Interaction of human tRNA-dihydouridine synthase-2 with interferon-induced protein kinase PKR
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ABSTRACT

PKR is an interferon (IFN)-induced protein kinase, which is involved in regulation of antiviral innate immunity, stress signaling, cell proliferation and programmed cell death. Although a low amount of PKR is expressed ubiquitously in all cell types in the absence of IFNs, PKR expression is induced at transcriptional level by IFN. PKR's enzymatic activity is activated by its binding to one of its activators. Double-stranded (ds) RNA, protein activator PACT and heparin are the three known activators of PKR. Activation of PKR in cells leads to a general block in protein synthesis due to phosphorylation of eIF2α on serine 51 by PKR. PKR activation is regulated very tightly in mammalian cells and a prolonged activation of PKR leads to apoptosis. Thus, positive and negative regulation of PKR activation is important for cell viability and function. The studies presented here describe human dihydouridine synthase-2 (hDUS2) as a novel regulator of PKR. We originally identified hDUS2 as a protein interacting with PACT in a yeast two-hybrid screen. Further characterization revealed that hDUS2 also interacts with PKR through its dsRNA binding/dimerization domain and inhibits its kinase activity. Our results suggest that hDUS2 may act as a novel inhibitor of PKR in cells.

INTRODUCTION

Interferons (IFNs) are cytokines with antiviral, antiproliferative and immunomodulatory properties, which they exert by inducing synthesis of several proteins (1,2). The IFN-induced, dsRNA-activated protein kinase PKR, a serine/threonine kinase, is a major mediator of the antiproliferative and antiviral actions of IFN (3–6). Although induced at the transcriptional level by IFNs, PKR is present at a low, basal level in most cell types (7). PKR's kinase activity stays latent until it binds to one of its three known activators, double-stranded (ds) RNA, heparin and the protein activator PACT. In virally infected cells, dsRNA produced during viral replication or viral RNAs with extensive ds regions serve as PKR activators (8,9). Polyanionic agents such as heparin also activate PKR both in vitro (10) and in vivo (11). In addition, PACT is a cellular, protein activator of PKR, which heterodimerizes with PKR and activates it in the absence of dsRNA (12,13), thereby playing an important role in PKR activation in response to stress signals (14). The 2-subunit of the eukaryotic protein synthesis initiation factor eIF2 (eIF2α) is the most studied physiological substrate of PKR. Phosphorylation of eIF2α on Ser51 by PKR leads to an inhibition of protein synthesis (15,16). In addition to its central role in antiviral activity of IFNs, PKR is also involved in the regulation of apoptosis (17,18), cell proliferation (4,5), signal transduction (19–21) and differentiation (22,23).

The dsRNA-mediated activation of PKR has been characterized in detail (24–29). The dsRNA-binding domain (dsRBD) of PKR is composed of two copies of the dsRNA-binding motif (dsRBM), a sequence motif conserved in many RNA-binding proteins (30,31). Binding of dsRNA to PKR through these motifs causes a conformational change (32,33) that leads to an unmasking of the ATP-binding site in the kinase domain and results in autophosphorylation of PKR on several sites (34–36). The domains that are involved in dsRNA binding are also involved in mediating dimerization of PKR, which is essential for its kinase activity in the presence of dsRNA (37–40). Although the same domain mediates PKR's dsRNA binding and dimerization, distinct residues have been identified that contribute to one or both of these properties (40). Heparin binds to PKR at a site that is nonoverlapping with PKR's dsRBD and leads to PKR activation (41,42). Activation of PKR by heparin in vascular smooth muscle cells leads to an...
arrest in cell cycle progression by causing elevation in p27kip1 protein levels, inhibition of Cdk2 activity and Rb phosphorylation (43). PACT interacts with PKR by binding to its dsRBD in a dsRNA-independent manner and activates PKR in response to cellular stress (14). Stress signals lead to phosphorylation of PACT at serine 287, which causes its higher affinity association with PKR leading to PKR activation, eIF2α phosphorylation and consequent inhibition of translation (44). PACT has three copies of dsRNA binding/dimerization motifs and the two amino-terminal copies are required for high-affinity binding to PKR (45). The third, carboxy-terminal motif is direct contact with PKR's catalytic domain (45,46).

Several cellular and viral inhibitors of PKR have been identified (47). P58IPK, the trans-activation response (TAR) RNA-binding protein (TRBP), nucleophosmin (NPM) and several virally encoded proteins inhibit PKR activity. Since PKR activation leading to eIF2α phosphorylation and inhibition of translation would be detrimental to viral replication, various viruses have developed efficient mechanisms to inhibit PKR activation (9,48,49). In this article, we report the identification of hDUS2 as a novel cellular PKR inhibitor. hDUS2 was identified as a PKR- and PACT-interacting protein using PACT as a bait protein in yeast two-hybrid screen. hDUS2 was reported recently to be the human homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae dihydrouridine synthase 2 (Dus2) enzyme (50). It was also shown to possess rRNA-dihydrouridine synthase (DUS) activity. hDUS2 has one copy of the conserved dsRBM and our results indicate that hDUS2 interacts with PKR and PACT via its dsRBM. Binding of hDUS2 with PKR resulted in an inhibition of PKR activity both in vitro as well as in mammalian cells. Furthermore, hDUS2 overexpression inhibited stress-induced apoptosis in HT1080 cells indicating that it acts as an important negative regulator of PKR activity in cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast two-hybrid screening for PACT-interacting proteins**

The PACT coding region expressed as a GAL DNA-binding domain fusion protein was used as bait. A total of 3 million transformants from a human placenta match-maker library (Clontech) were screened in the yeast strain HF7c (Clontech) and 250 colonies were recovered on triple dropout medium lacking histidine, leucine and tryptophan, out of which 22 tested positive for β-galactosidase activity. A DNA clone was identified from this library. The cDNA clone revealed that this clone originated from three unrelated genes. There were 14 clones isolated that coded for the PACT protein and the other three encoded a protein that has been characterized as a zinc finger protein just another zinc-finger (JAZ) that interacts with PKR.

**β-Galactosidase reporter assay in yeast**

HF7c yeast reporter strain containing the LacZ-Gal4-inducible gene was co-transformed with the indicated plasmids and plated on selective medium lacking tryptophan and leucine. Double transformants were streaked on selective medium, replica-lifted on nitrocellulose filters and tested for β-galactosidase activity (51).

**Cloning full-length hDUS2 open reading frame**

The full-length open reading frame of hDUS2 was amplified by RT–PCR using the sequence information from Genbank and the appropriate primers. The full-length open reading frame was then sub-cloned into pGBKKT7 and pGADT7 (Clontech) vectors, and pCDNA3.1(–) (Invitrogen) vector. Cloning in pCDNA3.1(–) vector was designed such that it would add a flag tag at the amino-terminus of hDUS2.

**In vitro protein–protein interaction assay**

In vitro translated, 35S-labeled hDUS2 protein was synthesized using the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte system from Promega. Five microliters of the in vitro translated 35S-labeled proteins were incubated with 1 μg of pure recombinant PACT or PKR and 20 μl of Ni-agarose (Novagen) in 200 μl of binding buffer [5 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.5% IGEPAL (Sigma)] at 25°C for 30 min on a rotating wheel. The beads were washed four times in 500 μl of binding buffer each time and the washed beads were then boiled in 20 μl Laemmli buffer (150 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 5% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol) for 2 min and eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS–PAGE on a 12% gel. Fluorography was performed at −80°C with intensifying screens.

**dsRNA binding assay**

The in vitro translated, 35S-labeled PKR, PACT and hDUS2 proteins were synthesized using the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate system from Promega. The dsRNA-binding activity was measured by poly(I)–poly(C)–agarose binding assay. The translation products (4 μl) diluted with 25 μl of binding buffer (20 μM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 0.3 M NaCl (or 50 mM NaCl), 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.5% NP-40, 10% glycerol) were mixed with 25 μl of poly(I)–poly(C)–agarose (Pharmacia) beads and incubated at 30°C for 30 min with intermittent shaking. The beads were then washed four times with 500 μl of binding buffer each time. The proteins bound to beads after washing were analyzed by SDS–PAGE followed by fluorography.

**Expression in mammalian cells and co-immunoprecipitation assay**

HeLa cells were co-transfected in 100 mm culture dishes with 5 μg each of (i) negative control: HA-B56/z/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–), (ii) HA-PKR/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–) or (iii) HA-PACT/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–) using the Effectene (Qiagen) reagent.

In this article, we report the identification of hDUS2 as a novel cellular PKR inhibitor. hDUS2 was identified as a PKR- and PACT-interacting protein using PACT as a bait protein in yeast two-hybrid screen. hDUS2 was reported recently to be the human homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae dihydrouridine synthase 2 (Dus2) enzyme (50). It was also shown to possess rRNA-dihydrouridine synthase (DUS) activity. hDUS2 has one copy of the conserved dsRBM and our results indicate that hDUS2 interacts with PKR and PACT via its dsRBM. Binding of hDUS2 with PKR resulted in an inhibition of PKR activity both in vitro as well as in mammalian cells. Furthermore, hDUS2 overexpression inhibited stress-induced apoptosis in HT1080 cells indicating that it acts as an important negative regulator of PKR activity in cells.

**Yeast two-hybrid screening for PACT-interacting proteins**

The PACT coding region expressed as a GAL DNA-binding domain fusion protein was used as bait. A total of 3 million transformants from a human placenta match-maker library (Clontech) were screened in the yeast strain HF7c (Clontech) and 250 colonies were recovered on triple dropout medium lacking histidine, leucine and tryptophan, out of which 22 tested positive for β-galactosidase reaction. These clones were subjected to a second screen to ensure that they gave a positive β-galactosidase reaction in a manner specific for co-expression of PACT. One of these clones (hDUS2), which did not contain a full-length cDNA insert, was analyzed further. Sequence analysis of the cDNA clones revealed that they originated from three unrelated genes. There were 14 clones isolated that coded for the PACT protein and the other three encoded a protein that has been characterized as a zinc finger protein just another zinc-finger (JAZ) that interacts with PKR.

**β-Galactosidase reporter assay in yeast**

HF7c yeast reporter strain containing the LacZ-Gal4-inducible gene were co-transformed with the indicated plasmids and plated on selective medium lacking tryptophan and leucine. Double transformants were streaked on selective medium, replica-lifted on nitrocellulose filters and tested for β-galactosidase activity (51).

**Cloning full-length hDUS2 open reading frame**

The full-length open reading frame of hDUS2 was amplified by RT–PCR using the sequence information from Genbank and the appropriate primers. The full-length open reading frame was then sub-cloned into pGBKKT7 and pGADT7 (Clontech) vectors, and pCDNA3.1(–) (Invitrogen) vector. Cloning in pCDNA3.1(–) vector was designed such that it would add a flag tag at the amino-terminus of hDUS2.

**In vitro protein–protein interaction assay**

In vitro translated, 35S-labeled hDUS2 protein was synthesized using the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate system from Promega. Five microliters of the in vitro translated 35S-labeled proteins were incubated with 1 μg of pure recombinant PACT or PKR and 20 μl of Ni-agarose (Novagen) in 200 μl of binding buffer (5 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.5% IGEPAL (Sigma)) at 25°C for 30 min on a rotating wheel. The beads were washed four times in 500 μl of binding buffer each time and the washed beads were then boiled in 20 μl Laemmli buffer (150 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 5% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol) for 2 min and eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS–PAGE on a 12% gel. Fluorography was performed at −80°C with intensifying screens.

**dsRNA binding assay**

The in vitro translated, 35S-labeled PKR, PACT and hDUS2 proteins were synthesized using the TNT T7 coupled reticulocyte lysate system from Promega. The dsRNA-binding activity was measured by poly(I)–poly(C)–agarose binding assay. The translation products (4 μl) diluted with 25 μl of binding buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 0.3 M NaCl (or 50 mM NaCl), 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTG, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.5% NP-40, 10% glycerol) were mixed with 25 μl of poly(I)–poly(C)–agarose (Pharmacia) beads and incubated at 30°C for 30 min with intermittent shaking. The beads were then washed four times with 500 μl of binding buffer each time. The proteins bound to beads after washing were analyzed by SDS–PAGE followed by fluorography.

**Expression in mammalian cells and co-immunoprecipitation assay**

HeLa cells were co-transfected in 100 mm culture dishes with 5 μg each of (i) negative control: HA-B56/z/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–), (ii) HA-PKR/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–) or (iii) HA-PACT/pCDNA3.1(–) and flag-hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(–) using the Effectene (Qiagen) reagent.
At 24 h post-transfection, cell extracts were prepared. Cells were washed in ice-cold PBS and collected by centrifugation at 600 g for 5 min. They were lysed by addition of an equal volume of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 100 U/ml aprotinin, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100). The lysates were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 5 min and the supernatants were collected as total cell extract. One hundred micrograms of total protein was used for immunoprecipitation of flag-hDUS2 with anti-flag mAb–agarose in immunoprecipitation buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 100 U/ml aprotinin, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100). The agarose beads were washed four times with 500 µl of IP buffer each time. The proteins bound to the beads were then analyzed by a western blot analysis with the anti-HA tag and anti-flag tag monoclonal antibodies (Sigma).

**PKR kinase activity assay**

PKR activity assays were performed using an anti-PKR monoclonal antibody (R & D systems; 71/10). HeLa M cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum. The cells were harvested when they were at 70% confluency. Cells were washed in ice-cold PBS and collected by centrifugation at 600 g for 5 min. They were lysed by addition of an equal volume of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 400 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 100 U/ml aprotinin, 0.2 mM PMSF, 20% glycerol). The lysates were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 5 min and the supernatants were assayed for PKR activity. A 100 µg aliquot of total protein was immunoprecipitated using anti-PKR monoclonal antibody (71/10) in high-salt buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 100 U/ml aprotinin, 0.2 mM PMSF, 20% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100) at 4°C for 30 min on a rotating wheel. Then 10 µl of Protein A-Sepharose slurry was added and incubation was carried out for a further 1 h. The Protein A-Sepharose beads were washed four times in 500 µl of high-salt buffer and twice in activity buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM MnCl₂, 100 U/ml aprotinin, 0.1 mM PMSF, 5% glycerol). The PKR assay was performed with PKR still attached to the beads in activity buffer containing 0.1 mM ATP and 1 µCi of [γ-3²P] ATP at 30°C for 10 min. The standard activator of the enzyme was 0.1 µg/ml poly(I)-poly(C) or 0.116 pmol of pure PACT protein. Purified hDUS2 protein in varying amounts as indicated was added to test its effect on PKR activity. Labeled proteins were analyzed by SDS–PAGE on a 12% gel followed by autoradiography.

**Translation inhibition assay**

The effect of co-transfection of pCDNA3.1(−) empty vector, TRBP/pCDNA3.1(−) and hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(−) on the reporter pGL2-Control (Promega) in HeLa cells was measured as described before (52). HeLa cells were transfected in six-well plates in triplicate with the indicated plasmids using Effectene transfection reagent. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were treated with 100 U/ml of IFN-β. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and assayed for luciferase activity after normalizing for the transfection efficiency by measuring the total protein.

**Apoptosis assay**

HT1080 cells were grown to 50% confluency on coverslips in six-well plates and co-transfected with 500 ng of the indicated effector and 100 ng of pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) plasmid using the Effectene reagent. The cells were observed for green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorescence 24 h after transfection using an inverted fluorescence microscope, and were then treated with 0.1 µg/ml tumor necrosis factor (TNF) to induce ER stress and apoptosis. At 24 and 48 h after this treatment, the cells (coverslips) were washed twice with PBS and fixed in acetone:methanol (1:1, v/v) for 1 min, and were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) mounting medium containing DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). At least 300 fluorescent (GFP-positive) cells were counted as alive or dead, based on their morphology and nuclear DAPI staining. Intense DAPI staining indicates nuclear condensation, which is a hallmark of apoptosis. The experiment was repeated three times and the counting was done in a blinded manner to ascertain the validity of obtained numbers. The cells showing a normal flat morphology were scored as alive, and cells showing cell shrinkage, membrane blebbing, rounded morphology, partial detachment from the plate and chromatin condensation (intense DAPI stain) were counted as dead. Apoptosis (%) = (fluorescent cells with intense DAPI staining in the nucleus/total fluorescent cells) × 100.

**RESULTS**

**hDus2 interacts with both PACT and PKR**

Various stress signals induce cellular apoptosis via activation of PKR (18). PACT plays a central role in this pathway by functioning as an activator of PKR (13,14). In order to identify proteins that may regulate this stress-activated apoptotic pathway, we performed a yeast two-hybrid screen using PACT as a bait. Among the cDNA clones identified as the ones that encoded PACT-interacting proteins, there were 14 isolates of PACT, 3 isolates of JAZ and 1 isolate of hDUS2 (human dihydrouridine synthase-2). PACT is expected to interact with both PACT and PKR still attached to the beads in activity buffer containing 0.1 mM ATP and 1 µCi of [γ-3²P] ATP at 30°C for 10 min. The standard activator of the enzyme was 0.1 µg/ml poly(I)-poly(C) or 0.116 pmol of pure PACT protein. Purified hDUS2 protein in varying amounts as indicated was added to test its effect on PKR activity. Labeled proteins were analyzed by SDS–PAGE on a 12% gel followed by autoradiography.

The effect of co-transfection of pCDNA3.1(−) empty vector, TRBP/pCDNA3.1(−) and hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(−) on the reporter pGL2-Control (Promega) in HeLa cells was measured as described before (52). HeLa cells were transfected in six-well plates in triplicate with the indicated plasmids using Effectene transfection reagent. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were treated with 100 U/ml of IFN-β. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and assayed for luciferase activity after normalizing for the transfection efficiency by measuring the total protein.

**Apoptosis assay**

HT1080 cells were grown to 50% confluency on coverslips in six-well plates and co-transfected with 500 ng of the indicated effector and 100 ng of pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) plasmid using the Effectene reagent. The cells were observed for green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorescence 24 h after transfection using an inverted fluorescence microscope, and were then treated with 0.1 µg/ml tumor necrosis factor (TNF) to induce ER stress and apoptosis. At 24 and 48 h after this treatment, the cells (coverslips) were washed twice with PBS and fixed in acetone:methanol (1:1, v/v) for 1 min, and were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) mounting medium containing DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). At least 300 fluorescent (GFP-positive) cells were counted as alive or dead, based on their morphology and nuclear DAPI staining. Intense DAPI staining indicates nuclear condensation, which is a hallmark of apoptosis. The experiment was repeated three times and the counting was done in a blinded manner to ascertain the validity of obtained numbers. The cells showing a normal flat morphology were scored as alive, and cells showing cell shrinkage, membrane blebbing, rounded morphology, partial detachment from the plate and chromatin condensation (intense DAPI stain) were counted as dead. Apoptosis (%) = (fluorescent cells with intense DAPI staining in the nucleus/total fluorescent cells) × 100.

**RESULTS**

**hDus2 interacts with both PACT and PKR**

Various stress signals induce cellular apoptosis via activation of PKR (18). PACT plays a central role in this pathway by functioning as an activator of PKR (13,14). In order to identify proteins that may regulate this stress-activated apoptotic pathway, we performed a yeast two-hybrid screen using PACT as a bait. Among the cDNA clones identified as the ones that encoded PACT-interacting proteins, there were 14 isolates of PACT, 3 isolates of JAZ and 1 isolate of hDUS2 (human dihydrouridine synthase-2). PACT is expected to interact with both PACT and PKR still attached to the beads in activity buffer containing 0.1 mM ATP and 1 µCi of [γ-3²P] ATP at 30°C for 10 min. The standard activator of the enzyme was 0.1 µg/ml poly(I)-poly(C) or 0.116 pmol of pure PACT protein. Purified hDUS2 protein in varying amounts as indicated was added to test its effect on PKR activity. Labeled proteins were analyzed by SDS–PAGE on a 12% gel followed by autoradiography.

The effect of co-transfection of pCDNA3.1(−) empty vector, TRBP/pCDNA3.1(−) and hDUS2/pCDNA3.1(−) on the reporter pGL2-Control (Promega) in HeLa cells was measured as described before (52). HeLa cells were transfected in six-well plates in triplicate with the indicated
sequences that encoded the amino-terminal 320 amino acids. We obtained the full-length cDNA clone for hDUS2 based on the Genbank sequence information (FLJ20399, accession no. NM_017803) by performing RT–PCR on total RNA isolated from HeLa cells. The full-length open reading frame for hDUS2 was sub-cloned into the yeast two hybrid vectors pGBK77 and pGADT7 to obtain GAL4 DNA-binding domain fusion and GAL4 activation domain fusion, respectively. In order to confirm that the full-length hDUS2 protein can interact with PACT and to test if hDUS2 interacts with PKR we performed the yeast growth assay on triple drop-out medium lacking three amino acids: histidine, leucine and tryptophan. Growth on the triple drop-out medium indicates positive interaction between the two proteins that are being tested. As seen in Figure 1A, hDUS2 showed positive interaction in both combinations with PKR (sectors B and E), as well as PACT (sectors C and F). The negative controls with empty vectors did not show any growth on the plate (sectors A and D). These results confirmed that full-length hDUS2 interacts with both PACT and PKR. In order to further confirm the positive interaction between hDUS2, PACT and PKR we also tested activation of another reporter, β-galactosidase. Expression of β-galactosidase in yeast cells is indicated by blue color and occurs only if the proteins encoded by pGADT7 and pGBK7 constructs interact. As seen in Figure 1B, PKR/pGBK77 and PACT/pGBK77 both showed a positive interaction with hDUS2/pGADT7. The opposite combinations also showed blue color and thus confirmed the interactions. The negative controls with p53/pGBK77 and p53/pGADT7 all showed white color indicating no interaction with hDUS2, PKR and PACT. These results confirm the specificity of the interaction between hDUS2 and PKR or PACT. The interaction of hDUS2 with PACT and PKR was further confirmed by performing a biochemical interaction assay. In vitro translated, 35S-methionine labeled hDUS2 was allowed to interact with pure, recombinant hexahistidine tagged PKR or PACT proteins and was then pulled-down using Ni-agarose beads. As seen in Figure 1C, hDUS2 showed no interaction with DRIL1 protein bound to Ni-agarose beads (lane 2). However, it showed a strong interaction with both PKR (lane 3) and PACT (lane 4) bound to Ni-agarose beads. These results further confirm the in vivo interaction detected between these proteins in yeast two-hybrid assay.

hDUS2 contains one copy of evolutionarily conserved dsRBM and interacts with dsRNA

Homology searches with Genbank sequences revealed that hDUS2 contains two domains, the DUS domain that functions in the enzymatic activity in modifying the uridines in tRNAs post-transcriptionally (50). The DUS domain in hDUS2 is located between residues 15 and 338 (Figure 1D). In addition to this, one copy of the previously characterized evolutionarily conserved dsRBM (30) is present in hDUS2 between residues 370 and 434. An alignment of the dsRBM of hDUS2 with the dsRBMs present in PKR, PACT and TRBP is shown in Figure 1E. The consensus residue lysine at position 58 of the dsRBM is absent in hDUS2 motif. This lysine has previously been shown to be important for conferring a high-affinity binding to dsRNA (52,54). It is noteworthy that hDUS2 has a glutamic acid at this position, thus raising a possibility that the dsRBM present in hDUS2...
may not mediate high-affinity binding to dsRNA. We examined this by comparing the binding of hDUS2, PACT and PKR to dsRNA covalently linked to agarose beads. As seen in Figure 2A, hDUS2 showed binding to dsRNA at 50 mM salt concentration but not at 300 mM salt. PACT and PKR both showed very strong binding to dsRNA at 50 and 300 mM salt concentrations. The binding of hDUS2 to dsRNA at 50 mM salt concentration is quite significant since firesfly luciferase, a protein that is known not to interact with dsRNA does not show binding to dsRNA at this salt concentration. These results indicate that although hDUS2 interacts with dsRNA, its affinity for dsRNA is much lower than PACT and PKR. This could be due to the lack of the conserved lysine at position 58 of hDUS2 dsRBM, since this lysine is required for the high-affinity interaction with dsRNA (52,54).

hDUS2 interacts with PACT and PKR in mammalian cells

In order to test if hDUS2 interacts with PACT and PKR in mammalian cells, we tested the ability of flag-tagged hDUS2 to interact with HA-tagged PKR and PACT in HeLa cells. As shown in Figure 2B, when flag-hDUS2 was immunoprecipitated with anti-flag antibody, HA-tagged PKR and PACT both co-immunoprecipitated with it indicating that hDUS2 interacts with both PKR and PACT. The negative control HA-B56ζ, which is an unrelated protein, did not co-immunoprecipitate with flag-hDUS2, thus demonstrating the specificity of interaction. These results demonstrate that hDUS2 forms a complex with PKR and PACT in mammalian cells.
PKR, which are known to mediate protein–protein interactions. When an unrelated protein DRIL1 was immobilized on the Ni-agarose beads, none of the in vitro translated protein showed any interaction with it, thereby demonstrating specificity of the interactions between hDUS2 and PKR. In order to map the domain within hDUS2 that mediates the interaction with PKR, similar experiments were done with a deletion mutant of hDUS2. Δ307 lacks the amino-terminal residues 1–307. In vitro-translated 35S-methionine-labeled hDUS2 proteins were mixed with pure, hexahistidine-tagged PKR recombinant protein and Ni-agarose beads and the proteins that bound to PKR were analyzed by SDS–PAGE. As seen in Figure 3B, full-length hDUS2 and Δ307 both showed interaction with PKR (lanes 3 and 4). The Δ307 mutant of hDUS2 lacks the amino-terminal DUS domain but retains the single dsRBM present in hDUS2, thus indicating that its interaction with PACT and PKR is mediated via its dsRBM. The negative control protein DRIL1 did not show any binding to hDUS2, thus demonstrating that the interaction between PKR and hDUS2 is specific.

To further test the contribution of the two dsRBMs within DRBD of PKR in mediating interaction with hDUS2, we tested the ability of several PKR point mutants within the two motifs (52) to interact with pure recombinant hDUS2 using the in vitro interaction assay. The results are shown in Figure 4. As seen in the bar graph, F41A, K60A and A68D mutants within dsRBM1 showed severely reduced interaction with hDUS2. A mutation of the same residues in dsRBM2 was less detrimental to the interaction with hDUS2. These results strongly indicate that the interaction of PKR with hDUS2 is primarily mediated by its dsRBM1 of PKR and that the residues F41, K60, and A68 serve an important function.

hDus2 inhibits PKR’s kinase activity both in vitro and in vivo

In order to understand the functional consequence of hDUS2’s interaction with PKR, we tested the effect of hDUS2 on PKR’s kinase activity using the in vitro kinase activity assay. As seen in Figure 5A, recombinant hDUS2 inhibited the PKR kinase activity in a dose-dependent manner. hDUS2 was capable of inhibiting PKR activity when it was activated by dsRNA as well as PACT. These results demonstrate that hDUS2 acts as a PKR inhibitor. To test this further in vivo in mammalian cells, we performed the translation inhibition assay to check for PKR activation. The effect of a protein encoded by an expression construct on activation of PKR in mammalian cells can be measured by assaying the expression of a co-transfected reporter gene such as luciferase (12). Using the translation inhibition assay (46,55–58), we next determined the ability of the hDUS2 expression constructs to inhibit PKR in HeLa cells. As seen in Figure 5B, co-transfection with wild-type PKR reduced luciferase activity dramatically, whereas co-transfection with TRBP expression construct increased the reporter activity, due to inhibition of endogenous PKR activation. Co-transfection with hDUS2 expression construct also increased luciferase activity in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that hDUS2 inhibits the activation of PKR. These results further indicate that hDUS2 is not a very strong inhibitor of PKR since it did not increase the reporter activity to the same extent as the TRBP expression construct. At 100 ng DNA concentration, hDUS2 construct showed only about half as much increase in activity of the reporter as the TRBP construct. Thus, although hDUS2 does inhibit PKR activity, it does not act as efficiently as TRBP. These results indicate that to achieve an effective inhibition of PKR activity, hDUS2 may need to be expressed at high levels relative to PKR levels in mammalian cells. In order to test if hDUS2 overexpression can effectively inhibit activated PKR, we tested if co-transfected hDUS2 expression construct can relieve the translational repression of luciferase expression caused by active PKR. As seen in Figure 5C, co-transfection of the reporter plasmid with wild-type PKR expression construct reduced luciferase activity dramatically, whereas co-transfection with hDUS2 expression construct along with wt PKR increased the reporter activity in a dose-dependent manner. Co-transfection of PKR expression construct with an
expression plasmid encoding DRIL1 protein, which has no effect on PKR activation showed no effect on the reporter gene activity thus demonstrating that the inhibitory effect of hDUS2 on PKR activation is specific. These results strongly indicate that hDUS2 is able to inhibit the PKR activity in mammalian cells.

**Functional consequence of hDus2-mediated inhibition of PKR activity**

The inhibitory effect of hDUS2 being weaker than TRBP (Figure 5B), we tested the biological significance of it. Since PKR is known to be involved in stress signal-induced apoptosis, we tested if overexpression of hDUS2 can inhibit apoptosis induced by tunicamycin, an antibiotic that causes endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress due to accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER (59). PKR is involved in inducing apoptosis in response to ER stress (60,61). As seen in Figure 6, treatment of HT1080 cells with tunicamycin induced cell death in about 38% of cells transfected with the empty vector at 24 h, which further increased to about 52% at 48 h. In cells transfected with hDUS2 expression construct, tunicamycin induced cell death in about 30% cells at 24 h, which showed only an extremely marginal increase to about 34% at 48 h. The transfected samples that were not treated with tunicamycin did not show significant cell death. Thus, at 48 h after treatment with tunicamycin, there is a significant inhibition of cell death by overexpression of hDUS2 indicating that hDUS2 is able to inhibit PKR activation and the consequent apoptosis. These results establish that inhibition of PKR activity by hDUS2 may play an important role in regulating stress-induced apoptosis.

**DISCUSSION**

PKR activity is regulated very tightly in cells and several cellular proteins have been described as inhibitors of PKR (47). One of the best-studied proteins is p58IPK, which is a member of the tetratricopeptide repeat family (62–64). P58IPK inhibits PKR activity by interacting directly with it and by preventing its dimerization (65). Influenza virus evades the host antiviral response partially by recruiting p58IPK to repress PKR-induced eIF2α phosphorylation (66). TRBP is a cellular protein that was identified by its ability to bind human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) TAR RNA (67). TRBP inhibits PKR activity by sequestration of its activator dsRNA, and also by direct interaction with PKR (38,58). Recent data suggests that in astrocytes, low TRBP levels support and innate HIV-1

---

**Figure 5.** hDUS2 inhibits PKR activity in vitro and in vivo. (A) PKR kinase activity assay. PKR immunoprecipitated from HeLa cell extracts was activated by the addition of 0.1 μg/ml of poly(I):poly(C) (lanes 2–5) or 0.116 pmol of recombinant PACT (lanes 6–9). The effects of addition of increasing amounts of recombinant hDUS2 protein were assayed. Lane 1: no activator added, lanes 2 and 6: no hDUS2 added, lanes 3 and 7: 1.45 pmol (80 ng) hDUS2, lanes 3 and 8: 7.25 pmol (400 ng) hDUS2, lanes 4 and 9: 36.25 pmol (2 μg) hDUS2. The position of phosphorylated PKR is indicated by an arrow. (B) Translation inhibition assay. The translation inhibition assay was performed in HeLa cells grown in six-well plates. The reporter pGL2C (200 ng) was co-transfected using Effectene reagent with indicated amounts of the empty vector control or expression constructs for TRBP, hDUS2 or PKR. The amount of DNA in each well was kept constant at 600 ng by addition of required amounts of empty vector pCDNA3.1(–). At 24 h after transfection, the cells were treated with 100 IU/ml interferon-β and 24 h after the treatment the luciferase activity was measured in the cell extracts and normalized to the total protein in the extract. (C) hDUS2 overexpression relieves the translation inhibition caused by activated PKR. The translation inhibition assay was performed in HeLa cells grown in six-well plates. The reporter pGL2C (50 ng) was co-transfected using Effectene reagent with indicated amounts of the empty vector pCDNA3.1(–), expression constructs for PKR, hDUS2 and DRIL1. The amount of DNA in each well was kept constant by addition of required amounts of empty vector pCDNA3.1(–). At 24 h after transfection, the cells were treated with 100 IU/ml interferon-β. Twenty-four hours after the treatment the luciferase activity was measured in the cell extracts and normalized to the total protein in the extract. All expression constructs were in pCDNA3.1(–).
In this article, we describe hDUS2 as a novel inhibitor of PKR activity. hDUS2 was reported recently to have 39\% homology to Dus2 enzyme of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and to possess tRNA–DUS activity (50). It was also shown to exist in a complex with EPRS, a glutamyl-prolyl tRNA synthetase and to enhance general translational efficiency in mammalian cells. hDUS2 was identified as a PACT-interacting protein in our yeast two-hybrid screen using PACT as a bait. Further characterization of the interaction between PACT and hDUS2 (data not shown) indicated that this interaction was mediated by the single copy of dsRBM present in hDUS2. Our work on PKR and PACT has demonstrated that PKR and PACT interact with each other via the dsRBM motifs (45). The amino-terminal two copies of dsRBM present in PACT are essential for mediating the high-affinity interaction with PKR via the two dsRBMs present in PKR. We reasoned that hDUS2 may also show interaction with PKR via the two dsRBMs. Originally identified as a PACT-interacting protein, hDUS2 did indeed interact with PKR equally well, both in vivo (in mammalian and yeast cells) and in vitro. Although there are two copies of dsRBM present in PKR, our results indicate that hDUS2 interacts with PKR mainly via the amino-terminal copy of the motif. Thus, the two dsRBMs do not contribute equally to PKR’s interaction with hDUS2 and the first or the amino-terminal motif is responsible for mediating the interaction. Within this motif, mutations at position 41 (phenylalanine), 60 (lysine) and 68 (alanine) affected the interaction between PKR and hDUS2 adversely, thereby identifying these residues as essential for the interaction. Mutations of the same residues in motif 2 did not show much effect on the PKR–hDUS2 interaction. In addition to this, the interaction between hDUS2 and PKR is by direct protein–protein interaction and not likely to be mediated via dsRNA since mutations F131A, K150A, K154E and A158D that are known to destroy PKR’s dsRNA binding (26,52) have very little adverse effect on PKR–hDUS2 interaction. Although hDUS2 carries one copy of the conserved dsRBM, it does not interact with dsRNA with high affinity. This may be attributed to the lack of a crucial conserved lysine at position 58 of the conserved motif (Figure 1E). This lysine has been shown to be essential for the high-affinity interaction with dsRNA (52). hDUS2 carries an aspartic acid residue at this position, which may contribute to its lack of high-affinity dsRNA binding.

Recombinant hDUS2 protein showed a marked inhibition of PKR’s kinase activity in vitro. The inhibition was effective when PKR was activated either by addition of dsRNA or recombinant PACT. However, hDUS2 was effective in inhibiting PACT-induced PKR activation more efficiently than dsRNA-induced PKR activation since even the lowest amount of hDUS2 (80 ng) showed a marked reduction in PKR autophosphorylation when activated by PACT. This may be due to the ability of hDUS2 to interact both with PKR and PACT. hDUS2’s interaction with PACT may inhibit PACT’s interaction with PKR in addition to inhibition by directly interacting with PKR, thus resulting in a more efficient inhibition. The biological significance of this if any is currently unknown. hDUS2 also showed inhibition of PKR in mammalian cells as seen in the translation inhibition assay. However, hDUS2 did not inhibit PKR activation as efficiently as PKR’s well-studied inhibitor TRBP (Figure 5B). Since this is not due to lower level of expression of hDUS2 as compared to TRBP (data not shown), we conclude that hDUS2 is not a strong inhibitor of PKR in vivo.

However, since the results shown in Figure 5C indicate that when overexpressed, hDUS2 can inhibit activated PKR it can be speculated that hDUS2 may regulate PKR activity under conditions (or in cells) where its expression

![Figure 6. hDUS2 overexpression inhibits stress-induced apoptosis.](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/36/3/998/1382787/1005)
is elevated. Thus, hDUS2’s inhibition of PKR may be of biological relevance under conditions where hDUS2 expression is upregulated in mammalian cells. In this context, it has been recently reported that hDUS2 is overexpressed in human nonsmall cell lung carcinomas (NSCLC). It was observed that upregulation of hDUS2 was a relatively common feature of pulmonary carcinogenesis and that selective suppression of hDUS2 by SiRNA showed reduced growth rate in NSCLC cell lines (50). Inhibition of PKR activity in NIH 3T3 cells by expression of a trans-dominant negative PKR mutant has been shown to lead to cell transformation and tumor formation in nude mice (4,5). Overexpression of PKR has been shown to lead to cell transformation and tumor formation in Huh7 cells (50). Inhibition of PKR activity in NIH 3T3 cells by SiRNA showed reduced growth rate in NSCLC cell lines (50). It remains to be tested if overexpression of hDUS2 also can lead to cell transformation. In addition, it would also be interesting to examine if hDUS2-mediated inhibition of PKR is involved in carcinogenesis in cases of NSCLC. Since our results demonstrate that hDUS2 can inhibit apoptosis, overexpression of hDUS2 in NSCLC may offer a growth advantage to cancer cells.

hDUS2 has been shown to enhance the translation rate in mammalian cells possibly by enhancing the rRNA modification activity of EPRS complex (50). In view of the results presented here, it is also possible that hDUS2 may enhance the rate of translation by inhibition of eIF2α phosphorylation brought about by activated PKR. This may be of biological significance in cancer progression since enhanced PKR expression is reported in some types of cancers. In melanomas and colorectal cancers, PKR overexpression can be correlated with enhanced PKR activity (74). However, in breast cancer cells, PKR overexpression does not correlate with increased PKR activity (17,75). It is thought that an inhibitor of PKR may be expressed at high levels in such cancers, leading to an inhibition of PKR activity. In future, it would be of interest to examine if hDUS2 may be involved in PKR inhibition in these types of cancers.
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