Developing a programmed restriction endonuclease for highly specific DNA cleavage
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ABSTRACT

Specific cleavage of large DNA molecules at few sites, necessary for the analysis of genomic DNA or for targeting individual genes in complex genomes, requires endonucleases of extremely high specificity. Restriction endonucleases (REase) that recognize DNA sequences of 4–8 bp are not sufficiently specific for this purpose. In principle, the specificity of REases can be extended by fusion to sequence recognition modules, e.g. specific DNA-binding domains or triple-helix forming oligonucleotides (TFO). We have chosen to extend the specificity of REases using TFOs, given the combinatorial flexibility this fusion offers in addressing a short, yet precisely recognized restriction site next to a defined triple-helix forming site (TFS). We demonstrate here that the single chain variant of PvuII (scPvuII) covalently coupled via the bifunctional cross-linker N-(succinimide ester to a TFO (5'-CAGCTG-3') complements the binding of synthetic oligonucleotides (TFOs) (6–8) to non-specific nucleases or ‘chemical’ nucleases. An inherent disadvantage of using non-specific nucleases of natural or chemical origin, however, is that they do not cleave the DNA at a defined phosphodiester bond but rather produce DNA fragments with heterogeneous ends. In this respect, Type II restriction endonucleases (REase), though not yet exploited for this purpose, appear superior to non-specific nucleases for the development of a programmable nuclease, because REases cleave DNA at specific sites, 4–8 bp in length, leaving defined ends [review: (9)]. REases are very accurate enzymes: the ratio of activities at cognate and non-cognate sites is very large; e.g. in pAT153 EcoRV cleaves it canonical site (GATATC) by a factor of 10^6 faster than the next best site (GGTATC) [review: (10)]. Almost all restriction enzymes require Mg²⁺ ions for phosphodiester bond cleavage [reviews: (11,12)]. In some cases, e.g. EcoRV, Mg²⁺ ions or other divalent metal ions, such as Ca²⁺ ions, are required also for specific DNA-binding (13,14).

A REase–TFO conjugate would have a bipartite recognition sequence, consisting of the recognition site of the REase and the DNA sequence matching to the TFO (Figure 1). DNA triple helices are formed by the binding of synthetic oligonucleotides within the major groove of duplex DNA, where they make specific hydrogen bond contacts with the Hoogsteen faces of the purine bases [reviews: (15–17)]. Polypyrimidine TFOs bind in parallel orientation, polyurine TFOs in an antiparallel with respect to the duplex purine strand. The parallel motif has been most widely studied and is characterized by the formation of C⁺GC and T.AT triplets (the notation X.ZY refers to a triplet, in which the third strand base X...
interacts with the duplex ZY base pair, forming hydrogen bonds to base Z). Recent work has demonstrated that by using a combination of nucleoside analogs it is possible to generate stable triplexes with high sequence specificity at physiological pH even to target sites not consisting of homopurine tracts (18–22).

We have produced a conjugate of a PvuII variant (23) and a TFO containing modified bases (19) using a bifunctional cross-linker. This REase–TFO conjugate has a bipartite recognition sequence consisting of the PvuII recognition site (CAGCTG) and the double-stranded (ds) DNA sequence (5'-TTTTTTTCTCTCTC-3'/5'-GAGAGAGAGAAAAAAA-3') addressed by the TFO. We demonstrate here that at neutral pH and Mg2+ concentrations of 1–2 mM, this REase-TFO cleaves DNA specifically at the bipartite recognition sequence (addressed PvuII sites), leaving unaddressed PvuII sites intact. This specificity, in principle, suffices to target a unique sequence in a genomic context.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Proteins and oligonucleotides**

The mutant coding for the His6-tagged single cysteine variant scPvuII-H6G4C was generated using pRIZ'-scPvuII (23) as template by a PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis method (24); the protein was expressed and purified similarly as described previously (25). TFOs containing a 5'-C6-spacer or 5'-C12-spacer with a N-terminal group were purchased from Eurogentec: 5'-NH2-[CH2]6/12-MPMPMPMPMPMPMPMPPPPPT-3', where M is 5-methyl-2'-deoxycytidine and P is 5-[1-propynyl]-2'-deoxyuridine (19,26).

**Fluorescence anisotropy measurements**

To demonstrate that the single chain variant of PvuII (scPvuII) variant which we used required divalent cations for strong DNA-binding, fluorescence titrations were carried out with

![Diagram of cross-linker and triple helix forming oligonucleotide](https://example.com/diagram.png)
5′-hexafluorescein-labeled 5′-TCTAGGCAGCTGGAT-3′ (hybridized to its non-labeled complement) at various concentrations and scPvuII-H$_4$G$_2$C (0–450 nM) in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl in the presence of 10 mM Ca$^{2+}$ or 3 mM EDTA, respectively, at 23°C in a F-4500 Hitachi fluorimeter), similarly as described by Reid et al. (27).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)**

To demonstrate the high stability of the triple-helix used in our experiments EMSAs were performed with the TFO and a complementary double-stranded oligodeoxyribonucleotide. The TFO (5–500 nM) was incubated at 37°C for 1 h with radiolabeled double-stranded 5′-TTTTTTTCTCTCTCTC-3′/5′-GAGAGAGAABBBBAAA-3′ (50 nM) in 10 mM Tris-phosphate (pH 7.2), 1 mM spermine in a volume of 15 μl. Subsequently, 5 μl of 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 7.2), 25% (w/v) sucrose were added to each sample and complex formation was analyzed by electrophoresis on 15% polyacrylamide gels in 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 7.2). Gels were dried and the radioactive bands visualized using an instant imager (Canberra Packard).

**Restriction enzyme cleavage protection assay**

Cleavage protection assays were performed with a 150 bp DNA substrate containing a FokI site and an adjacent triple-helix forming site (TFS) overlapping the cleavage site of FokI. Substrate (1 μM) was preincubated in 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 7.2) with either 10 μM TFO or a non-specific hexadecadeoxyribonucleotide for 4 h at 37°C in a volume of 70 μl. Forty units FokI (NEB) (in FokI cleavage buffer) were added to give a final volume of 100 μl. At selected time points (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 45 and 60 min), 10 μl aliquots were withdrawn and the reaction terminated by adding EDTA (50 mM final concentration). Loading buffer (5 μl) [10% (w/v) Ficoll, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.2% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 0.2% (w/v) xylene cyanol] were then added and cleavage protection was analyzed by electrophoresis on 12% polyacrylamide gels in 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 8.2) and 2 mM EDTA. Products were visualized by ethidium bromide (0.1 μg/ml) staining and illumination with UV light.

**Protein-TFO cross-linking**

For activation of the oligonucleotide, 80 μM TFO was incubated with 40 mM bivalent cross-linker N-γ-(maleimidobutyryl) succinimide ester (GMBS, Pierce) in 500 μl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) [140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$ and 1.4 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$ (pH 7.2)] for 1 h at 23°C. Unreacted cross-linker was removed by passage through NAP$^{	ext{TM}}$,5 and subsequently NAP$^{	ext{TM}}$,10 (Amersham Sephadex™ G-25 DNA grade) equilibrated with water. The desalted, activated TFO was dried and dissolved in 80 μl PBS. For cross-linking of oligonucleotide and protein, 80 μM activated TFO was incubated for 1 h at 23°C with 20 μM scPvuII-H$_4$G$_2$C, which had been dialedyzed for 4 h against PBS to remove DTT. Cross-linking yield was analyzed by 15% SDS–PAGE.

**Protein-TFO purification**

Uncross-linked scPvuII-H$_4$G$_2$C was separated from cross-linked scPvuII-C$_6$/C$_{12}$-TFO by anion exchange chromatography using DE52 in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 3 mM EDTA using a linear gradient of NaCl (100–400 mM), scPvuII-H$_4$G$_2$C eluted in the flow through whereas scPvuII-C$_{6}$/C$_{12}$-TFO eluted at a concentration of 350–390 mM–NaCl. Purified scPvuII-C$_6$/C$_{12}$-TFO was dialyzed against PBS containing 25% PEG 10 000 and then stored at 4°C.

**DNA cleavage assays**

For DNA cleavage experiments, radioactively labeled 239 bp substrates (containing a single PvuII site) were generated by PCR using [α-$^32$P]dATP. All substrates share the same sequence except for that replaced by the TFS (only the pyrimidine rich strand is shown, underlined) adjacent to the PvuII site (bold): 3 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′; 5 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′; 7 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′; 9 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′; 11 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′; 13 bp, 5′-...CGGTACTCGAGTTTTTTTCTCTCTCTCGACAGACGCTG...3′.

For control reaction an unaddressed 478 bp substrate that contains the same central sequence as the 239mer with the exception that it does not carry a TFS was generated by PCR as well. All substrates were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and analyzed by PAGE. Concentrations were calculated assuming 50 ng/μl DNA corresponds to an OD$_{260}$ of 1. To analyze DNA cleavage, 45 nM of these substrates were cleaved by 5 nM scPvuII-C$_{6}$/C$_{12}$-TFO at 37°C in 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 7.2), 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM spermine. Reactions were terminated after 5, 10, 20, 30, 60 or 110 min by addition of EDTA to a final concentration of 50 mM. Reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 12% polyacrylamide gels. Gels were dried and the radioactive bands visualized by autoradiography using an instant imager system (Canberra Packard). To analyze targeted cleavage, 40 nM scPvuII-C$_{6}$/C$_{12}$-TFO was preincubated with addressed and unaddressed substrate in competition (each at 45 nM) in 10 mM Tris–phosphate (pH 7.2), 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 3 mM EGTA at 23°C overnight. EGTA was included in the preincubation buffer to chelate divalent metal ions that even at low concentrations would have stimulated specific binding to the PvuII site of the unaddressed substrate. Cleavage reactions were initiated at 37°C by adding an equal volume of 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2) with MgCl$_2$ to various final concentrations: 1.25, 2.5 or 5 mM. Cleavage products were analyzed as described above. Similar experiments were done with variants of the 239 bp substrate, which carry a modified TFS, 5′-TTTTTTTYTTCTTTCTC-3′ (Y = A, G or T)/5′-GAGAGAGAGAAAAAAA-3′ (X = T, C or A), in a distance of 9 bp to the PvuII site. To analyze targeted cleavage of larger substrates by scPvuII-C$_{12}$-TFO, a 5556 bp plasmid with 5 PvuII sites, one of which is adjacent to a TFS, was used. Preincubation and cleavage of the supercoiled and HindIII-linearized plasmid were done as described for the 239 and 478 bp substrates. Cleavage products were separated by electrophoresis on 0.8% agarose gels that were subsequently stained with SYBR gold and analyzed using the Biometa software. A similar plasmid cleavage experiment was carried
RESULTS

To generate a programmed restriction enzyme we coupled a single chain variant of PvuII with a TFO (Figure 1). PvuII is a homodimeric Type II REase (9,11) that recognizes the double-stranded DNA sequence CAG/CTG, cleaving it as indicated (28,29). It is one of the best studied restriction enzymes, both in terms of structure (30–32) and function (33). PvuII requires Mg$^{2+}$ for both specific DNA-binding and DNA cleavage (33,34).

We used the monomeric scPvuII (23) as the fusion partner to generate a programmable restriction enzyme as this allowed us to introduce a unique single cysteine for the coupling of the TFO. To this end, a His$_6$-tag followed by four glycines and one cysteine residue was added to the C-terminus of scPvuII. This variant binds specific DNA in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ (as an analogue of Mg$^{2+}$) with 27 nM affinity, and with 20 µM affinity in its absence, as shown by fluorescence anisotropy measurements (data not shown).

TFOs bind via Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds in the major groove of the DNA, either in a parallel (pyrimidine motif, Y.RY) or antiparallel (purine motif, R.YR) orientation with respect to the purine strand of the Watson–Crick base pairs (35). Repulsion of the negatively charged DNA strands can be overcome by adding spermine (36). Pyrimidine motif triple helices are unstable at physiological pH because of the requirement for cytosine protonation that occurs at relatively acidic pH ($pK_a = 4.5$) (37,38). With 5-methylcytosine (M) replacing cytosine, this pH restriction is reduced via the contribution of pH (p$K_a$ = 2.5) (19,40). For this study, we utilized the TFO 5’-NH$_2$-[CH$_2$]$_6$/12-MPMPMPMPMPPPP-3’. Triple-helix formation between this TFO and a complementary double-stranded hexadecadeoxyribonucleotide or a 150 bp PCR product containing the TFS (TFS: 5’-TTTTTTTCTCTCCTCTC-3’/5’-GAGAGAGAAAAAAA-3’) was demonstrated by EMSA and restriction cleavage protection assay. A binding constant of $K_{A_s} = 6.4 	imes 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ was determined for the TFO and the double-stranded DNA by electrophoretic mobility shift experiments (data not shown).

The TFO was coupled with the bifunctional cross-linker GMBS (N-[γ-maleimidobutyryloxy]succinimide ester) via amide bond formation between the 5’-NH$_2$-group of the TFO and the succinimide group of GMBS. The NH$_2$-group is connected to the TFO by 6 or 12 methylene groups, resulting in different linker lengths in the final scPvuII-C6-TFO or scPvuII-C12-TFO conjugates, respectively. The maleimide group of the GMBS-modified TFO reacts with the single cysteine at the C-terminal end of the scPvuII-H$_2$G$_4$C variant to create a covalent scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate. Coupling yields exceeded 70%. Residual amounts of uncross-linked scPvuII-H$_2$G$_4$C were removed by anion exchange chromatography.

Activity and specificity of scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO were tested with DNA substrates of similar sequence generated by PCR: (i) a 239 bp substrate with a TFS adjacent to a PvuII site (‘addressed’ DNA substrate) and; (ii) a 478 bp substrate that incorporates the 239 bp substrate sequence but does not have a TFS adjacent to its PvuII site (‘unaddressed’ DNA substrate). In PvuII cleavage buffer, scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO exhibits the same cleavage activity with both substrates, demonstrating that the presence of the TFS next to the PvuII site does not influence DNA cleavage under conditions where triple-helix formation does not take place (data not shown).

In targeted DNA cleavage experiments, the TFO of scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO should guide the enzyme to the PvuII site adjacent to the TFS. Since the kinetics of triplex formation are slow, these experiments require a preincubation of the DNA with the scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate. Initial experiments were carried out with scPvuII-C12-TFO. Targeting was investigated by cleaving the 239 bp substrate (with the TFS at a distance of 9 bp from the PvuII site) and the 478 bp substrate (without the TFS) in competition: scPvuII-C12-TFO was preincubated overnight with DNA substrates in a buffer compatible with triple-helix formation and depleted of divalent metal ions to prevent binding to PvuII sites without the adjacent TFS. Preincubation was carried out using near equimolar amounts of scPvuII-C12-TFO and addressed DNA substrate to obtain near stoichiometric binding of the TFS and avoid excess free enzyme in the reaction mixture. Cleavage was initiated by adding PvuII cleavage buffer with MgCl$_2$ at different final concentrations: 1.25, 2.5 or 5 mM. As shown in Figure 2 we observed a very strong cleavage preference of scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO for the addressed substrate. This preference showed a clear MgCl$_2$ concentration dependence: with decreasing concentration of MgCl$_2$ the rate of cleavage of the unaddressed 478 bp substrate was reduced, approaching zero at 1.25 mM MgCl$_2$, whereas the rate of cleavage of the addressed 239 bp substrate was not changed (Figure 2). This dependence of the cleavage rates on the Mg$^{2+}$ concentration can be understood by considering that DNA-binding and cleavage by PvuII requires Mg$^{2+}$ (34,41). In the case of addressed cleavage the enzyme is Mg$^{2+}$ ion-independently bound to its cleavage site via the TFO–TFS interaction, allowing efficient cleavage even at low Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations that would not readily support unaddressed cleavage. Total addressed substrate was not cleaved to completion since a substoichiometric amount of scPvuII-C12-TFO was used (40 nM enzyme versus 45 nM of each substrate). Experiments in 1.25 mM MgCl$_2$ with different enzyme concentrations confirmed that moles of substrate cleaved correspond exactly to moles of enzyme used, demonstrating that the enzyme does not turnover but remains bound to one of the products via triple-helix formation. With an excess of enzyme over DNA the unaddressed substrate is also cleaved, albeit at a much slower rate (data not shown).

In the experiment described above, the PvuII site and the TFS on the addressed 239 bp substrate were separated by 9 bp. Since it is likely that the distance between the PvuII site and the TFS influences the efficiency of cleavage, we prepared additional addressed 239 bp substrates having 3, 5, 7, 11 or
13 bp distances. Furthermore, to investigate whether the length of the linker between scPvuII and the TFO has an effect on the addressed cleavage or optimum distance between the PvuII site and the TFS, two conjugates, scPvuII-C12-TFO (12 methylene groups) and scPvuII-C6-TFO (six methylene groups), were prepared and analyzed. Cleavage reactions were carried out using addressed and unaddressed substrate in competition. Cleavage rates of the unaddressed substrates are extremely low in all reactions initiated with 1.25 mM MgCl₂: the rate constants for cleavage of the unaddressed substrate by scPvuII-C12-TFO and scPvuII-C6-TFO were $k_{\text{unaddressed(C12)}} = 0.002 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{unaddressed(C6)}} = 0.001 \text{ min}^{-1}$, respectively. Up to 1400-fold higher cleavage rates were observed for the addressed substrate, with the best substrates having a 9 or 11 bp distance between the PvuII site and the TFS. For scPvuII-C12-TFO, the DNA having a 13 bp distance between the PvuII site and the TFS was also a very good substrate. Cleavage rates observed for scPvuII-C12-TFO were higher than for scPvuII-C6-TFO, presumably due to the greater flexibility of the C12-linker. Cleavage rates of substrates with PvuII site and TFS distances shorter than 7 bp decreased, with scPvuII-C6-TFO more so than with the scPvuII-C12-TFO, again indicating a higher flexibility of the C12-linker (Table 1). We assume, that both the C-terminal extension H6G4C of scPvuII and the 5'-NH2-[CH2]6/12-extension of the TFO are needed for the simultaneous binding of the PvuII recognition sequence and the adjacent TFS by the scPvuII–TFO conjugate. Our data suggest that the C12-linker is superior to the C6-linker in this respect.

In the presence of 2.5 mM MgCl₂ (data not shown) or 5 mM MgCl₂ (Figure 2), cleavage preferences are smaller because cleavage of the unaddressed substrate is faster. The decreased cleavage preference at 2.5 mM MgCl₂ concentration can be compensated by addition of NaCl at concentrations up to 100 mM (data not shown). Presumably, low Mg²⁺ concentrations are needed for preferential cleavage at addressed sites, because at higher Mg²⁺ concentrations DNA-binding by the scPvuII–TFO conjugate is dominated by the protein–DNA interaction and not the TFO–TFS interaction.

To demonstrate addressed cleavage of larger DNA molecules, a 5556 bp plasmid with five PvuII sites, one having a TFS at a distance of 9 bp, was used (Figure 3). Cleavage of the supercoiled plasmid with PvuII or scPvuII-C12-TFO without preincubation resulted in five cleavage fragments. However, after preincubation of near stoichiometric amounts of scPvuII-C12-TFO and the plasmid, only one cleavage product, P, the unaddressed PvuII site. The addressed PvuII site is indicated by an open star.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png) Unaddressed versus addressed DNA cleavage by scPvuII-C12-TFO at two Mg²⁺ concentrations. Equimolar (45 nM) amounts of unaddressed 478 bp substrate (diamond; no TFS) and addressed 239 bp substrate (square; having a TFS 9 bp away from the PvuII site) were mixed and preincubated with scPvuII-C12-TFO (40 nM) to allow triple-helix formation. After addition of 1.25 mM (top) or 5 mM (bottom) MgCl₂, the kinetics of cleavage were determined. Whereas at 5 mM MgCl₂ the unaddressed substrate is cleaved with appreciable rate, it is not cleaved to a significant extent in the presence of 1.25 mM MgCl₂. In contrast, the addressed substrate is cleaved with a high rate in the presence of either 1.25 (top) or 5 mM MgCl₂ (bottom). P denotes the unaddressed PvuII site. The addressed PvuII site is indicated by an open star.

### Table 1. Rate constants for cleavage by scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO of the 239 bp substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance between TFS and PvuII site</th>
<th>$k_{\text{addressed (scPvuII-C12-TFO)}}$ (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cleavage preference (scPvuII-C12-TFO)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{addressed (scPvuII-C6-TFO)}}$ (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cleavage preference (scPvuII-C6-TFO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 bp</td>
<td>1.0 min⁻¹ (±5%)</td>
<td>440-fold</td>
<td>0.03 min⁻¹ (±7%)</td>
<td>20-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 bp</td>
<td>0.8 min⁻¹ (±6%)</td>
<td>360-fold</td>
<td>0.03 min⁻¹ (±7%)</td>
<td>20-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 bp</td>
<td>1.2 min⁻¹ (±7%)</td>
<td>540-fold</td>
<td>0.30 min⁻¹ (±7%)</td>
<td>210-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 bp</td>
<td>3.2 min⁻¹ (±9%)</td>
<td>1410-fold</td>
<td>0.50 in⁻¹ (±6%)</td>
<td>450-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 bp</td>
<td>3.1 min⁻¹ (±13%)</td>
<td>1360-fold</td>
<td>1.00 in⁻¹ (±15%)</td>
<td>820-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 bp</td>
<td>2.6 min⁻¹ (±10%)</td>
<td>1140-fold</td>
<td>0.20 min⁻¹ (±10%)</td>
<td>190-fold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given for the scPvuII-C12-TFO and scPvuII-C6-TFO conjugates that differ in spacer length (12 or 6 methylene groups between the protein and the oligonucleotide). Cleavage rate constants were determined for six different addressed 239 bp substrates that differ in the spacing between the PvuII site and the TFS. SD based on three independent experiments are given in parentheses. Cleavage preference is the ratio of the rate constants for the addressed (239 bp) to unaddressed (478 bp) substrate.
the linear plasmid, was obtained and no further cleavage was observed even after incubation for several hours. This result indicates that addressed cleavage of the plasmid is highly specific, because only the addressed PvuII site is cleaved and the four unaddressed sites are unaffected. Highly preferential addressed site cleavage was also observed with the linear form of the plasmid and confirmed by cleavage product analysis (Figure 2). Quantitative intensity analysis of the various DNA bands yielded cleavage rate constants of the addressed site in the supercoiled and the linearized plasmid of 1.4 and 3.9 min⁻¹ respectively. Cleavage of the other PvuII sites was not detectable thus demonstrating a >1000-fold cleavage preference (a conservative estimate considering the detection limit of the intensity analysis).

Programmed restriction enzymes are intended to be used for large genome mapping and gene targeting in complex genomes. To demonstrate that our scPvuII–TFO conjugates are able to specifically cleave an addressed PvuII site in a large excess of unaddressed PvuII sites, we have incubated 0.006 pmol scPvuII–C12-TFO with 0.007 pmol plasmid DNA (four PvuII sites, one of which having a TFS at a distance of 9 bp) in the presence of 0.68 pmol bacteriophage λ-DNA (15 PvuII sites), corresponding to a 1460-fold excess of unaddressed PvuII sites over addressed PvuII, and then started the reaction by addition of MgCl₂. Only cleavage at the addressed site could be detected (Figure 4).

The target site of our programmed restriction enzymes is a composite site consisting of the PvuII site and the TFS. The specificity of the restriction enzyme–TFO conjugate,
therefore, depends in part on the specific interaction between the TFO and the TFS. To see how a single ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ in the triple-helix formed upon interaction of the scPvuII–TFO with the double-stranded DNA substrate affects the rate of cleavage, a variant of the 239 bp substrate with a single addressed PvuII site was used, which had instead of the 5'-TTTTTTCTCTCTCTC-3'/5'-GAGAGAGAGAAA-AAA-3' sequence in 9 bp distance to the PvuII site the sequence 5'-TTTTTTTYTCTCTCTC-3' (Y = A, G or T)/5'-GAGAGAGAXAAAAA-3' (X = T, C or A). The substrates with the M.TA ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ were not cleaved by the scPvuII-C12-TFO, whereas the substrates with the M.CG and M.AT ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ were cleaved by a factor of at least 100 more slowly than the reference substrate with no ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ (M.GC) (Figure 5) indicating a high sequence specificity of the addressing process.

**DISCUSSION**

In principle triple helices can be used to target unique DNA sequences for biotechnological and biomedical applications (17,42–55). Of particular interest are conjugates of TFOs with nucleases, which could be used to cleave DNA in vitro for genome analysis and in vivo (or ex vivo) for gene replacement by double-strand break repair involving homologous recombination. We have chosen to extend the specificity of REases using TFOs, given the combinatorial flexibility this fusion offers in addressing a short, yet precisely recognized REases using TFOs, given the combinatorial flexibility this recombinant.

Figure 5. Addressed cleavage by scPvuII-C12-TFO of single ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ substrates. Equimolar (45 nM) amounts of unaddressed 478 bp substrate (no TFS) and addressed 239 bp substrate (having a TFS 9 bp away from the PvuII site) were mixed and preincubated with scPvuII-C12-TFO (40 nM) to allow triple-helix formation. After addition of MgCl₂ (final concentrations: 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and 100 mM NaCl), the kinetics of cleavage was determined. Three different ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ substrates were used, carrying a mismatch for the Hoogsteen base pairing in the middle of the TFS: instead of a GC bp which would form a Hoogsteen bp with 5-methyl cytosine (M), the ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ substrates had a TA, CG and AT bp, respectively. Whereas the correct substrate (M.GC) was readily cleaved, the M.TA, M.CG and M.AT ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’ substrates were largely refractory to cleavage.

i. the scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate is as active as scPvuII in cleaving PvuII recognition sites.

ii. the scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate shows a >1000-fold preference for addressed over unaddressed PvuII recognition site, provided that the conjugate is preincubated with the DNA in the absence of Mg²⁺, to allow triple-helix formation to occur before cleavage.

iii. preferential cleavage is only observed if the enzyme concentration is not in excess over addressed PvuII recognition sites, because free scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate would eventually attack unaddressed PvuII recognition sites.

iv. the preference for cleavage of addressed PvuII recognition site shows a pronounced Mg²⁺ concentration dependence, little preference at 5 mM (the optimum concentration for PvuII-catalyzed DNA cleavage is around 5 to 10 mM Mg²⁺) and over 1000-fold preference at 1.25 mM Mg²⁺ (a sub-optimum concentration for PvuII, but not for the scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate).

v. the optimal distance between the PvuII recognition site and the TFS for addressed cleavage is ~10 bp (a helical turn), but this distance is less critical for the scPvuII-C12-TFO conjugate compared to the scPvuII-C6-TFO conjugate, presumably because of the greater flexibility provided by the longer linker.

vi. addressed PvuII sites with a single mutation (causing a ‘Hoogsteen mismatch’) in the TFS are refractory to cleavage by the scPvuII-C12-TFO conjugate.
We conclude from these results that the scPvuII-C6/C12-TFO conjugate is able to cleave addressed PvuII sites on high molecular weight DNA with the specificity required for recombinant DNA work and for this purpose could be used instead of homing endonucleases or other ‘rare cutters’ (e.g. REase with 8 bp recognition sites). In principle, this or similar constructs could therefore be used to map chromosomal DNA and to clone very large DNA fragments. Given the fact that TFO can be synthesized that would form triple helices with any sequence that one would like to address (22), the scPvuII variant can be considered a programmable restriction enzyme. In addition, there is no reason why this approach should not work with other restriction enzymes such that the requirement for the presence of a PvuII recognition site is not absolute. Furthermore, this approach could in principle also be used to program other ‘DNA enzymes’, e.g. DNA-methyltransferases.

It has been shown that highly efficient endogenous human gene correction can be achieved using targeted DNA cleavage by a designed nuclease (5,56,57). In this case a designed zinc finger nuclease was used, which contained the non-specific catalytic domain of the Type IIs REase FokI. We believe that restriction endonuclease–TFO conjugates are a useful alternative to designed zinc finger nucleases, because of their simple rules of defining TFS sites. That triple-helix formation can be used to target genes in vivo has been shown recently (58). To target unique sites in complex genomes in vivo by restriction endonuclease–TFO conjugates will require efficient delivery systems (59), stabilization of the TFO by chemical modification (17) [or using PNA (60)] and a procedure to activate the REase [‘caged’ (61) REase] after triple-helix formation has occurred which all is in technological reach.
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