Novel DNA-binding element within the C-terminal extension of the nuclear receptor DNA-binding domain
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ABSTRACT

The heterodimer of the ecdysone receptor (EcR) and ultraspiracle (Usp), members of the nuclear receptors superfamily, is considered as the functional receptor for ecdysteroids initiating molting and metamorphosis in insects. Here we report the 1.95 Å structure of the complex formed by the DNA-binding domains (DBDs) the EcR and the Usp, bound to the natural pseudopalindromic response element. Comparison of the structure with that obtained previously, using an idealized response element, shows how the EcRDBD, which has been previously reported to possess extraordinary flexibility, accommodates DNA-induced structural changes. Part of the C-terminal extension (CTE) of the EcRDBD folds into an α-helix whose location in the minor groove does not match any of the locations previously observed for nuclear receptors. Mutational analyses suggest that the α-helix is a component of EcR-box, a novel element indispensable for DNA-binding and located within the nuclear receptor CTE. This element seems to be a general feature of all known EcRs.

INTRODUCTION

Multicellular organisms require specific intercellular communication to properly orchestrate the complex body plan during embryogenesis and to maintain its function during the entire lifespan. Classical signal transduction cascades are initiated by ligand binding to membrane-anchored receptors, eventually changing the activity of specific nuclear transcription factors. In contrast, members of the nuclear receptor superfamily transduce their signals directly. The receptors have evolved to combine the functions of signal responsiveness, DNA-binding and transcriptional activation into one protein composed of functionally separated modules/domains (1). A typical nuclear receptor is composed of the N-terminal AB region, the DNA-binding C domain, a hinge D region, the ligand-binding E domain and the C-terminal F region. The core DNA-binding domain (DBD), which is a defining feature of the family (2), plays a central role in the correct positioning of the receptors, and complexes recruited by them, close to the genes whose transcription is affected (3). To achieve this aim, the DBD must overcome the challenge of finding small cognate response elements within the entire genome. A long-standing question is therefore, how this selection is achieved, given that nuclear receptors employ a highly conserved DBD and a set of response elements, which is quite limited in both sequence and structure. To solve this mystery on the molecular level, crystal structures of some nuclear receptor DBDs in complex with DNA have been analyzed. Unfortunately, most of the research in this field has been carried out using idealized, highly symmetric DNA duplexes, disregarding the fact that natural response elements are characterized by high-sequence degeneracy (3).

Representatives of the nuclear receptor superfamily have been identified in almost all classes of metazoans and the availability of complete genome sequences has revealed some interesting data regarding the occurrence of nuclear receptors. For example, the human genome sequence reveals 48 members of the family with 21 genes representing receptors with known ligands, usually small lipophilic molecules, including steroids, the thyroid
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hormone, retinoic acids and vitamin D (1). In contrast to the complexity of the human hormone signaling pathways, *Drosophila melanogaster* has only one lipophilic hormone acting as a nuclear receptor ligand, the steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E), and its genome contains only 18 nuclear receptor genes (4). This makes *D. melanogaster* an ideal system for studying nuclear-receptor function and regulation. The 20E hormone, which is considered to be a principal determinant of developmental timing, controls diverse biological processes, including morphogenetic, apoptotic, physiological, reproductive and behavioral responses (5,6). Like vertebrate steroid hormones, 20E exerts its effects via a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily, a product of the *EcR* gene (7). Although edcsyne receptor (*EcR*) can bind 20E on its own (8), the binding is greatly stimulated (9) by its heterodimerization partner, a product of the *ultraspiracle* gene (*Usp*), which is another member of the nuclear receptor superfamily and the *D. melanogaster* ortholog (10) of the mammalian retinoid X receptors (RXRs). Since it has been also observed that ligand binding stabilizes the EcR/Usp complex and increases its affinity for 20E-response elements, the EcR/Usp heterodimer is believed to be the only functional form of the 20E receptor (11). Although molecular studies of the EcR/Usp heterodimer are not as advanced as those of vertebrate heterodimeric receptors, it is already clear that the ecdysteroid receptor complex holds an exceptional position within the nuclear receptor family. It has been shown, for example, that the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of EcR is characterized by unusual flexibility and adaptability, which allows for molding of this domain around different ligands (12). Although 20E is thought to elicit most of the above-mentioned physiological responses, mounting evidence indicates, that alternate signaling pathways exist that are driven by ecdysteroids other than 20E, some of which are present at specific stages during development (13). According to a recent hypothesis, ‘conformational compatibility’ between the cognate receptor’s LBD and an ecdysteroid molecule would determine the initiation of genomic versus non-genomic response pathways (14). Interestingly, the DBD of EcR appears to possess high plasticity as well (15). Therefore, EcR could adopt different, although ligand- and response-element-specific conformations evoking numerous ecdysteroid-dependent effects (14). Another feature distinguishing the EcR/Usp heterodimer from its vertebrate counterparts, which tend to form complexes on inherently asymmetric DNA-binding sites composed of directly repeated half-sites, is its propensity for response elements arranged as pseudopalindromes with a single intervening nucleotide (16). Our mutational studies of the interaction of the Usp and EcR DBDs (UspDBD and EcRDDBD, respectively) with the pseudopalindromic response element from the *hsp27* gene promoter (*hsp27*pal), have demonstrated that natural pseudopalindromic ecdysone response elements may act as functionally asymmetric elements that fix the Usp/EcR heterodimer in a specific orientation. In particular, it has been shown that UspDBD, which preferentially binds the 5' half-site of *hsp27*pal, operates as a key factor dictating the polarity of the heterocomplex (5'-UspDBD-EcRDDBD-3') (16,17). Although this polarity was verified by the crystal structures of the UspDBD/EcRDDBD and RXRDBD/EcRDDBD (RXRDBD/EcRDDBD) complexes with an idealized non-natural element organized as an inverted repeat of the 5'-AGGTCA-3' sequence separated by 1 bp (IR-1) (18), many important observations coming from earlier biochemical studies could not be confirmed. In particular, the previously reported importance of the EcRDDBD C-terminal extension (CTE) sequence for effective formation of the UspDBD/EcRDDB heterocomplexes (16) could not be explained because the crystallographic data allowed the visualization of only a few CTE residues, mostly in the RXRDBD/EcRDDB-IR-1 complex, but not in the UspDBD/EcRDDB-IR-1 complex.

To ultimately elucidate the molecular basis for DNA target specificity of the UspDBD/EcRDDB heterodimer, we have solved the crystal structure of both domains bound to the natural response element from the *hsp27* promoter. Our data reveal important molecular details of the UspDBD/EcRDDB–DNA interaction, which could not be observed previously, when unnatural DNA response element was used for crystallization. Most importantly, our crystallographic data demonstrate that part of the CTE of EcRDDB folds into an a-helix whose location in the minor groove does not match any of the locations previously observed for other CTEs of other nuclear receptors. Analysis of the crystallographic data, together with mutational analyses, suggest that the a-helix is a part of a novel DNA-binding supporting element, previously unobserved for any of the nuclear receptors. This element, which we refer to as EcR-box, seems to be a characteristic feature of all known EcRs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of expression vectors, site-directed mutagenesis, overexpression and purification of the wild-type and mutant proteins**

The plasmid pGEX-2T (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany) containing the lacIq gene was used for the expression of DBDs as fusion proteins with *Schistosoma japonicum* glutathione-S-transferase (GST) in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3)pLysS (Novagen, Germany). The construction of the expression plasmids for the wild-type *D. melanogaster* EcR, Usp GST-DBDs and the wild-type *Bombyx mori* EcR GST-DBDs has been described previously (15,19). The expression plasmid for the wild-type *B. mori* UspDBD (pGEX-2T·UspDBD_{(104-202)}_{Bm}) was constructed using the following primers: 5'-ggacccggtatccGACACCTGACAGCAAGACGACGGG-3' (sense) and 5'-ggacccggtatccGTCTTCGACGCACCTCGAC TGTGGTCGTA-3' (antisense). Small letters in the above sequences represent nucleotides added for cloning purpose whereas the restriction sites are shown in italics. The PCR-based megaprimer mutagenesis protocol (20) was used to introduce new alanine codons within the cDNA region encoding *D. melanogaster* EcRDDB A-box and standard PCR to introduce deletion mutations within the CTE of *D. melanogaster* and *B. mori* EcRDDB.
The plasmids: pGEX-2T·EcRDBD\textsubscript{(256–364)}\textsubscript{Dm} and pGEX-2T·EcRDBD\textsubscript{(199–307)}\textsubscript{Bn} (15) were used as templates. The sequences of the recombinant cDNA fragments were verified by dyeoxy sequencing. Expression of GST-DBDs and purification of wild-type and mutated DBDs as GST-free proteins was performed using a procedure described previously for UspDBD with deleted C-terminal sequence (UspDBD\textsubscript{AAA}) (16). The concentration of the purified proteins was determined spectrophotometrically at 280 nm using absorption coefficients calculated according to Gill and von Hippel (21).

DNA-binding assays

The electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) experiments (22) were performed under conditions described previously (15) using \(^{32}\text{P}\)-labeled ds oligonucleotide 5′-AGCGACAAAGGTTCAATGCACCTGT CCAATGAA-3′ (only one strand is shown), based on the natural 20E pseudopalindromic response element (underlined) from the \textit{D. melanogaster} \textit{hsp27} gene promoter (23,24).

Crystallization and data collection

The protein–DNA complex was prepared using stoichiometric amounts of UspDBD, EcRDBD and duplex DNA (for details see Figure 1) concentrated to \(~0.5\text{mM}\) (each species) in 15 mM MES buffer, pH 5.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5 \(\mu\text{M}\) ZnCl\(_2\), using Amicon\textsuperscript{®} Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filters (Millipore, Poland). Best crystals (0.6 \(\times\) 0.1 \(\times\) 0.015 mm) were grown at 20°C in sitting drops made of 1 \(\mu\text{l}\) of the protein–DNA complex solution and an equivalent volume of precipitant solution, containing 21\% PEG 3350, 100 mM MES buffer, pH 5.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 1 mM DTT, 5 \(\mu\text{M}\) ZnCl\(_2\), LiCl or 10 mM urea.

For X-ray diffraction experiments the crystal was flash-frozen to 100 \textdegree K in nitrogen gas stream without additional cryoprotection. Diffraction data extending to 1.95 \(\text{Å}\) resolution were collected using a MAR Research CCD detector at the EMBL beamline X11 of the DESY synchrotron (Hamburg, Germany) operated at a wavelength \(\lambda=0.8115\text{Å}\). The data were indexed, integrated and scaled using the HKL package (25). The crystals belong to the \(P2_1\) space group with unit cell parameters \(a=46.7, b=59.8, c=65.2\text{Å}, \beta=106.72\degree\) and contain one complex molecule per asymmetric unit. Data collection statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Structure solution and refinement

The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the genetic algorithms EPMR program (26) and the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structure (18) (PDB ID 1R0O) as a model. For the diffraction data between 15 and 4 \(\text{Å}\), the program located the expected single copy of the complex in the asymmetric unit with a correlation coefficient of 55\%.

The model was manually rebuilt into electron density maps during iterative cycles of modeling in Quanta 2000 (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) which alternated with maximum-likelihood restrained refinement as implemented in the Refmac5 program from the CCP4 suite (27). Two hundred and twenty-two water molecules were added using the X-Solvate module of Quanta 2000 at the final stages of the refinement. The final model contains 165 amino acid residues (78 UspDBD, 87 EcRDBD), 20 base-paired nucleotides, four zinc cations and 222 water molecules. The refinement converged with \(R\) and \(R\text{\textsubscript{free}}\) factors of 0.180 and 0.217, respectively.

Coordinates

The atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB with the accession code 2HAN.

RESULTS

Crystallization and structure determination

An 86-residue fragment of \textit{D. melanogaster} UspDBD consisting of residues −10 to +76 and a 109-residue fragment of \textit{D. melanogaster} EcRDBD, residues −8 to +101 (Figure 1A, B), were expressed individually in \textit{E. coli} and purified to homogeneity. The primary structures of the DBDs were the same as the structures of the DBDs used previously in crystallographic studies with an idealized fully symmetric IR-1 element (18). Here, the purified DBDs were co-crystallized with a DNA fragment containing the natural pseudopalindromic 20E response element from the \textit{D. melanogaster} \textit{hsp27} gene promoter (\textit{hsp27pal}, Figure 1C). The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the PDB coordinates 1R00 of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 complex (18) as the search model. The asymmetric unit of the crystal contains one copy of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-DNA complex. The final model, refined to 1.95 \(\text{Å}\) resolution, contains residues −3 to +76 of UspDBD, residues −6 to +81 of EcRDBD, the complete DNA and 222 water molecules. The refinement is summarized in Table 1.

The four side chains of the protein components have clearly defined alternative conformations (see further). Three of them are located at crucial protein–protein and protein–nucleic acid interfaces. All the multiple conformations in the interface areas have unambiguous definition in electron density maps. The course of the refinement and the final model were validated using the \(R\text{\textsubscript{free}}\) test (28).

Overall architecture of the complex

In agreement with biochemical data (16) and with the structure of UspDBD/EcRDBD bound to an idealized IR-1 element (18), the UspDBD/EcRDBD heterodimer is bound to the \textit{hsp27pal} element with a defined polarity, where the UspDBD occupies the 5′-half-site of \textit{hsp27pal} and EcRDBD the 3′-half-site. A superposition of the structure of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-\textit{hsp27pal} complex with the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structure is shown in Figure 2 and is characterized by an r.m.s.d.-value of 0.54\text{Å} calculated for the corresponding Ca atoms. Although the overall fold of the two heterodimers is similar, the present structure of the UspDBD/EcRDBD complex interacting with the natural element reveals in
Figure 1. The protein and response element constructs used in crystallization and their contacts. Sequences and interactions (legend is provided within the figure) are shown for UspDBD (A) and EcRDBD (B), respectively. The numbering of the amino acid residues is relative to the first conserved cysteine, with the authentic numbers (7,10) appearing in parentheses. The sequences defined previously (16) as corresponding to T-box (42) and A-box (33,34) are highlighted in green and red, respectively. In gray boxes the N- and C-terminal residues not visible in the electron density maps are listed. Cloning artifacts from the pGEX-2T plasmid are indicated by lower case letters. The α-helices are boxed and the residues from β-sheets are circled following the definition of DSSP (43). (C) The hsp27pal-based DNA used in cocrystallization. The symbols are as in (A) and (B).
Completeness (%) 99.9 (100)
Number of unique reflections 25,368
Number of observed reflections 24,267,1
Resolution (Å) 30–1.95
Refinement statistics
Resolution range (Å) 26.92–1.95
Number of all/test reflections 23,899/1272
<|f>/σ (f)|> 24.2 (3.4)
<σ/σ/> (Å2)/number of atoms 0.016 0.217
R.m.s. deviations from ideal Bond angles (°) 2.03
Ramachandran statistics (%) allowed regions 84.4/14.3

Figure 2. Superposition of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal and UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structures. Shown are UspDBD (red) and EcRDBD (blue) bound to hsp27pal oligonucleotide (gold), as well as UspDBD (yellow) and EcRDBD (green) bound to the IR-1 element (gray). The UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structure (18) is based on the coordinate file deposited in the PDB (accession code 1R0O).

Figure 3B. The basis for the recognition of the hsp27pal sequence by the Usp and EcR core DBDs

As shown in Figure 3A the sequence of the naturally occurring hsp27pal element is highly degenerated. Five of the fifteen base pairs making up the two heptameric half-site sequences deviate from perfect palindromic sequence. In contrast, the idealized IR-1 is a fully symmetric palindrome (Figure 3A), which according to gel shift studies can bind the UspDBD/EcRDBD heterocomplex, and also the complex of the full-length Usp and EcR, with higher affinity than hsp27pal (16,32). A side-by-side comparison of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal structure solved here with the previously published crystallographic data of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 complex (18), demonstrates that complexes with natural and idealized response elements differ significantly in the mode of protein–DNA and protein–protein interactions.
Figure 3. Evaluation of the crystallographic data indicates bending in hsp27pal and IR-1 elements. (A) The ds oligonucleotides used in the crystallographic analysis of the UspDBD/EcRDBD heterodimer complexed with the IR-1 and hsp27pal response elements. The sequences of the response elements are shown in frames, and ovals represent localization of the respective DBDs. Models and parameters of the DNA molecules were generated using the 3DNA software (30), data from this study and the atomic coordinate file of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structure (1R0O) (18). DNA base pairs are shown as rectangular blocks, and the idealized helical axis based on the axis computed by the 3DNA software (dotted line) is shown in black. (B) The minor and major groove widths of the hsp27pal (solid line) and IR-1 (broken line) ds oligonucleotides. Only the heptameric half-sites are shown. The values were derived using the 3DNA software. The solid black line represent standard values for B-DNA.
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The protein–DNA interactions for both complexes are depicted schematically in Figure 4. In agreement with previous structural characterizations of nuclear receptor DBD–DNA complexes (3), the key sequence-specific base contacts in the major groove are maintained in both complexes mostly by residues from the so-called DNA-recognition α-helix (for definition see Figure 1A, B). However, as shown in Figure 4, equivalent amino acid residues generate significantly different interaction patterns. Surprisingly, this is also true for residues interacting with identical DNA sequences, for example base pairs from positions −3 to −1. In total, ten interactions with the hsp27pal bases could be defined for the UspDBD molecule, three direct (involving E19, K22, R27) and seven water-mediated (involving K22, E19, K26, R27, H12). In comparison, for the UspDBD interacting with the IR-1 element only eight interactions with bases could be observed in total, two direct ones (E19, R27).
and six water-mediated (K22, E19, K26, R27, H12). EcRDBD on the other hand makes seven contacts with the hsp27pal 3' half-site and eight with the 3' half-site of the IR-1. However, the impact of direct or water-mediated contacts differs significantly for both elements. In particular, for the hsp27pal sequence there are two direct (K22, E19) and five water-mediated contacts (E19, K22, R27, R51) whereas for IR-1 four direct (E19, K22, R27, R26) and four water-mediated (Y13, K22, R26) contacts exist. Interestingly, only one residue, K22, of the EcRDBD exhibits the same sequence-specific interaction pattern in the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal and UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 structures.

A novel feature observed in the UspDBD/EcRDBD complex bound to the hsp27pal element is the ability of several amino acid residues of the EcRDBD to assume two well-defined conformations, which differ functionally. The first of these residues is R26 from the DNA-recognition α-helix. One of the R26 conformers makes contact with the phosphate backbone, whereas the other one, corresponding to that observed in EcRDBD complexed to the IR-1 element, is not involved in any interactions (Figure 5A, B). Similar observations could be made for Q54 (data not shown). Another residue assuming two conformations is R51, which belongs to a group of residues forming the subunit interface. Here, one of the conformers interacts with N51 of the UspDBD (Figure 5C), similarly as in the EcRDBD in the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 complex (not shown). Additionally, this conformer forms a novel hsp27pal-specific direct contact with the spacer A/T base-pair (Figure 5D). The second R51 conformer forms a set of direct and/or water-mediated contacts with UspDBD residues (Figure 5C, D). Dual conformation was also observed for R67, which is located on the surface of the EcRDBD molecule (not shown).

An α-helix of the CTE segment of EcRDBD is indispensable for efficient interaction with DNA

Earlier mutational and crystallographic studies of nuclear receptors, bound to asymmetric response elements organized as direct repeats, have emphasized the functional importance of the so-called CTE sequence of the core DBD. It has been shown that the CTE, consisting of the T-box and the adjacent α-helix (A-box), plays an important role in response element recognition, especially by vertebrate heterodimeric DBD complexes and by DBDs, which bind their cognate sequences as monomers (3). While the A-box residues are mainly involved in specific contacts with the response element, the T-box performs different functions, including specific base-pair recognition, formation of the dimer interface and support of the proper orientation of the A-box α-helix. In contrast to the high-sequence conservation of the core DBD region within the nuclear receptor superfamily, the CTE sequences are not preserved. Consequently, it has been suggested that the CTE, as a DBD-characteristic element, would play an important role in response element discrimination by the DBDs interacting as hetero- and homodimers with the inherently asymmetrical directly repeated elements (33). The first experimental evidence suggesting the CTE would be also essential for the interaction of the DBDs with the palindromic response elements, was published by Niedziela-Majka et al. (16) who showed that deletion of the D. melanogaster EcRDBD CTE fragment encompassing the A-box sequence disrupted EcRDBD homo- and heterodimerization with UspDBD on hsp27pal. Moreover, detailed biochemical analyses demonstrated that the T-box of EcRDBD plays an important role in binding to hsp27pal (15). Unfortunately, these important functions of the CTE fragment could not be fully explained by the crystal structure of the UspDBD/EcRDBD heterocomplex bound to the idealized IR-1 element (18) where none of the A-box residues were included in the model. In contrast, in the present structure obtained for the natural hsp27pal element, seven residues of the EcRDBD A-box (N75-R81) are clearly seen in electron density. As shown in Figure 6, the residues form an α-helix, which definitely rests in the minor groove suggesting that this part of the EcRDBD may be involved in some interactions with the DNA. An analysis of the electron density suggests that the last two residues of the α-helix, K80 and R81, would be the prime candidates for such interactions (Figure 6).

To validate this supposition we have obtained EcRDBD derivatives where K80 or R81 were substituted by alanine (Figure 7A). The binding affinities of the K80A and R81A mutants were determined by EMSA using a double-stranded oligonucleotide containing the original hsp27pal sequence. As hsp27pal had been shown previously to bind specifically and in a cooperative manner both the EcRDBD homo- and UspDBD/EcRDBD heterodimer, and since biochemical experiments indicate that A-box is necessary for these interactions (16), we tested the putative influence of the alanine substitutions on both homo- and heterodimer interactions. The binding of DNA by EcRDBD homodimers was clearly reduced by alanine substitutions at positions K80 and R81 (Figure 7B, C). The analyses presented in Figure 7D, E reveal that substitution of K80 and R81 by alanine is also detrimental to the effective formation of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal complex. Importantly, as demonstrated by EMSA results obtained for the respective alanine mutants (Figure 7A), this is also true for some other residues from the EcRDBD A-box, including K84, K85, Q87, K88 and K90 (Figure 7B–E), which are not visualized in the present structure. This observation is further supported by the EMSAs results obtained for EcRDBD CTE deletion mutants. As shown in Figure 8, deletion of the CTE up to the residue K92 does not change the affinity of the EcRDBD homo- and heterodimers (Figure 8B–E). In contrast, more extensive deletions encompassing residues identified here by means of crystallography and/or directed mutagenesis, reduced (deletion up to residue K85) or completely abolished (deletion up to N75) the binding of the homo- and/or heterodimers (Figure 8B, C and D, E). Together, the above results clearly indicate that some of the EcRDBD CTE residues, including K80 and R81, build up within the A-box a discrete functional entity, which is indispensable for the efficient interaction of the EcRDBD with DNA.
Figure 5. Four amino acids (R26, Q54, R51 and R67) of the EcRDBD assume two well-defined conformations in the UspDBD/EcRDBD complex bound to the hsp27pal element. The R26 residue is from the DNA-recognition α-helix. One of the R26 conformers makes contact with the phosphate backbone, whereas the second conformer, related to that observed in the IR-1 DNA complex, is not involved in any interactions (A, B). R51 is one of the residues forming the DBDs interface (C, D). One R51 conformer interacts with residue N51 of the UspDBD (C) and simultaneously forms a direct contact with the A/T base-pair (D). The second R51 conformer forms direct and water-mediated contacts with UspDBD (C, D). Hydrogen bonds in the stereodiagrams (A-D) are indicated as black dotted lines. Water molecules are shown as red spheres. For more details concerning other residues see text. The 2Fo-Fe electron density maps shown for selected side chains of the present UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal complex have been contoured at the 1.0 σ level.
The mutational analyses presented in Figures 7 and 8 indicate that this conclusion is also true for the EcRDBD from B. mori.

**DISCUSSION**

The crystallographic data presented here for the UspDBD/EcRDBD heterodimer in complex with the natural pseudopalindromic response element from the hsp27 gene promoter, and especially their comparison with the data published previously for the UspDBD/EcRDBD bound to the idealized IR-1 element (18), demonstrate the basis of the molecular rearrangements within both DBDs that permit them to adapt to different DNA sequences. Most importantly, the use of the natural element reveals some unexpected molecular details, which could not be observed in the structure published previously. Although the key sequence-specific base contacts are maintained in both structures essentially by the same amino acid residues from the DNA-recognition α-helices of the UspDBD and EcRDBD, the details of these interactions differ significantly and only one residue (K22 from EcRDBD) exhibits the same sequence-specific interaction pattern. At the same time, the UspDBD seems to form more sequence-specific contacts with the 5' half of the hsp27pal than with the corresponding part of the IR-1. A total of ten and eight interactions could be identified, respectively. On the other hand, EcRDBD forms seven contacts with the hsp27pal sequence and eight with the IR-1 element. Furthermore, the impact of water-mediated contacts is significantly higher when the EcRDBD interacts with the natural element. In particular, five of seven contacts belong to this category whereas water mediates four of eight contacts with the IR-1 element. We emphasize that these significant hsp27pal-specific changes in the interaction pattern uncover unusual, previously not observed, molecular characteristics of the EcRDBD, such as dual conformation of the side chains of four amino acid residues. Only one of them, R67, situated at the surface of the domain, is apparently not involved in any protein–protein or protein–DNA interactions. The rotamers of two residues (R26 and Q54) are involved in hsp27pal-specific protein–DNA interactions. Finally, the R51 residue, located at the subunit interface, uses two conformations to create the direct and the water-mediated contacts with the UspDBD and with the hsp27pal sequence. We speculate that the alternate side chain conformations indicate that the EcRDBD molecule, functioning as part of the heterocomplex bound to the natural element but not to the unnatural symmetric element, retains some extraordinary structural flexibility reported previously for the isolated (i.e. not interacting with DNA and with UspDBD) domain (15). It has been also suggested that due to this property EcRDBD could easily accommodate DNA-induced changes in the secondary and tertiary structure (15). Indeed, part of the CTE of the EcRDBD bound in complex with the UspDBD on the hsp27pal folds into a novel α-helix not observed in the structure of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-IR-1 complex (18). The EMSA experiments presented here clearly demonstrate that the α-helix seems to be a component of a well-defined functional element, which is absolutely necessary for the effective formation of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal complex. The element extends from N75 to K92 within the CTE part previously defined (16) as corresponding to the so-called A-box (34). As indicated by the previously published alignment of the EcRDBD sequences (15), amino acid residues of the EcR CTE fragment exhibit a remarkable conservation although this fragment is not present in other nuclear receptors. As noted before, the sequence of B. mori EcR exhibits some puzzling differences (15). Nevertheless, as demonstrated by the EMSA results presented here, the CTE of the B. mori contains a well-defined fragment (P75–G89), which is critical for the formation of the UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal complex as well. Thus, the presence within the CTE of an element supporting DNA-binding, which we refer to as the EcR-box, seems to be a general feature of the EcR proteins. Taking into account our crystallographic data, which show that at least the N-terminal part of the D. melanogaster EcR-box (residues N75–R81) forms an α-helix, as well as secondary structure predictions done for the D. melanogaster and B. mori EcRDBDs (Figure 9A), we speculate that the entire EcR-box could fold into an α-helix (see subsequently).

As discussed earlier, in addition to the core DBD, the variable CTE region has been implicated in DNA response recognition and discrimination by the particular receptor. In contrast to the core DBD, which has the same structure in all receptor DBDs solved to date (3), the structure of each CTE fragment determined thus far has been unique. Moreover, distinct functions have been ascribed to the established CTEs. The crystal structure of the RXR/thyroid receptor DBDs heterodimer (RXRDBD/TRDBD) on its response element was the first to reveal the α-helical structure of the TR CTE.
It makes no tertiary contacts with the rest of the DBD but instead projects across the minor groove, where it makes an extensive interface with DNA (33) (Figure 9B). Although the CTE of the vitamin D receptor (VDR) DBD bears striking structural resemblance to the CTE of the TR (Figure 9B), it makes quantitatively different interactions with its cognate response element. It has been suggested that the primary role of the VDR CTE is to mediate response element discrimination, and not to provide additional DNA affinity (35). For other nuclear receptor DBDs, including nerve growth factor-inducible factor B (NGFI-B) (36), human liver receptor homologue-1 (LRH-1) (37) and RevErbα (38) where the CTEs do not fold into a defined α-helix (Figure 9B), it has been observed that they trace over one of the phosphate backbones and descend into the major groove contacting base pairs located 5′ to the response element half-site. The EcR-box fragment observed here on the hsp27pal element appears to have a novel and unique structural and possibly also multifunctional characteristic. First, being an α-helix the fragment does not project across the minor groove, as it was observed for TR and VDR CTEs, but descends into the minor groove, similarly as it was observed for non-helical CTEs (Figure 9B). However, due to the γ-turn formed by the P73, E74 and N75 residues, the orientation of this fragment, and possibly of the entire EcR-box, does

Figure 7. Effects of amino acids substitutions in the A-box of D. melanogaster EcRDBD on the interaction with the hsp27pal element. Sixteen individual residues from the A-box of the EcRDBD (N75-K92) (16) (A) were substituted with alanine. EMSA experiments were carried out with an hsp27pal-containing ds oligonucleotide and with the indicated homogenous EcRDBD (B) or equimolar mixture of the respective EcRDBD and the wild-type UspDBD (D). Panels (C) and (E) represent quantitative analysis of the EMSA data presented in panel (B) (lanes 2–18) and panel (D) (lanes 2–18), respectively. The columns indicate mean values of three independent experiments and error bars indicate SD-values. The designations of the respective mutant EcRDBDs are based on the amino acid single-letter code. The respective complexes formed by one DBD molecule are indicated by Cl, and those originating from homo- or heterodimers are indicated by CI; F, free probe. For clarity, the wild-type complexes formed by EcRDBD are denoted as E and by UspDBD as U. The protein concentrations were: (B), lanes 2–18, 240 nM of the indicated EcRDBD; lane 19, the same amount of the wild-type UspDBD; lane 20, 120 nM of each wild-type DBD; (E), lanes 2–18, 120 nM of wild-type UspDBD and 120 nM of the indicated EcRDBD; lane 19, 240 nM of wild-type UspDBD; lane 20, 240 nM of wild-type EcRDBD.
not match any of the previously observed orientations of the CTEs (Figure 9B, C), including that recently reported (39) for the homodimeric complex of the progesterone receptor DBDs (not shown). Our crystallographic data along with the EMSA experiments suggest that this orientation of the EcR-box would allow interactions of some amino acid residues in the minor groove. For the other above-mentioned proteins, which insert the CTE into the minor groove, numerous hydrogen-bonding contacts, including sequence-specific base contacts, have been observed. Our crystallographic data allow the identification of two residues involved in contacts with the sugar-phosphate backbone. Other key residues, indicated by the EMSA experiments, could not be modeled in the electron density maps, possibly indicating that they are located in an EcR-box fragment with increased dynamic properties. Apparently, interaction with the hsp27pal element is not sufficient to bring about a disorder-to-order transition of this EcR-box section and other factors are needed to achieve this. According to recent suggestions the predominant molecular function of disordered protein segments appears to involve molecular recognition in eukaryotes. The flexibility of the disordered fragments would allow them to be targets for multiple binding partners and post-translational modifications and thus enhance their ability to participate in multiple

Figure 8. DNA-binding activities of CTE-truncated EcRDBDs of D. melanogaster and B. mori. Schematic diagram of the primary structure of the wild-type D. melanogaster and B. mori EcRDBD CTEs and their truncated derivatives, in which fragments from the region corresponding to the A-box (15,16) have been deleted (A). The numbering is relative to the first Zn$^{2+}$-coordinating cysteine of the DBDs. In order to analyze the effect of the deletion on the EcRDBD activity, the EMSA experiments were carried out with an hsp27pal-containing ds oligonucleotide and with the indicated EcRDBD derivative or wild-type EcRDBD (B), or with an equimolar mixture of the respective EcRDBD and wild-type UspDBD (D). Panels (C) and (E) represent quantitative analysis of the EMSA data presented in panel B (lanes 2–12) and panel D (lanes 2–10), respectively. For clarity, the wild-type full-length EcRDBDs were denoted by E3 for EcRDBD from D. melanogaster and by E3 for EcRDBD from B. mori. Similarly, the full-length UspDBDs from D. melanogaster and B. mori were designated as Ud and Ub, respectively. Other details are as in the legend to Figure 7. The protein concentrations were: (B), lanes 2–5 and 8–10, 600 nM of the indicated EcRDBD; lanes 6 and 11, 600 nM of the indicated EcRDBD; lanes 6 and 11, 600 nM of the indicated EcRDBD; lanes 2–10, 300 nM of the indicated wild-type UspDBD and 300 nM of the indicated EcRDBD.
signaling pathways (40,41). For some nuclear receptors it has been demonstrated that the CTE can serve as a recruitment site for co-activators and as a target for post-translational modification (3). Although the molecular mechanism linking these regulatory events with control of the activity of the receptors remains elusive, it is reasonable to assume that also the EcR-box could be involved in similar processes. However, the questions of possible EcR-box interaction partners and of its post-translational modifications are still open.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data is available at NAR Online.
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The predictions were performed using the GORIV, PROF and PSIPRED algorithms available at the ExPASy Proteomics Server (http://au.expasy.org/). The predicted \(\alpha\)-helices are depicted as cylinders. The seven-residue segment forming the short \(\alpha\)-helix in the CTE A-box of the \textit{D. melanogaster} EcRDBD, observed in the present crystal structure, is boxed with broken line. The average confidence score values of the GORIV algorithm for the residues forming a potential \(\alpha\)-helix within the \textit{D. melanogaster} and \textit{B. mori} A-box segments are 736 and 661, respectively. The PROF algorithm gives a prediction and a confidence value between 0 and 1 for each position in the amino acid sequence. The average confidence values for the \textit{D. melanogaster} and \textit{B. mori} sequences are 0.68 and 0.53, respectively. The PSIPRED algorithm gives a confidence value between 0 and 9. The average confidence scores for the \textit{D. melanogaster} and \textit{B. mori} are 5.1 and 4.8, respectively. (B) Superposition of the corresponding C\(\alpha\) atoms of the TR (PDB accession code 2NLL) (33), VDR (PDB accession code 1KB4) (35), LRH-1 (PDB accession code 2A66) (37), Rev-ERB\(\alpha\) (PDB accession code 1A6Y) (38), NGFI-B (PDB accession code 1CIT) (36) and EcRDBD (from the present UspDBD/EcRDBD-hsp27pal complex) proteins complexed to DNA. (C) A detailed view of the CTEs and DNA shown in (B). C and N denote C- and N-termini of respective DBDs.
REFERENCES


