of polyamide within the dimerization interface might be to prevent the compression of the minor groove and destabilize the E2–DNA complex. The ability of minor groove DNA binding ligands to alter local DNA topology has been extensively documented (59–63). In addition, consistent with the biochemical data presented here, the crystal structure of polyamide complexed with DNA shows a widening of the minor groove by 1–2 Å (64,65). However, the possibility that the linker moieties physically interfere with protein binding at the interface site cannot be ruled out at this time.

Many minor groove DNA binding ligands (such as distamycin, netropsin, echinomycin, etc.) have been endowed with powerful antimicrobial and anticancer properties. Since these molecules lack DNA sequence binding specificity, it is conceivable that at high concentrations these types of molecules might interfere with numerous DNA-directed processes such as transcription, replication, recombination and repair. The ability of these molecules to alter global DNA topology such as negative DNA supercoiling and perturbation of compacted nucleosomal DNA has also been documented (66–70). Thus these molecules might elicit effects on gene expression by indirectly affecting global DNA properties. Not surprisingly, these molecules have prominent cytotoxic effects. The ability of polyamides to bind to DNA in a sequence-dependent context represents a major improvement in utilizing minor groove DNA binding ligands as specific
modulators of gene expression. Significantly, the demonstration that these tandem hairpins can be utilized to displace major groove DNA binding proteins considerably increases the repertoire of DNA binding proteins that can be targeted by polyamides.

The feasibility of using these tandem hairpin polyamides as future therapeutics will greatly depend on the determination of the intracellular location of action of these molecules. This is especially important given the recently published observation that in most cell types (with the exception of certain T-cell types), single hairpin polyamides conjugated with fluorescent dyes are localized to the cytoplasm and not the nucleus (71). Thus a major effort in the development of polyamides is focused on screening cell lines that are more amenable to polyamide uptake and understanding the mechanisms for nuclear exclusion of these molecules. The development of a polyamide-based therapeutic will clearly require a multi-disciplinary approach involving medicinal chemists, biologists and pharmacologists.

HPV infection represents a serious unmet medical need. Latest estimates of HPV prevalence among sexually active women without symptoms range from 20 to nearly 50%. It is believed more than 50 million Americans are currently infected with the virus, with the number growing by almost 1 million every year. Although a great majority of HPV infections produce no overt symptoms, a significant portion do develop genital warts (condylomas). Additionally, 90% of all anogenital cancers are associated with HPV DNA. Currently, there are no cures for HPV infection. Treatment generally involves surgical removal of warts or application of caustic dyes are localized to the cytoplasm and not the nucleus (71). Thus a major effort in the development of polyamides is focused on screening cell lines that are more amenable to polyamide uptake and understanding the mechanisms for nuclear exclusion of these molecules. The development of a polyamide-based therapeutic will clearly require a multi-disciplinary approach involving medicinal chemists, biologists and pharmacologists.
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