Transcription initiation factor DksA has diverse effects on RNA chain elongation
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ABSTRACT

Bacterial transcription factors DksA and GreB belong to a family of coiled-coil proteins that bind within the secondary channel of RNA polymerase (RNAP). These proteins display structural homology but play different regulatory roles. DksA disrupts RNAP interactions with promoter DNA and inhibits formation of initiation complexes, sensitizing rRNA synthesis to changes in concentrations of ppGpp and NTPs. Gre proteins remodel the RNAP active site and facilitate cleavage of the nascent RNA in elongation complexes. However, DksA and GreB were shown to have overlapping effects during initiation, and in vivo studies suggested that DksA may also function at post-initiation steps. Here we show that DksA has many features of an elongation factor: it inhibits both RNA chain extension and RNA shortening by exonucleolytic cleavage or pyrophosphorolysis and increases intrinsic termination in vitro and in vivo. However, DksA has no effect on Rho- or Mfd-mediated RNA release or nascent RNA cleavage in backtracked complexes, the regulatory target of Gre factors. Our results reveal that DksA effects on elongating RNAP are very different from those of GreB, suggesting that these regulators recognize distinct states of the transcription complex.

INTRODUCTION

Transcription factor DksA acts synergistically with an alarmone ppGpp to control the bacterial response to stress and starvation (1,2). DksA belongs to a class of bacterial regulators (which includes GreA, GreB and Gfh1) that do not interact with the nucleic acids and instead directly bind in the RNA polymerase (RNAP) secondary channel, which connects the active site to the surface and serves as a conduit for the substrate NTPs. These regulators have no sequence similarity but possess a common structural organization (Supplementary Figure S1): they are entirely helical and composed of a coiled-coil (CC) domain and a globular domain. The CC domains that are similar among these proteins extend through the secondary channel toward the RNAP active site, whereas the structurally different globular domains bind outside of the channel. The residues at the CC tip of these proteins approach the RNAP active site enabling their defined regulatory functions (3–5).

GreB enhances hydrolytic cleavage of the backtracked RNA in arrested transcription elongation complexes (ECs), restoring productive transcription (3). GreB is thought to deliver the low-affinity catalytic Mg\(^{2+}\) ion bound to two carboxylates at the end of its CC to the RNAP active site that contains the primary, high-affinity Mg\(^{2+}\) ion, thereby completing the Mg\(^{2+}\) catalytic pair (6). Gfh1 has four acidic residues at the CC tip and inhibits catalysis by blocking the substrate NTP binding and stabilizing an inactive RNAP conformation (5). DksA exerts its major documented effect before catalysis, by binding to some promoter complexes and altering the transcription initiation pathway (7,8). On the other hand, the proximity of the DksA CC tip to the RNAP active site suggests additional effects on catalysis.

In this work, we analyzed *Escherichia coli* DksA effects on post-initiation activities of RNAP. We show that DksA inhibits transcript elongation, exonucleolytic RNA cleavage and pyrophosphorolysis in a purified *in vitro* system. DksA also increases factor-independent termination in vivo and in vitro. These activities are enhanced by ppGpp and by a ‘gain-of-function’ substitution in DksA (9). The effects of DksA on elongation are quite distinct from those of GreB, even though we show that the two proteins likely recognize the same region on the RNAP β\(^{\prime}\) subunit. These observations suggest that DksA and GreB bind to different states of the EC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Proteins and reagents

Oligonucleotides were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA), NTPs, from GE
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In vitro RNA synthesis

Templates for in vitro transcription were generated by PCR amplification. For inhibition assays, linear pIA171 DNA template (40 nM), RNAP holoenzyme (50 nM), and substrates (150 μM ApU, 5 μM ATP and GTP, 2 μM CTP, 2 μCi of [32P]-CTP; 3000 Ci/mmol) were incubated with DksA in TGA2 buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate, 20 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.9). DksA and ppGpp were added to 2 μM and 100 μM where indicated. After 2 min of incubation, the complexes were treated with 0.15 U of DNaseI (Epitendase, Madison, WI, USA) for 1 min and quenched by the addition of an equal volume of phenol. Samples were subjected to phenol–chloroform extraction, precipitated with ethanol, and dissolved in 96% formamide.

Sample analysis

Samples were heated for 2 min at 90°C and separated by electrophoresis in denaturing acrylamide (19:1) gels (7 M Urea, 0.5X TBE) of various concentrations (5–10%). RNA products were visualized and quantified using a Phosphorimager Storm 820 System (GE Healthcare), ImageQuant Software, and Microsoft Excel.

Binding assays

Steady-state fluorescence anisotropy experiments were performed using F-7000 spectrophotometer (Hitachi). The excitation wavelength was 555 nm and polarization was detected at 571 nm, G value was determined prior to each experiment. DksA (5–20 nM) was mixed with increasing concentrations of RNAP at 30°C in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol. The system reached steady state after 10 min. The data were analyzed using Scientist software (Micromath). Fe cleavage assays were performed as described in (13) using Alexa 546-labeled DksA<sup>35C</sup>. Gels were analyzed using Typhoon 900 (GE Healthcare); see also Supplementary Data.

RESULTS

DksA and GreB may use the same target on the RNAP surface

Structural and biochemical data reveal that a coiled-coil domain in the β subunit (β rim helices; βRH, residues 649–717), which forms the rim of the secondary channel, serves as a binding site for GreB and Gfh1. Despite their dramatically different effects on RNAP, GreB and Gfh1 are proposed to utilize the same mode of interactions (Figure 1A) between the tip of the βRH and a hydrophobic region on the globular domain of the regulators (3,5,11). Moreover, GreB can functionally substitute for DksA during initiation (14), suggesting that DksA may also bind to RNAP similarly. In contrast, in an earlier model of RNAP/DksA/ppGpp (4), the RH tip does not make any contacts to DksA (Figure 1A). Importantly, this model was built by rigid-body docking of the <i>E. coli</i> DksA onto Thermus thermophilus RNAP using a hypothesis that RH-DksA contacts are similar to DksA–DksA crystal packing contacts observed in the <i>E. coli</i> DksA structure.

To determine whether DksA and GreB use similar determinants on RNAP, we tested effects on DksA activity of changes in RNAP that were previously demonstrated to confer resistance to GreB (11). We used the enzyme lacking the β16 (also called β'S13 (15); β' residues 943–1130), a large domain inserted into the mobile β'trigger loop (TL; β' residues 926–942 and 1131–1146).
and located near the entrance into the secondary channel (16), and RNAPs with substitutions at the tip of the RH.

We previously showed that double substitutions of the \textit{E. coli} RH residues Leu672 and Val673 for Ala or Asp reduced or abolished, respectively, GreB enhancement of RNA cleavage and binding to RNAP (11). We tested the effects of these substitutions on inhibition of RNA synthesis from the T7A1 promoter by DksA (Figure 1B). We monitored the formation of radiolabeled A29 RNA on pIA171 template at increasing concentrations of DksA as described previously (4). We found that the Ala substitutions of \textbf{b}'Leu672 and Val673 strongly reduced inhibition by DksA as compared to the wild-type (WT) RNAP, and substitutions for charged residues completely abolished it. On the other hand, the deletion of the i6, which makes RNAP insensitive to GreB (17), strongly enhanced the inhibitory effect of DksA (Figure 1C).

To test whether the lack of DksA effect on enzymes with RH substitutions was due to the loss of DksA binding to RNAP, we carried out Fe-mediated cleavage (Supplementary Figure S2) and fluorescence anisotropy assays (Figure 1D). Both assays revealed that the double

Figure 1. DksA binds to the \textbf{b}' RH. (A) Proposed interactions of DksA (4) and GreB (11) with the \textbf{b}' RH. The CC domains (orange) of DksA (left) and GreB (right) carry the functionally important acidic residues (blue spheres) at their tips. The globular domains (cyan) interact with the \textbf{b}' RH (dark red). The \textbf{b}' RH residues Leu672 and Val673 are shown as salmon spheres, the GreB residues required for binding to RNAP, as cyan spheres. The side chain of DksA Asn88 is colored green. (B) Inhibition of radiolabeled A29 RNA synthesis by DksA\textsubscript{WT} on pIA171 template; DksA was added at indicated concentrations during initiation. A representative gel is shown. (C) Inhibition of A29 RNA synthesis measured for different RNAPs at 2 \textmu M DksA; the data are presented as average of three independent experiments ± standard deviation and were normalized relative to the amount of A29 RNA synthesized in the absence of DksA. (D) DksA binding to the WT, \textbf{b}' RH\textsuperscript{DD}, \textbf{b}' i6 and \textbf{b}' TL enzymes assayed by fluorescence polarization; the results of three independent experiments are shown. \textit{K}_{D,app} were 102 ± 13 nM for WT, 42 ± 7 nM for \textbf{b}' i6, and 80 ± 5 nM for the \textbf{b}' TL RNAP; \textit{K}_{D,app} for the \textbf{b}' RH\textsuperscript{DD} could not be determined.
Asp substitution (RH<sup>DD</sup>) dramatically reduced DksA binding to core RNAP, consistent with a loss of DksA-RH contacts at the mutation site or a loss of interactions due to a different conformation of the altered RH. These findings support a model in which the RH domain interacts with both GreB and DksA, resulting in partially overlapping effects of these factors on transcription initiation (14).

The i6 deletion increased the affinity of DksA for the core RNAP by more than 2-fold ($K_d = 42$ nM compared to 97 nM for the WT RNAP; Figure 1D), whereas the deletion of the entire TL-i6 region had a smaller effect ($K_d = 80$ nM). Consistent with the report from the Gourse lab (8), our results show that the TL is dispensable for DksA binding. The stimulatory effect of $\Delta i6$ suggests that the i6 domain interferes with DksA binding directly or indirectly, by favoring a TL state that is incompatible with DksA binding.

**DksA alters RNA chain elongation in vitro in synergy with ppGpp**

Chamberlin and coauthors showed that ppGpp inhibits RNA synthesis on phage T7 DNA (18). The action of ppGpp is not competitive with NTP substrates and is exerted at specific sites along the template; similar effects were observed on other templates (19,20). Given that ppGpp and DksA generally (but not always) act synergistically, we tested if *E. coli* DksA also affects elongation. We compared the effects of the WT DksA and its hyperactive variant with a substitution of Asn88 for Ile (DksA<sup>N88I</sup>), which has been reported to increase DksA affinity for RNAP and reduce DksA dependence on ppGpp (9). In our experiments, we used physiological concentrations of DksA (14) and ppGpp (21).

Both DksA variants inhibited transcription from a T7A1 promoter (Supplementary Figure S3), which forms relatively unstable open complexes. The effect of DksA<sup>WT</sup> was modest and was strongly enhanced by ppGpp. In contrast, DksA<sup>N88I</sup> almost completely abolished RNA synthesis even at very low ppGpp. In these reactions, DksA was added prior to NTPs and could exert its effect either before or during catalysis.

We next tested the effects of DksA on elongation by adding this factor to preformed halted ECs. We carried out a standard single-round transcription assay using a linear pIA146 template with a T7A1 promoter followed by *E. coli* rpoB gene, which is devoid of strong pause signals. On this template, a radiolabeled EC is stalled by a different conformation of the altered RH. These findings support a model in which the RH domain interacts with both GreB and DksA, resulting in partially overlapping effects of these factors on transcription initiation (14).

The i6 deletion increased the affinity of DksA for the core RNAP by more than 2-fold ($K_d = 42$ nM compared to 97 nM for the WT RNAP; Figure 1D), whereas the deletion of the entire TL-i6 region had a smaller effect ($K_d = 80$ nM). Consistent with the report from the Gourse lab (8), our results show that the TL is dispensable for DksA binding. The stimulatory effect of $\Delta i6$ suggests that the i6 domain interferes with DksA binding directly or indirectly, by favoring a TL state that is incompatible with DksA binding.

**DksA alters RNA chain elongation in vitro in synergy with ppGpp**

Chamberlin and coauthors showed that ppGpp inhibits RNA synthesis on phage T7 DNA (18). The action of ppGpp is not competitive with NTP substrates and is exerted at specific sites along the template; similar effects were observed on other templates (19,20). Given that ppGpp and DksA generally (but not always) act synergistically, we tested if *E. coli* DksA also affects elongation. We compared the effects of the WT DksA and its hyperactive variant with a substitution of Asn88 for Ile (DksA<sup>N88I</sup>), which has been reported to increase DksA affinity for RNAP and reduce DksA dependence on ppGpp (9). In our experiments, we used physiological concentrations of DksA (14) and ppGpp (21).

Both DksA variants inhibited transcription from a T7A1 promoter (Supplementary Figure S3), which forms relatively unstable open complexes. The effect of DksA<sup>WT</sup> was modest and was strongly enhanced by ppGpp. In contrast, DksA<sup>N88I</sup> almost completely abolished RNA synthesis even at very low ppGpp. In these reactions, DksA was added prior to NTPs and could exert its effect either before or during catalysis.

We next tested the effects of DksA on elongation by adding this factor to preformed halted ECs. We carried out a standard single-round transcription assay using a linear pIA146 template with a T7A1 promoter followed by *E. coli* rpoB gene, which is devoid of strong pause signals. On this template, a radiolabeled EC is stalled by a different conformation of the altered RH. These findings support a model in which the RH domain interacts with both GreB and DksA, resulting in partially overlapping effects of these factors on transcription initiation (14).

The i6 deletion increased the affinity of DksA for the core RNAP by more than 2-fold ($K_d = 42$ nM compared to 97 nM for the WT RNAP; Figure 1D), whereas the deletion of the entire TL-i6 region had a smaller effect ($K_d = 80$ nM). Consistent with the report from the Gourse lab (8), our results show that the TL is dispensable for DksA binding. The stimulatory effect of $\Delta i6$ suggests that the i6 domain interferes with DksA binding directly or indirectly, by favoring a TL state that is incompatible with DksA binding.

**DksA increases intrinsic transcription termination in vitro and in vivo**

We reported that ppGpp increases the efficiency of the *rrnB* T1 terminator during *in vitro* transcription by *E. coli* RNAP (19). Since DksA and ppGpp often have similar effects on transcription, we wanted to test whether DksA can also increase termination. We constructed a template which encodes a 105-nt G-less initial transcribed region followed by a minimal *rrnB* T1 signal. On this template, termination efficiency at *rrnB* T1 can be reduced by a combination of the template sequence and high concentrations of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, allowing us to measure the stimulatory effects of DksA and ppGpp. We found that DksA<sup>N88I</sup> (at 2 $\mu$M) increased WT RNAP termination at *rrnB* T1 ~2.4-fold (Figure 3A); the same effect was observed with DksA<sup>WT</sup> at 5 $\mu$M (not shown). ppGpp modestly enhanced termination both in the absence and in the presence of DksA<sup>N88I</sup>. DksA also increased termination on a template encoding *rrnB* T1 in its natural context (Supplementary Figure S6) and at a phage λ tr2 terminator (Supplementary Figure S7). The Δi6 enzyme terminated with lower efficiency, as expected from a ‘fast’ RNAP (15); the addition of DksA<sup>N88I</sup> increased *rrnB* T1 efficiency more than 7-fold in the absence of ppGpp and essentially abrogated readthrough in the presence of ppGpp. By contrast, the βRHDD RNAP failed to respond to DksA either in the absence or in the presence of ppGpp. The DksA effect was comparable to that of general elongation factor NusA (Figure 3B). These results demonstrate that DksA increases termination *in vitro*.

To assess whether DksA may increase termination *in vivo*, we constructed two reporter plasmids that encode *Photorhabdus luminescens* luxCDABE operon under control of T7A1 promoter, with and without *rrnB* T1 positioned between the promoter and the lux genes. We found that *rrnB* T1 reduced lux expression ~28-fold in a wild-type *dksA* strain and ~9.6-fold in a Δ*dksA* strain (Figure 3C). This result is consistent with the direct DksA effect on termination under normal *E. coli* growth conditions. In these assays, we also observed a 1.4-fold inhibitory effect of DksA at the T7A1 promoter *in vivo*, which is consistent with our observations *in vitro* (Figure 1B).
DksA does not affect Rho-dependent termination in vitro

We next tested whether DksA alters the activity of the termination factor Rho on a pIA267 template (Figure 4) encoding a phage λ tR1 Rho-dependent termination signal (22). In the absence of DksA, most RNAP molecules reached the end of the linear DNA template, forming run-off transcripts. Addition of Rho (to 25 nM to induce partial release) caused termination at multiple sites, thereby reducing the run-off product more than 2-fold. Although DksA WT and DksA N88I induced RNAP pausing/arrest at some sites, particularly in the presence of ppGpp, the overall efficiency of Rho-dependent termination and the pattern of release products did not change dramatically when either DksA variant was also present, with or without ppGpp. We conclude that neither DksA nor ppGpp alter Rho activity, at least in vitro.

DksA effects on RNA cleavage in stalled ECs

The secondary channel regulators are known to affect cleavage of the nascent RNA by RNAP. Gfh1 strongly inhibits both exo- and endonucleolytic cleavage reactions (23), whereas Gre factors strongly stimulate the endonucleolytic reaction to rescue arrested ECs (3). To determine whether DksA alters the nascent RNA cleavage, we used the ECs that are susceptible to cleavage.

First, we tested the effect on exonuclease activity. We formed unlabeled A26 TECs on a pIA226, removed NTPs by gel filtration, and extended the nascent RNA by addition of [α-32P]CMP. The 3′-labeled C27 ECs were used to monitor the release of the labeled CMP that occurred upon exonucleolytic cleavage (Figure 5A). When C27 ECs were incubated at

---

**Figure 2.** DksA and ppGpp decrease an apparent rate of RNA chain elongation. Single-round elongation assays on pIA146 template encoding a pause-less rpoB region with the WT (A) and βΔ6 (B) RNAPs. Halted radiolabeled A29 ECs (40 nM) were incubated with DksA (WT or N88I, at 2 μM) and ppGpp (30 μM), where indicated, for 3 min at 37°C. Elongation was restarted by the addition of 250 μM NTPs and 10 μg/ml heparin. Aliquots were quenched at the selected times and analyzed on 5% denaturing gels. Representative gels with a region near the run-off RNA (661 nt) are shown. The assay was repeated at least three times for each combination of factors; the differences between independent measurements were within 10%.
In the presence of 10 mM Mn$^{2+}$, the C27 RNA was rapidly degraded. DksA N88I did not have any effect, whereas ppGpp slightly reduced the rate of cleavage. However, when both DksA and ppGpp were added, RNA cleavage was almost completely blocked. These observations are consistent with our earlier report that ppGpp/DksA compete with tagetitoxin, which strongly inhibits the exonuclease activity (12).

We next tested DksA effect on RNA cleavage in halted radiolabeled A26 ECs which are prone to backtracking and are thus very susceptible to GreB (11). We found that neither DksA N88I nor ppGpp changed the kinetics of A26 RNA cleavage (Figure 5B). No effect on RNA cleavage was observed with the WT DksA present at concentrations up to 30 mM (IA, data not shown) even after an hour-long incubation. In contrast, A26 RNA was rapidly cleaved in the presence of 50 nM GreB (Supplementary Figure S8). Thus, DksA lacks the ‘signature’ activity of GreB, the RNA cleavage rate enhancement.

DksA does not affect the stalled EC

DksA alters RNAP interactions with the $rrnB$ P1 promoter DNA in front of the active site: in the presence of DksA, DNaseI footprint is shortened at the downstream edge (8) and the initiation complex is destabilized. We wanted to test whether DksA destabilizes ECs and alters their structures.

First, we carried out DNaseI footprinting on an EC halted by nucleotide deprivation at position 147 on pRL596 template. In the EC, positions from $+/C0$ to $+ 15$ (relative to the active site) are protected against DNaseI cleavage (Figure 6A), consistent with the results obtained under the same conditions with other defined ECs (24). In contrast to the effects observed with $rrnB$ P1 promoter complexes, we did not detect significant changes in protection upon addition of DksA N88I, with or without ppGpp (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S8). Interestingly, effects of DksA are not always associated with an altered DNaseI protection, as observed at the
DISCUSSION

Here, we report that DksA has many effects on transcript elongation, which are amplified by ppGpp, N88I substitution in DksA, and the β′i6 deletion and abolished by substitutions in the β′RH. However, DksA has no effect on RNA cleavage in arrested ECs. In contrast, Gre factors, which also bind to the β′RH, are not known to affect termination, are unable to modify RNAP lacking i6, and induce strong endonucleolytic cleavage of the nascent RNA in arrested ECs. We argue that the interplay among diverse regulators binding within the secondary channel should be viewed as differential targeting rather than competition, and is controlled by the conformational state of the EC that each protein recognizes.

Competition and redundancy among the secondary channel regulators

DksA inhibits transcription from *rrn* promoters (1) and enables ppGpp to act in the absence of the ω subunit of RNAP (25). DksA also activates amino acid biosynthesis promoters (7). GreB can substitute for DksA in destabilizing *rrn* P1 promoter complexes but cannot mediate positive control or obviate the need for ω (14). In contrast, GreA does not inhibit (14) and may even activate (26) *rrn* P1 promoter.

In the cell, DksA is far more abundant than GreB and has higher affinity than GreA for free RNAP (14). Although Gre factors can act similarly (14) or antagonistically (26) to DksA when overexpressed, the cellular conditions that lead to high levels of Gre factors are not known and DksA is expected to dominate the effect on initiation. However, this raises the question of how competition between Gre factors and DksA is avoided during elongation. We show that DksA and GreB elongation effects are non-overlapping, at least *in vitro*. Most importantly, DksA lacks the key activity of GreB, reactivation of arrested ECs and conformations of RNAP domains co-exist and interconvert even at the same promoter, and distribution among these complexes is promoter specific (28). Depending on a promoter, DksA can repress, activate, or have no effect on initiation, and its effects are correlated with the promoter complex structure (29).

Many states of the transcription complexes

Regrettably, we do not know what these targets are for most factors that recognize a particular RNAP state rather than a DNA or RNA sequence. During initiation, several complexes that differ in interactions with the nucleic acids and conformations of RNAP domains co-exist and interconvert even at the same promoter, and distribution among these complexes is promoter specific (28). Depending on a promoter, DksA can repress, activate, or have no effect on initiation, and its effects are correlated with the promoter complex structure (29).

The ECs also exist in a variety of states which have been captured in structures and inferred from solution experiments. These states differ in the position of the RNA 3′ end, conformations of the TL, the state of the clamp, etc.; reviewed in ref. (30). Zenkin and colleagues (31) argued that *Thermus aquaticus* Gre does not act upon the active ECs and specifically targets backtracked complexes. Yokoyama and colleagues (5) reported a structure of

T7A1 (Supplementary Figure S3) and the λPr promoters (23).

We next assayed DksA effect on EC stability. The ECs are very stable under most *in vitro* conditions, necessitating the use of destabilizing solutes (e.g. 1 M KCl, 50 mM EDTA, etc.) or release factors to observe their dissociation. Since DksA activity is reduced at KCl concentrations above 300 mM, we used *E. coli* Mfd, which releases RNAPs stalled at sites of DNA damage (24), to destabilize the EC instead, Because the Mfd-binding site on RNAP is located ~80 Å away from the RH (10), we reasoned that the two proteins would not compete. We assayed the effect of DksA on Mfd-mediated release of RNA from stalled EC immobilized on streptavidin beads via a biotin tag on the DNA template. We found that DksA^N88I (at 5 μM) and ppGpp (at 100 μM) accelerated G37 EC dissociation in the presence of Mfd by <2-fold (Figure 6B); no effect was observed at four other halted ECs. These results indicate that DksA does not bind to static ECs or does not alter their structure.
Figure 5. DksA effects on exo- (A) and endo- (B) RNA cleavage in halted ECs. DksA^{N88I} (10 μM) and ppGpp (100 μM) were added where indicated. Cleavage reactions were quenched at the indicated times and analyzed on a 10% gel. The assay was repeated at least three times for each combination of factors.

Figure 6. DksA does not affect the structure or stability of the halted EC. (A) DNaseI footprint boundaries of the C147 EC (indicated by a black bar on the right) were determined using a dideoxy sequencing ladder generated with the same labeled primer; numbers on the left mark positions from the transcription start site. The pattern of protection did not change when DksA and ppGpp were present, as indicated below each panel; the traces are shown in Supplementary Figure S8. (B) Mfd-mediated dissociation of the G37 EC immobilized on streptavidin-coated DynaBeads T1 (Invitrogen) in TGA10 with 200 mM NaCl. ^32P-labeled G37 ECs were mixed with Mfd (200 nM), DksA^{N88I} (5 μM) and ppGpp (100 μM) were added as indicated, and the complexes were incubated at 37 °C for 2 min. Reactions were initiated by addition of 5 mM ATP, the released (into the supernatant) RNA was removed at the indicated times and analyzed on a 10% denaturing gel (shown on top). The fractions of released G37 RNA were determined from three independent experiments for each combination of factors. The half-lives (in min) were: 5.9 ± 0.5 with RNAP alone, 5.4 ± 0.6 with 5 μM DksA^{N88I}, 3.8 ± 0.4 with 100 μM ppGpp and 3.6 ± 0.4 with both ppGpp and DksA^{N88I}.
We failed to identify an EC that responds to DksA from a collection of complexes halted by nucleotide deprivation. This suggests that DksA targets a state that is transiently populated during elongation. This is reminiscent of the DksA effects on initiation complexes: although DksA has been shown to alter transcription at different promoters, the *rrnB* P1, which does not form stable open complexes in the absence of NTP substrates, remains the only promoter at which DksA visibly changes the RNAP/DNA contacts (8).

**DksA and DNA repair**

Recent data indicate that ppGpp and DksA may play important roles at the interface of transcription and DNA repair by helping to remove RNAPs stalled at the site of DNA damage (36,37). McGlynn and Lloyd (38) showed that an increase in ppGpp synthesis or *rpo* substitutions in RNAP, a subset of stringent (ppGpp-mimicking) mutations, alleviate UV-sensitivity of strains lacking RuvABC. This led to a hypothesis that ppGpp and *rpo* substitutions, many of which likely compromise RNAP interactions with the nucleic acids, destabilize the stalled EC thereby facilitating repair and subsequent replication (38,39).

Soon after the role DksA as a ppGpp cofactor was discovered, the Lloyd lab showed that DksA, along with Mfd and GreA, is also necessary for UV-resistance and viability of strains in which repair is defective (37). Wang and co-authors demonstrated that DksA and Gre proteins prevented arrest of DNA replication during nutrient stress (36); their results argue that DksA acts upon the stalled ECs. These studies, together with a recent report that Rho guards *E. coli* against double-stranded breaks (40), reveal that (at least) four very different regulators could remove the inactivated ECs: DksA/ppGpp, Rho, Mfd and GreA. Interestingly, the same RNAP substitution, *rpo* (BH1244Q), suppresses defects in Rho function (40), *mfd* and *greA greB* phenotypes (39), and sensitivity to mitomycin C conferred by the *dksA* deletion (37), consistent with a common origin of these defects.

Why do bacteria need several, possibly redundant, mechanisms to remove stalled RNAPs? This redundancy may ensure that all transcription/replication collisions are resolved; indeed, simultaneous removal of any two factors exacerbates repair defects (37). Another reason may be that, although some of these factors display overlapping activities in *vitro* and even in *vivo*, their natural targets may be somewhat different. For example, although both Mfd and DksA enable survival of *ruv* strains (37), only DksA relieves starvation-induced replication arrest (36).

Most transcription elongation factors do not recognize specific sequences but display some preferences for the EC structure. Rho targets paused but not backtracked RNAPs (41). Gre factors rescue backtracked ECs (3) and do not bind to active ECs (31). Mfd recruits the nucleotide excision repair proteins to a DNA lesion after removing the RNAP stalled at the site of damage (42); thus, stalled enzymes are likely backtracked and Mfd can reactivate backtracked ECs *in vitro* (43). DksA target is yet unknown. A combination of structural,
biochemical and genome-wide analyses will be required to reveal the molecular mechanism by which DksA and other transcription factors identify their targets.
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