Structural insights into cis element recognition of non-polyadenylated RNAs by the Nab3-RRM
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ABSTRACT

Transcription termination of non-polyadenylated RNAs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae occurs through the action of the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 complex. Part of the decision to terminate via this pathway occurs via direct recognition of sequences within the nascent transcript by RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) within Nrd1 and Nab3. Here we present the 1.6 Å structure of Nab3-RRM bound to its UCUU recognition sequence. The crystal structure reveals clear density for a UCU trinucleotide and a fourth putative U binding site. Nab3-RRM establishes a clear preference for the central cytidine of the UCUU motif, which forms pseudo-base pairing interactions primarily through hydrogen bonds to main chain atoms and one serine hydroxyl group. Specificity for the flanking uridines is less defined; however, binding experiments confirm that these residues are also important for high affinity binding. Comparison of the Nab3-RRM to other structures of RRMs bound to polypyrimidine RNAs showed that this mode of recognition is similar to what is observed for the polypyrimidine-tract binding RRMs, and that the serine residue involved in pseudo-base pairing is only found in RRMs that bind to polypyrimidine containing RNA.

INTRODUCTION

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae at least two pathways for 3'-end formation have been described (1), and the decision which pathway to use depends on both cis-acting sequence elements within the RNA and trans-acting factors. For messenger RNAs, 3'-end formation involves recognition of several conserved sequence elements near the processing site, as well as recognition of the phosphorylation status of the RNA polymerase II (Pol II) C-terminal domain (CTD) by two multi-protein processing complexes, which then direct the assembly and function of the cleavage and polyadenylation machinery (2,3). For short Pol II transcripts, such as the small nuclear and nucleolar RNAs (sn/snoRNAs), a distinct 3'-end processing pathway termed the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 dependent pathway exists, which also depends on the recognition of signals from the RNA (4–8) as well as the phosphorylation state of the CTD (9). Both of these complexes appear to travel with the polymerase and the decision which termination pathway to choose is driven by this combination of signals from the polymerase and the nascent transcript (10). One element propelling the decision to terminate via the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 dependent pathway is direct contact to the CTD of Pol II by both Nrd1 and Sen1 (9,11). In particular, Nrd1 contains an N-terminal CTD interacting domain (CID) that binds preferentially to the Ser5 phosphorylated CTD (9). Recognition of the Ser5 phosphorylated CTD facilitates processing of short RNAs, as this modification predominates early in transcription (12). Further enhancing elements for the decision to terminate via the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 pathway are binding sites within the transcript for both Nrd1 and Nab3. These binding sites are recognized by single RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) found within Nrd1 and Nab3, where the Nrd1-RRM preferentially binds to GU[A/G] and Nab3-RRM to UCUU sequence motifs (6). These sequences are often found in many of the RNAs terminated via the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 pathway (4,6,13,14); however, the frequency of occurrence, the distances separating them, and their order of appearance are not conserved (6).

Nrd1 and Nab3 form a heterodimer and this seems to facilitate high affinity binding to RNAs containing UCUU and GU[A/G] sequences (15). Heterodimerization of Nrd1 and Nab3 may serve as a mechanism to increase the proteins’ ability to recognize non-poly(A) RNAs (16). Often RRMs are found in multiple copies within genes, and this modularity can have dramatic influences...
on the affinity and specificity for particular RNA targets (17). Since Nrd1 and Nab3 each contain single RRM s, heterodimerization may facilitate the same mechanism to increase their affinity and specificity for particu-
lar RNAs as is seen in proteins containing multiple RRMs. In addition, it has been suggested that the presence of multiple copies of the Nrd1 and Nab3 binding se-
quences found in non-poly(A) terminators may lead to cooperative interactions which could exert significant addi-
tional control over when and where these proteins act (6). However, there is no strict requirement for non-coding 
RNAs to contain both Nrd1 and Nab3 binding sites and in some cases there are multiple Nab3 sites but no Nrd1 sites observed or vice versa (13). Thus, it remains unclear how cooperative interactions may occur with varied RNA targets.

In order to understand the role of RNA recognition in the Nrd1–Nab3–Sen1 dependent pathway, we have explored the structural details of Nab3–RRM binding to an RNA containing the UCUU recognition sequence derived from the 3′-region of the snR47 gene. The structure of the Nab3–RRM:RNA complex demonstrates that Nab3–RRM binds to a UCU trinucleotide and contains a highly specific interaction with the central cytidine nucleotide. Comparison of the Nab3–RRM:RNA complex to previously solved RRM:RNA complexes with polyuridylate-containing RNAs reveals that Nab3–RRM binds similarly to the polyuridylate-tract binding protein (PTB) and suggests that a serine residue within the unstructured C-terminus of the Nab3 and PTB RRM can facilitate specific recognition of a cytidine nucleotide. Binding experiments exploring the specificity of the interaction revealed that the central cytidine as well as the flanking uridine nucleotides are important for a high-affinity binding. We also assessed the effect of neighboring Nab3 binding sites within a single RNA, but could find no evidence for cooperative binding by Nab3–RRM. Taken together, our results demonstrate the structural basis for the recognition of UCUU elements within non-poly(A) RNAs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning and expression

The coding sequences for the Nab3–RRM(404) (encoding for residues 329–404) and Nab3–RRM(419) (residues 329–419) were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primer Nab3_329_forward 5′-GCATCA TATGAGTGATGGTATTGCGC-3′ and Nab3_404_reverse 5′-GGCGGCGCCCTAAAGCAAGCATTCC AGC-3′ and Nab3_419_reverse 5′-GGCGGCGCCCTTA AAGCATACTCCTTCTACG-3′ from genomic S. cerevisiae DNA. After restriction digestion using NdeI and NotI (New England Biolabs), PCR products were ligated into pET28b expression vector DNA (Novagen) resulting in an N-terminal fusion with a hexahistidine tag. All expression constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Escherichia coli BL-21(DE3) RIL cells (Stratagene) transformed with the pET28b Nab3–RRM(419) or Nab3–RRM(419) constructs were grown at 310 K in LB medium containing kanamycin and chloramphenicol until reaching an OD<sub>600</sub>~0.6. The temperature was reduced to 293 K and protein expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 16 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 300 mM NaCl and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). Cell walls were broken by sonication and the cell debris was clarified by centrifugation at 20 000g. The supernatant was loaded onto Ni–NTA beads (Qiagen) equilibrated with buffer A. Following a wash with buffer B (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 1 M NaCl and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol), the bound proteins were eluted in buffer C (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol).

The fused hexahistidine tag was removed by thrombin digestion in buffer A supplemented with 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> overnight at 277 K and the cleaved protein was separated from uncleaved protein by re-chromatography over Ni–NTA beads. The flow-through was dialyzed against buffer D (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 50 mM NaCl and 2 mM dithioerythritol) and loaded onto a HiTrap Heparin column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer D. The bound protein eluted in a linear gradient of 20 column volumes to buffer E (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 1 M NaCl and 2 mM dithioerythritol). Nab3–RRM proteins were further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer F (50 mM Hepes–NaOH pH 7.3, 200 mM NaCl and 2 mM dithioerythritol).

Crystallization, crystal harvesting and data collection

Crystals of the free Nab3–RRM(404) grew at 293 K in a hanging-drop vapor diffusion setup using a reservoir solution containing 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer pH 6.5, 200 mM sodium acetate and PEG 8000 at concentrations between 20 and 30% (w/v) within 24 h. Single crystals were harvested into a cryoprotectant solution containing the mother liquor and additional 10% (v/v) glycerol and subsequently flash cooled in liquid nitrogen.

For crystallization of the Nab3–RRM(404):RNA complex, synthetic wt snR47 (Table 1) was purchased from Eurofins MWG. The RNA was dialyzed against buffer F using a 1 ml Spectra/Por Float-A-Lyzer 1000 MWCO (Spectrum Laboratories) at 277 K overnight. Nab3–RRM(404) protein and the RNA were mixed at a 1:1.2 molar ratio. Protein/RNA crystals grew within a sitting-drop vapor diffusion setup using a reservoir solution containing 85 mM sodium acetate buffer.
pH 4.6, 170 mM ammonium acetate, 25.5% (w/v) PEG 4000 and 15% (v/v) glycerol. This crystal condition contained sufficient cryoprotectant and the crystals were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Synchrotron diffraction data were collected at the beamline X10SA at the Swiss Light Source (Switzerland) at 100 K and processed with XDS (18).

**Phasing and structure refinement**

Initial phases for free Nab3-RRM were obtained by molecular replacement using PHASER (19) with the hnRNP C structure (PDB ID: 1WF2) as a search model. For the diffraction data of Nab3-RRM:RNA complex crystals, phases were obtained by molecular replacement with the free Nab3-RRM as a search model. Model building was performed in cycles of manual building with COOT (20) and automatic refinement using the simulated annealing protocol from CNS (21) and restrained refinement with REFMAC (22). During the final cycles of refinement, phases were improved using TLS mode (23) with the protein and RNA determined as individual groups by the TLSMD server (24). Model quality was evaluated with PROCHECK (25) and Molprobity (26). Figures were prepared using PYMOL (27) and LIGPLOT (28).

**Fluorescence anisotropy titration experiments**

5′, 6-Carboxyfluorescein (FAM) labeled RNAs (Table 1) were purchased from Eurofins MWG, resuspended in an RNase-free 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 and dialyzed as described earlier. For the fluorescence anisotropy titration experiments, the FAM-labeled RNA was diluted to a final concentration of 500 nM in a buffer containing 10 mM Hepes–NaOH pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM dithioerythritol into which the Nab3-RRM protein was titrated. All of the fluorescence anisotropy titrations were carried out in a fluorescence spectrometer in T-configuration (Model FL322, Jobin Yvon) at 25°C. The fluorophore was excited at 477 nm using vertically polarized light, and both vertical and horizontal emissions were recorded at 525 nm. The data were modeled using non-linear least squares curve-fitting routines as implemented in the program Dynafit (29) and the binding model selection was performed based on the Akaike Information Criterion.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nab3-RRM folds into a canonical RRM**

Crystals of Nab3-RRM(404) diffracted to 1.3 Å resolution and thus enabled us to determine the 3D structure at atomic resolution. In addition to residues 329–403 from the Nab3 polypeptide chain, four N-terminally fused residues from the residual artificial tag could be modeled unambiguously into the electron density. Only the electron density for the loop region connecting β1 to z1 (residues 339–341) was poorly defined, indicating that this part of the polypeptide chain is disordered in the crystal and thus may be flexible (Supplementary Figure S1a). Much to our surprise, electron density for the last arginine residue (Arg404) at the C-terminus was missing. Instead, we observed density consistent with a C-terminal carboxylate group at Ala403. By mass spectrometry, we could verify the lack of the C-terminal last residue, although it was present in the coding sequence (data not shown). Thus, Arg404 is proteolytically removed during purification. A second unexpected finding was residual electron density interpreted as an s-dimethylarsinoyl-cysteine residue, which most probably arose from a covalent modification of Cys383 by cacodylate in the crystallization buffer (Supplementary Figure S1b). Overall, the model has excellent stereochemical quality with 97.2 % of the residues lying in the most favored regions and 2.8 % in the generally allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. Details of the diffraction data and refinement statistics are listed in Table 2.

The Nab3-RRM adopts the canonical β1–α1–β2–β3–α2–β4 topology and folds into a four-stranded, anti-parallel β-sheet packed against two α-helices common to previously characterized RRM structures (Figure 1). However, among the RRM structures determined to date the Nab3-RRM is exceptional, since unlike most other RRM domains, the loop region connecting the β2 and β4 helices is disordered (Supplementary Figure S1b).

### Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Nab3-RRM(404)</th>
<th>Nab3-RRM(404) + U1C3U13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P212121</td>
<td>P41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td>26.95, 42.07, 55.67</td>
<td>30.68, 30.68, 82.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.1271</td>
<td>0.978243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50–1.3 (1.4–1.3)*</td>
<td>50–1.6 (1.7–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (a)</td>
<td>5.7 (20.7)</td>
<td>8.6 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.3% (95.0)</td>
<td>98.9% (96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>6.0 (5.5)</td>
<td>6.3 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection</td>
<td>33.6–1.3 (1.33–1.3)</td>
<td>30.6–1.6 (1.642–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique</td>
<td>15337 (808)</td>
<td>9510 (501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflections</td>
<td>0.17/0.19</td>
<td>0.16/0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate ion</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s.d.</td>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)²</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (˚)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

*b* Rmerge = \(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (m_{h}-\langle m \rangle)^2/\sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{h} \), where \(\langle m \rangle\) is the mean intensity of symmetry-equivalent reflections and \(m_{h}\) is the redundancy.

*aRwork = \(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (F_{o}-|F_{c}|) / \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{o} \) (work).

*bRmerge = \(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (|F_{o}|+|F_{c}|) / \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{o} \) (merge).

*cRmerge = \(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (|F_{o}|+|F_{c}|) / \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{o} \) (merg).

*dRwork = \(\sum_{n=1}^{N} (|F_{o}|+|F_{c}|) / \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{o} \) (work).
to β3 strand is very short and the first two residues of the ribonucleoprotein 1 (RNP 1) motif are lacking in the amino acid sequence. Position 1 of RNP 1 is often involved in RNA binding with either a lysine or an arginine residue occupying this position in other RRMs (in ∼70% of RRMs), while position 2 is generally a glycine residue (30,31). In other RRMs, the positively charged position 1 residue binds to the RNA phosphodiester backbone between nucleotides bound at RNP1 and RNP2 and neutralizes the negative charge (30,31), a feature apparently missing in the Nab3-RRM. Like most RRM domains, the 3D Nab3-RRM protein structure alone does not allow one to draw any conclusions concerning RNA binding and specificity. Thus, in order to better understand the RNA recognition properties of Nab3-RRM, we aimed at obtaining the 3D structure of Nab3-RRM in complex with its cognate RNA.

**Nab3-RRM specifically recognizes UCU trinucleotides**

Crystals of the Nab3-RRM(404):wt snR47 RNA complex diffracted to 1.6 Å resolution and residues 329–402 of the Nab3-RRM polypeptide chain as well as an additional amino acid from the N-terminal tag could be modeled into the electron density. Overall, the model has excellent stereochemical quality with 97.3% of the residues lying in the most favored regions and 2.7% in the generally allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. In addition to the electron density for the protein moiety, detailed residual electron density for an RNA trinucleotide was observed (Figure 1B). Based on previous reports in which the Nab3-RRM was shown to bind specifically to a UCU sequence element (5,6) we decided to use the snR47 RNA Nab3 recognition motif (Table 1) for co-crystallization, in which only 2 nts are inserted between two consecutive UCU sequence elements. Although the RNA used for co-crystallization contained 12 nts, only a U1C2U3 trinucleotide was unambiguously defined in the electron density. Additionally, electron density for a bridging phosphate to the fourth uracil nucleotide was observed. Notably, further residual but poorly defined electron density protruding into the solvent was found adjacent to the 5′- and to the 3′-ends of the trinucleotide. Most likely this electron density can be attributed to disordered nucleotides from one snR47 RNA molecule that traverse the solvent channels between symmetry related Nab3-RRM(404) molecules in the crystal. However, PISA (32) did not detect any other pronounced contact interface than the RRM–RNA interaction zone, and thus two separate Nab3-RRM(404) molecules related by the crystal symmetry bind independently to the two Nab3 recognition motifs on the snR47 RNA without significant protein–protein contacts.

Comparison of the free and bound structures demonstrates that there are only subtle changes in the overall structure of the Nab3-RRM upon RNA binding (r.m.s.d. for all atoms is 1.75 Å) and most of these are confined to the loop regions surrounding the binding site, particularly β1–z1 which becomes ordered upon RNA binding, β2–β3 and z2–β4 (Supplementary Figure S2). Furthermore, the C-terminal residues (400–402) are displaced by ∼2.2 Å in order to accommodate the RNA. The majority of changes are in side chain conformations once bound to the RNA with the most pronounced being the RNP 1 aromatic chains of Phe366 and Phe368, which flip to accommodate binding of the nucleotide bases (Supplementary Figure S2).

The bound U1C2U3 trinucleotide extends over the entire β-sheet of the Nab3-RRM(404) (Figure 1B) and the individual nucleotides are bound by side chains of individual β-strands (for instance U1 is bound by side chains of the β4 strand, C2 by β1 and U3 by β3). Binding of the RNA is primarily driven by interactions with the bases and only a single hydrogen bond to the phosphate backbone (Ser401 side chain hydroxyl group with the C2–U3 bridging phosphate) is established, suggesting that the Nab3-RRM specifically recognizes the RNA sequence.

The central C2 nucleotide of the U1C2U3 motif is anchored in a groove lined by the side chains of Phe333.
and Ser400 between which the cytosine base is sandwiched. Phe333 forms a hydrophobic stacking interaction against the cytosine base and the side chain of Ser400 closes over the base (Figure 2). Additional van der Waals interactions are formed between the aliphatic atoms of the side chain of Glu397, and the ribose is packed against the aromatic side chains of Phe366 and Phe368 from the neighboring β3-strand. By forming this binding groove for C₂, the Nab3-RRM establishes a steric constraint which allows for discrimination for pyrimidine nucleotides against purines. Moreover, Nab3-RRM forms an exquisite Watson–Crick-like hydrogen bonding interaction network guaranteeing specificity for a cytidine at this position (Figure 2). This protein mimicry of a complementary base is performed by hydrogen bonds from the side chain hydroxyl group of Ser399 to the cytosine carbonyl atom, and most importantly, by a hydrogen bond of the backbone amide NH group of Ser400 to the cytosine N3 nitrogen atom and a hydrogen bond of the cytosine amine to the backbone carbonyl group of Val398. The later interactions ensure that Nab3-RRM specifically binds a cytosine base into this groove rather than a uracil (Figure 2).

In contrast to the highly specific C₂ recognition site, binding of the 5'-adjacent U₁ nucleotide is ensured through a hydrogen bond between the NH group at position N3 of the base and the carboxylate side chain of Glu397. However, the latter is also within acceptor distance for a hydrogen bond to the O₄ carbonyl function of the base. Thus, it seems plausible that Nab3-RRM(404) could also interact with a cytidine nucleotide through an interaction with the amine at position 4 of the base. Additionally, van der Waals contacts with the hydrophobic side chain of Ile395 are formed with the ring system of U₁ and its ribose forms a hydrophobic stacking interaction against the phenyl side chain of Phe333 found in the neighboring β1 strand (Figure 2). We note that this nucleotide is further contacted by a side chain amine of Lys340 from a symmetry related molecule which forms a hydrogen bond to the O₄ carbonyl function of the base.

Similar to U₁, the U₃ base does not establish a full Watson–Crick like hydrogen bonding network. The base could potentially form hydrogen bonds with the side chain carbonyl of Asn361 to its N3 and with a water molecule through its O₄ function (Figure 2). This water molecule is also coordinated by the carbonyl function of Asn361 and the backbone carbonyl group of Phe368. The guanidinium group of Arg331 is also in close proximity and could potentially form a hydrogen bond to the O₄ carbonyl function of U₃ and thereby contribute to specificity (Figure 2). However, this side chain exists in multiple conformations in the crystal structure and the electron density for the guanidinium group is poorly defined. The U₃ base also forms a planar stacking arrangement against the aromatic side chain of Phe368. Additional interactions with the nucleotide are through van der Waals contacts from the aliphatic region of the Lys363 side chain which packs against the ribose (Figure 2) and the bridging phosphate to U₄ forms a salt bridge with Lys363 side chain (Figure 2).

A putative U₄ recognition site on the Nab3-RRM

Previous studies clearly established a U₁C₂U₃U₄ tetranucleotide sequence to be the recognition site for Nab3 on the nascent RNA (6) and mutation at position U₄ interfered with Nab3 binding to the RNA (6,16). We note that we observe a hydrophobic pocket formed at the base of the short β2–β3 loop with walls formed by the aromatic side chain of Phe366 and the aliphatic side chain of Lys363 in the vicinity of the bridging phosphate between U₃ and U₄. Indeed, in this region, we observe residual but ambiguous electron density which could be caused by a partially occupied or flexible U₄ base (Supplementary Figure S3). However, no significant electron density for the ribose was observed and modeling of a fourth nucleotide was not performed. Additional support for the hypothesis of weaker binding of nucleotides 3' to the central C₂ nucleotide comes from comparison of the temperature factors of the individual bases which showed that already the U₃ nucleotide revealed increased values when compared with those obtained for U₁ or C₂. Finally, nucleotide binding by the β2–β3 loop region has been observed in other RRM–RNA complexes (33,34) as well; however, those RRMs have a longer β2–β3 loop insertion when compared to Nab3-RRM. For instance, NMR studies of PTB RRMs 3 and 4 bound to RNA showed that the base is flipped back into a hydrophobic pocket that is formed near the β2–β3 loop (35). The NMR ensembles for the flipped back bases in the PTB structure, however, clearly show that this residue is more loosely bound than the other bases (35). Thus it is likely that the electron density observed is caused by a weakly bound U₄ nucleotide. Whether the β2–β3 loop region indeed forms a weak binding site or whether additional C-terminal regions, which we lack in the Nab3-RRM(404) construct, enhance binding, can not be derived from the crystal structure.

Comparison of Nab3-RRM to other polyprimidine binding RRMs

There are a number of RRM:RNA structures in the PDB that contain RRMs bound to polypurimidine-containing RNAs. Thus, we compared the Nab3-RRM with other polypurimidine binding RRMs in order to determine if there are any general features that may be important for recognition of these RNAs. An amino acid sequence alignment of Nab3-RRM with other polypurimidine bound RRM structures (Figure 3) revealed that the PTB RRMs and Nab3 all contain a serine, Ser399 in Nab3-RRM(404), at their C-terminus, while the other RRMs do not (35–37). This serine is important for the recognition of the central cytidine nucleotide by the Nab3-RRM, and examination of all four of the PTB RRMs showed that they also engage in a similar interaction (Figure 4). Interestingly, RRMs lacking a serine at this position bind primarily to polyU sequences. Thus, this raises the possibility that a serine at this position indicates a preference for a cytidine at this position. However, it should be noted that this residue is found at the C-terminus of the protein which varies significantly from RRM to RRM (30), and the RNA
recognition mode between RRM s can be quite different
due to the plasticity of the RNA as well as differences in
functional residues on the RRM surface. Thus, while it
seems quite plausible that the presence of a serine at this
position suggests a preference for cytidine at this position,
the overall specificity will also depend on the context of
the surrounding residues.

The Nab3-RRM binds RNA with low-affinity
We next asked to which extent the individual bases of a
U1C2U3 trinucleotide are important for specificity and/or
binding. Thus, we performed fluorescence anisotropy
titration experiments in which Nab3-RRM(404) was
titrated with a series of fluorescently labeled RNAs (Table 1).
Equilibrium dissociation constants ($K_d$) were
determined using non-linear least squares fitting
assuming that two Nab3-RRM(404) molecules bind inde-
dependently to the two UCUU motifs of the snRNA74
RNA. Binding curves generated for these data and
tabulated affinities are shown in Figure 5.

Whereas a Nab3-RRM(404) binds a wt snR47 RNA
with moderate affinity with an apparent $K_d$ of 110 $\mu$M,
mutation of $C_2$ to $U_2$ (snR47U) lead to a significantly

Figure 2. Details of U1C2U3 recognition by Nab3-RRM. (A) Stereo view of the structure of the Nab3-RRM(404):wt snR47 RNA complex with residues important for recognition of the RNA highlighted as a stick model. Hydrogen bonding interactions are indicated as black dashed lines. (B) Schematic drawing of the Nab3-RRM(404) U1C2U3 RNA interaction. Coloring according to (A) except hydrophobic interactions which are illustrated as orange semi-circles. Distances are given in Å. Note that Ser399 and Ser401 both form hydrogen bonds with their side chain hydroxyl function and not their carbonyl main chain groups.
decreased affinity (\(K_d\) of 350 \(\mu\)M) (Figure 5C). When the U1C2U3 trinucleotides were replaced by C1C2C3 motifs \(\text{snR47C}\) we saw a less pronounced decrease in affinity (\(K_d\) of 250 \(\mu\)M), demonstrating that in addition to the mimicry of a complementary base for C2 recognition, binding of the flanking nucleotides is important for affinity and specificity as well.

In order to verify whether Nab3-RRM(404) alone can cooperatively bind and align on an RNA containing several UCUU motifs or whether two Nab3-RRM(404) bind to \(\text{snR47}\) RNA independently, similarly as observed in the crystal structure, we mutated one of the two UCUU motifs in the \(\text{snR47}\) RNA (\(\text{snR47one}\)). As expected, mutation of the second UCUU motif to a weaker binding UUUU motif did not interfere significantly with binding and the apparent \(K_d\) was similar to that obtained for wt \(\text{snR47}\) RNA (Figure 5C). In general, the non-cooperative binding we observe is in accordance with previous observations, however, the affinities we obtained deviate significantly when compared to those reported earlier (6).

\textbf{Nab3-RRM core is sufficient for binding}

It has been demonstrated for several RRMs that C-terminal extensions to the canonical RRM facilitate...
binding (30). Since the affinity observed for a Nab3-RRM(404) construct deviates significantly from previously reported results, in which longer Nab3 constructs have been used (6), we wondered whether a C-terminally extended Nab3-RRM(419) version binds tighter to RNA in our assays. However, extending the polypeptide chain of Nab3 only slightly increased affinity for a wt snR47 RNA (Figure 5C). Further, when we tested this longer Nab3-RRM(419) construct for binding to RNAs in which the UCUU motifs have been changed, the change in the $K_d$ is similar to those obtained for the shorter Nab3-RRM(404) version (Figure 5C). Thus, specificity and most probably affinity to the RNA is determined by the core of the RRM. This finding is further supported by the recently reported chemical shift assignment of the Nab3-RRM (38), showing that residues C-terminal to the core mainly exist in random coil conformation and a C-terminal helix as observed for other RRMs contributing to RNA binding (30) is not present. Thus, the crystal structure reported establishes the atomic details for specificity and binding of Nab3 to the RNA. Furthermore, the apparent $K_d$ calculated from experiments when Nab3-RRM(419) was titrated into wt snR47 RNA and snR47one RNA in which the second UCUU motif was mutated are similar (Figure 5C) and thus cooperative binding facilitated by the C-terminal extension is also unlikely.

**CONCLUSION**

The structure of the Nab3-RRM(404):wt snR47 RNA demonstrates that specific recognition of the UCUU motif is through direct recognition of the U$_1$C$_2$U$_3$ trinucleotide (Figure 2). As observed in other RRM:RNA complexes (30), the RNA is bound across the $\beta$-sheet of the RRM with aromatic residues in the RNP1 and RNP2 consensus motifs providing a platform onto which the bases stack. While the structure provides an unambiguous explanation for binding of the central cytidine nucleotide through recognition of its Watson–Crick face (Figure 4A), specificity for the surrounding nucleotides is less defined. U$_1$ is recognized by Glu397 at the N3 position (Figure 2); however a cytidine at this position could perhaps also form a similar interaction with the amine of the base. U$_3$ recognition could potentially be achieved through an interaction of Arg331 with the O4 position of the base; however, we do not observe electron density for the guanidinium group. This suggests that this particular side chain is disordered or flexible and, if at all, this interaction is transient. Specificity for U$_3$ could also be achieved through interactions with a water molecule as well as the side chain carbonyl of Asn361, but similar interactions are also possible for binding a cytidine nucleotide. Finally, we observe some residual electron density suggesting the possibility of a loosely bound U$_4$ in a pocket formed by the $\beta2$–$\beta3$ loop, which would also be specific for a U-base (Supplementary Figure S3).

Comparison of the Nab3-RRM(404):RNA structure with other structures of polypyrimidine binding RRMs revealed PTB-RRMs to have a similar interaction with the central cytidine nucleotide as observed for Nab3-RRM (Figure 4A and B). Intriguingly, this interaction is dependent on the presence of a serine residue...
at the C-terminus of the protein. Its serine hydroxyl group establishes a hydrogen bond to the O2 of the base and restricts the adjacent residues to adopt a conformation that is beneficial for a cytosine-specific pseudo-base pairing interaction (Figure 4). Our hypothesis is further supported by comparison to other polypyrimidine binding RRMs (Figure 3) demonstrating that RRMs lacking an equivalent serine residue instead bind to a uracil base at this position.

From the crystal structure we would have predicted that replacement of the central cytosine base by a uracil should be reflected in a dramatic reduction in binding affinity, at least more pronounced than observed in our binding experiments. Apparently, Nab3-RRM seems to bind to polypyrimidine containing RNAs with moderate affinity, but has a preference for a UCUU containing RNA. Our results clearly demonstrate that residues C-terminally adjacent to the RRM are not significantly involved in binding the UCUU motif and the core RRM of Nab3 is sufficient for binding and specificity. In contrast to previous studies suggesting cooperative binding of Nab3 to RNA sequences with multiple repeats (6), our results show that binding to multiple copies of UCUU motifs is not enhanced by any cooperativity; neither in the case of Nab3-RRM(404) nor in a C-terminally extended Nab3-RRM(419) version.
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