AZT resistance of simian foamy virus reverse transcriptase is based on the excision of AZTMP in the presence of ATP
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Abstract
Azidothymidine (AZT, zidovudine) is one of the few nucleoside inhibitors known to inhibit foamy virus replication. We have shown previously that up to four mutations in the reverse transcriptase gene of simian foamy virus from macaque (SFVmac) are necessary to confer high resistance against AZT. To characterize the mechanism of AZT resistance we expressed two recombinant reverse transcriptases of highly AZT-resistant SFVmac in Escherichia coli harboring three (K211I, S345T, E350K) or four (K211I, I224T, S345T, E350K) mutations in the reverse transcriptase gene. Our analyses show that the polymerization activity of these mutants is impaired. In contrast to the AZT-resistant reverse transcriptase of HIV-1, the AZT resistant enzymes of SFVmac reveal differences in their kinetic properties. The SFVmac enzymes exhibit lower specific activities on poly(rA)/oligo(dT) and higher $K_m$-values for polymerization but no change in $K_D$-values for DNA/DNA or RNA/DNA substrates. The AZT resistance of the mutant enzymes is based on the excision of the incorporated inhibitor in the presence of ATP. The additional amino acid change of the quadruple mutant appears to be important for regaining polymerization efficiency.

Introduction
Foamy viruses belong to the retroviridae but follow a replication pattern unique among retroviruses: (i) reverse transcription occurs before the virus leaves the host cell, (ii) the pol-gene is expressed from a separate mRNA and (iii) the viral protease is not cleaved off the Pol-polyprotein. Only the integrase is removed from Pol. Thus, the FV reverse transcriptase (PR–RT) harbors a protease, polymerase and RNase H domain.

Apart from the nucleoside inhibitor tenofovir, only azidothymidine (AZT, zidovudine) is known to inhibit FV reverse transcriptase in vivo in cell culture assays at concentrations as low as 5 μM (4-6). We have shown recently that four point mutations involving the amino acids 211 (K211I), 224 (I224T), 345 (S345T) and 350 (E350K) located in the PR–RT gene are involved in AZT resistance of SFVmac. The fully resistant SFVmac virus harboring all four mutations was able to replicate in the presence of 1 mM AZT (7). While AZT resistance in HIV-1 is based on the excision of incorporated AZT-monophosphate (AZTMP), AZT-resistant HIV-2 can distinguish between AZT-triphosphate (AZTTP) and TTP during incorporation (8-11).

For FVs, the resistance mechanism is not known. To elucidate the mechanism of AZT resistance we set out to express partially and fully AZT-resistant SFVmac PR–RTs harboring either three or all four AZT resistance mutations in Escherichia coli. We were able to show that the mechanism of AZT resistance in SFVmac PR–RTs is based on AZTMP excision from a terminated primer in the presence of ATP. Although the resistant PR–RTs are impaired in their polymerase activities, the faster excision of AZTMP in the presence of ATP confers high resistance against AZT. The I224 mutation appears to be primarily important for regaining polymerization activities for efficient viral replication.

Materials and methods
Cloning, expression and purification of PR–RTs
The wild-type PR–RT gene was cloned into the vector pET28c (Novagen, Germany) via PCR amplification and by using the restriction sites XhoI and NcoI.
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The expressed proteins contain a 6× His tag at the C-terminus. To avoid degradation of the PR–RT by autocatalytic activity of the PR, a mutant enzyme was constructed harboring an active site mutation in the PR region (D24A), which leads to an inactive PR. Thus the AZT-resistant mutants also contain the D24A mutation in the PR. The AZT-resistance mutations were created by site-directed mutagenesis according to the QuickChange kit from Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany). The following potentially AZT-resistant PR–RT mutants were obtained:

\textbf{mt3}: (D24A) K211I, S345T, E350K

\textbf{mt4}: (D24A) K211I, I224T, S345T, E350K

The activities of the mutants were compared to wild-type PR–RT either without or with the PR D24A mutation (WT and WT*, respectively).

The corresponding plasmids were transformed into the \textit{E. coli} strain Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen, Germany). Expression of the PR–RT genes was induced at an optical density of the culture of ca. 0.8–1.0 at 600 nm by the addition of 0.2 mM IPTG and incubated further overnight at 25°C. The enzymes were purified via Ni-affinity chromatography (HiTrap, GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany), followed by chromatography over a heparin column (HiTrap heparin, GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany), followed by chromatography over a heparin column (HiTrap heparin, GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany). The integrity of the proteins was verified by peptide mass fingerprints (Zentrale Bioanalytik, Zentrum für Molekulare Medizin, Köln, Germany). The purity of the proteins was >95% as judged by SDS-PAGE.

Quantitative polymerization assay

RNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity was quantitated on a poly(rA)/oligo(dT)$_{15}$ substrate (0.2 U/ml) (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) in a standard assay (30 μl reaction volume) as described previously (12,13) with 150 μM TTP and 41.7 Ci/ml $^{32}$P-ATP with 2 U T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) for 1 h at 37°C. After inactivation of the kinase for 20 min at 65°C the primer was purified via a MicroSpin column (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany).

Chain termination assay

Chain termination assays were performed using single-stranded M13mp18 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The $^5$-$^32$P-labeled M13 primer was hybridized to a 1.2-fold molar excess of the M13 DNA in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0 and 80 mM KCl by heating to 95°C for 2 min, followed by a transfer to a heating block at 70°C and slow cooling to room temperature. Reaction mixtures contained 6 nM of primer/template substrate (P/T), 85 nM of PR–RT, 150 μM of each dNTP and increasing concentrations of AZTTP (GeneCraft GmbH, Lüdinghausen, Germany) in a total volume of 10 μl. After a pre-incubation time of 5 min, reactions were carried out for 10 min at 37°C in reaction buffer (see above). Reactions were stopped by adding 10 μl of urea loading buffer [1 mM EDTA, 0.1% xylene cyanole, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 8 M urea in 1 x TBE (Tris/Borate/EDTA)] and analyzed by denaturing gel electrophoresis (10% polyacrylamide, 7 M urea). The reaction products were visualized by autoradiography or phosphoimaging and quantitated by densitometry using a phosphoimaging device (FLA 3000, raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany).

Fluorescence anisotropy measurements

Fluorescence equilibrium titrations were performed to determine the dissociation constants ($K_D$) for nucleic acid binding with a 24/40-mer DNA/DNA or DNA/RNA P/T substrate with the following sequences for the primer

\begin{align*}
5’-\text{ATCACCGAGAGGGAAAAAGCGGA and template } 5’-\text{DY647-CATATTCGGTTTCCCCTCTCTCTG \, GTGATCCTTTCCATCC (biomers.net GmbH, Ulm, Germany).}
\end{align*}

The RNA template sequence was identical, containing U instead of T. The templates harbored the fluorescent dye DY647 at their 5’ ends. Titrations were performed in fluorescence buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0; 80 mM KCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT) in a total volume of 1 or 2 ml using a 10 x 4 mm quartz cuvette (Hellma GmbH, Mühlheim, Germany). The excitation wavelength was at 552 nm, and the emission intensity was measured at 573 nm. Slit widths were set at 4.9 and 5.0 nm for excitation and emission, respectively. All anisotropy measurements were performed at 25°C with 15 nM of fluorescently labeled P/T using an L-format Jobin-Yvon Horiba Fluoromax fluorimeter equipped with an automatic titration device (Hamilton). Following sample equilibration, at least six data points with an integration time of 1 s were collected for each titration point.

Data fitting. Data were fitted to a two-component binding equation to determine the equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_D$) using standard software. The anisotropy was calculated from:

\[ A = f_{\text{complex}} A_{\text{complex}} + f_{\text{RNA}} A_{\text{RNA}} \]
where \( A \), \( A_{\text{complex}} \) and \( A_{\text{RNA}} \) represent the anisotropy values and \( I_{\text{complex}} \), \( I_{\text{RNA}} \) the fractional intensities. The change in fluorescence intensity has to be taken into account, so that the fraction bound is given by:

\[
rac{[\text{complex}]}{[\text{RNA}]_0} = \frac{A - A_{\text{RNA}}}{(A - A_{\text{RNA}}) + R(A_{\text{complex}} - A)}
\]

with

\[
[\text{complex}] = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( K_D + \sqrt{K_D^2 + 4 K_D [P_0 + [\text{RNA}]_0]} \right) \right]
\]

\[
- \sqrt{K_D^2 + 4 K_D [P_0 + [\text{RNA}]_0] - 4[P_0][\text{RNA}]_0}
\]

where \( A \) is the anisotropy, \( A_{\text{RNA}} \) is the initial free anisotropy, \( A_{\text{complex}} \) is the anisotropy of the protein–RNA complex and \( P_0 \) and \( [\text{RNA}]_0 \) represent the total protein and RNA concentrations, respectively. \( R \) is the ratio of intensities of the bound and free forms.

**Termination of the radioactively labeled P/T with AZTTP**

The \([^{32}\text{P}]\) end labeled \( P_{30} \) DNA primer was hybridized to a template deoxyligionucleotide \( T_{50} \) (5'-GCTGTGAAAA TCTCATGAGAGCGCCCGAACAGGGACGCCA TTACACG) (IBA; Göttingen, Germany) as described for the M13 DNA and used for incorporation of AZTTP. \( P_{30}/T_{50} \) measuring 100 nM were mixed with 100 \( \mu \)M AZTTP and 150 nM WT PR–RT in reaction buffer and incubated for 2 h at 37\(^\circ\)C. After phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, the P/T substrate was purified over two MicroSpin columns (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany) to eliminate protein and excess AZTTP.

**Excision assay**

Ten nanomolar of the \([^{32}\text{P}]\) \( P_{30} \)-AZTMP/T\(_{50}\) substrate were incubated with 20 nM PR–RT in a volume of 10 \( \mu \)l in reaction buffer for the times indicated. Either 150 \( \mu \)M of Na-pyrophosphate (PP\(_d\)) or 5 mM of ATP was present in the mixture. Reactions were started by the addition of enzyme. Where stated, the samples were pre-incubated for 5 min with 0.02 U of pyrophosphatase (Sigma–Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany). When different concentrations of PR–RTs were tested, the reactions were stopped after 20 min. An equal volume of urea loading buffer was added and the products were analyzed as stated above on denaturing polyacrylamide urea gels.

**Primer rescue**

One hundred micromolar of dCTP, dGTP, TTP and ddATP was added to the samples with 5 mM ATP described above to allow for elongation by 4 nt once the AZTMP is excised. Samples were pre-incubated for 5 min with 0.02 U of pyrophosphatase before the reaction was started with 40 nM of PR–RT. Reactions were stopped after 10 min and treated further as described above.

**Table 1.** Quantitative analysis of RNA-dependent DNA polymerase activities on a homopolymeric substrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>U/μg protein *10 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>30.9 (± 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT*</td>
<td>31.5 (± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mt3</td>
<td>11.6 (± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mt4</td>
<td>24.6 (± 0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities are given in units per microgram of protein, where 1 U catalyzes the incorporation of 1 nmol TTP in poly(rA)/oligo(dT)\(_{15}\) in 10 min at 37\(^\circ\)C.

**RESULTS**

We have shown previously that it is possible to generate AZT-resistant SFVmac in cell culture which is able to replicate in medium containing 1 mM AZT (7). Four mutations were necessary to confer high resistance to the virus: \( \text{K211I, I224T, S345T and E350K} \). Since one published genomic sequence of wild-type SFVmac already harbors a threonine at position 224 of Pol and since several other primate FV Pol proteins also possess a threonine at position 224, the wild-type SFVmac might have a polymorphism at this site. Thus, we decided to analyze a triple mutant PR–RT lacking the \( \text{I224T mutation [mt3]} \) \( \text{(D24A, K211I, S345T and E350K)} \) as well as the quadruple mutant harboring \( \text{I224T [mt4] (D24A, K211I, I224T, S345T and E350K)} \). To avoid autoprocessing of the PR domain, the enzymes also contained a \( \text{D24A amino acid exchange in the active site of the PR} \). Our data below indicate that this mutation does not influence the polymerization activities of the mutants. The purified enzymes were used to determine kinetic parameters of polymerization and to analyze the AZT resistance mechanism.

**Polymerization activities**

In order to characterize the AZT-resistant PR–RT enzymes we performed various polymerization assays. First, the specific activities of the enzymes were determined by observing the \( ^{3}\text{H}-\text{TTP incorporation into poly(rA)/oligo(dT)}_{15} \) (Table 1). Our results indicate that the \( \text{D24A mutation of the WT* does not interfere with polymerization activities} \). Furthermore, the activity of mt3 is reduced to \( \sim 38\% \) of WT activity, whereas the additional mutation \( \text{I224T of mt4 helps this enzyme to regain activity (80\% of WT)} \). These effects are even more pronounced regarding the replication activity of the corresponding mutant viruses (7): the virus replication activity of the virus containing mt3 was severely reduced (8.6\% of WT) whereas the virus containing mt4 displayed a replication activity similar to the WT virus (113\% of WT).

**Dissociation constants**

As shown above, polymerization activities of the two mutants are impaired. Since this might have an impact on AZT resistance, we wanted to analyze some kinetic parameters. To check if the reduced polymerization activity is due to changes in the affinity for nucleic acids,
**Materials and methods** section describing the binding equilibrium PR–RTs at 25°C. 

We determined the $K_D$-values for nucleic acid binding. Measurements were performed using fluorescence anisotropy titrations with 24/40mer DNA/RNA or DNA/DNA P/T substrate with a 32P-end labeled DNA-primer for polymerization in the absence of inhibitor or in the presence of 5 and 50 μM AZTTP. We performed polymerization assays on poly(rA)/oligo(dT)$_{15}$ in the presence of increasing AZTTP concentrations up to 150 μM (Figure 2A). The TTP concentration was kept constant (150 μM) in all assays. Our data indicate that mt3 and mt4 do not exhibit AZT resistance in this assay.

Figure 1. Determination of $K_D$-values by fluorescence anisotropy measurements. Fifteen nanomolar of a fluorescently labeled DNA/DNA (A) or DNA/RNA (B) P/T substrate was titrated with different PR–RTs at 25°C. The curves show the best fit to Equation (3) (‘Materials and methods’ section) describing the binding equilibrium with $K_D$-values shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Parameters for P/T binding and the incorporation of dNTPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$K_D$ DNA/RNA (nM)</th>
<th>$K_D$ DNA/DNA (nM)</th>
<th>$K_M$ (μM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>32.4 (± 4.2)</td>
<td>36.4 (± 2.4)</td>
<td>40.1 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>29.6 (± 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT*</td>
<td>30.4 (± 2.4)</td>
<td>44.0 (± 3.7)</td>
<td>40.3 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>29.6 (± 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mt3</td>
<td>28.3 (± 2.7)</td>
<td>39.5 (± 3.0)</td>
<td>103.0 (± 16.0)</td>
<td>25.8 (± 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mt4</td>
<td>31.3 (± 3.2)</td>
<td>42.4 (± 3.0)</td>
<td>112.0 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>30.1 (± 3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_D$-values were obtained by using Equation (3) to fit a curve to the titration data (see ‘Materials and Methods section’). $K_M$ and $V_{max}$-values were determined by Eadie–Hofstee plots.

AZT-resistance mutations influence substrate binding. The affinity for the DNA/RNA substrate appears to be slightly higher than for DNA/DNA.

**Determination of $K_M$ and $v_{max}$ values**

This analysis was performed using poly(rA)/oligo(dT)$_{15}$ as a substrate (Table 2). Both AZT-resistant enzymes, mt3 and mt4, revealed elevated $K_M$-values as compared to the two WT proteins. However, the $v_{max}$ value of mt4 is higher than that of mt3 and comparable to the WT proteins, indicating that mt4 is able to exhibit similar polymerization activities like the WT at saturating dNTP concentrations. This might explain the high virus replication activities observed with mt4 containing virus in cell culture assays (7).

**Polymerization in the presence of AZTTP**

Two mechanisms for AZT resistance have been described. HIV-2 RT controls the incorporation of the inhibitor nucleotide AZTTP (11), whereas for HIV-1 RT excision of the incorporated AZTMP has been recognized as the mechanism of resistance (8–10). Thus, we first analyzed the polymerization behavior of the enzymes in the presence of AZTTP to check for incorporation control. We performed polymerization assays on poly(rA)/oligo(dT)$_{15}$ in the presence of increasing AZTTP concentrations up to 150 μM (Figure 2A). The TTP concentration was kept constant (150 μM) in all assays. Our data indicate that mt3 and mt4 do not exhibit AZT resistance in this assay.

We then used the heteropolymeric single-stranded M13 substrate with a 32P-end labeled DNA-primer for polymerization in the absence of inhibitor or in the presence of 5 and 50 μM AZTTP and analyzed the polymerization products on denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Figure 2B). As already described for the homopolymeric substrate, all enzymes are sensitive to AZTTP addition in the M13 assay. This result is reminiscent of HIV-1 RT (14), where the AZT resistance was also not visible in steady-state polymerization assays or during pre-steady-state analyses and could only be detected with an AZTMP-terminated P/T substrate (8–10). Our results indicate that the resistance mechanism of SFVmac PR–RTs is not comparable to HIV-2 RT where discrimination between the inhibitor and TTP takes place during incorporation (11).

**AZTMP excision form a terminated primer**

For HIV-1 RT, it has been shown previously that AZTMP can be excised from an AZTMP-terminated P/T substrate in the presence of PP$i$, or ATP (8–10). We thus tested these possibilities.

The 32P-end labeled and AZTMP-terminated substrate P$_{50}$-AZTMP/T$_{50}$ was incubated with 150 μM Na-PP$i$, or 5 mM ATP and PR–RT. Time course experiments were performed (Figures 3 and 4) and aliquots were analyzed on denaturing sequencing gels and quantified by densitometry. Our data indicate that in the presence of PP$i$, the WT* PR–RT can excise AZTMP from the terminated primer with similar efficiency as mt3 or mt4 (Figure 3B). Obviously, the ability to perform the reverse reaction of
nucleotide incorporation is an intrinsic property of RTs and might be used as a general proof reading function.

In contrast, when ATP is added (Figure 4), even after an incubation time of 20 min the WT/C3 enzyme does not exhibit significant AZTMP removal activity, whereas mt3 and mt4 are able to excise AZTMP efficiently. To exclude an influence of PPi in the excision reaction, an additional assay was performed after pre-incubating the reaction mix with pyrophosphatase (Figure 4B). The results shown in Figure 4A and B look very similar, indicating that only insignificant amounts of PPi were present in the reactions. Quantification of the data of Figure 4B by densitometry (Figure 4C) demonstrates that the excision reactions of mt3 and mt4 are much faster than that of the WT*. However, they slow down when about 10% of the incorporated AZTMP is eliminated from the primer. This might be due to product inhibition by AZTTP4A (15). These data clearly indicate that AZTMP excision in the presence of ATP is the valid mechanism for AZT resistance of SFVmac.

To substantiate our results, we performed the excision reactions with ATP using increasing concentrations of enzyme (Figure 5). The assays were also pre-incubated with pyrophosphatase. Again, our results demonstrate very clearly that in the presence of ATP the mutant enzymes are more efficient in AZTMP removal than the WT*. Furthermore, in the case of the mutants, large excess of enzyme leads to the excision of more than one nucleotide.

**Primer rescue**

The data delineated above are further confirmed by testing the enzymes in the presence of dNTPs to allow for extension of the primer after AZTMP removal (Figure 6). The assay was performed in the presence of ATP and pyrophosphatase. Due to the addition of ddATP, elongation of the primer comes to a halt after the incorporation of 4 nt. Figure 6 shows that only mt3 or mt4 can rescue DNA synthesis, whereas the WT* enzyme is not able to extend the primer.

We thus conclude that AZT resistance of SFVmac is due to AZTMP removal by ATP. Furthermore, the AZTMP excision activity obtained with the triple mutant is comparable to that of mt4. This data indicates that the
I224T change of mt4 is not important for the AZT-resistance mechanism but is necessary to improve the polymerization efficiency.

DISCUSSION

We have shown previously that SFVmac can gain resistance to the nucleoside inhibitor AZT (7). Here, we analyzed the corresponding mutated PR–RTs to elucidate the mechanism of AZT resistance. Our results obtained with purified SFVmac PR–RTs demonstrate that in the case of SFVmac the AZT-resistance mechanism is due to AZTMP removal in the presence of ATP. Remarkably, mt3 which exhibited severely impaired polymerization activities on homo- and heteropolymeric substrates (Table 1 and Figure 2) also shows higher AZTMP excision activities than the WT enzyme when ATP is present in the reaction (Figures 4–6). Although mt3 and mt4 are also able to excise AZTMP in the presence of PPi (Figure 3) the WT PR–RT exhibits similar efficiency in this reaction, indicating that this cannot be the mechanism of AZT resistance.

Interestingly, compared to the WT SFVmac PR–RTs, mt3 and mt4 exhibit differences in kinetic parameters. This is also noteworthy since the AZT-resistant HIV-1 RT did not differ from the WT HIV-1 RT in its kinetic parameters (14,16–18). The $K_M$ values of the mutant SFVmac PR–RTs are about 2.5-fold higher than those of the WT PR–RTs. While mt3 also shows a reduced value for $v_{max}$, the I224T mutation of mt4 is obviously responsible for an increase of $v_{max}$ similar to that of the WT levels (Table 2), implying that if saturating dNTP concentrations are present in infected cells, reverse transcription will not be greatly impaired in SFVmac viruses harboring mt4. This result indicates that the mutation I224T is important for viral fitness since it can reconstitute the polymerization activity of mt4 in SFVmac-infected cells (7).

It has been demonstrated previously that the RTs of HIV-1 and HIV-2 use different mechanisms for AZT resistance. HIV-2 can discriminate between AZTTP and TTP during nucleotide incorporation (11). In contrast, although certain HIV-1 RT mutations confer a 100-fold decrease in the sensitivity to AZT in vivo (19,20), this effect could not be demonstrated in in vitro assays (14,16–18), indicating that HIV-1 RT is not able to discriminate between AZTTP and TTP. In fact, the mechanism appears to be due to a removal of the chain terminating AZTMP residue after it has been incorporated in the DNA chain. The mutations involved in the enhanced excision of
Figure 7. Sequence alignment of the relevant regions from the HIV-1 and SFVmac RT domains. The amino acids conferring AZT resistance are indicated by filled gray boxes. The numbers represent the amino acid numbering in the HIV-1 RT and SFVmac PR–RT domains. The amino acids conferring AZT resistance in HIV-1 RT are M41L, D67N, K70R, T215Y/F (Figure 7) (8–10,21,22). Removal of the inhibitor was suggested to be accomplished by two mechanisms that use different substrates to carry out the reaction. AZT breakdown can take place either in the presence of PPi or ATP. The chemistry involved in pyrophosphorolysis and the ribonucleotide-dependent phosphorolysis reaction is similar. Removal of the chain-terminating AZT results from nucleophilic attack of a phosphohydroxide bond between the last but one nucleotide and the AZTMP. In case of PPi, this leads to removal of the 3′ AZTMP by creating AZTTP.

There is evidence that the phosphate donor in the excision reaction of AZT-resistant HIV-1 RT is ATP, leading to an ATP-AZTMP dinucleotide-tetraphosphate (adenosine-3′-azido-3′-deoxythymidine-5′-5′-tetraphosphate, AZTpt4A). For HIV-1 RT it was concluded from biochemical and structural data that the exchange of T215 to an aromatic residue (T215F/Y) enhances binding of ATP, but not PPi, thus facilitating excision. The model suggests that in the AZT-resistant enzyme, the adenine moiety of the incoming ATP makes π–π interactions with the aromatic ring of the mutated amino acid (22–26).

Our results obtained with SFVmac PR–RT are especially interesting when comparing the AZT-resistance mutations of HIV-1 RT and SFVmac PR–RT since in the latter enzyme no mutation leading to an aromatic side chain is present. In addition, sequence alignments of the polymerase domains of HIV-1 and SFVmac reveal that the amino acid exchanges obtained with SFVmac are not the ones corresponding to the exchanges in HIV-1 RT (Figure 7). Furthermore, although the homology between PFV and SFVmac is around 90%, introduction of the SFVmac RT mutations into PFV did not result in AZT-resistant viruses (7).

These findings might indicate structural differences between HIV-1 and SFVmac RTs. This appears to be plausible since the RT domains of HIV-1 and SFVmac are phylogenetically rather distantly related (27). Thus, the interpretation of alignment data is also rather difficult. In addition, differences in the mechanism of ATP binding and/or ATP-mediated excision are possible. Structural analysis of WT and AZT-resistant SFVmac PR–RT are under way and will help to elucidate the differences between WT and mutant PR–RTs and also between HIV-1 and SFVmac.
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