Molecular crowding creates an essential environment for the formation of stable G-quadruplexes in long double-stranded DNA

Ke-wei Zheng1, Zhao Chen1, Yu-hua Hao2 and Zheng Tan1,2,*

1Laboratory of Biochemistry and Biophysics, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072 and 2State Key Laboratory of Biomembrane and Membrane Biotechnology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, P. R. China

Received August 1, 2009; Revised and Accepted October 6, 2009

ABSTRACT

Large numbers of guanine-rich sequences with potential to form G-quadruplexes have been identified in genomes of various organisms. Such sequences are constrained at both ends by long DNA duplex with a complementary strand in close proximity to compete for duplex formation. G-quadruplex/duplex competition in long double-stranded DNA has rarely been studied. In this work, we used DMS footprinting and gel electrophoresis to study G-quadruplex formation in long double-stranded DNA derived from human genome under both dilute and molecular crowding condition created by PEG. G-quadruplex formation was observed in the process of RNA transcription and after heat denaturation/renaturation under molecular crowding condition. Our results showed that the heat denaturation/renaturation treatment followed by gel electrophoresis could provide a simple method to quantitatively assess the ability of G-quadruplex formation in long double-stranded DNA. The effect of K+ and PEG concentration was investigated and we found that stable G-quadruplexes could only form under the crowding condition with PEG at concentrations near the physiological concentration of biomass in living cells. This observation reveals a physical basis for the formation of stable G-quadruplexes in genome and supports its presence under the in vivo molecular crowding condition.

INTRODUCTION

Nucleic acids with multiple runs of guanine-rich (G-rich) motifs can fold back to form a four-stranded intramolecular G-quadruplex structure in the presence of mono mental ions, for instance, K+ or Na+ (1,2). Such G-quadruplex sequences have been found to present in many essential regions of human genome, such as telomeres (3), promoter of oncogenes (4), immunoglobulin switch (5) and insulin regulatory (6) regions. The prevalence of such sequences is demonstrated by many recent bioinformatic analyses that have revealed up to several hundred thousands of putative quadruplex sequences in the genome of human and other species (7–15). Although direct evidence is still lacking, the existence of G-quadruplex structure in genome is supported by several observations. G-quadruplex formation in plasmid has been suggested to occur during the intracellular transcription of its G-rich region (16). Mutation in the G-rich region upstream of the C-MYC promoter to reduce G-quadruplex formation resulted in increase in basal transcriptional activity of the promoter (17). In contrast, stabilization of G-quadruplexes by chemical compounds has been shown to downregulate the promoter activities of the C-MYC (17) and KRAS (18) gene in model plasmids and the expression of the native C-MYC gene (19). The presence of proteins that interact with G-quadruplexes suggest that such structures are functional elements in biological processes (20). It is now believed that G-quadruplexes play important role in regulating gene expression and thus constitute valuable therapeutic targets against cancer and other diseases (21–28).

In genomic DNA, all the quadruplex forming sequences, except for the telomere DNA, are located at internal positions of long double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). They are constrained at both ends by long DNA duplex with a complementary strand in close proximity to compete for duplex formation. So far, studies on G-quadruplex/duplex competition have been carried out almost exclusively using the core sequences of both the G- and C-rich strand (29–35). In these situations, the two reactants are all free molecules and the G-quadruplex/
duplex competition strongly depends on their concentration because of the intermolecular nature of the duplex formation. In addition, the presence of flanking duplex in genome should reinforce the formation of duplex. Therefore it is difficult to obtain information from separate core sequences that can truly reflect the G-quadruplex/duplex competition in genomic DNA.

To better understand the quadruplex/duplex competition in genomic DNA, we prepared long dsDNA from human genome carrying G-quadruplex-forming sequences with flanking duplex at both sides and studied G-quadruplex formation under both dilute and molecular crowding conditions during the process of in vitro transcription and heat denaturation/renaturation. Our data revealed that molecular crowding creates an essential environment for stable G-quadruplex to form in dsDNA. We also explored how G-quadruplex formation was affected by the concentration of K⁺ and PEG. While K⁺ only affected the competition at millimolar level that is far below the physiological concentration of this cation, lasting G-quadruplex could form at PEG concentrations near the physiological concentration of biomass in living cells. This fact strongly suggests that stable G-quadruplex can form and coexist with duplex in genome inside cells under the in vivo crowding condition. Our results also show that gel electrophoresis can provide a useful tool to quantify G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Preparation of dsDNA

Genomic DNA was isolated from HeLa cells as described (36). dsDNA (dsDNA) carrying a T7 promoter was prepared by overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OE-PCR) (Table 1). Other dsDNA was prepared by direct amplification of specific region of genomic DNA containing G-quadruplex sequence using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Primers (Table 2) for PCR were purchased from Invitrogen (Shanghai, China). For some experiments, one primer was labeled at the 5′-end with a fluorescein (FAM) for quantitation. PCR was conducted in a total volume of 50 μl containing 75 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.8, 20 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.01% Tween-20, 250 μM dNTP, 0.4 μM primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 5% (v/v) DMSO, 2 U Taq Polymerase (Fermentas, MBI) and 170 ng genomic DNA as template. Thermal cycling was carried out on a Biometra thermal cycler with initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, followed by addition of Taq Polymerase and subsequent 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. PCR product was purified using the TIANgel Midi Purification Kit (Tiangen, China).

**In vitro transcription**

Transcription was carried out using 0.6 pmol FAM-labeled dsDNA in a total volume of 29 μl (or its multiples) at 37°C for 1 h in transcription buffer containing 150 mM KCl, 20 U T7 RNA Polymerase (Fermentas, MBI), 0.5 mM GTP and TTP (for C-MYC) or 0.5 mM ATP and GTP (for NRAS and control), in the absence or presence of 40% (w/v) PEG 200. The reaction was stopped by addition of 1/29 vol of 0.5 M EDTA followed by treatment with 0.6 mg/ml Proteinase K (Fermentas, MBI) at 37°C for 1 h.

**Heat denaturation/renaturation**

dsDNA was made in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) buffer containing 1 mM EDTA and the indicated concentration of KCl (or LiCl) and PEG 200, heated at 95°C for 5 min and then cooled down to room temperature at a rate of 0.02°C per second.

**Examination of G-quadruplex formation by dimethyl sulfate footprinting**

Ten picomoles of FAM-labeled dsDNA in 200 μl volume derived from samples that had undergone transcription or heat denaturation/renaturation were mixed with 4 μl of 10% (v/v) dimethyl sulfate (DMS) in ethanol and incubated for 6 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by addition of 200 μl stop buffer (0.6 M NaOAc, 0.1 M β-mercaptoethanol, 20 μg sperm DNA). After phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, the DNA was dissolved in 50 μl water. The NTPs in the samples that had undergone transcription were removed by the desalt column mini Quick Spin Oligo Column (Roche, Germany). Thereafter, 50 μl 20% (v/v) piperidine in water was added and the samples were heated at 90°C for 30 min, followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. The precipitated DNA was dissolved in 50% (v/v) deionized formamide in water, denatured at 95°C for 5 min and resolved on a denaturing 12% polyacrylamide gel.

**Examination of G-quadruplex formation by native gel electrophoresis**

DNA samples were loaded on 8% polyacrylamide gel containing 150 mM KCl, 40% (w/v) PEG 200 and electrophoresed at 4°C, 8 V/cm, in 1X TBE buffer containing 150 mM KCl. For the DNA samples amplified with unlabeled primers, the gel was stained with ethidium bromide (EB) and recorded on a ChemiImager 5500 (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA, USA). For those amplified using FAM-labeled primers, the gel was scanned on a Typhoon phosphor imager (Amersham Biosciences, Sweden) and quantitated with the software ImageQuant 5.2. In some experiments, the DNA samples were incubated with 15 μM T4 Gene 32 single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB) (NEB, USA) on ice for 1 h before electrophoresis.

**Examination of G-quadruplex formation by single-stranded DNA endonuclease**

Ten picomoles of FAM-labeled dsDNA in 90 μl 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) buffer containing 150 mM K⁺ solution and 40% (w/v) PEG 200 were treated as described in the heat denaturation/renaturation section. Thereafter, 10 μl of 20 U mung bean nuclease (MBN) or 50 U S1 nuclease (Takara, China) were added. After incubation at 37°C for 5 min, the reaction was subjected to phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol
precipitation. The precipitated DNA was dissolved in 50% (v/v) deionized formamide in water, denatured at 95°C for 5 min and resolved on a denaturing 12% polyacrylamide gel.

Thermal melting profiling by fluorescence resonance energy transfer

Oligonucleotides, 5'-GGAGAGGGCCGATCTGGG-3' (F-NRAS-T), 5'-CCGCACGACCGCTCG-CCCTTTG GCGACGCGTGGCGCG-3' (F-rNRAS-T), 5'-GGG TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG-3' (F-TEL-T), and 5'-CA CACTCACCTCACCTCCATTGGAGGTGG TGGTAGTGTGG-3' (F-rTEL-T), labeled at the 5'-end with a fluorescein (FAM) and the 3'-end with a tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA), respectively, were purchased from Takara Biotech (Dalian, China). The loop region in F-rNRAS-T and F-rTEL-T is underlined. The thermal melting analyses were carried out in 10 mM lithium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) containing either 150 mM KCl or 5 mM KCl/145 mM LiCl and the indicated concentration of PEG 200 as described (37,38) on a Rotor-Gene 2000 Real-time Cycler.

RESULTS

Detection of G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA by DMS footprinting

Using overlap PCR and genomic DNA from HeLa cells as template, we first constructed two dsDNAs carrying the core G-rich sequence from the C-MYC and NRAS gene, respectively. The G-rich core sequence was on the nontemplate strand and connected with a flanking promoter sequence for the T7 RNA polymerase at its 5' end. The thermal melting analyses were carried out in 10 mM lithium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) containing either 150 mM KCl or 5 mM KCl/145 mM LiCl and the indicated concentration of PEG 200 as described (37,38).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sequencea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-MYC</td>
<td>5'-GGCTTCGGAGTCTCCCTGCACTATGACTCCTGA CAAATaacatgacttagggGAGGCGGGTGAGGGAA GAGCATTATCGCTGTATGTCTTCATCATGTA CCTAATACTCGGCGAGGCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>5'-GGCTTCGGAGTCTCCCTGCACTATGACTCCTGA CAAATaacatgacttagggGAGGCGGGTGAGGGAA GAGCATTATCGCTGTATGTCTTCATCATGTA CCTAATACTCGGCGAGGCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5'-GGCTTCGGAGTCTCCCTGCACTATGACTCCTGA CAAATaacatgacttagggGAGGCGGGTGAGGGAA GAGCATTATCGCTGTATGTCTTCATCATGTA CCTAATACTCGGCGAGGCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe lower case region indicates the promoter sequence for T7 RNA polymerase, italic region indicates the range of transcription and underlined region the G-quadruplex-forming sequence.

Detection and quantitation of G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA by gel electrophoresis

DMS footprinting is intuitive for identifying G-quadruplex structure, but difficult to quantify the amount of G-quadruplex formed. We anticipated that when the G-quadruplex forms, the structural change should alter the electrophoretic migration of a dsDNA. To test this possibility, the two dsDNAs and one control dsDNA without G-quadruplex-forming sequence was examined (Figure 2). The dsDNA with G-quadruplex-forming sequence from C-MYC or NRAS without prior heat treatment or heated in the absence of PEG appeared as a single band on the gel. A new major slow band emerged if they were heated in the presence of 40% (w/v) PEG 200. According to the DMS footprinting results, the new band should present the dsDNA containing G-quadruplex. In the two dsDNAs that had undergone transcription in the presence of PEG, two new slow bands appeared. The lower major band should be the G-quadruplex-containing dsDNA since it had a similar migration rate as the new band in the heat-treated dsDNA in PEG solution. The slowest bands that the samples were treated with protease after transcription suggesting that it was a DNA/polymerase complex. The quantification by digital scan revealed that this band carried G-quadruplex since its disappearance intensified the G-quadruplex-containing band beneath it. When the transcription was carried out in the absence of PEG, a weak G-quadruplex-containing band was detected in the C-MYC DNA, but not in the NRAS DNA. This weak band did not appear in the heat-treated C-MYC DNA. A possible interpretation could be that the transcribed RNA bound to the C-MYC enhanced the competition of the C-MYC G-quadruplex against duplex. This enhancement was not seen in the NRAS DNA probably because the NRAS G-quadruplex was too weak to compete with duplex even with the transcribed RNA. For the control dsDNA, that did not contain G-quadruplex-forming sequence, very fainter bands were also detected in the samples treated with T7 polymerase. These bands all disappeared if the samples were treated with protease.
were treated with protease before electrophoresis, suggesting that they were DNA/polymerase complexes. Their amount was lower than that in the C-MYC and NRAS DNA. It is possible that formation of G-quadruplex facilitated the association of the polymerase with the DNAs. However, the quantity of such bands in the C-MYC and NRAS DNA did not correlate with the quantity of G-quadruplexes, suggesting the complex formation may also depend on sequence. By supplying only two NTPs, the transcription was allowed to proceed for 8 nt. This ensured homogeneous transcription product and sharp band for the DNA carrying G-quadruplex. When full-length transcription was allowed, the DNA band containing G-quadruplex became extremely smeary due to the heterogeneity in the progress of transcription (data not shown).

To verify that the DNA band immediately following the original duplex dsDNA band in gel electrophoresis carried G-quadruplex, electrophoretic mobility shift assay was conducted, in which the DNA was incubated with the SSB T4 gene 32 protein before electrophoresis (Figure 3). When the G-rich region in a dsDNA turns into G-quadruplex, its complementary C-rich region will be liberated into single-stranded form that will be recognized by the SSB. Indeed, the new band was shifted to a higher position in the gel, indicating the formation of a DNA/SSB complex and the presence of single-stranded DNA. G-quadruplex is stabilized by K\(^+\) or Na\(^+\), but not by Li\(^+\) (39–44). When the K\(^+\) was substituted by Li\(^+\), the slower migrating bands disappeared.

The incomplete transcription of merely 8 nt resulted in only a local and partial separation of the two DNA strands. However, in the heat denaturation/renaturation treatment, the two strands of the dsDNAs were completely dissociated before they re-annealed. There was a possibility that the slow migrating band immediately following the original dsDNA could be the DNA that remained in single-stranded form as a result of G-quadruplex formation. To test this possibility, we treated the NRAS dsDNA samples carrying a fluorescent dye FAM at the 5'-end of the C-rich strand before electrophoresis with MBN and S1 nuclease, respectively, that specifically degrades single-stranded nucleic acids. If the slow migrating band was single-stranded DNA, it should be fully degraded. However, the results shown in Figure 4 indicate that the cleavage only occurred in the middle of the DNA. This fact further verifies that the band immediately following the original dsDNA could be the DNA that remained in single-stranded form as a result of G-quadruplex formation. This result also confirms that the sequences flanking the core G-rich region were in duplex form. Very occasionally, this major band could be followed by an extremely faint band in the heat-treated samples, but not in the transcription samples (e.g. the 2nd lane of Figure 2 for NRAS). This faint band could be single-
stranded DNA and such bands could usually be suppressed by slower cooling rate.

**G-quadruplex/duplex competition in long dsDNAs and the effect of K⁺ and PEG concentration**

The results presented in the above section demonstrate that the gel electrophoresis can provide a simple method to identify and quantify G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA. Using this method, we next studied the competition between G-quadruplex and duplex formation and how it is affected by K⁺ and PEG concentration in 15 long dsDNAs derived from human genome by PCR (Table 2). In these dsDNAs, the G-rich sequences were flanked by non-G-rich sequences at both ends. The core telomere sequence (T2AG3)4 was also made into double-stranded form flanked by non-G-rich sequences at both ends by overlap PCR as a reference since it is the most extensively studied G-quadruplex sequence. G-quadruplex formation was induced by the heat denaturation/renaturation treatment since it is a pure physical process and is expected to more faithfully reflect the competition between the two DNA structures themselves without potential influence from protein binding in transcription.

Figure 5 shows the gels of these dsDNAs stained by EB. G-quadruplex formation varied significantly in the 15 dsDNAs as judged from the ratio of the two DNA bands in the heat-treated sample in each gel. For example, the majority of the AAVSI and BCL2 DNA formed G-quadruplex, while the GUK1, ASS1 and telomere DNA were largely in duplex form.

Since G-quadruplex is poorly stained by EB (45), a quantitative evaluation of G-quadruplex formation as a fraction of total DNA is difficult. Therefore, the rest analyses were carried out using dsDNAs amplified with fluorescently labeled primers. Animal cells contain high concentration of K⁺ (150 mM) intracellularly (46). So far, most of the G-quadruplexes have been found to form at submicromolar or micromolar level of K⁺ when single-stranded oligonucleotide was examined (32,43,47–49). To investigate the effect of K⁺ on dsDNA, G-quadruplex formation in four dsDNAs were examined as a function of K⁺ concentration. The data in Figure 6 show that the K⁺ requirement for G-quadruplex formation is roughly 10 times higher for dsDNA than for single-stranded G-rich strand. For instance, the C-MYC sequence has an EC₅₀ of 6.43 mM K⁺ for...
dsDNA (Figure 6) but between 0.01 and 0.1 mM for the single-stranded form (47). All the four dsDNAs reached half transition at the neighborhood of 10 mM K\(^+\), which is much lower than the physiological concentration of K\(^+\). This fact suggests that G-quadruplex formation should be insensitive to fluctuation in K\(^+\) concentration inside cells.

With regard to the molecular crowding condition, we examined the 15 dsDNAs for the dependence of G-quadruplex formation on PEG concentration (Figure 7). No G-quadruplex was detected in all these DNAs in the absence of PEG. Among the DNAs, the AAVS1 showed the lowest EC\(_{50}\) of 15.9% PEG and hTEL the highest EC\(_{50}\) of 45.9% PEG for G-quadruplex formation. The slope of the dose–response curves also displayed large difference. For example, the G-quadruplex formation in the LRE2 was affected over a broad range of PEG concentration, while that in the GUK1 and NRAS was responsive within a narrower range. In contrast to the case of K\(^+\), the effective concentrations of PEG for the dsDNAs to reach half transition (EC\(_{50}\)) are all close

Table 2. PCR primers used for preparing dsDNAs used in Figures 5–7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Upstream primer (5’-3’ side)</th>
<th>Downstream primer (5’-3’ side)</th>
<th>dsDNA (bp)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAVS1</td>
<td>GGTAGACAGGGCTGGGGTG</td>
<td>CTCTCTGCCCCCTTCTTACA</td>
<td>62 + 30 + 73</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS1</td>
<td>AGGTGGCTGTGAAACGCTGA</td>
<td>GACCGGGGAAACGTGGA</td>
<td>32 + 21 + 36</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCl2</td>
<td>GGGGCCACGGAGAGCC</td>
<td>TCCCTGCGGCGCCGGG</td>
<td>62 + 23 + 50</td>
<td>(59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-KIT</td>
<td>GGGATTAACGCTCGAAGA</td>
<td>TCCCTGCGGCGCCGGG</td>
<td>56 + 20 + 34</td>
<td>(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-MYC</td>
<td>TGGGCCGGAGATAGAAGG</td>
<td>GGTAGACAGGGCTGGGGTG</td>
<td>80 + 30 + 39</td>
<td>(61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSTB</td>
<td>GGCTCTGGAGTCCCTGCTG</td>
<td>CCGCCGAGCGCTCAACC</td>
<td>51 + 20 + 40</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPO</td>
<td>TCTGCATGCTGATGCCCAGG</td>
<td>CCGCCGAGCGCTCAACC</td>
<td>51 + 30 + 39</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUK1</td>
<td>GGCGGCTGCTGATGTTAG</td>
<td>ACCGCCAGGGGCGTTCA</td>
<td>62 + 22 + 24</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hTEL</td>
<td>GGCTCAGGGAGTCCCTGCGG</td>
<td>ACCGCCAGGGGCGTTCA</td>
<td>39 + 21 + 36</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGB1</td>
<td>CGGCCAGACCATAAAGC</td>
<td>CCTCCTGAGACTAAGTGGAAA</td>
<td>62 + 23 + 51</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>AGCTATCGATGCGTTGCC</td>
<td>GCCGACACTTTTCTCCGG</td>
<td>62 + 27 + 35</td>
<td>(63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRE2</td>
<td>GAGATCACATGGACAGAAAGGG</td>
<td>CTGACCCCCATTAATGTTGTCACTCTA</td>
<td>50 + 23 + 49</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>GGCGAAGAGGATAGCGAG</td>
<td>GGCTTGGGACACAGCAAGG</td>
<td>83 + 18 + 34</td>
<td>(64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMA4</td>
<td>ACCGCTCACCGGAAACTCC</td>
<td>CGAGGCGCCGCGGTTTCA</td>
<td>55 + 22 + 57</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTX</td>
<td>TTAAGTGGAGCCACGCGGTG</td>
<td>CTGAGGGGATTCTGGGGAGG</td>
<td>78 + 16 + 93</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(_{a}\)The three numbers indicate, from left to right, the size of the duplex flanking the core G-rich sequence at the 5’ side, the core G-rich sequence and the duplex flanking the core G-rich sequence at the 3’ side, respectively.

Figure 3. G-quadruplex formation in dsDNA derived from the C-MYC, NRAS gene examined by gel electrophoretic mobility shift. DNAs, including the control that does not form G-quadruplex, were subjected to heat denaturation/renaturation in 150 mM K\(^+\) or Li\(^+\) solution containing 40% (w/v) PEG 200, then followed by incubation in the presence or absence of single-stranded DNA binding protein (SSB) before native gel electrophoresis. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide (EB). The drawing at the right side shows schematic illustration of the structure associated with the indicated DNA band.

Figure 4. G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA carrying G-quadruplex-forming sequence from the NRAS gene examined by hydrolysis with mung bean nuclease (MBN) and S1 nuclease. DNA labeled at the 5’-end of the C-rich strand with a FAM (asterisk) was subjected to heat denaturation/renaturation in 150 mM K\(^+\), 40% (w/v) PEG 200 solution, then followed by hydrolysis with the indicated nuclease before denaturing gel electrophoresis. The single-stranded region opposite to the G-quadruplex is susceptible to the attack of the two nucleases.
to the physiological concentration of biomolecules (30–40%, w/v) in living cells (50,51). For the ASS1 gene, two new bands were induced by PEG (Figure 7). This could indicate the presence of more than one G-quadruplex structure.

Several studies have reported that molecular crowding can stabilize G-quadruplex and destabilize duplex structure (32,52–57). We analyzed the effect of PEG 200 at various concentrations on the thermal stability of two G-quadruplexes (F-NRAS-T, F-TEL-T) and their corresponding hairpin duplexes (F-rNRAS-T, F-rTEL-T) in which the sequences were randomized to prevent G-quadruplex formation (Figures 8 and 9). These oligonucleotides were labeled at their 5'-end with a

Figure 5. G-quadruplex formation in 16 long dsDNAs derived from human genome examined by native gel electrophoresis. DNAs in 150 mM K^+ 40% (w/v) PEG 200 solution were subjected to heat denaturation/renaturation (right lane) or were not heat-treated (left lane) before electrophoresis. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide (EB). The drawing at the right side shows schematic illustration of the structure associated with the corresponding DNA band.

Figure 6. G-quadruplex formation in dsDNAs as a function of K^+ concentration. DNAs were derived from BCL2, C-MYC, CSHB and LRE2 by PCR using fluorescent primers, made in buffer containing 40% (w/v) PEG 200 and various concentration of K^+ and subjected to heat denaturation/renaturation before native gel electrophoresis. G-quadruplex formation was quantitated as fraction of total DNA.
fluorescein (FAM) as donor and 3'-end a tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) as acceptor. The thermal denaturation of the structures moved the two fluorophores apart and decreased the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between them, thus leading to an increase in donor fluorescence. As judged from the $T_{1/2}$ that represents the temperature for the donor fluorescence to reach midvalue between the minimal and maximal

Figure 7. G-quadruplex formation in dsDNAs as a function of PEG 200 concentration. DNAs were derived from human genome by PCR using fluorescent primers, made in 150 mM K$^+$ solution containing various concentration (w/v) of PEG 200 and subjected to heat denaturation/renaturation before native gel electrophoresis. G-quadruplex formation was quantitated as fraction of total DNA.
emission, PEG 200 enhanced the stability of the G-quadruplexes and reduced the stability of the duplexes in a concentration-dependent manner. In 150 mM K+ solution, PEG stabilized the F-NRAS-T G-quadruplex at all concentrations, although the G-quadruplex was too stable to be fully denatured. In contrast, the corresponding hairpin duplex was destabilized (Figure 8A). By decreasing the K+ concentration to 5 mM to lower the stability of the F-NRAS-T G-quadruplex, a simultaneous stabilization of G-quadruplex and destabilization of duplex by PEG was observed (Figure 8B). The same effect was also observed for the human telomere sequence F-TEL-T and F-rTEL-T (Figure 9). Therefore, the opposite effect of PEG 200 on the stabilities of the G-quadruplexes and duplexes favored the competition of G-quadruplex to duplex structure and provides an explanation for the formation of G-quadruplex in PEG solution.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we observed G-quadruplex formation at the internal region of long dsDNA in the process of RNA transcription and heat denaturation/renaturation and studied how it could be affected by the concentration of K+ and molecular crowding. From the analysis of 15 dsDNAs carrying different core G-rich sequences from human genome, our data show that molecular crowding with PEG is essential for the formation of G-quadruplexes in these dsDNAs. Without molecular crowding, none of the G-rich sequences was able to sustain stable G-quadruplex after the heat denaturation/renaturation process. Under the molecular crowding condition, G-quadruplexes can not only form, but also maintain as stable structure in the presence of a complementary strand in close vicinity. The effects of molecular crowding on the two structures clearly explain our observations. The dependence of G-quadruplex formation on PEG concentration revealed that the effective concentrations for the PEG to promote G-quadruplex formation (EC50) in the dsDNAs are near the physiological concentration of biomolecules (30–40%, w/v) in living cells (50,51). This fact suggests that the molecular crowding condition inside living cells may provide a proper environment for stable G-quadruplexes to form in genome when small
region of DNA double helix is denatured. This observation is of physiological importance because it provides a physical basis for the formation of stable G-quadruplexes under physiological condition and indicates the requirement of G-quadruplex unwinding activity if this structure has to be disrupted in certain biological processes. Local and transient dissociation of DNA duplex take place during many biological DNA-processing events, such as replication, transcription and promoter recognition. These events should provide chances for G-quadruplex to form in vivo.

Among the 15 dsDNAs, the one carrying the human telomere sequence showed the least capability to form G-quadruplex with an EC$_{50}$ of 45.9% (Figure 7). At 40% PEG, about 15% of the telomere sequence in the dsDNA was in G-quadruplex form as calculated from the PEG does curve. This value is much smaller than that of the same telomere sequence placed at the ends of dsDNA where more than half of them formed G-quadruplex (45). This difference demonstrates that the presence of flanking duplex significantly suppresses G-quadruplex formation. Therefore, studies using long dsDNA can yield more accurate and physiologically relevant information than those using only the core sequence regarding the competition between G-quadruplex and duplex in genome.

Our work shows that formation of G-quadruplex retards dsDNA migration in gel electrophoresis. This property provides a reliable and simple method for analyzing G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA. Precise quantitation can be easily obtained by the ratio of the DNA bands if the dsDNA is prepared using primer labeled with radioactive isotopes or fluorescent dyes. Approximate results can be obtained by staining with dyes, such as EB, without labeling of primer. For a same G-rich sequence, the extent of G-quadruplex formation in different biological processes may be different because of the different proteins and mechanisms involved. The heat denaturation/renaturation treatment followed by gel electrophoresis provides an easy and general assessment of the capability of G-quadruplex formation in long dsDNA. Because of the abundance of

Figure 9. Effect of PEG 200 on the thermal stability of human telomere G-quadruplex and hairpin duplex structure. Fluorescence melting assays were carried out in (A) 150 mM K$^+$ or (B) 5 mM K$^+$/145 mM Li$^+$ solution containing 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40% (w/v) of PEG 200, respectively. The horizontal arrow indicates the direction of increasing in PEG concentration.
G-quadruplex sequences in genome, G-quadruplex stabilization by small molecules is emerging as a therapeutic strategy against cancer and other diseases (21–28). How a small molecule will promote G-quadruplex formation and stabilize G-quadruplex in genomic DNA would be better tested using long dsDNA as target. We expect that the heat treatment followed by gel electrophoresis used in this work can provide a useful tool to fulfill this purpose.
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