Function of the ribosomal E-site: a mutagenesis study
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ABSTRACT

Ribosomes synthesize proteins according to the information encoded in mRNA. During this process, both the incoming amino acid and the nascent peptide are bound to tRNA molecules. Three binding sites for tRNA in the ribosome are known: the A-site for aminoacyl-tRNA, the P-site for peptidyl-tRNA and the E-site for the deacylated tRNA leaving the ribosome. Here, we present a study of *Escherichia coli* ribosomes with the E-site binding destabilized by mutation C2394G of the 23S rRNA. Expression of the mutant 23S rRNA in *in vivo* caused increased frameshifting and stop codon readthrough. The progression of these ribosomes through the ribosomal elongation cycle *in vitro* reveals ejection of deacylated tRNA during the translocation step or shortly after. E-site compromised ribosomes can undergo translocation, although in some cases it is less efficient and results in a frameshift. The mutation affects formation of the P/E hybrid site and leads to a loss of stimulation of the multiple turnover GTPase activity of EF-G by deacylated tRNA bound to the ribosome.

INTRODUCTION

The ribosome is a molecular machine, translating mRNA into a protein. Amino acids, which are to be incorporated into a nascent peptide are delivered to the ribosomes attached to tRNA. Within the ribosome, the tRNA traverses three binding sites. The A-site accepts the incoming aminoacyl-tRNA. The P-site holds the tRNA with the peptide attached, which is to be transferred to the new amino acid residue in the course of the peptidyltransferase reaction. During peptide transfer, the A-site bound tRNA gains a peptide and the P-site bound tRNA becomes deacylated. For the synthesis to be continued, a specific GTPase–elongation factor G (EF-G) has to catalyse the translocation of peptidyl-tRNA to the P-site, thus making the A-site capable of accepting another aminoacyl-tRNA. According to a classical model, the ribosome should be able to function with only the A- and P-sites (1,2). Later, additional site specific for the deacylated tRNA was discovered (3) and afterwards identified in all examined living organisms (4–6). This site was named E-site (for exit of tRNA from the ribosome).

The location of the E-site on the ribosome was studied by cryo-EM (7), and X-ray structure analysis (8,9). The 23S rRNA nucleotides C2394, G2112 and G2116, being in contact with E-site bound tRNA were identified by chemical probing (10). Modification interference experiments had shown that contact with C2394 was essential for the binding (11). Later, direct contact of this nucleotide with the 3′-terminal adenosine residue of the E-site tRNA was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (8). Since deletion of the terminal adenosine residue abolished tRNA binding to the E-site (12,13), both partners of the A76–C2394 interaction appeared to be crucial for the binding of tRNA to the E-site. 2′-hydroxyl groups at the tRNA residues 71 and 76 were also shown to be necessary for the E-site binding (14). According to Gnirke et al. (15), codon–anticodon interactions are necessary for the E-site binding (16).

The functional role of the E-site has been extensively discussed in the literature and still is a matter of controversy (17,18). Nierhaus and colleagues suggested the concept of the ‘allosteric three-site model’ (19). The major postulates of this model are that binding to the E-site is strong and codon-dependent (16), and that the binding to the A- and E-sites is negatively coupled (15,20). The functional role of this latter feature is to prevent binding of a non-cognate aminoacyl-tRNA to the A-site (21) and to maintain the reading frame (22). The properties of the allosteric three-site model had been disputed in the literature (7,17,23). Particularly, the codon–anticodon interactions in the E-site (24) and negative
coupling between the A- and E-site occupation (25) were questioned. It was suggested that E-site binding was transient and unstable, so being only necessary for lowering of the activation energy barrier for translocation. Later, however, a contact between codon and anticodon at the E-site was visualized, although for the non-cognate tRNA (9).

The role of the E-site in translocation deserves special attention. Translocation is catalysed by EF-G, which hydrolyses a GTP molecule in the course of this process. The action of elongation factor G is required only after completion of the peptidyl transferase reaction. Thus, the factor, which binds 70 Å away from the peptidyl transferase centre, must ‘sense’ whether the peptide is attached to the CCA-end of the tRNA in the P-site or to that in the A-site. Modulation of the uncoupled GTPase activity of EF-G by P-site bound tRNA was discovered by Chinali and Parmeggiani (26) and studied under various conditions (27–29). Later, Zavialov and Ehrenberg (30) studied this phenomenon in more detail. It was shown that not only GTPase activity, but also the binding of the EF-G to the ribosome was under the control of the tRNA in the P-site. It appears that for the function of EF-G, the most essential parameter is the state of the CCA-end of the tRNA bound to the P-site, rather than that of the tRNA bound to the A-site. In agreement with this, anticodon stem–loop bound to the A-site could be translocated by EF-G, thus indicating that CCA-end of the tRNA, bound to the A-site is not required for translocation (31).

Wintermeyer and colleagues (27) observed that deacylated tRNA bound to the P-site stimulates the activity of EF-G. This stimulation was compromised if peptidyl-tRNA was bound to the P-site, nor was it detected if a tRNA analogue EF-G. This stimulation was compromised if peptidyl-tRNA was bound to the P-site, nor was it detected if a tRNA analogue bound to the P-site, rather than that of the tRNA bound to the A-site. In agreement with this, anticodon stem–loop bound to the A-site could be translocated by EF-G, thus indicating that CCA-end of the tRNA, bound to the A-site is not required for translocation (31).

How can EF-G detect that the peptidyl moiety is attached to the tRNA in the P-site? Why does the activity of EF-G correlate with the tRNA affinity to the E-site? In the 1980s, Moazed and Noller (32) in their ‘hybrid model’ postulated that after peptide transfer the deacylated CCA-end of the tRNA spontaneously moves to the E-site on the large ribosomal subunit, while its anticodon remains locked in the P-site of the small subunit until the EF-G-driven translocation occurs. At the same time, the CCA-end of the A-site bound tRNA carrying the peptide group is moved to the P-site. This process has also been disputed in the literature (33). However, it is clear that translocation of the CCA-ends of the tRNAs on the large subunit precedes translocation of the anticodons and mRNA on the small subunit. Although it requires EF-G under certain conditions, the formation of the hybrid states does not need GTP hydrolysis (33,34). The activity of EF-G might depend, not on the absence of a peptide bound to the CCA-end of the tRNA at the P-site, but rather correlates with the ability to form the hybrid P/E-site (27), even if the hybrid sites are forming only as an intermediate in translocation (30,33).

It should be noted, however, that not all models of the translocation consider the hybrid sites formation. According to the α-ε model (23) based on iodine cleavage studies of ribosome-bound tRNA, decylated tRNA is translocated to the E-site without significant alterations of the tRNA environment on the ribosome (35). Contacts of the 3′-terminal region of tRNA could not be monitored by the iodine cleavage, so even the α-ε model allows the formation of the A76–C2394 interaction as the first step of translocation.

To test the roles of the E- and P/E-sites in the elongation cycle, we created mutant *E. coli* ribosomes that were severely compromised in their E-site binding as indicated by various assays. The mutant ribosomes were tested for the efficiency of various stages of the elongation cycle in *vivo*, and for their translation error rates in *vivo*. Our results give us an increased knowledge of the function of the E-site.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The C2394G mutation was made by standard site-directed mutagenesis procedures in a *SphI–BamHI* fragment of the *rmb* operon cloned into M13 mp19 phage and subcloned into the plasmid pLKI192U (36) essentially as described (37). Transformation of the AVS69009 strain (38), plasmid substitutions and checking the purity of mutant tRNA in the cells were carried out as described (37). Translational fidelity was measured using a set of reporter strains (39,40). For translation fidelity assays, the cells were harvested at the same stage of growth, namely mid-log phase. Doubling times were measured by monitoring *A*_{600} in *Luria–Bertani* medium at 37°C, using three independent clones to monitor the growth rate of each strain. Doubling times were calculated by a least square linear approximation of the logarithms of the optical density of the cultures in their log phase.

Ribosomes were isolated from the AVS69009 strain, expressing only mutant tRNA. The isolation was carried out using the subunit reassociation technique (41). The wild-type ribosomes, used as a control were purified from the same strain, carrying the same plasmid without the C2394G mutation. All binding experiments were made in a buffer favourable for E-site binding (41) consisting of 20 mM HEPES–K pH 7.5, 6 mM Mg(OAc)_{2}, 150 mM NH_{4}OAc, 2 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine and 4 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. Preparation of recombinant EF-G, EF-Tu, EF-Ts, phenylalanine–metionine–lysine–lysine tRNA synthetases and transformylase (42), [^{14}C]Phe-tRNA_{Phe}, [^{14}C]Lys-tRNA_{Lys} and [^{3}H]AcPhe-tRNA_{Phe} was as described (43), except that aminoacyl-tRNAs were HPLC-purified. Radioactively labelled tRNA_{Phe} with and without the 3′-terminal adenine was prepared T7 transcription from the tRNA_{Phe} gene, amplified by PCR using 3′-primers with 2′-OMe residues to obtain a transcript with a homogeneous 3′ end (44). To label tRNA_{Met} natural tRNA_{Met} (Sigma) was 5′-labelled with [γ-^{32}P]ATP by polynucleotide kinase.

Binding studies were performed in 20 μl volume, containing 2 pmol of ribosomes. Each experiment was repeated at least twice with independent ribosome preparations. The natural mRNA analogue was T7-transcribed from a PCR-amplified fragment of the plasmid pET33b (Novagen). Either polyU or the natural mRNA analogue was added to a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml or in a 2- to 3-fold excess over ribosomes, respectively. Equal amounts of ribosomes and mRNA were used for toe-printing. For binding of tRNA_{Phe}, tRNA_{Met} or...
AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} to the P-site, a 2-fold excess of tRNA was incubated with ribosomes for 10 min at 37°C. Decacylated tRNA titrations were performed similarly, except that the amount of tRNA was varied. Binding of AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} to the A-site was accomplished similarly, by incubation of a 2-fold excess of both AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} with P-site filled ribosomes for 10 min at 37°C. A-site binding of Phe-tRNA^{Phe} or Lys-tRNA^{A\prime}, in 2-fold excess over ribosomes, was facilitated by addition of stoichiometric amounts of recombinant EF-Tu and one-tenth amounts of EF-Ts in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Translocation was induced by addition of equimolar amounts of recombinant EF-G in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. Nitrocellulose binding was performed as described (43).

Chemical modification of the ribosomes and their functional complexes was made as described (37), with the exception that the buffer conditions and complex concentrations were exactly as in the binding experiments described above. Toe-printing (45) was performed with the natural mRNA analogue, with the 5'-[\gamma^{32}P]-labelled primer pre-hybridized 50 nt downstream from the AUG codon. GTPase assays were made as in Leonov et al. (43). The buffer conditions were 60 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.8, 80 mM NH_4Cl, 6 mM MgCl_2, 8 mM \beta-mercaptoethanol (28). EF-G (0.8–70 nM) was added together with 0.5 mM GTP to the reactions. EF-G binding to ribosomes was studied at the A-site, with a 2-fold excess of tRNA Phe with P-site filled ribosomes, was facilitated by addition of stoichiometric amounts of recombinant EF-Tu and one-tenth amounts of EF-Ts in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Translocation was induced by addition of equimolar amounts of recombinant EF-G in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. Nitrocellulose binding was performed as described (43).

Chemical modification of the ribosomes and their functional complexes was made as described (37), with the exception that the buffer conditions and complex concentrations were exactly as in the binding experiments described above. Toe-printing (45) was performed with the natural mRNA analogue, with the 5'-[\gamma^{32}P]-labelled primer pre-hybridized 50 nt downstream from the AUG codon. GTPase assays were made as in Leonov et al. (43). The buffer conditions were 60 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.8, 80 mM NH_4Cl, 6 mM MgCl_2, 8 mM \beta-mercaptoethanol (28). EF-G (0.8–70 nM) was added together with 0.5 mM GTP to the reactions. EF-G binding to ribosomes was studied at the A-site, with a 2-fold excess of tRNA Phe with P-site filled ribosomes, was facilitated by addition of stoichiometric amounts of recombinant EF-Tu and one-tenth amounts of EF-Ts in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Translocation was induced by addition of equimolar amounts of recombinant EF-G in the presence of 0.5 mM GTP. Nitrocellulose binding was performed as described (43).

RESULTS

In our study, the nucleotide C2394 of the 23S rRNA was altered to G. The AVS69009 strain of *E. coli* in which all the chromosomal rDNA operons were deleted (38) was transformed with a plasmid expressing the mutant rRNA. After subtitution of the housekeeping rRNA plasmid, a strain was obtained with all the 23S rRNA molecules carrying the mutation at position 2394. The absence of wild-type 23S rRNA was confirmed by primer extension analysis (data not shown).

The mutation C2394G in the 23S rRNA leads to a slower growth rate at 37°C (doubling time 103 ± 2 min) than that of the wild-type strain (85 ± 2 min). Practically no growth of the strain, carrying the mutation C2394G in the 23S rRNA could be detected at 30°C. To check the ability of the mutant ribosomes to bind tRNA to the E-site, ribosomes carrying the C2394G 23S rRNA mutation were prepared from the corresponding *E. coli* strains.

**Inability of the mutants to accommodate tRNA at the E-site**

The binding of the decacylated tRNA to ribosomes was studied as in the classical experiments of Rheinberger et al. (4). Since binding to the E-site depends critically on the ionic conditions (7), we used a polyamine-containing buffer favourable for E-site binding in all the experiments. Ribosomes were titrered with decacylated radioactive tRNA^{Phe}, with or without polyU as a template, and the amount of bound tRNA was determined by filtration through nitrocellulose. As an additional control, tRNA^{Phe} lacking the 3′-terminal adenosine was used, as this should not be able to bind to the E-site (12,13). As expected (Figure 1A), wild-type ribosomes in the presence of polyU showed a binding approaching the three molecules of decacylated tRNA per ribosome. The actual value observed was 2.5 tRNA molecules per ribosome, explained by the low affinity of decacylated tRNA for the A-site of the wild-type ribosomes. The absence of the 3′-terminal adenosine of tRNA reduces the binding to two tRNA molecules per wild-type ribosome (bound to the P- and A-sites), the value observed being 1.8, while in the absence of mRNA only a single molecule binds (to the P-site; value observed 1.0). The C2394G mutant ribosomes (Figure 1B) showed a binding approaching only 2 tRNA molecules per ribosome in the presence of the polyU template (i.e. to the P- and A-sites). The binding curves for normal tRNA and tRNA lacking the 3′-terminal adenosine were practically identical for the mutant ribosomes. Since tRNA without the 3′-terminal adenosine is known not to bind to the E-site (12,13), as expected (Figure 1A), wild-type ribosomes in the presence of polyU showed a binding approaching the three molecules of decacylated tRNA per ribosome. The actual value observed was 2.5 tRNA molecules per ribosome, explained by the low affinity of decacylated tRNA for the A-site of the wild-type ribosomes. The absence of the 3′-terminal adenosine of tRNA reduces the binding to two tRNA molecules per wild-type ribosome (bound to the P- and A-sites), the value observed being 1.8, while in the absence of mRNA only a single molecule binds (to the P-site; value observed 1.0). The C2394G mutant ribosomes (Figure 1B) showed a binding approaching only 2 tRNA molecules per ribosome in the presence of the polyU template (i.e. to the P- and A-sites). The binding curves for normal tRNA and tRNA lacking the 3′-terminal adenosine were practically identical for the mutant ribosomes. Since tRNA without the 3′-terminal adenosine is known not to bind to the E-site (12,13), while retaining its binding to the A- and P-sites, the obvious conclusion is that the mutant ribosomes are specifically impaired in their E-site binding.

![Figure 1. Binding of decacylated tRNA^{Phe} to ribosomes. The graph shows the number of tRNA molecules bound to wild-type ribosomes (A), or to ribosomes carrying the C2394G mutation (B), versus tRNA excess over ribosomes. The curves are marked according to the presence or absence of a polyU template and to the tRNA molecule used. Closed triangles indicate ribosomes with presence of RNA and absence of polyU. Closed diamonds indicate ribosomes with presence of both tRNA and polyU. Closed squares correspond to ribosomes with presence of polyU and tRNA-Δ3′A. 'tRNA' corresponds to intact tRNA^{Phe}; 'tRNA-Δ3′A' corresponds to tRNA^{Phe} lacking the 3′-terminal adenosine.](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/33/18/6048/2401338)
We monitored the direct binding of deacylated tRNA_Met to the E-site of ribosomes programmed with a natural mRNA analogue coding for the MF peptide (Figure 2A). For this purpose, the P-site was filled with AcPhe-tRNAPhe, thus positioning the AUG codon at the E-site. Wild-type ribosomal complexes readily bound tRNA_Met to the E-site, as revealed by filtration through nitrocellulose. In contrast, ribosomal complexes carrying the C2394G mutation with the P-site occupied in the same way were significantly compromised in their ability to bind tRNA_Met (Table 1). The observed 11% binding could, however, be explained by the deacylated tRNA binding to the fraction of ribosomes (~10%), whose P-site was not occupied during preincubation with AcPhe-tRNA_Phe.

Elongation cycle abnormalities associated with the mutation C2394G in the 23S rRNA

Ribosomes carrying the C2394G mutation were tested \textit{in vitro} for the ability to form different functional complexes. For this purpose, we used the mRNA, coding for MFK peptide, the set of transfer RNAs and elongation factors. Each functional complex was characterized by a number of techniques. Filtration through the nitrocellulose was used to determine the amount of tRNA bound to the ribosome (Table 2); toe-printing was used to assign the tRNA to the particular binding site (Figure 2); and chemical modification allowed us not only to monitor tRNA binding by an independent approach, but also to distinguish between the ‘classic’ and ‘hybrid’ tRNA binding sites (Figure 3).
tRNA^{Met} binding was ~82% (Table 2) for both wild-type and mutant ribosomes. Toe-printing shows that the binding occurs to the P-site, as expected (Figure 2B, lane 1). However, the main difference could be seen in the chemical modification assay (Figure 3). Wild-type ribosomes accommodate deacylated tRNA^{Met} to the hybrid P/E-site. The G2112/G2116 residues, attributed to the E-site became protected (Figure 3A, lane 2); extent of modification being 0.23 ± 0.03, relative to 1 for the free ribosomes. P-site specific residue U2585 remained unprotected (Figure 3B, lane 2); extent of modification being 1.0 ± 0.1 relative to the unprotected ribosomes. The different situation was observed in the case of C2394G mutant. Here, the P-site specific residue was protected from modification (Figure 3B, lane 2); extent of protection being 0.38 ± 0.03, relative to the free ribosomes. The E-site specific nucleotides (Figure 3A, lane 2) were almost unprotected—0.69 ± 0.04 modification relative to the free ribosomes. This result indicated that the P/E hybrid site formation was damaged by the C2394G mutation.

After the filling of the P-site with tRNA^{Met}, the A-site was occupied by AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe}. Approximately 50% of the A-site became occupied by AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe}, which led to the band doubling on the toe-print (Figure 2B, lane 2). The low magnesium concentration, which caused the low non-enzymatic binding of AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} could not be avoided here, since those were the conditions for the highest E-site affinity, indispensable for one studying the effect of the C2394G mutation, affecting this very site. The release of deacylated tRNA at that stage was ~10% for both wild-type and mutant ribosomes (Table 2). Chemical modification revealed AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} and tRNA^{Met} being in the hybrid A/ P- and P/E-sites for the wild-type ribosomes and in the ‘classical’ A- and P-sites for the mutant ribosomes. The P-site specific U2585 was protected in both types of ribosomes (Figure 3B, lane 3). The protection of the A-site specific A1408 residue of the 16S rRNA in both wild-type and mutant ribosomes leads to the conclusion that the A-site on the 30S subunit is occupied to the level of 0.55 ± 0.03 (Figure 3C, lane 3), consistent with the result of the toe-print (Figure 2B, lane 2). However, protection of the G2112/G2116 residues of 23S rRNA was very modest for the C2394G mutant (relative modification 0.60 ± 0.05), but high (relative modification 0.17 ± 0.01) for the wild-type ribosomes (Figure 3A, lane 3), supporting the hybrid sites formation in the latter case. Although we cannot exclude that some tRNA could be found in the hybrid P/E state even in the mutant ribosome, it is clear that the efficiency of the hybrid site formation is significantly decreased.

Translocation was then induced by addition of EF-G and GTP to the ribosomal complexes with AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe} in the A-site (Figure 3B and C, lane 3). Translocation of AcPhe-tRNA to the P-site did not cause the release of the deacylated tRNA from the wild-type ribosomes (Table 2), as in the experiments of Nierhaus and colleagues (15). However, for the mutant ribosomes the loss of deacylated tRNA (Table 2) was equal to the amount of translocation (Figure 2B, lane 3). The deacylated tRNA, remained on the mutant ribosomes was bound to the P-site, as was shown by toe-printing (Figure 2B, lane 3). The same conclusion could be deduced for the chemical modification experiment. After translocation, the wild-type ribosomes holds deacylated tRNA in the E-site, there it protected G2112/G2116 residues (Figure 3A, lane 4); relative modification being 0.18 ± 0.01. A significantly weaker protection could be observed for the C2394G mutant (Figure 3A, lane 4); relative modification being 0.56 ± 0.03. We cannot exclude that deacylated tRNA could be translocated to the E-site of the mutant ribosomes. It is obvious, however, that the E-site of the mutant ribosomes is not able to retain the deacylated tRNA stably.

After the translocation of deacylated tRNA to the E-site of the wild-type ribosomes and, apparently, the release of the major part of the deacylated tRNA to the solution for the C2394G mutant, the A-site was enzymatically filled with Lys-tRNA^{Lys} with the help of EF-Tu, EF-Ts and GTP. Immediately after A-site occupation and peptidyl transferase reaction, a further round of translocation was catalyzed by the EF-G present in the mixture (Figure 2B, lane 4). The deacylated tRNA, which remained bound to the E-site of the wild-type ribosomes was released to the solution (Table 2). According to the toe-print, the deacylated tRNA fraction, which remained on the ribosomes after that step occupied P-site (Figure 2B, lane 4). No further release of deacylated tRNA from the mutant ribosomes was observed. It means that for pre-translocation complexes formed by the mutant ribosomes, the release of tRNA happened during the translocation, or quickly after.

Several other differences between the wild-type ribosomes and ribosomes, carrying the C2394G mutation could be noted from the step-by-step formation of the functional complexes. It is clear that, although for mutant ribosomes, translocation could be catalysed by EF-G, it is less efficient in the case of translocation of AcPhe-Lys-tRNA^{Lys}, but not for the AcPhe-tRNA^{Phe}. Whereas for the wild-type ribosomes, both translocation reactions go to the same high extent (66% for the first translocation and 68% for the second translocation), the C2394G mutant ribosomes show similar translocation efficiency (69%) for the first translocation, but only a partial translocation (43%) of AcPhe-Lys-tRNA^{Lys} (compare Figure 2B, lane 4). Moreover, an additional reproducible toe-print signal, specific for the ribosomes carrying the C2394G mutation appeared after binding of Lys-tRNA^{Lys}, peptide transfer and translocation (Figure 2, lane 4). This signal corresponds to an incorrect translocation of AcPhe-Lys-tRNA^{Lys} in the +2 frame. This signal is specific for the translocation and could not be explained by the re-binding of the Lys-tRNA^{Lys}, since no detectable toe-print could be observed for Lys-tRNA^{Lys}.

**Defects in the E- and P/E-site formation affects EF-G function**

It is generally agreed that E-site tRNA binding is important for translocation, since the derivatives of tRNA with diminished ability to bind the E-site slows down translocation (14,27). We decided to check the ability of EF-G to bind either empty ribosomes, carrying C2394G mutation (Figure 4, lanes 4 and 5) or their pre-translocation complex (Figure 4, lanes 2 and 3). The latter complex was created by sequential addition of tMet-tRNA^{Met} and Phe-tRNA^{Phe}+EF-Tu+GTP to the MFK-programmed ribosomes. EF-G binding was stabilized either by addition of GMPPNP (Figure 4, lanes 2 and 4) or by GTP and fusidic acid (Figure 4, lanes 3 and 5). The binding of EF-G was demonstrated for both the empty ribosomes and
pre-translocation complex, and for both GMPPNP and fusidic acid stabilized conformations (Figure 4), although binding of EF-G to the mutant C2394G ribosomes was slightly reduced practically in all complexes investigated (Table 3).

The P/E hybrid state formation was damaged by the C2394G mutation. However, this state is one of the translocation intermediates (33). Its formation precedes GTP hydrolysis (32,34). Since EF-G binding to the mutant ribosomes was reduced only slightly, we decided to investigate the influence of the mutation on the ribosome-induced GTP hydrolysis by EF-G and other steps of the EF-G cycle, which could be measured as the multiple turnover GTPase activity. We monitored the multiple turnover GTPase activity of EF-G induced by empty ribosomes and polyU-programmed ribosomes carrying deacylated tRNA Phe. The curves are marked as indicated on the right-hand side of the graphs. Closed circles correspond to the polyU programmed wild-type ribosomes carrying deacylated tRNA Phe; grey circles correspond to the empty ribosomes; closed triangles correspond to the polyU programmed C2394G ribosomes carrying deacylated tRNA Phe; grey triangles correspond to the empty C2394G ribosomes.

EF-G and other steps of the EF-G cycle, which could be measured as the multiple turnover GTPase activity, were monitored with the multiple turnover GTPase activity of EF-G induced by empty ribosomes and polyU-programmed ribosomes carrying deacylated tRNA Phe. In agreement with Zavialov and Ehrenberg (30) and Lill et al. (27), we observed increased stimulation of the GTPase reaction of EF-G by the complex of wild-type ribosomes with deacylated tRNA Phe (Figure 5A). Empty ribosomes carrying the C2394G mutation stimulate the GTPase activity of EF-G slightly less efficiently; this effect might be attributed to the minor decrease in the binding of EF-G to the empty ribosomes, carrying C2394G mutation (Figure 5). A dramatic difference between the wild-type and mutant ribosomes was found in the stimulation of EF-G GTPase activity by ribosome-bound deacylated tRNA Phe (Figure 5B). According to the structure probing data, deacylated tRNA strongly prefer binding to the P/P-site of mutant ribosomes, in contrast to the P/E-site in case of the wild type. It is likely that the lack of the multiple turnover GTPase

---

**Table 3.** EF-G binding to the different ribosomal complexes, as monitored by protection from chemical modification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ribosomal complex</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>C2394G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative modification of the nucleotide 2661</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, MFK-mRNA, fMet-tRNA Met&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;, Phe-tRNA&lt;sub&gt;Phe&lt;/sub&gt;<em>EF-Tu</em>GTP, EF-G*GTP, fusidic acid</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, MFK-mRNA, fMet-tRNA Met&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;, Phe-tRNA&lt;sub&gt;Phe&lt;/sub&gt;<em>EF-Tu</em>GTP, EF-G*GMPPNP</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, EF-G*GTP, fusidic acid</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, EF-G*GMPPNP</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative modification of the nucleotide 1067</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, MFK-mRNA, fMet-tRNA Met&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;, Phe-tRNA&lt;sub&gt;Phe&lt;/sub&gt;<em>EF-Tu</em>GTP, EF-G*GTP, fusidic acid</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, MFK-mRNA, fMet-tRNA Met&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;, Phe-tRNA&lt;sub&gt;Phe&lt;/sub&gt;<em>EF-Tu</em>GTP, EF-G*GMPPNP</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, EF-G*GTP, fusidic acid</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomes, EF-G*GMPPNP</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activity stimulation by deacylated tRNA is due to the inefficiency of the hybrid P/E-site formation caused by the C2394G mutation. This result suggests that formation of the P/E hybrid state at least shows a good correlation with the activation of EF-G. Alternatively, the lack of stimulation of the multiple turnover GTP hydrolysis by the mutant ribosomes, carrying deacylated tRNA, could reside in some other stage of the EF-G working cycle, which we have no technical possibility to monitor.

**Defects in the E- and/or P/E-site binding causes increased frameshifting and stop-codon readthrough in vivo**

Using a toe-print assay, we were able to detect aberrant translocation of the correct tRNA substrates in vitro. To check whether this effect could be detected in vivo, we applied a set of lacZ encoding plasmids, constructed by O’Connor and Dahlberg (39). Each plasmid in this set (with the exception of pSG25, which was used as a control) contains a mutation in the lacZ gene, which would lead to the production of non-functional protein if the translation of the corresponding mRNA were to proceed without mistakes. Thus, the active enzyme could only be synthesized as a result of a translational error, such as frameshifting, stop codon readthrough or misreading, compensating the mutation encoded in the DNA. Both stop codon readthrough and frameshifting levels were elevated in the strains expressing the mutant rDNA (Table 4). In contrast, the mutation C2394G had no influence on translational misreading. The synthesis of the wild-type galactosi
dase from the control reporter plasmid was also elevated in the C2394G mutant. Some other rRNA mutations, e.g. in the helix 34 of the 16S rRNA, could also cause an increase in the expression of the wild-type galactosidase gene (47). The elevated levels of UAA readthrough and −1 frameshifting were sufficiently above the overall increase in translation, caused by the C2394G mutation, thus allowing to attribute them safely to the direct influence on the fidelity. An influence of the E-site occupation on maintaining the translation reading frame was suggested by the experiments reported by Nierhaus and colleagues (22). However, it was not possible in the past to test this idea in an in vivo experiment. Our data provide evidence for the influence of E-site binding on translational accuracy.

**DISCUSSION**

The role of the ribosomal E-site in ribosomal function has been a matter of debates for years. Although the results of many experiments were published, they often contradicted each other. Here we have described a mutant, C2394G of the 23S rRNA, which is severely compromised in the binding of deacylated tRNA to the E- and P/E-sites. This was proven by a set of methods, including saturation binding of deacylated tRNA, direct binding to the E-site, stepwise translation and structure probing. The cells expressing only the mutant rDNA were viable, thus indicating that the lack of stable tRNA binding to the E-site could be tolerated, at least at 37°C. Moderate increase in frameshifting and stop-codon readthrough were found associated with the C2394G mutation in vivo. Increased frameshifting frequencies associated with the mutation could be due to the relaxed fixation of the tRNAs in the post-translational state, when instead of two tRNAs as in the wild-type ribosomes, only a single P-site bound tRNA holds the reading frame. The frameshifting might also be related to the incorrect translocation, as evidenced by the toe-printing experiments in vitro.

The mutation C2394G affects translocation. Why the first translocation is equally efficient for the wild-type ribosomes and the C2394G mutant ribosomes, but the second one is not? The first translocation causes the substitution of tRNA\(^{Met}\) at the P-site with pept-tRNA\(^{Phe}\), while the second one leads to the substitution of tRNA\(^{Phe}\) with pept-tRNA\(^{Lys}\). Comparison of the affinities of different deacylated tRNA species to the P-site shows that tRNA\(^{Phe}\) binds more tightly than tRNA\(^{Met}\), while tRNA\(^{Lys}\) is one of the weakest P-site binders (15). Moreover, during the first translocation the interaction of a Shine–Dalgarno sequence of mRNA with 16S rRNA could retain, while it should be destroyed during the second translocation. There are many indications that translocation proceeds through an intermediate formation of the hybrid A/P- and P/E-states (27,30,32,34). The hybrid states cannot be formed before the peptide transfer, so this might be a mechanism to ensure that EF-G binding and action only occur at the proper time. Interestingly, it was shown that binding of tRNA, able to move to the hybrid P/E-site of ribosomes even if A/P-site is empty seems to be sufficient to stimulate the GTPase activity of EF-G (27,30). However, a direct influence of the deacylated 3’ end of the tRNA, bound to the P-site could not be ruled out. Here, we observed no stimulation of the multiple turnover GTPase activity of EF-G by deacylated tRNA bound to ribosomes carrying the C2394G mutation. Chemical footprinting clearly shows that deacylated tRNA strongly prefers binding to the P/P instead of the P/E-site of the mutant ribosomes. Thus, tRNA binding to the P/E-site correlates with uniformly efficient and accurate translocation, as was found by the stepwise translation of MFK-encoding mRNA, monitored by toe-printing. The E-site participate in translocation both prior to GTP hydrolysis, when formation of the P/E hybrid state triggers the activity of EF-G, and later, when the E-site accepts the deacylated tRNA. Binding of deacylated tRNA to the E-site should make the translocation more energetically favourable, thus increasing its efficiency. At the same time, formation of the hybrid P/E-site should lower the activation barrier for translocation, increasing its speed. The cells, carrying the C2394G mutation are cold sensitive, which speaks in favour of the higher activation barrier for translocation, introduced by damage to the E-site. An alternative explanation for the cold sensitivity might rest on higher elongation rate at the higher temperature.

**Table 4. Effect of the C2394G mutation on translational fidelity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test plasmid</th>
<th>Type of translation error</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>C2394G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pSG 853</td>
<td>UAA readthrough</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSG 12-6</td>
<td>UAG readthrough</td>
<td>7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSG 3/4</td>
<td>UGA readthrough</td>
<td>43 ± 2</td>
<td>64 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSG lac7</td>
<td>+1 frameshift</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>74 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSG 12DP</td>
<td>−1 frameshift</td>
<td>44 ± 2</td>
<td>84 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fCASH 103</td>
<td>Glu/Gln substitution</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSG25</td>
<td>no error</td>
<td>4280 ± 70</td>
<td>5990 ± 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed in Miller units of the β-galactosidase activity. The higher is the activity, the more frequent is the translational error event.
before the deacylated tRNA leaves the E-site, even if the affinity to the E-site is reduced by the C2394G mutation. A further intriguing question is how the allosteric signal from the E- or P/E-site can reach the binding site of the elongation factor, which is located 70–100 Å away. We have demonstrated here that a mutation in the large subunit of the ribosome can affect the function of the EF-G, although the latter binds at more than half-a-ribosome distance away, thus underscoring the importance of this allosteric link.
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