Evolution of eukaryal tRNA-guanine transglycosylase: insight gained from the heterocyclic substrate recognition by the wild-type and mutant human and *Escherichia coli* tRNA-guanine transglycosylases
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**ABSTRACT**

The enzyme tRNA-guanine transglycosylase (TGT) is involved in the queuosine modification of tRNAs in eukarya and eubacteria and in the archaeosine modification of tRNAs in archaea. However, the different classes of TGTs utilize different heterocyclic substrates (and tRNA in the case of archaea). Based on the X-ray structural analyses, an earlier study [Stengl et al. (2005) Mechanism and substrate specificity of tRNA-guanine transglycosylases (TGTs): tRNA-modifying enzymes from the three different kingdoms of life share a common catalytic mechanism. *Chembiochem*, 6, 1926–1939] has made a compelling case for the divergent evolution of the eubacterial and archaeal TGTs. The X-ray structure of the eukaryal class of TGTs is not known. We performed sequence homology and phylogenetic analyses, and carried out enzyme kinetics studies with the wild-type and mutant TGTs from *Escherichia coli* and human using various heterocyclic substrates that we synthesized. Observations with the Cys145Val (*E. coli*) and the corresponding Val161Cys (human) TGTs are consistent with the idea that the Cys145 evolved in eubacterial TGTs to recognize preQ1 but not queuine, whereas the eukaryal equivalent, Val161, evolved for increased recognition of queuine and a concomitantly decreased recognition of preQ1. Both the phylogenetic and kinetic analyses support the conclusion that all TGTs have divergently evolved to specifically recognize their cognate heterocyclic substrates.

**INTRODUCTION**

Transfer RNA-guanine transglycosylase (TGT, EC 2.4.2.29) is the key enzyme responsible for the queuine [7-((4,5-cis-dihydroxy-2-cyclopenten-1-yl)-amino)methyl-7-deazaguanine (Scheme 1) modification of tRNA in eukarya and eubacteria and archaeosine in archaea (1). In eukarya and eubacteria, TGT incorporates queuine or preQ1 (7-aminomethyl-7-deazaguanine; Scheme 1), respectively, into the anticodon wobble position (position 34) of tRNAs (2,3), while archaeal TGT places another 7-deazaguanine analog, preQ0 (7-cyano-7-deazaguanine), into the position 15 (D loop) of tRNAs (4).

The TGT reaction shares a common mechanism through the replacement of a genetically encoded guanine, shown for the eubacterial TGT to occur via a covalent TGT–RNA intermediate with ping-pong kinetics (5–7). Also, crystallographic evidence reveals that the N-terminal domains of eubacterial and archaeal TGTs fold into an ($\beta/\alpha$)8 TIM barrel, while a characteristic zinc-binding motif is found in their C-terminal domains (8,9). Romier et al. (8) observed a dimer interface when the structure of the *Zymomonas mobilis* TGT was determined. Later, co-crystallization of the enzyme with
an anticodon stem–loop confirmed a homodimer formation upon tRNA binding (10). The archaeal TGT has also been shown to contain two monomers per asymmetric unit and the two subunits were suggested to interact tightly through the zinc-binding domain (9). The most interesting subunit structure was found in eukarya. Although lacking a crystal structure, the eukaryal TGT has been proposed for almost four decades to be a heterodimer (11) based upon biochemical and kinetic characterizations. Although there have been discrepancies regarding the reported size and composition of the subunits (11–14), it is now clear that the eukaryal TGT is composed of queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase (QTR) and QTR domain-containing 1 (QTRTD1), which are homologous subunits of 44 and 46.7 kDa, respectively (15,16). QTRTD1 has been proposed to be the queuine salvage enzyme that liberates free queuine from QMP (16).

An argument has been made that queuosine modification in eubacteria and eukarya may have resulted from convergent evolution based on the dramatic differences between their queuosine modification systems (e.g. eukarya do not synthesize queuine while eubacteria do, and eukarya transport and salvage queuine while eubacteria do not) (17). At that time, the quaternary structure of the eukaryal TGT was thought to be different from that of the eubacterial TGT, as described above. Subsequently, based upon careful analyses of the X-ray crystal structures of eubacterial and archaeal TGTs, Klebe et al. (18) have presented a compelling case for the divergent evolution of TGT. Their evidence includes the close overall structural homology and the absolute conservation of zinc-binding and key active-site residues. They also present a cogent discussion of changes in key amino acids in the active site that are responsible for the differential heterocyclic substrate recognition between the eubacterial (preQ1) and archaeal (preQ0) TGTs. However, in the absence of an X-ray crystal structure and any detailed biochemical evidence, extension of the divergent evolution concept to the eukaryal TGT could only be inferred from sequence homologies.

To confirm the divergent evolution model for TGT, we report further sequence homology and phylogenetic analyses, the results of which are consistent with divergent evolution. To provide experimental evidence for the divergent evolution of TGT in eukaryotes, queuine and preQ1 incorporation studies were performed with wild-type and mutant human and *Escherichia coli* tRNA-guanine transglycosylases. Enzymological studies of mutants of Cys145 (*E. coli* TGT) and the corresponding Val161 (human TGT) are consistent with the concept that this residue, in particular, has evolved to enhance recognition of preQ1 in eubacteria and to decrease recognition of preQ1, concomitant with increased recognition of queuine, in eukarya. These phylogenetic analyses and experimental results support the conclusion that all TGTs have divergently evolved to specifically recognize their cognate heterocyclic substrates, while minimizing recognition of non-cognate ones.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents**

Unless otherwise specified, all reagents were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich. DNA oligonucleotides, agarose, dithiothreitol (DTT) and DNA ladders were ordered...
The human tRNA\textsuperscript{Tyr} gene was synthesized by The Midland Certified Reagent Company. All restriction enzymes and Vent\textsuperscript{®} DNA polymerase were ordered from New England Biolabs. The ribonucleic acid triphosphates (dNTPs), pyrophosphatase and kanamycin sulfate were ordered from Roche Applied Sciences. The deoxyribonucleic acid triphosphates (dNTPs) were ordered from Promega. Scriptguard\textsuperscript{™} RNase Inhibitor was ordered from Epicentre. Epicurian coli\textsuperscript{®} XL-2-Blue ultracompetent cells were ordered from Agilent Technologies. E. coli TG2 and BL21 (DE3) cells were from laboratory stocks. Histidine 333 (e.g. queuine, guanine, 7-cyano-preQ1 or archaeosine) was also performed by Moravek Biochemicals and the tritiation of [\textsuperscript{3}H]-preQ\textsubscript{1} and [\textsuperscript{3}H]-queuine (50–60 mCi/mmol) was ordered from Moravek Biochemicals. Aspartates 89, 143, and 264; cysteines 145, 302, 304, and 307; and histidine 333 (E. coli numbering) were deleted were found to not align with (or were missing) the conserved active-site aspartates and cysteines. The six sequences that were deleted were found to not align with (or were missing) the conserved active-site aspartates and cysteines. Where a number of examples were present within each subgroup, only 1–2 were selected for inclusion in our study as we wanted the sample to be a diverse representation and not to be biased towards any one subgroup. A large number of protein sequences were annotated as ‘PUA domain containing’; however, only those clearly annotated as tRNA transglycosylase or ribosyltransferase were included to avoid possible confusion with pseudouridine synthases and others. Due to the large number of sequences (approximately 6000) we were initially found. In the case of archaean TGTs, these were sorted by phylogenetic tree and duplicates were discarded. Where a number of examples were present within each subgroup, only 1–2 were selected for inclusion in our study as we wanted the sample to be a diverse representation and not to be biased towards any one subgroup. A large number of protein sequences were annotated as ‘PUA domain containing’; however, only those clearly annotated as tRNA transglycosylase or ribosyltransferase (e.g. queuine, guanine, 7-cyano-preQ\textsubscript{1} or archaeosine) were included to avoid possible confusion with pseudouridine synthases and others. Due to the large number of sequences, the TGTs, the sequences were sorted by the highest level of identified organisms listed in the database; however, only one per genus was chosen to minimize bias.

A multiple sequence alignment was then performed with Clustal W. A number of sequences (36) were found to be suspect. Reexamination of the NCBI database allowed us to correct 30 of these sequences. The six sequences that were deleted were found to not align with (or were missing) the conserved active-site aspartates and cysteines 145, 302, 304 and 307; and histidine 333 (E. coli numbering) were shown in Figure 1. The full alignment can be found in Supplementary Figure S12.

**Figure 1.** Selected regions of a sequence homology analysis of TGTs across the three kingdoms of life. A sequence alignment of representative TGTs from eubacteria, archaea and eukarya highlighting the conservation of aspartates 89, 143 and 264; cysteines 145, 302, 304 and 307; and histidine 333 (E. coli numbering). Dots indicate regions intentionally deleted for this figure. Dashes indicate gaps in the sequence alignment. The full alignment (see Supplementary Figure S17) was generated using PileUP in the SeqWeb software package (Accelrys). The conserved amino acids are colored-coded red.

**Syntheses of [\textsuperscript{3}H]-PreQ\textsubscript{1} (2) and [\textsuperscript{3}H]-Queuine (4)**

The detailed procedures for these syntheses can be found in the Supplementary data.

**Multiple sequence alignment of representative TGTs across the three kingdoms**

The protein sequences of 15 TGTs, spanning the three phylogenetic domains, were retrieved using the following accession numbers (E. coli, AAA24667; Z. mobilis, T46898; Shigella flexneri, NP_706294; Bacillus subtilis, NP_390649; Aquifex aeolicus, NP_213895; Methanosarcina acetivorans, NP_619281; M. maezi, NP_633125; Haloflexax volcanii, BAB40327; Archeoglobus fulgidus, NP_070314; Pyrococcus horikoshii, NP_143020; Caenorhabditis elegans, NP_502268; Drosophila melanogaster, NP_608585; Mus musculus, NP_068688; Rattus norvegicus, NP_071586; Homosapien, AAAG60033). Sequence alignment was performed using the PileUP alignment program found in the SeqWeb software package (Accelrys). Selected regions highlighting the conservation of aspartates 89, 143 and 264; cysteines 145, 302, 304 and 307; and histidine 333 (E. coli numbering) are shown in Figure 1. The full alignment can be found in Supplementary Figure S12.
Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree for TGTs across the three Kingdoms. The evolutionary history of the TGT enzymes was inferred via ‘maximum likelihood’ analysis with the MEGA4 software package. Representative TGT sequences spanning the three domains of life (13 eukaryal, 59 eubacterial and 72 archaeal) were globally aligned using Clustal W. The alignment was subjected to 500 bootstrap replicates resulting in the final consensus phylogenetic tree. This is an unrooted tree and the branch lengths are proportional to the evolutionary distances between nodes. Due to the number of sequences, a condensed version of the tree is shown. The full tree can be seen in Supplementary Figure S13.

The proteins were stored in liquid N2 and utilized to determine guanine and tRNA kinetic parameters. The wild-type plasmid was subjected to 500 bootstrap replicates resulting in the final consensus phylogenetic tree. Due to the number of sequences, a condensed version of the tree is shown. The full tree can be seen in Supplementary Figure S13.

The wild-type human TGT was prepared as described previously (16). The Val161Cys mutant plasmid was generated by QuikChange™ site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) using the wild-type plasmid as a template and the following mutagenic primers: 5'-CATCATCATGCAGCTGGG AACTGTTTAGCAGTACTGTGACTGCGG3' and 5'-G GCCCAGTCACAGTACTGCTAAACACAGTCCGT CCAGCTCATGATGATG3'. The Val161Cys mutant was then prepared in the same fashion as the wild-type.

Construction of E. coli TGT Cys145 mutants

The Cys145Ala plasmid was previously generated (23) by a combined polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-ligase chain reaction (24). The Cys145Ser plasmid was generated by site-directed mutagenesis using pTGT5 as a template (25) and the following mutagenic primers 5'-GCCAGGATACGGCGTGTC GTCGTCAAAAGATCATGACG3' and 5'-CGTCATGATCTTTGATGAGGTGCAGAGACACGCCGTATCTGC-3'. The Cys145Asp plasmid was generated as described for Cys145Ser with the following changes: mutagenic primers (5'-GCAGGATACGGCGTGTCGGTCAAAAGATCATGACG3' and 5'-CGTCATGATCTTTGATGAGGTGATGAAGACACGCCGTATCTGC-3'), the total volume of the PCR reaction was 25 µl and the primer concentration was reduced to 0.6 µM. The Cys145Asp PCR product formed DNase sensitive aggregates that were immobile on an agarose gel, and remained after the wild-type plasmid was eliminated by Dpn I digestion. Therefore, the PCR product was diluted 5-fold prior to transformation into competent cells. All resulting plasmids were isolated via Qiagen miniprep, and the tgt mutant genes were confirmed by DNA sequencing (University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core Facilities). To generate the plasmids of polyhistidine-tagged E. coli mutants, the tgt mutant genes were subcloned into vector pET-28aKan following general laboratory protocols. The plasmid of polyhistidine-tagged Cys145Val was directly generated using the plasmid of polyhistidine-tagged Cys145Ala as a template and the following mutagenic primers: 5'-CATCATCATGCAGCTGGAC GCAGGATACGGCGTGTCGGTCAAAAGATCATGACG3' and 5'-CGTCATGATCTTTGATGAGGTGATGAAGACACGCCGTATCTGC-3'.

Expression and purification of E. coli TGT and Cys145 mutants

The wild-type E. coli TGT and Cys145 mutants were prepared following the procedure established by Chong and Garcia (25), with minor modifications. The final enzyme concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit using BSA as the standard. The proteins were stored in liquid N2 and utilized to determine guanine and tRNA kinetic parameters. The wild-type polyhistidine-tagged E. coli TGT was prepared as described previously (20), and the polyhistidine-tagged E. coli TGT mutants were expressed following an auto-induction procedure (26). The final concentration of each protein was determined as described above and the proteins were stored in liquid N2 and utilized to determine preQ1 kinetic parameters. Note that we have previously determined that the polyhistidine tag has no effect on the TGT reaction or kinetics (27).
Activity screen and kinetic analyses

Heterocyclic base-exchange assays were conducted by monitoring the incorporation of radiolabeled substrates, [8-14C]-guanine, [3H]-preQ1 and [3H]-queuine into either the human or E. coli tRNA^Tyr using various TGT samples. In brief, kinetic assays were set up under the following conditions: tRNA^Tyr (saturating concentrations depending on the K_m for each individual enzyme), individual radiolabeled base (various concentrations), TGT (25, 50 or 100 nM depending on the concentration of heterocyclic base used) and HEPES reaction buffer (100 mM HEPES, pH 7.3; 20 mM MgCl_2; 5 mM DTT) to a final volume of 400 μl. The studies were performed in triplicate. All samples were incubated at 37°C for purposes of equilibration before initiating the reaction with the addition of TGT. Aliquots (70 μl) were removed every 2 min throughout the 10-min time course and immediately quenched in 2.5 ml of 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) for 1 h before filtering on glass-fiber filters. Each filter was washed with three volumes of 5% TCA and a final wash of ethanol to dry the filter. The samples were analyzed in a scintillation counter (Beckman) for radioactive decay, where counts were reported in DPM and later converted to picomoles [8-14C]-guanine/[3H]-preQ1/[3H]-queuine by following the general conversion between DPM and specific activity (e.g., pmol = DPM × 0.0079, for the [8-14C]-guanine stock with a specific activity of 57 mCi/mmol). To obtain steady-state kinetic parameters, initial velocities of guanine/preQ1/queuine incorporation were determined by converting the slopes of these plots (pmol/min) to units of per second (1/sec), taking into account the concentration of the enzyme and aliquot size. The individual data points from each trial were averaged, and the standard deviation was determined for each concentration of either tRNA^Tyr or heterocyclic base. The average data points (with error bars representing their standard deviation) were plotted. However, all of the individual data points were fit via non-linear regression to the Michaelis–Menten equation using KaleidaGraph (Abelbeck Software).

RESULTS

Improved synthesis of PreQ1 (1) and application to preparation of [3H] PreQ1 (2)

In consideration of a suitable synthetic scheme to make [3H]-preQ1 (2), a short, efficient route that could be easily executed with simple reagents was desired. An examination of the literature for the synthesis of cold-labeled preQ1 revealed three previous reports (28–30). The original report of Ohgi et al. (28) provides 1 in 6.7% overall yield and requires 12 steps from a non-commercial starting material. A second synthesis by Akimoto et al. (29) is much shorter and proceeds via a Mannich reaction to incorporate the aminomethylene side chain of preQ1 regioselectively to the C-5 position of a pyrrolopyrimidine precursor. Its main drawback from a standpoint of radiochemical synthesis is the co-generation of a small amount of C-6 substituted isomer and generally more harsh reaction conditions that would require multiple chromatographic steps following incorporation of label via [3H]-formaldehyde. The recent synthesis of Klepper et al. (30) proceeds via readily available preQ0 (31), but the second stage reduction of the nitrile to the aminomethylene group by hydrogenation proceeded only in 10% yield and required tedious purification. Hence, none of these procedures was deemed suitable for our needs. This article reports an exceptionally short, and high yielding synthesis of preQ1 (1) from readily available precursors and its utilization in the synthesis of [3H]-labeled congener 2.

Our route is outlined in Scheme 1. Reaction of easily derived aldehyde 5 (32) was examined with a number of ammonia surrogates (benzyl amine, 4-methoxybenzyl amine, 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl amine). While reductive amination proceeded cleanly, subsequent global deprotection under catalytic hydrogenolysis or standard acid conditions did not proceed satisfactorily. Trityl amine was next explored as a surrogate and was found to be ideal. Thus, heating 5 with trityl amine in refluxing THF cleanly formed stable imine 6 in essentially quantitative yield. Reduction of 6 with two equivalents of sodium borohydride then provided 7 in 79% yield following filtration through a plug of silica gel. This was entered directly into a global deprotection with methanolic HCl to provide preQ1 (1), which precipitated out of solution as the monohydrochloride salt in 89% yield. The exact same process was then repeated by Moravek Biochemicals using [3H]-sodium borohydride to incorporate the label. The collected product 2 had a specific activity of 7.0 Ci/mmol, and showed chemical and radiochemical purities of 99.5% and 96.1%, respectively, by HPLC (Supplementary Figures S7 and S8).

Synthesis of [3H]-Queuine (4)

Our route to [3H]-queuine (4) is outlined in Scheme 1 and follows directly from our recently published short, high-yielding synthesis of queuine (3) (32). The collected product 4 (by Moravek Biochemicals) had a specific activity of 7.3 Ci/mmol and showed chemical and radiochemical purities of 94.1 and 99.4%, respectively, by HPLC (Supplementary Figures S9–11).

Phylogenetic tree for TGTs across the three kingdoms

A complete search of the NCBI database for TGT sequences and subsequent paring down of some sequences yielded a representative number of sequences that were aligned via Clustal W. This alignment then was used for a phylogenetic tree analysis using MEGA4. The tree analysis (Figure 2) clearly demonstrates the relatedness of the TGT sequences. Consistent with the simple homology analysis, the archaeal sequences clearly group distinctively from the others and the eukaryal sequences group together, but closer to the eubacterial sequences.

Kinetics analysis of human TGT with respect to Queuine and PreQ1

To investigate the heterocyclic substrate specificity of the human TGT (SDS-PAGE in Supplementary Figure S3A,
lane 2), the transglycosylase activity was measured via monitoring \[^{3}H\]-queuine and \[^{3}H\]-preQ\(_{1}\) incorporation into human tRNA\(^{Tyr}\). The concentration of tRNA was fixed at saturation (10\(\mu\)M), as the \(K_m\) value was previously determined to be 0.34 \pm 0.04 \(\mu\)M (16). The kinetic parameters were then determined by non-linear fits to the Michaelis–Menten equation (Figure 3A and B, Table 1). The results show that the \(k_{cat}\) values for both substrates are essentially identical (\(8.22 \pm 0.20\) \(10^{-3}\) \(s^{-1}\) for queuine and \(8.23 \pm 0.71\) \(10^{-3}\) \(s^{-1}\) for preQ\(_{1}\)); however, the human TGT incorporates its natural heterocyclic substrate, queuine, with a sub-micromolar \(K_m\) (0.26 \pm 0.03 \(\mu\)M), while a 507-fold larger \(K_m\) for preQ\(_{1}\) (132.33 \pm 27.61 \(\mu\)M) was observed. Due to the indistinguishable \(k_{cat}\) values, the difference in \(K_m\) also leads to a significantly higher catalytic efficiency (as defined by \(k_{cat}/K_m\)) toward queuine, clearly indicating the preferential recognition of the human TGT for queuine.

**Table 1.** Kinetic parameters for eukaryotic TGTs with heterocyclic substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>(k_{cat})^(\text{a,b}) ((10^{-3}) (s^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_m)^(\text{a,b}) ((\mu)M)</th>
<th>(k_{cat}/K_m)^(\text{a,b}) ((10^{-3}) (s^{-1}) (\mu)M(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queuine</td>
<td>8.22 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.03)</td>
<td>31.6 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreQ(_{1})</td>
<td>8.23 (0.71)</td>
<td>132 (28)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanine(^{c})</td>
<td>5.86 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.03)</td>
<td>14.2 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat liver(^{d})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queuine</td>
<td>N.D.(^{f})</td>
<td>0.29(^{g})</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreQ(_{1})</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>2.1(^{g})</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.83(^{g})</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Erythrocytes(^{e})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanine</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.15(^{g})</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Standard errors are shown in parentheses.

\(^{b}\)Kinetic parameters were calculated from the average of three replicate determinations of initial velocity data.

\(^{c}\)Data from Chen \textit{et al.} (16).

\(^{d}\)Data from Shindo-Okada \textit{et al.} (3).

\(^{e}\)Data from Howes and Farkas (11).

\(^{f}\)Not Determined.

\(^{g}\)No errors reported.

**Table 2.** PreQ\(_{1}\) kinetics for wild-type and position 145/161 mutant TGTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>(k_{cat})^(\text{a,b}) ((10^{-3}) (s^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_m)^(\text{a,b}) ((\mu)M)</th>
<th>(k_{cat}/K_m)^(\text{a,b}) ((10^{-3}) (s^{-1}) (\mu)M(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Escherichia coli}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>9.57 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01)</td>
<td>191 (38.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Val</td>
<td>14.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.76 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.10 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ala</td>
<td>74.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>43.4 (5.0)</td>
<td>1.72 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ser</td>
<td>23.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>123 (13.9)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Asp.</td>
<td>N.D.A.(^{c})</td>
<td>N.D.A.(^{c})</td>
<td>N.D.A.(^{c})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val161Cys</td>
<td>4.64 (0.32)</td>
<td>22.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Standard errors are shown in parentheses.

\(^{b}\)Kinetic parameters were calculated from the average of three replicate determinations of initial velocity data.

\(^{c}\)No detectable activity \(<100\mu\)M preQ\(_{1}\).

**Kinetics analysis of \textit{E. coli} TGT with respect to Guanine, PreQ\(_{1}\) and Queuine**

Similarly, the transglycosylase activity of the \textit{E. coli} TGT (SDS–PAGE in Supplementary Figure 1, lane 3) was measured via monitoring \[^{8-14}C\]-guanine, \[^{3}H\]-preQ\(_{1}\), and \[^{3}H\]-queuine incorporation into \textit{E. coli} tRNA\(^{Tyr}\) (fixed at 10 \(\mu\)M, \(K_m = 0.19 \mu\)M, Table 4). The \(k_{cat}\) value for preQ\(_{1}\) was determined to be 9.57 \(10^{-3}\) \(s^{-1}\), slightly faster than that of the human enzyme (Table 2 and Figure 4). In addition, the \textit{E. coli} enzyme exhibits a low \(K_m\) (~50 \(n\)M) for preQ\(_{1}\), suggesting a high affinity in terms of substrate binding. Consistent with the previous report (33), the \textit{E. coli} TGT does not seem to incorporate queuine as a substrate as no detectable transglycosylase activity was observed at queuine concentrations \(<50\mu\)M (data not shown). A low amount of radioactivity (not linear with time) was captured on the filters at higher concentrations of queuine. However, control experiments conducted with no substrate RNA in the reaction mixtures confirm that the background radioactivity most
likely resulted from either non-specific binding of queuine to the filters (at the higher concentrations) or queuine approaching its limit of solubility, precipitating out of solution and being captured on the filters. The kinetic parameters for guanine and tRNA (Tables 3 and 4) are similar to those previously reported (20).

**DISCUSSION**

It has been previously suggested that eu bacterial and eukaryal TGTs arose via convergent evolution (17) due to real and perceived differences in the overall pathways for queuine incorporation. More recently a divergent evolution model has been proposed, which is based on careful analyses of the X-ray crystal structures of eu bacterial and archaeal TGTs (18). The X-ray crystal structures of

---

**Table 3. Guanine kinetics for wild-type and Cys145 mutant E. coli TGTs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$</th>
<th>$K_m$</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>6.29 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.03)</td>
<td>18.0 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ala</td>
<td>86.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.34 (0.23)</td>
<td>37.1 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ser</td>
<td>28.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.17)</td>
<td>14.4 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Asp</td>
<td>5.44 (0.23)</td>
<td>68.1 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.080 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Standard errors are shown in parentheses.*

---

**Table 4. tRNA kinetics for wild-type and Cys145 mutant E. coli TGTs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$</th>
<th>$K_m$</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>5.19 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.05)</td>
<td>27.3 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ala</td>
<td>76.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.22)</td>
<td>68.7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Ser</td>
<td>28.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.09)</td>
<td>58.0 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys145Asp</td>
<td>6.34 (0.41)</td>
<td>3.30 (0.79)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Standard Errors are shown in parentheses.*

---

**Kinetics analysis of E. coli TGT Cys145 mutants**

To confirm the role of active site Cys145 in the E. coli TGT, four mutants, Cys145Ala, Cys145Ser, Cys145Asp and Cys145Val (SDS-PAGE in Supplementary Figure S18 for the preQ1 kinetic plots). The enzymatic function of Cys145Asp with respect to preQ1 appears to be abrogated since no activity was found at preQ1 concentrations $\leq 100 \mu M$. Interestingly, the greatest impact on the kinetics comes from the significant increase in $K_m$ for preQ1 with the Cys145Ala and Cys145Ser mutants as the values are 868- and 2460-fold higher than that of the wild-type. The dramatic increase observed in $K_m$ indicates that these two mutants recognize preQ1 much more poorly than the wild-type enzyme. More intriguingly, a valine substitution (which is the corresponding residue in eukaryal) at Cys145 only leads to a 55-fold increase in $K_m$ as well as a higher catalytic efficiency were observed.

**Figure 4.** Michaelis–Menten fit of PreQ1 with wild-type E. coli TGT.
eubacterial and archaean TGTs (8, 9) reveal a common 3D structure including absolute conservation of a structural zinc domain (34). Sequence homologies (Figure 1) in TGTs across the three kingdoms reveal the absolute conservation of the four zinc-binding ligands and three key catalytic aspartates in the active site (Figure 6). Eukaryotes also have a TGT-homolog (QTRTD1), which contains the zinc-binding domain and is homologous to the TGT family, but does not share the absolute conservation of the active-site aspartates. QTRTD1 has been proposed to be the salvage enzyme that hydrolyzes QMP to generate free queuine (35, 36). It seems likely that the differences in active site amino acids may be due to the altered chemical reaction that the salvage enzyme catalyzes. All TGTs across the three kingdoms are thought to share the same chemical and kinetic mechanisms (1). Although there is a kingdom-based difference in TGT quaternary structure, a similar subunit structure of the enzyme has been identified, wherein eubacterial and archaean TGTs form homodimers (8–10) and the eukaryal TGT is a heterodimer of two homologous subunits (15, 16). The high degree of sequence conservation and similar dimeric subunit structures suggest that the eukaryal TGTs will be found to share the same tertiary structure as the eubacterial and archaean TGTs. In this article we sought to provide experimental evidence for the divergent evolution of the eukaryal TGT.

The multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree analysis both support divergent evolution of eubacterial and archaean TGTs (18) and are clearly consistent with the extension of this concept to the eukaryal kingdom.

Kinetic experiments using queuine and preQ1 were performed to investigate the heterocyclic substrate recognition of the human TGT. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the kinetics of the eukaryal TGT with respect to queuine and preQ1 via direct incorporation assays. It is surprising that both $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ with respect to queuine are not substantially different from those of guanine $[5.86 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \text{M}^{-1} \text{M}^{-1}]$ and $0.41 \pm 0.03 \mu \text{M}$, (16)]. Comparison of the catalytic efficiencies ($k_{cat}/K_m$) revealed that the human TGT appears to utilize queuine only 2–3 times more efficiently than guanine $[31.6 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{M}^{-1}$ versus $14.2 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{M}^{-1}$]. Given that queuine is the natural substrate for the human TGT and the fact the physiological concentrations are generally estimated to be in the low nanomolar range in various eukaryal tissues [e.g. $\sim 3.6 \mu \text{M}$ in human milk (37)], a substantially lower $K_m$ and a significantly higher $k_{cat}/K_m$ values would have been expected.
However, the fact that queuine incorporation is irreversible (3) whereas guanine insertion simply regenerates the substrate tRNA suggests that the human TGT may not experience significant selection pressure to improve the specificity for queuine over guanine. The slightly improved binding affinity (or catalytic efficiency) toward queuine is likely sufficient for organisms to efficiently generate Q-tRNA. Moreover, since product release is rate-limiting (5) during catalysis, a significant difference in \( k_{\text{cat}} \) would not be expected if the effect of queuine (e.g., Q-tRNA versus G-tRNA product) on the tRNA off-rate is minimal. Additionally, a recent report shows that the two subunits of the human TGT co-localize into mitochondria (15), suggesting that in vivo transglycosylase activity (and hence the differential activity with guanine versus queuine) might be affected by an association with mitochondria which has yet to be studied.

It should be noted that the kinetic parameters reported here for the human TGT differ (Table 1) from those previously reported by Shindo-Okada et al. (3) in 1980 for the TGT isolated from rat liver and from those reported by Howes and Farkas in 1978 for the TGT from rabbit erythrocytes (11). In each of these cases, the methods used by the authors were much less precise and quite different from those reported here, largely due to the state of technology available at that time. For example, the ‘wash out’ assay used by Shindo-Okada et al. is much less accurate than the direct incorporation assay reported herein as the wash out assay looks for small differences in a large number (e.g., observing the loss of pre-exchanged \([^{14}\text{C}]\)-guanine from tRNA). In addition, heterogenous tRNA was employed as a substrate by Shindo-Okada et al. and only 3–4 concentrations of the heterocyclic substrate were used to establish kinetic parameters. Furthermore, these assays employed single time points (2 h), with no assurance that the reaction was linear out to this endpoint. Finally, a considerable amount of error is introduced by fitting the data to a linear, double reciprocal plot versus the non-linear fit directly to the Michaelis–Menten equation that was used in the present report.

The 510-fold lower \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) for preQ1, relative to queuine, with the human TGT indicates that the enzyme preferentially utilizes queuine. This observation nicely matches the homology modeling results of the eukaryal TGT, as the two hydroxyls on the cyclopentenediol moiety are predicted to be capable of forming hydrogen bonds with the enzyme active site residues (34). If one assumes that changes in \( K_m \) correlate well with changes in \( K_D \), a 507-fold increase in \( K_m \) in this case would represent close to 4 kcal/mol difference in binding free energy [as estimated by \( \Delta (\Delta G) = -RT \Delta (\ln K) \)]. This could reasonably account for the gain in binding free energy provided by additional hydrogen bonds. On the other hand, the \( E. \ coli \) TGT utilizes preQ1 with a high affinity (\( K_m = 50 \text{ nM} \)) as expected, but does not recognize queuine at all as the cyclopentenediol moiety appears to be too bulky to be accommodated by the enzyme (34).

To further examine heterocyclic substrate recognition, a series of cysteine 145 mutants was generated to investigate the role of this residue in the \( E. \ coli \) TGT. Although previous work has suggested a hydrophobic interaction between this residue and the 7-aminoethyl moiety of the base (8,34), there has been no kinetic evidence to support this interaction. To probe this, the alanine mutant at this position was generated and expected to display an attenuated hydrophobic effect due to the smaller side chain. In contrast, serine is slightly smaller in comparison to cysteine, but more polar because of the large electronegativity of the oxygen while a negatively charged aspartic acid (at physiological pH) would likely be involved in strong electrostatic interactions.

A few significant observations arose from the kinetic characterization of the Cys145 mutants. First, the parallel increases in \( K_m \) values for both the guanine and tRNA substrates (Tables 3 and 4) suggest that similar interactions occur between this residue and guanine whether the base is free or integrated within the tRNA macromolecule. Second, comparing the \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values for the enzymes with respect to preQ1 (Table 2), the expected trend, wild-type > Cys145Val > Cys145Ala > Cys145Ser > Cys145Asp (not actually detected) was observed, in accordance with the crystallographic evidence that Cys145 interacts with the base via a hydrophobic interaction as either polar or charged amino acid substitutions lead to a decrease or even loss of substrate recognition. These data are consistent with the concept that the \( E. \ coli \) TGT has evolved the nature of the amino acid at position 145 to optimize recognition of preQ1. Moreover, the \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) value for preQ1 with the human TGT Val161Cys mutant (Table 2) is 3.4-fold higher than that with the wild-type human enzyme. Val161 of the human TGT corresponds to Cys145 in the \( E. \ coli \) TGT, therefore this increase catalytic efficiency with respect to preQ1 supports the concept that TGTs have divergently evolved the amino acid at this position to specifically recognize the cognate substrate (e.g. preQ1 versus queuine).

In the case of guanine, the trend in \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) is slightly different (Cys145Ala > wild-type > Cys145Ser > Cys145Asp) and the reason for this difference is not obvious. The significant increase in \( k_{\text{cat}} \) for the alanine and serine mutants indicate that the off-rate for the product tRNA has increased and suggests that the substrate tRNA might exhibit a higher \( K_m \). This is true for the alanine mutant, but not for the serine mutant. The serine mutant has a \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) that is very similar to that for the wild-type. Both \( k_{\text{cat}} \) and \( K_m \) are increased by factors of 4.5 and 5.5, again consistent with faster off rates of product tRNA and substrate guanine; however, substrate tRNA exhibits a \( K_m \) only 2.6-fold higher than that for the wild-type TGT. It is possibly that there might be a water molecule mediating the interactions between the side chain of Cys145Ser and guanine. In support of this hypothesis, Klebe and co-workers have found a crystallographically well-defined water molecule mediating a hydrogen bond between imidazole ligands (which they extrapolate to the N7 of G34 of the substrate tRNA) and the peptide backbone in the active site (18).

The pK\(_a\) of the aminomethyl side chain of preQ1 has been previously determined to be 9.8 in solution (38) and therefore, preQ1 will be protonated at physiological pH,
enabling it to participate in a possible electrostatic interaction with active site residues (Figure 6). However, the severely reduced recognition of preQ1 by the aspartate mutant clearly rejects an electrostatic interaction with the residue at 145. Crystallographic evidence has shown that the amino group of the preQ1 side chain forms a hydrogen bond with the carboxyl oxygen of Leu215 (E. coli numbering) (34). A hydrogen bond with the protonated preQ1 side chain would provide a substantial contribution (greater than a simple, non-charged hydrogen bond) to the binding free energy. Another interesting fact is that both the serine and alanine mutants exhibited an increase in \( k_{\text{cat}} \). The TGT-catalyzed reaction is extremely slow (approximately one turnover every 2 minutes). Thus, the increases in activity exhibited by those two mutants are significant. However, this observation is not surprising considering product release is rate-limiting (5). Since Cys145 directly interacts with the base, removal of this interaction would enable faster product release. In sum, these observations are consistent with the postulate that Cys145 evolved in eubacteria to selectively recognize preQ1.

The fact that the eubacterial and eukaryal TGTs selectively recognize their cognate heterocyclic substrates is evolutionarily significant. Unlike eubacteria, which biosynthesize queuine from its precursor preQ1, eukarya lack a queuine biosynthesis pathway and are required to obtain queuine from diet as a nutrient factor (39) or through a salvage mechanism that is yet to be fully characterized (40). In addition, while absent in eubacteria, a specific transport system responsible for the cellular uptake of queuine was observed in human fibroblasts and the process appears to be modulated by protein kinase C (PKC) phosphorylation (41,42). We conclude that these differences are most likely due to an outcome of evolution, while the origin of eukarya somehow lost the ability to produce Q-tRNA from preQ1-tRNA.

Since the incorporation of preQ1 would not lead to the generation of Q-tRNA, it is likely that due to selection pressure, the eukaryal TGT evolved to take up queuine directly in order to compensate for the inability to synthesize queuine de novo. It is also an interesting note that there is a 6-fold difference in \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) between the human and \( E. \ coli \) TGTs with respect to their cognate substrates (Tables 1 and 2). Considering eubacteria need to complete the queuine biosynthesis pathway to obtain Q-tRNA while eukarya do not, the greater efficiency of the eubacterial TGT seems perfectly plausible.

In conclusion, all of the data generated in this study suggest that the present classes of TGT have arisen via divergent, not convergent, evolution. Future studies are planned in which we are attempting to confirm the postulate that QTRTD1 is the queuine salvage enzyme (mentioned in the ‘Introduction’). Consistent with the conclusions herein, it is intriguing to speculate, and consistent with the conclusions of this article, that in eukaryotes, TGT evolved into the queuine-specific transglycosylase and into the salvage enzyme that helps to provide free queuine for the transglycosylase.
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