RNA secondary structure regulates the translation of sxy and competence development in Haemophilus influenzae
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ABSTRACT

The sxy (tfoX) gene product is the central regulator of DNA uptake by naturally competent $\gamma$-proteobacteria such as Haemophilus influenzae, Vibrio cholerae and probably Escherichia coli. However, the mechanisms regulating sxy gene expression are not understood despite being key to understanding the physiological role of DNA uptake. We have isolated mutations in H. influenzae sxy that greatly elevate translation and thus cause competence to develop in otherwise non-inducing conditions (hypercompetence). In vitro nuclease analysis confirmed the existence of an extensive secondary structure at the 5′ end of sxy mRNA that sequesters the ribosome-binding site and start codon in a stem-loop. All of the hyper-competence mutations reduced mRNA base pairing, and one was shown to cause a global destabilization that increased translational efficiency. Conversely, mutations engineered to add mRNA base pairs strengthened the secondary structure, resulting in reduced translational efficiency and greatly reduced competence for genetic transformation. Transfer of wild-type cells to starvation medium improved translational efficiency of sxy while independently triggering the sugar starvation regulator (CRP) to stimulate transcription at the sxy promoter. Thus, mRNA secondary structure is responsive to conditions where DNA uptake will be favorable, and transcription of sxy is simultaneously enhanced if CRP activation signals that energy supplies are limited.

INTRODUCTION

Natural competence, the ability to take up DNA molecules directly from the environment, is tightly regulated in most bacteria, indicating that the costs and benefits of DNA uptake depend on changes in the extracellular and intracellular environments. Because the mechanisms regulating competence evolved to allow cells to track these changes, understanding the mechanisms provides a window on the importance of DNA uptake to the cell.

Bacteria in the families Pasteurellaceae, Enterobacteraceae and Vibrionaceae appear to share a common regulatory mechanism, with competence genes organized in a regulon whose transcription is controlled by two activator proteins, Sxy (also known as TfoX) and CRP (also known as CAP) (1). Although competence genes are ubiquitous in these families, only a few species are known to be naturally competent, and the only well-studied competence regulon is that of Haemophilus influenzae (Pasteurellaceae). H. influenzae becomes moderately competent as growth slows during late log phase in rich medium, and becomes maximally competent when log phase cells are transferred to the defined starvation medium MIV (2).

The sxy gene was first identified and named as the site of the H. influenzae mutation sxy-1, which causes greatly increased competence (hypercompetence) during growth in rich medium (3). Cells lacking sxy cannot induce the 25 genes (13 transcription units) of the competence regulon; many of these genes are known to contribute directly to DNA uptake (2). Conversely, overexpression of sxy from multi-copy plasmids induces competence under what are normally non-inducing conditions in H. influenzae, Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans and Vibrio cholerae (4–6). Unlike Sxy, CRP is a global regulator. It activates a broad array of genes united by their roles in obtaining or utilizing alternative carbon or energy sources, or in sparing the wasteful use of the preferred sources; its action has been very well studied in Escherichia coli. When activated by its allosteric effector cyclic AMP (cAMP), CRP binds specifically to 22 bp sites in promoters where it stimulates transcription. Competence regulon promoters contain a novel type of
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CRP site (CRP-S sites, previously called competence regulatory elements), whose sequences differ at critical positions from the canonical CRP sites previously characterized in *E. coli* (CRP-N sites) (1).

Understanding how competence is regulated depends on understanding how both CRP and Sxy are regulated. In *H. influenzae* and in *E. coli*, CRP is activated by a rise in cAMP that occurs when preferred sugars are unavailable for transport by the phosphotransferase system (7,8). We have previously shown that *H. influenzae sxy* mRNA levels rise when cells experience competence-inducing conditions (2), but nothing is known about the molecular events that control this expression.

The original *sxy-1* mutation causes only a very conservative change in the Sxy protein sequence (Val19Ile), and it was proposed to cause hypercompetence by increasing the amount of Sxy rather than by changing the nature of Sxy’s action (4). Here we report the isolation and characterization of additional hypercompetence-causing point mutations in *sxy*, none of which alter the protein sequence. We show that all the hypercompetence mutations act by increasing *sxy* expression, and that this effect arises by destabilization of an mRNA secondary structure that normally limits *sxy* translation in rich medium. In maximal competence-inducing conditions, CRP induces *sxy*; together CRP and Sxy then induce the genes of the CRP-S regulon.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, plasmids and DNA**

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.

**Culture conditions and transformation assays**

*H. influenzae* cells were cultured at 37°C in brain heart infusion (BHI) supplemented with NAD (2 μg/ml) and hemin (10 μg/ml) (sBHI), and with novobiocin (2.5 μg/ml), kanamycin (7 μg/ml) or chloramphenicol (2 μg/ml) added when required. Competence was induced by transferring log-phase cells to the defined starvation medium MIV as previously described (9). *E. coli* cells were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) medium, with kanamycin (25 μg/ml) and ampicillin (10 μg/ml) when required. Competent *H. influenzae* cells were transformed with chromosomal or plasmid DNA as previously described (10). Cells (1 ml) were incubated with 1 μg/ml of MAP7 chromosomal DNA for 15 min, the DNA was degraded by incubation with DNase I for 5 min and cells were diluted...
and plated on sBHI agar with and without novobiocin. Transformation frequencies were calculated as the number of novobiocin-resistant (NovR) transformants per cell. The sxy-2, -3, -4 and -5 mutants were isolated by selection of exponentially growing EMS-mutagenized cells for transformation to NovR, as described for sxy-1 (3). E. coli cells were made chemically competent with RbCl and transformed with plasmids as previously described (11).

Site-directed mutagenesis

The 1.8 kb EcoRI–BamHI fragment of pDJM90 (sxy) was cloned into the EcoRI–BamHI site of pAlter-1 (Promega) to create the plasmid pAlter{sxy}. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out using the Altered Sites II kit (Promega), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing was used to confirm mutations, and the sequenced regions between ScaI–ClaI sites were subcloned into pDJM90 to ensure that the plasmid inserts contained no additional mutations.

Generation of polyclonal anti-Sxy antibodies

The sxy coding sequence was cloned under lac promoter control in the His-tag vector pQE30-UA (Qiagen) in E. coli M15, and sxy expression was induced at A600 0.6 with 1 mM IPTG. Cells were harvested after 4.5 h by centrifugation and the pellet was frozen overnight at −20°C. Because Sxy invariably formed inclusion bodies in expression cultures, even when induced with low concentrations of IPTG and at 30°C, it was denatured and purified as follows. The frozen cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (100 mM NaPO4, 10 mM Tris–HCl, 6 M guanidine HCl, pH 8.0), then cells were incubated 1 h at 30°C with shaking followed by brief, gentle vortexing until the solution was translucent. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 25 min and the supernatant was incubated with nickel-nitriloacetic acid agarose beads for 1 h at 4°C with gentle rocking. Agarose beads were packed in a column and washed twice with 12 column volumes of wash buffer (100 mM NaPO4, 10 mM Tris–HCl, 8 mM urea, pH 6.3), and protein was eluted using 2 vol of wash buffer pH 4.5. Eluted fractions were pooled and concentrated by precipitation with 10% TCA. Residual TCA was removed with cold 100% ethanol, and protein was dried and resuspended in SDS sample buffer (45 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 1% SDS, 50 mM DTT, 0.01% bromophenol blue). Proteins were then separated by electrophoresis on a 15% polyacrylamide SDS gel, and the section of gel containing Sxy (MW 25 kDa) was excised and macerated by repeated passage through a small-bore syringe. Protein was eluted overnight in water, and then concentrated by TCA precipitation. Dried protein was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4) and purity was assessed with SDS–PAGE and quantified using the Bradford assay. Protein was emulsified in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (250 μg/ml) for injection in rabbits. Blood serum was collected 10 days after booster shots and stored at −20°C until use.

Western blot and spot blot analysis

For western blots, cells were pelleted and resuspended in SDS sample buffer and proteins were separated on 15% polyacrylamide SDS gels. All gels were run in duplicate to provide one gel for western blot analysis and a second for Coomassie staining to confirm that a consistent amount of protein was loaded in each well. Gels were equilibrated in transfer buffer (48 mM Tris–HCl, 39 mM glycine) and proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane at 10 V for 30 min using a Trans-blot semi-dry (Bio-Rad) apparatus. Samples from in vitro translation reactions were spotted in duplicate on pre-wetted PVDF membrane; after drying, spotted membranes were re-wetted with methanol then washed with water and TBS-T (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 137 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20).

All membranes were blocked overnight at 4°C in 5% non-fat powdered milk in TBS-T, followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 h with rocking in rabbit anti-Sxy serum diluted 1/10 000 in TBS-T (1% blocking agent). Blots were washed thoroughly and probed with alkaline phosphatase-linked anti-rabbit antibody diluted 1/10 000 in TBS-T (1% blocking agent) for 1 h at room temperature with rocking, followed by thorough washing. Blots were incubated in ECF reagent (Amersham) for 1 min. Bands were visualized using a Typhoon 9400 scanner (GE Healthcare) and quantified using Image Quant (GE Healthcare). Several other H. influenzae proteins in addition to Sxy were recognized by the polyclonal antiserum in western blots. These unidentified H. influenzae proteins were also bound by pre-immune serum, indicating that antigenicity is not an artifact of non-specific binding by anti-Sxy antibodies. The unidentified proteins demonstrated highly consistent abundance in all culture conditions and growth phases and so were used as internal standards for the quantification of Sxy. Sxy protein levels were calculated after subtracting background signal generated by sxy- cells (western blots) or by in vitro transcription/translation reactions lacking template DNA (spot blots).

Template preparation for RNase analysis

Gene sequences used as templates for in vitro preparation of mRNAs were cloned adjacent to the T7 promoter of pGEM-TZf- (Sigma). The 51 nt long sxy untranslated region (UTR) together with the full sxy coding region (654 nt) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA isolated from H. influenzae KW20 and RR699 (sxy-1) using primers PR13 5'-AAAGGGCCCGCAGAATCTTTTAAAC AAGCTTAAAGCAT. Amplicons were digested and cloned into ApaI and EcoRI restriction sites, generating plasmids pGEMsxy and pGEMsxy-1 in host strain JM109. Because the primer PR13 overlaps one of the sxy-7 mutations (Figure 3A), pGEMsxy-7 was constructed by site-directed mutagenesis of pGEMsxy using a QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene).
RNA preparation

Wild-type sxy, sxy-1 and sxy-7 RNAs were prepared by transcription \textit{in vitro} (MEGAscript T7 kit, Ambion) from plasmids linearized at position 323, resulting in 340 nt long run-off transcripts. RNAs were purified from the transcription mix, first by a DNase treatment using a DNA-Free Kit (Ambion) and next by a spin column (RNA Easy kit, Qiagen) following the manufacturers’ instructions. At this point the concentration of each RNA sample was measured by spectrophotometry, and quality and purity were assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and \( A_{260:280} \) ratios. Next, RNAs (~20 pmol) were dephosphorylated in 100\( \mu \)l reactions at 37°C for 2h in reaction buffer using 0.5 U of calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (Roche). RNAs were recovered by phenol−chloroform purification and ethanol precipitation. Dephosphorylated RNAs (~10 pmol) were labeled in 50\( \mu \)l reactions in reaction buffer using 20 U of T4 polynucleotide kinase (BioLabs, New England) and at least 20 pmol of \( \gamma^{32} \)P\( \text{ATP} \) (6000 Ci/mmol; GE Amersham) at 37°C for 1h. Finally, RNAs were purified by a spin column (RNA Easy kit, Qiagen) and eluted in nuclease-free water.

RNA secondary structure mapping

End-labeled RNAs were denatured for 5 min at 95°C, allowed to refold for 15 min at 37°C, and partially digested with RNase A (0.005 U/ml) or RNase T1 (0.05 U/ml) (both from Ambion), and the resulting fragments were resolved on sequencing gels. Both partially digested RNAs and control RNAs (ladders) were prepared following the manufacturer’s directions. Alkaline-digested end-labeled RNA was used as a ladder to assign gel bands to specific residues in the RNA sequence.

After electrophoresis for 3h at 900 V and 12 mA, gels were dried, exposed to a PhosphorScreen overnight and visualized using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics). ImageQuant software was used to measure cleavage intensities at each residue position. Positions 78 and 80 were used as standards to normalize cleavage intensities at all other positions because they were consistently strongly cleaved in independent reactions. To calculate fold differences in cleavage intensities between mutant and wild-type RNA, sxy-1 or sxy-7 values were divided by wild-type values at each position. At positions where mutant RNAs were more weakly cut than wild-type, wild-type values were instead divided by mutant and then expressed as a negative value.

\textbf{In silico} RNA secondary structure predictions

Mfold (12) was used to predict secondary structure of the full-length \textit{in vitro} sxy transcript [comprising pGEM-7ZF- (15 nt), UTR (51 nt) and partial coding region (274 nt) sequences]; default parameters were used.

Construction of \( \beta \)-galactosidase fusions and enzyme assays

To fuse lacZ at sxy position 317 (codon 89), lacZkan cassettes (~4.5 kb) were excised from pLZK80 and pLZK81 with BamHI and ligated to BclI digested pDJM90, generating pLBSF1 and pLBSF2 (Supplementary Figure 1A). To eliminate Stems 1 and 3, PCR was used to create an EcoRI site at position 83 (codon 11) using primers PR6 5‘-GAATTCTGTGATTA TATCTGTATTGATG and PR15 5‘-AGGGAATTCCG CTATCTATATGCTCATCC. The ampiclon was then digested with EcoRI and BclI, ligated to lacZkan cassettes and cloned into ScaI + BclI-digested pLBSF1 to generate pLBSF3 and pLBSF4 (Supplementary Figure 1B). All gene fusions were transferred to the KW20 chromosome by excision from plasmids with Apal + BamHI followed by transformation into competent cells, with selection for kanamycin resistance [method described in (9)].

\textit{H. influenzae} strains containing lacZ fusions were grown in sBHI and sampled in duplicate at regular time intervals. For cells in the mid- to late-log phase of growth (\( A_{600} \geq 0.5 \)), 0.1 ml of cells was usually sampled; for cells in the early-log phase of growth, 0.5 ml samples were taken. After sampling, cells were immediately pelleted by centrifugation, supernatants were removed and cell pellets were frozen at ~80°C for later assays of \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity (13). Simultaneously, the main cell culture was assayed for \( A_{600} \) and, in some cases, for cfu/ml.

\textbf{In vitro} transcription and translation

The \textit{E. coli} S30 extract system for linear templates (Promega) was used for \textit{in vitro} coupled transcription/translation according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmid templates (pGEM\textit{sxy}, pGEM\textit{sxy}-1, pGEM\textit{sxy}-7) were isolated using a GenElute plasmid miniprep kit (Sigma) and residual RNases were removed using a GenElute PCR clean-up kit (Sigma). T7 RNA polymerase (1.4 U/\( \mu \)l final concentration) was added to reactions and transcription was initiated by addition of template DNA (4.5 nM final concentration). Some reactions also contained the DNA oligonucleotide 5’-AGTCAGTAGAAG TACCTC at 75\( \mu \)M final concentration. Reactions were incubated at 25°C and stopped by mixing with 4 vol of ice-cold acetone.

\textbf{Quantitative PCR measurement of sxy transcript}

Total RNA was isolated from cultures using RNeasy Mini Kits (Qiagen), and purity and quality were assessed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose (1 \times TAE). RNA was DNase treated twice with a DNA Free kit (Ambion), followed by cDNA synthesis using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). For each PCR primer set, reactions were carried out in duplicate on a 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) using iTag SYBR Green Supermix with ROX (Bio-Rad). PCR primers: sxyRTF 5’-TGAACCTTTTACAACGAATGA -TCCCACTGCTGCAATTTCAC, pGEM\textit{sxy}-7-ACACAATCTATTACTACGTAAAAT CTGATACG; murGRTF 5’-TGCTTGGGCTGATGTG GTTAC; murGTR 5’-TCCACACTGCTGAAATTCC. \textit{murG} RNA served as an internal control for each sample because this gene’s expression is constant in the culture conditions used in this study (2). Standard curves were generated using five serial 10-fold dilutions of MAP7 chromosomal DNA.
RESULTS

Isolation and characterization of additional hypercompetence mutations in sxy

The original sxy-1 hypercompetent mutant was isolated from a pool of EMS-mutagenized H. influenzae cells created in a search for genes that regulate competence development (3). The present study began by using the same strategy to select additional hypercompetence mutations from the same population. Wild-type cells growing at low cell density in rich medium do not express competence genes or take up DNA, so an EMS-mutagenized culture was incubated with DNA carrying a novobiocin-resistance allele and NovR transformants were selected. Screening of the rare transformants identified four additional strains with mutations that mapped to sxy; the alleles were named sxy-2, sxy-3, sxy-4 and sxy-5. As shown in Figure 1, all four mutants demonstrated the same 50-fold to 500-fold increased transformation frequencies as the sxy-1 mutant, during both log phase growth (A600 0.2) and late-log phase growth (A600 1.0). All mutants grew normally in rich medium (sBHI). In MIV starvation medium, mutants and wild-type cells survived equally well and transformed at equally high frequencies (right panel of Figure 1).

Sequencing revealed that each strain carried a distinct single-point mutation in sxy; these are shown in Figure 2A. The sxy-2 mutation (G102A) is a silent substitution in the coding region, 4 bp upstream of the site of the sxy-1 mutation (G106A, V19I). The other three mutations are clustered outside the coding region, near the 5′ end of the 51 nt UTR (sxy-3: C14T; sxy-4: T15C; sxy-5: G16T).

Because these mutations did not alter the Sxy protein sequence, site-directed mutagenesis was used to confirm that no other mutations, either in sxy or elsewhere in the genome, were responsible for the hypercompetence phenotypes. As had been done for sxy-1 (4), each of the four mutations was re-created in a H. influenzae sxy plasmid cloned in E. coli, and introduced into wild-type H. influenzae chromosomes by transformation; these mutants all had phenotypes identical to the originals and were used in the experiments described here. This confirmed that all of the four new hypercompetence mutations increased competence without changing the sequence of Sxy or any other protein. We thus hypothesized that all five mutations acted by altering control of sxy expression rather than by changing Sxy function.

As Sxy is an activator of competence genes, and as we observed elevated expression of all CRP-S regulon genes in microarray analysis of the sxy-1 mutant in rich medium (data not shown), we predicted that the mutations would cause hypercompetence by increasing rather than decreasing sxy expression.
Hypercompetence mutations lead to elevated Sxy under non-inducing and semi-inducing conditions

To compare Sxy levels between wild-type and mutant cells, we generated polyclonal anti-Sxy antibodies and used western blot analysis to quantify protein levels. In exponential growth ($A_{600} 0.2$), all hypercompetence mutants ($sxy-1-5$) had elevated Sxy levels, with 7- to 16-fold more protein than wild-type cells (Figure 2B; light gray bars). In late-log phase ($A_{600} 1.0$), the difference was even more striking, with mutants having 13- to 25-fold more Sxy protein than wild-type cells (Figure 2B, dark gray bars). Figure 2C graphs transformation frequencies as a function of Sxy protein levels for wild-type and mutant cells in the log and late-log phases of growth. The strong positive correlation between Sxy abundance and transformation frequencies confirms that Sxy levels limit competence development during growth in rich medium, and that changes in the amount of Sxy are responsible for the hypercompetence of the $sxy$ mutants.

How do the $sxy$-1-5 mutations cause increased Sxy production? Their locations rule out several possible modes of action. The mutations do not improve the affinity of the core promoter elements ($/C0^10$ and $/C0^35$ sequences), nor create a more efficient start codon or Shine–Dalgarno (SD) sequence, so they are unlikely to act by changing factors that determine baseline expression. The transformation frequencies and Sxy levels of the $sxy$-1-5 mutants increase as the culture medium becomes exhausted, so the mutations must change the sensitivity of the inducing mechanism rather than ablating repression. The mutations are unlikely to act by changing the binding site for a transcription factor, as they are outside of the promoter and spread over 94 bp of transcript sequence.

The clustering of the mutations into two regions suggested that mRNA secondary structure might play a role in regulation. Examination of $sxy$ mRNA for possible base pairing between these regions revealed a long stretch of potential base pairing between positions 9–26 of the UTR and positions 94–111 of the coding region, with only a single $2^/C2^2$ bubble. All five hypercompetence mutations fall within this predicted stem. Moreover, each of the mutations eliminates a base pair within this stem, so that each is expected to destabilize the secondary structure. Analysis of this region with the RNA-folding program Mfold supported this folding model, and also predicted pairing between segments internal to this stem, creating two additional stems and three loops, as shown in Figure 3A.

Mfold analysis predicted the same optimal topology for $sxy$-1-5 mRNAs as for wild-type mRNAs, but the $sxy$-1-5 structures were not as thermodynamically stable and were predicted to have higher frequencies of single strandedness throughout. Supplementary Figure 2A plots the stability of Stem 1 in hypercompetent mutants, calculated by Mfold, showing that each point mutation is predicted to reduce the stability of Stem 1. In addition, this analysis predicts that Stem 1 will be the most important contributor to the overall stability of the
mRNA secondary structure, and thus may help explain why all five hypercompetence mutations were found in this region. Supplementary Figure 2B presents the analysis of single-strandedness across multiple thermodynamically stable folding predictions for the bases in Stem 1. The sxy point mutations are predicted to cause 2- to 3-fold increases in single-strandedness.

Nuclease mapping confirms the predicted sxy mRNA secondary structure

Nuclease mapping was used to test whether sxy mRNA folds into the predicted secondary structure in vitro, and to test whether hypercompetence mutations alter RNA folding. We first examined cleavage of wild-type sxy RNA by the structure-specific ribonucleases RNase T1 and RNase A, using a cloned sxy fragment extending from base 1 to base 323. RNase T1 cuts specifically at single-stranded Gs, while RNase A cuts single-stranded Cs and Us. Figure 3B shows the cleavage intensities of all scorable positions between positions 1 and 122, normalized to positions 78 and 80, which were consistently cleaved. (Data for some Cs and Us are not shown because they were not cut by RNase A even when the RNA was denatured.) The strong cleavages between positions 42 and 48, and between positions 72 and 80, confirm that Loops B and C form in vitro, and that they are separated by segments that are protected by pairing. The moderate cleavage at position 29 is consistent with the presence of Loop A. Only three positions in the upstream side of Stem 1 are informative; positions 12 and 16 are protected but position 24 is moderately cleaved, suggesting that Stem 1b may be weak. (Cleavage of position 24 could also be explained by a slight modification to the predicted pairing, with nucleotide 24 bulged out and the 3 nt below it shifted up one in their pairings.) The segment that forms the downstream side of Stem 1 (94–111) has more informative positions; these are consistently protected.

The nuclease-assay support for Stems 2 and 3 and Loops B and C suggests that the sxy SD site and start codon may be sequestered within a small loop and stem, respectively, likely preventing the initiation of translation. The biochemical evidence for Stem 1 is fairly strong, with most of the informative positions protected from cleavage. Importantly, of the sites of the five hypercompetence mutations, the three that are scorable in these assays are all strongly protected, supporting the hypothesis that they normally are paired.

We then examined mutant sxy-1 RNA for changes in secondary structure; Figure 3C (upper panel) shows the effect of this single mutation. Note that this figure shows ratios of sxy-1 cleavages to cleavages of wild-type sxy RNAs, not absolute intensities, so that bars above the line represent positions with increased nuclease sensitivity. The expected moderate destabilization of Stem 1a by the loss of base pairing between positions 14 and 106 is confirmed by the increased cleavage of positions 12 and 16 and positions 102–110. Modest increases in nuclease sensitivity were also seen in Stem 1b (position 24) and Stem 2 (positions 57 and 59), and position 115 was very strongly cleaved.

Mutations that strengthen Stem 1 reduce sxy expression

The definitive test of whether a mutant phenotype results from disruption of base pairing is creation of compensatory mutations that restore the hypothesized base pairing. The test is especially clear here, as the sxy-1 and sxy-3 mutations make complementary substitutions disrupting the same proposed base pair. If both do increase sxy expression by destabilizing the secondary structure, then a double mutant carrying both substitutions will have base pairing restored and thus will have a more normal phenotype (lower competence) than either single mutant, rather than the more extreme phenotype expected if the mutations increase expression in some other way. The desired double mutant, sxy-6, was created by site-directed mutagenesis in E. coli, followed by transformation into the H. influenzae chromosome. This combined the sxy-1 and sxy-3 mutations to generate an A:U pair where wild-type sxy has a G:C pair (Figure 3A). Figure 2B shows that sxy-6 cells produced wild-type levels of Sxy protein, much less than either parent mutant, confirming that the sxy-1 and sxy-3 mutations act by disrupting base pairing. The altered sequence but wild-type phenotype of the sxy-6 mutant is strong evidence that the single mutant phenotypes are not due to sequence-dependent interactions with a regulatory protein or RNA.

To further characterize the ability of base pairing to limit sxy expression, a second mutant with enhanced base pairing was constructed. In sxy-7, two adjacent substitutions (C20G and U21A) create two new base pairings at the site of the 2 × 2 bubble separating Stems 1a and 1b (Figure 3A), so Stem 1 of sxy-7 has 18 contiguous base pairings. Figure 3C (lower panel) shows that this change strongly reduced RNase cleavages at positions 16 and 102–107 (Stem 1b), 37 and 54 (Stem 2), 69 and 86 (Stem 3) of the RNA (again the values are relative to those in Figure 3B); the generally decreased cleavage in the entire region indicates stronger base pairing throughout. As expected, C100, the predicted pairing partner of what is now G20, was not cleaved. Sxy protein was barely detectable in this mutant (Figure 2B) and cells could not be transformed even after transfer to MIV (data not shown). Together the sxy-6 and sxy-7 mutations confirm that base pairing in Stem 1 limits sxy expression and competence development.

How does mRNA secondary structure regulate sxy expression?

In principle, the secondary structure of sxy mRNA could limit production of Sxy protein by interfering with elongation of transcription or by reducing the resulting mRNA’s stability or translation efficiency. As described below, two independent methods (measurements of β-galactosidase production from sxy::lacZ fusions and direct measurements of sxy RNA and protein levels) both showed that the structure affects both accumulation and translation of sxy mRNA.
The relative impacts of the sxy secondary structure on transcription and translation were first investigated using transcriptional and translational fusions of positions 83 and 317 of the wild-type sxy sequence to the E. coli lacZ gene (Figure 4A). The position 317 fusions maintain all of the secondary structure shown in Figure 3A. The position 83 fusions eliminate the downstream strands of Stems 1 and 3 and thus eliminate these stems. Full characterization of these and related lacZ fusions is provided by reference 14.

Expression from the sxy317 transcriptional fusion (black triangles) revealed that transcription from the sxy promoter is quite stable during exponential growth and early stationary phase in rich medium. The absence of the lacZ translation start site in the corresponding translational fusion (white triangles) did not significantly change the amount of β-galactosidase activity produced, indicating that the sxy and lac translation start sites have comparable activities. Elimination of Stems 1 and 3 in the sxy83 transcriptional fusion increased expression 2-fold (black circles). The 5-fold increase in expression from the corresponding translational fusion (white circles) therefore represents a 2.5-fold increase in the amount of protein produced from each mRNA.

A parallel experiment directly tested whether the increased Sxy protein in hypercompetent mutants results from changes in accumulation and/or translation of sxy mRNA. Figure 4B plots mRNA abundance measured by quantitative PCR (upper panel), and the corresponding translational efficiencies (lower panel) calculated for wild-type cells and hypercompetent mutants from the protein levels in Figure 2B. Although hypercompetent mutants in early log produced 7- to 16-fold more protein than wild-type cells, they produced only slightly more mRNA, indicating that mutant translational efficiencies were elevated 3.5- to 6.5-fold. When wild-type cells entered late-log growth, the amount of sxy transcript and Sxy protein both doubled, so the translational efficiency did not change. However, when the hypercompetent mutants entered late log they showed an even greater disproportion between mRNA and protein than in early log, implying a greater increase in translational efficiency. Transfer of exponentially growing wild-type cells to MIV resulted in a 2.5-fold increase in the amount of sxy mRNA and a 9-fold increase in protein after 90 min, implying a 3.5-fold increase in translational efficiency. These results suggest that transfer to MIV maximizes competence in wild-type cells because it releases the translation limitation caused by mRNA secondary structure in rich medium.

mRNA secondary structure impedes translation in vitro

We used an in vitro coupled transcription/translation system to directly test the influence of mRNA secondary structure on the translation efficiency of sxy transcripts. Reactions were carried out at 25°C because this temperature reduces the transcription rate of T7 RNAP to a rate comparable to bacterial RNAP at 37°C, allowing nascent RNAs to fold correctly (15). All input DNAs (wild-type, sxy-1 and sxy-7 alleles) produced indistinguishable amounts of mRNA from the T7 promoter (data not shown), but wild-type and mutant mRNAs produced very different amounts of Sxy protein (Figure 5A). Spot-blot quantification of Sxy protein levels revealed that sxy-1 mRNA was translated 3-fold more efficiently than wild-type mRNA, whereas sxy-7 mRNA was translated about 4-fold less efficiently than wild-type mRNA.

We also tested whether a ssDNA oligonucleotide complementary to nucleotides 2–19 in Stem 1a (see inset of Figure 5B) could compete with formation of sxy mRNA secondary structure and thus improve translation efficiency. The oligonucleotide was designed to hybridize...
with the 5'-terminus of sxy, sxy-1 and sxy-7 mRNAs, allowing it to compete with formation of Stem 1a (the location of all 5 hypercompetence mutations) without interfering with ribosome binding to the SD (Figure 3A). Figure 5B shows that inclusion of the oligonucleotide in reaction mixtures allowed wild-type mRNA to be translated as efficiently as sxy-1 mRNA, but had no significant effect on the translatability of sxy-1 or sxy-7 mRNA. These results suggest that, in vitro, secondary structure does not inhibit translation of sxy-1 mRNA. Conversely, the high stability of the sxy-7 mRNA secondary structure not only prevents translation but also prevents competition of Stem 1a by the complementary oligonucleotide. The ability of this oligonucleotide to increase translation of wild-type sxy mRNA confirms that the wild-type secondary structure is strong enough to inhibit translation but sufficiently labile to respond to changing conditions.

**CRP and cAMP strongly induce sxy transcription**

Zulty and Barcak reported that the sxy promoter contained two CRP-binding sites, one centered at −5.5 and one at −61.5 relative to the transcription start point, and that sxy expression in rich medium was CRP-dependent (16). However the site they reported at −5.5 (overlapping the transcription start site) was created by an A→G substitution at position −12, and the authentic sequence of this site (17) (also confirmed in our lab) has no significant resemblance to known *H. influenzae* CRP sites (2). The site centered at −61.5 scored as an excellent CRP site when tested for goodness-of-fit with 58 experimentally determined *H. influenzae* CRP sites, as previously described (1), and is at the optimal position to activate transcription through a class I mechanism (18).

To test whether CRP induces and/or represses sxy in starvation medium (MIV), we measured sxy transcript levels in a *cyaA* mutant that cannot synthesize CRP’s allosteric effector cAMP (Figure 6). Transcription was induced only slightly in a *cyaA* mutant. Adding 1 mM cAMP resulted in very strong induction of sxy, indicating that CRP does activate the sxy promoter. The promoter could be induced by cAMP added after 20 min in MIV, but the amount of sxy transcript still fell back to pre-induction levels after 40 min in MIV. Thus, CRP is a strong inducer of sxy expression, but continuing expression appears to be overridden by a repressing mechanism after 40 min. This mechanism is unlikely to be auto-repression of the *crp* gene by CRP, because sxy repression did not depend on when cAMP was added.

**DISCUSSION**

We have identified and characterized an extensive 5’ stem-loop structure in the sxy transcript, which negatively regulates this competence-inducing transcription factor. Mutations that destabilize the secondary structure increase both the amount of sxy mRNA and the efficiency of its translation, causing greatly elevated competence under otherwise non-inducing conditions. These large phenotypic effects arise from minor perturbations in mRNA secondary structure; a mutation decreasing by one the number of base pairs in Stem 1 (sxy-1) destabilizes the structure while one increasing the number of base pairs by two (sxy-7) stabilizes folding.

In some mRNAs, secondary structure regulates expression by forming riboswitches or by preventing degradation by the single-strand-specific endoribonuclease RNase E (19,20), but these are unlikely to contribute to post-transcriptional regulation of sxy mRNA. The sxy secondary structure has no similarities to the well-conserved structures of known riboswitches (19). A role for RNase E can also be ruled out because weakening the secondary structure led to elevated transcript levels, contrary to the expectation if RNase E targets the sxy transcript.

The increased translation efficiency of sxy mutants with weakened mRNA secondary structure is most readily
explained by a simple model in which the secondary structure of wild-type mRNA limits ribosome binding. Ribosomes require that a 35–50 nt segment including the SD site be free of stable secondary structure (21). Indeed, extensive regions of double-stranded RNA at or near the SD site and start codon, like the secondary structure we have detected in sxy mRNA, are known to preclude ribosome binding to (and consequently translation of) several bacterial mRNAs (22–25). Under this model of sxy expression, the moderate increase in transcript abundance in the sxy83 transcriptional fusion strain (Figure 4A) and in hypercompetent mutants (Figure 4B) arises because mutant mRNAs are more often occupied by ribosomes and thus protected from degradation [see (26) for a recent review of ribosome protection], rather than because hypercompetence mutations activate the sxy promoter. Furthermore, use of a T7 promoter to generate sxy mRNA for in vitro translation confirmed that the hypercompetence phenotype can be explained primarily by improved translation efficiency and not by mutational effects on sxy’s native promoter.

The rise in the ratio of sxy protein to mRNA induced by transfer to MIV starvation medium suggests that the secondary structure does more than establish a baseline level of translation. Rather, it has the potential to play a sensory role that explains the repression of competence by purine nucleotides (27). In bacteria, multiple regulatory mechanisms link nucleotide availability to transcription initiation, promoter escape and elongation (28–32). For example, translation of E. coli’s pyrimidine biosynthesis operon pyrBI is contingent on nucleotide starvation (33). When cells are starved for the pyrimidine nucleotide UTP, transcription pausing in the pyrBI leader sequence allows ribosome loading to prevent folding of an mRNA-terminating hairpin (34). However, unlike the small pyrBI terminator hairpin (~20 nt), the >100 nt sxy mRNA structure allows two other kinetic factors to come into play. First, large mRNA secondary structures require time to fold after their synthesis is complete, especially if the final structure involves pairing between non-adjacent segments as in Stem 1 of sxy mRNA (35). Translation thus may initiate more easily on newly synthesized transcripts than on ones that have had time to fold. Even so, the ability of an oligonucleotide to compete with the secondary structure of sxy, but not sxy-7 indicates that double-stranded regions of the wild-type transcript are sufficiently dynamic to allow invasion by more stable partners (Figure 5B), suggesting that sxy mRNA secondary structure never completely precludes ribosome binding.

A more important contribution to regulation may come from a second kinetic factor: the distance RNAP must transcribe between synthesis of the translation initiation signals (SD and start codon) and synthesis of the downstream portion of the secondary structure that would block access to them. In sxy mRNA this distance is 60 nt. Dynamic modeling of sxy mRNA folding using the RNA Kinetics server (http://www.ig-msk.ru/RNA/kinetics/) predicted that the segment of sxy mRNA containing the SD site and the start codon will remain largely unstructured until more than 100 nt have been synthesized. The availability of this potential landing platform for ribosomes will in turn depend on the rate of transcription, thus making the progress of RNA polymerase a potential transducer of nutritional signals.

Nucleotide pools are not limiting to H. influenzae during growth in rich medium, so the resulting rapid transcription may allow sxy mRNA to usually fold before ribosomes load. Under these conditions, translation may only be able to initiate during rare unfolding events, consistent with the dramatic effects in rich medium of the sxy-1-5 mutations that decrease thermodynamic stability. Transfer to MIV causes abrupt depletion of nucleotide pools, and the resulting slowing of transcription may be sufficient to allow translation to initiate on most nascent wild-type transcripts. The combination of increased translation efficiency with the increased transcription caused by rising cAMP would then lead to a burst of Sxy production, followed by expression of competence genes.

Sxy is not the only regulator of competence in MIV: CRP activity at the CRP-S promoters of competence genes is known to be elevated because MIV-treated cells produce more cAMP than cells in rich medium (36). Consistent with this, wild-type cells in MIV produce less Sxy protein but become more competent than hypercompetent mutants in rich medium. In addition, at least one essential competence gene has a candidate PurR-binding site in its promoter, and PurR repression of purine biosynthesis genes is relaxed in MIV (2). We are currently testing whether PurR represses competence when nucleotide pools are high. Understanding the interplay of signals transduced by CRP/cAMP, Sxy and possibly PurR will clarify how nutritional sensing controls DNA uptake.
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