AsiDesigner: exon-based siRNA design server considering alternative splicing
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ABSTRACT
RNA interference (RNAi) with small interfering RNA (siRNA) has become a powerful tool in functional and medical genomic research through directed post-transcriptional gene silencing. In order to apply RNAi technique for eukaryotic organisms, where frequent alternative splicing results in diversification of mRNAs and finally of proteins, we need spliced mRNA isoform silencing to study the function of individual proteins. AsiDesigner is a web-based siRNA design software system, which provides siRNA design capability to account for alternative splicing for mRNA level gene silencing. It provides numerous novel functions including the designing of common siRNAs for the silencing of more than two mRNAs simultaneously, a scoring scheme to evaluate the performance of designed siRNAs by adopting currently known key design factors, a stepwise off-target searching with BLAST and FASTA algorithms and checking the folding secondary structure energy of siRNAs. To do this, we developed a novel algorithm to evaluate the common target region, where siRNAs can be designed to knockdown a specific mRNA isomorf or more than two mRNA isoforms from a target gene simultaneously. The developed algorithm and the AsiDesigner were tested and validated as very effective throughout widely performed gene silencing experiments. It is expected that AsiDesigner will play an important role in functional genomics, drug discovery and other molecular biological research. AsiDesigner is freely accessible at http://sysbio.kribb.re.kr/AsiDesigner/.

INTRODUCTION
Short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) degrade specific target mRNAs, the transcription products of genes through the RNA interference (RNAi) mechanism. The importance of siRNAs has been widely recognized and a series of studies on siRNA applications have been conducted in diverse research fields including functional genomics and drug discovery (1,2).

Various siRNA design algorithms and programs have been developed and serviced to provide good performance in siRNA design for biologists (3–26). Traditionally, many of them have considered certain rules to evaluate the siRNA efficacy, such as Tuschl’s rules (27–29) containing several parameters: 3’ overhangs, GC contents, absence of internal repeats, homology to other mRNAs, absence of SNPs and RNA secondary structure, etc. The binding energy factors of double-stranded region were noticed and many researches suggested that thermodynamic properties of siRNA, including asymmetry for RISC assembly, play a critical role in determining the functions of siRNAs (6,8,9,30–32). Reynold et al. (7), Mückstein et al. (33) and Choi et al.’s (34) work also considered relative binding energy profiles for rational siRNA design. The performance of published siRNA efficacy predictors were compared and assessed by several groups (25,35,36), particularly, Matveeva et al. (25) exhaustively assessed several algorithms by statistical analysis with the published experimental data and derived a new efficient method predicting siRNA efficiency for in their recent work.

These algorithms are known to be efficient for siRNA selection; however, they are limited to gene-level silencing. Restated, their knock-down capacity is limited to a specific mRNA or to all the isoforms from a target gene. For eukaryotic organisms, alternative splicing contributes to the diversity of gene functions resulting in the production of multiple proteins from a gene (37). Thus, it is necessary to control an individual spliced mRNA isomorf producing a specific protein to study the functions of alternatively splicing genes completely (38). Moreover, it is feasible to knockdown a specific combination of more than two mRNA isoforms simultaneously from a gene. In this case, the possible combination of targets, dependent on the purpose of research and the number of
METHODS

Evaluation of a common target region

AsiDesigner uses a novel and effective algorithm for selecting siRNA target regions, which considers alternative splicing. The purpose of this algorithm is to evaluate a region to design siRNAs targeting one or more mRNAs selectively from an available mRNA isoform set of a target gene. To design siRNAs, a target region must be selected from common exons of the targeted mRNA isoforms, and any exons of non-target mRNA isoforms should be excluded from this target region. Therefore, as shown in Figure 1A, the final target region will be equivalent to the subtraction of the union of non-target isoform mRNA exons from the intersection of target isoform mRNA exons. There could be two methods to achieve this purpose: the first may use the result of multiple sequence alignment of all the available mRNA isoforms and the second may use the result of mapping all the available isoform mRNAs on the genome. In this algorithm, we applied the latter method and the mapping information of mRNAs on the genome of UCSC Genome Bioinformatics (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) was utilized.

In an actual evaluation of target region, this process is carried out with the information of both target and non-target exon positions. First, common target exon regions are evaluated as follows:

(i) Select one target isoform mRNA as the main target and other target mRNAs as the co-targets.
(ii) Evaluate common exon region(s) of the main target and one of the co-targets by comparing their positions on the genome.
(iii) Evaluate common exon region(s) of previously evaluated common exon region(s) and one of the other co-targets.
(iv) Repeat (ii) and (iii) until all co-targets are processed.

Next, the final target region evaluation is similar as the preceding method. It is accomplished by the repeated exclusion of the overlapping regions of common target exon regions with the non-target exon regions.

The target template sequence for siRNA design can be extracted from genome or mRNA sequence corresponding to the target region. However, the actual target of siRNA is mRNA and there may be a little difference between the genome and mRNA sequences by post-transcriptional processing such as RNA editing. Since we need to use a mRNA sequence to extract templates, the position information of target region on the genome was converted to that on the main target mRNA by the Equation 1 for a sense-strand coded gene or Equation 2 for an antisense strand coded gene (B).

\[
X_{M+} = (X_G - S_k + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (E_i - S_i + 1) \tag{1}
\]

Here, \(X_{M+}\) is the position on the main target mRNA corresponding to \(X_G\), the position on the genome, for a gene made of \(n\) exons. \(S_i\) is the starting position of \(i\)-th exon and \(E_i\) is the end position of \(i\)-th exon. The \(k\) is the index for the exon including the target region of the siRNA. If a gene is coded in an antisense strand on the genome, the Equation 2 may be used.

\[
X_{M-} = (S_k - X_G) + \sum_{i=k}^{n} (E_i - S_i + 1) \tag{2}
\]

Rules for siRNA selection and efficiency scoring

After evaluating the common target region and sequence, actual siRNA design is performed by the optimized
siRNA design algorithm implementing relative binding energy profile discrimination method published previously (34). The siRNA candidates generated from the target region were screened by applying the 5 selection rules and the efficiencies of the candidate siRNAs were evaluated by the performance scoring rules.

**siRNA selection rules.** Candidate siRNA sequences are screened or selected based on the following selection rules:

1. **G/C content:** Users set up an allowable range of GC content (default: 30–62%).
2. **Maximum consecutive bases:** The maximum 5 for A or T, maximum 3 for G or C and maximum 7 for G/C mixed.
3. **Existence of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP):** in the siRNA target region.
4. **Self-alignment energy of siRNA:** $\Delta G < -4 \text{kcal/mol}$ by the Mfold.
5. **Maximum identical bases to the non-target mRNAs:** for off-target filtering (default: equal to or less than 15/19).

**Scoring rules for siRNA efficiency.** The performance scores are evaluated by an optimized scoring scheme of AsiDesigner to assess the efficiencies of siRNA candidates that passed the prior selection criteria. This performance scoring system assigns individual scores ($Z_i$) for each key design parameter affecting the performance of siRNAs and combines them linearly with the statistically evaluated weighting factors ($W_i$) for each design parameter to get the final total performance score ($Z_t$) (34).

$$Z_t = \frac{\sum W_i Z_i / M_i}{\sum W_i} \times 100$$

where $i$ is an index for each design parameter: 36–53% GC content, number of A/UUs at 15–19th bases from 5' sense position, G/C existence at the first 5' sense position, A/U existence at the 19th base from 5' sense position, and the relative binding energy profile, respectively, and $W_1 = 0.11$, $W_2 = 0.07$, $W_3 = 0.15$, $W_4 = 0.19$, and $W_5 = 0.90$. Individual score of design parameter $Z_i$ comes from the scoring scheme for each design parameter and $M_i$ is the maximum value of individual score: $Z_1$ is 10 when the content of G/C ranges from 36% to 53% and 0 when it does not belong within the range; $Z_2$ is the number of A/UUs in 5 bases of 3' end; $Z_3$ is 1 when the base of 5' end is G/C or 0 when it isn't; $Z_4$ is 1 when the base of 3' end is A/U or 0 when it isn't; $Z_5$ is the score calculated with the relative binding energy profile (34). The respective value of $M_i$ is as follows: $M_1 = 10$, $M_2 = 5$, $M_3 = 1$, $M_4 = 1$ and $M_5 = 100$.

Weighting factor $W_i$ for each design parameter was decided as a combination of the values that maximize the t-statistics of the total performance score $Z_t$ between the highly efficient siRNA group and inefficient siRNA group in the training set from Khvorova et al.'s (6) work. The final design parameter, the relative binding energy profile score was evaluated by optimized scoring scheme based on the performance asymmetry of siRNAs with the relative binding energy profile difference between the highly efficient and inefficient siRNA groups (34). A schematic diagram for a siRNA design process in AsiDesigner is shown in Figure 2.

**IMPLEMENTATION**

AsiDesigner was developed as a web application program based on Java, JSP/JSF technologies and MySQL relational database. It has been serviced by a Linux machine with eight CPUs of 2.2 GHz using Apache web server with Tomcat web container. Users may access and use AsiDesigner under a user-friendly GUI environment interactively through the internet.

**Input and options**

With a step-by-step input interface (Figure 3), users can design siRNAs by AsiDesigner with ease. After searching and selecting target mRNA(s) or submitting a target mRNA sequence, AsiDesigner, with a number of design options, designs and provides a sequential list of optimized siRNAs by using the internal algorithm and scoring scheme.

To search and select target mRNA(s), users undertake the following steps (Figure 3A–C):

1. **Selection of organism and retrieving target gene (mRNAs).**
2. **Selection of main target mRNA from the retrieved mRNA list and searching available mRNA isoforms from the target gene.**
3. **Selection of co-target mRNA(s) from the retrieved available mRNA isoforms.**

In input window (Figure 3A), an organism list box for human, mouse and rat is provided as choices. The organism information chosen is then used for searching genes or mRNAs, off-target filtering and SNP checking for the selected organism. In the next step, in order to search for the target gene or mRNA information, users may use keywords, i.e. gene symbols (for example ‘BCL2L1’ or ‘BRCA2’) or GenBank accession numbers of mRNAs or proteins (for example ‘NM_138578’ or ‘NP_612815’), which is the keyword-based input mode. Also, it is possible to use DNA or RNA sequence as an input in FASTA format alternatively if users have only partial sequence of target mRNA, which is the sequence-based input mode.

After submitting target gene information in the keyword-based input mode, AsiDesigner retrieves gene information from the mRNA database corresponding to the given keyword and print out a matched mRNA list (Figure 3B). Users check whether the mRNA from the target exists in the list and select one of them as a main target, then all the available mRNA isoforms of the main target mRNA are retrieved and listed in a table with a map (Figure 3C). After checking co-target mRNAs in the available mRNA isoform list, a window for setting up design options appears (Figure 3D). In the design option window, users can set options such as types of overhang (AA, NA or NN), sub-target region, range of GC content,
identity limit for off-target filtering, SNP-based filtering, secondary structure-based filtering and the number of siRNAs to be shown. Detailed information with respect to siRNA design with AsiDesigner is provided on the web page.

In the sequence-based input mode, once a user submits a sequence after selecting organism, a design option window appears and the following processes will be identical to the keyword-based input mode. Input target sequence should be in FASTA format and should include
a string of unmodified RNA/DNA bases, i.e. A, U/T, G and C only. Any other characters in the sequence will be edited and removed. Multiple FASTA format sequences are not supported; only the first sequence will be processed. The maximum length of the input sequence is 5 kb.

Output
After setting and submitting the design options, AsiDesigner designs optimized siRNA candidates by the internal algorithm and the performance scoring scheme. AsiDesigner provides a sequential list of the designed siRNAs by their evaluated performance scores. The output includes the position on the main target mRNA, siRNA sequence, GC content, existence of SNP site in the region, identity from off-target search, energy-profile score and estimated melting temperature. Users may check and compare the position of the designed siRNAs in the output map that shows the exon structure of the target.

Figure 3. Screenshots for the siRNA design procedure in AsiDesigner: step 1 for submitting target information in terms of gene symbol or GenBank accession number of mRNA or protein (A), step 2 for target mRNA selection and available isoform search (B), step 3 for co-target isoform selection (C), step 4 for setting siRNA design options (D) and step 5 for the output of designed siRNA list (E).
Bcl-xL and Bcl-xS were 73 and 100%, respectively, by siRNA set 1 and 71 and 2%, respectively, for siRNA set 2. Consequently we verified that the siRNA set 2 targeting Bcl-xL alone silenced Bcl-xL properly, while siRNA set 1 targeting both isoforms knocked down both Bcl-xL and Bcl-xS as expected.

Besides this result, the performance of the optimized scoring scheme for siRNA efficacy adopted in AsiDesigner was validated through numerous gene silencing experiments, a total of over 300 siRNAs from five gene families (NFĸB, STE kinase, TK kinase, Caspase and CUT; Supplementary Table S1 to Table S6) and BIRC5 gene. Also, it was used to design 67 siRNAs from seven genes (EGFP, MAPT, SNCA, SNCB, ACTB, HSP22 and GARS) with our collaborative researches and also to build predesigned human kinase and phosphatase siRNA libraries. Most of gene silencing experiments were carried out with effective siRNAs and the accuracy of siRNA efficacy prediction based on 315 effective siRNAs from five gene families and three ineffective siRNAs from BIRC5 gene was 0.79 based on 75% knockdown rate (Table S1).

**FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS**

Since BLAST algorithm for off-target screening is not sufficient (42,43), we applied FASTA program for siRNA candidates that passed from BLAST search in the AsiDesigner, which is very time consuming. We plan to adapt miRNA-like off-target filtering algorithm for nonreal-time-based siRNA design using email system in the future (44,45).

**CONCLUSION**

AsiDesigner provides users with a useful novel function with which to design siRNAs for multiple target mRNAs considering alternative splicing. Candidate siRNA sequences based on the currently known key design factors and many advanced techniques are expected to have optimized efficiencies. Through numerous gene silencing experiments undertaken by several different groups, the AsiDesigner performance has been validated to be very efficient; we anticipate that AsiDesigner may be used effectively for various functional genomics, drug discovery, as well as for other molecular biology studies.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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