Chemical structure requirements and cellular targeting of microRNA-122 by peptide nucleic acids anti-miRs
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ABSTRACT

Anti-miRs are oligonucleotide inhibitors complementary to miRNAs that have been used extensively as tools to gain understanding of specific miRNA functions and as potential therapeutics. We showed previously that peptide nucleic acid (PNA) anti-miRs containing a few attached Lys residues were potent miRNA inhibitors. Using miR-122 as an example, we report here the PNA sequence and attached amino acid requirements for efficient miRNA targeting and show that anti-miR activity is enhanced substantially by the presence of a terminal-free thiol group, such as a Cys residue, primarily due to better cellular uptake. We show that anti-miR activity of a Cys-containing PNA is achieved by cell uptake through both clathrin-dependent and independent routes. With the aid of two PNA analogues having intrinsic fluorescence, thiazole orange (TO)-PNA and [bis-o-[aminoethoxy]phenyl]pyrroloctosine (BoPhpC)-PNA, we explored the subcellular localization of PNA anti-miRs and our data suggest that anti-miR targeting of miR-122 may take place in or associated with endosomal compartments. Our findings are valuable for further design of PNAs and other oligonucleotides as potent anti-miR agents.

INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous small non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally. Many miRNAs have been described in different species and with functions associated with a wide range of cellular processes and human diseases (1,2). Although alternative miRNA biogenesis pathways have been uncovered recently (3–5), the principal biosynthetic route involves processing in the nucleus of an initial primary miRNA transcript (pri-miRNA) by an RNase III type enzyme, Drosha, resulting in a ~70–80 nt long stem-loop precursor-miRNA (pre-miRNA). The pre-miRNA is then exported to the cytosol where another RNase III type enzyme, Dicer, removes the loop to give an imperfectly paired double-stranded mature miRNA of ~22 nt long. One miRNA strand is then selected by a ribonucleoprotein complex necessary for miRNA activity, miRISC (6). Upon loading in miRISC, miRNAs bind to the 3′-untranslated region (UTR) of their mRNA targets and repress their expression by inducing mRNA degradation and/or by preventing mRNA translation (7–9). MiRNA targets are recognized by the miRNA mainly through the miRNA ‘seed’ sequence comprising nucleotides 2–8 at the 5′-end of the miRNA (10). miRNA loading into miRISC pre-organizes the seed sequence for target recognition (11,12). Apart from these nucleotides, there is no need for extensive complementarity between the miRNA and its mRNA target, which thus allows one miRNA to be able to regulate many mRNAs (10).

Although the cellular site of mRNA repression by miRNAs is unclear, it is believed that miRNAs become associated with certain cytoplasmic foci and endosomal compartments. Cytosolic foci known as P-bodies contain not only miRNAs and their target mRNAs but also other key components of miRISC such as Argonaute proteins (Ago) and Trinucleotide-Repeat-Containing-Gene-6.
(TNRC6) (13). Similarly, GW-Bodies (bodies enriched in GW182, a protein that binds Ago), which were found to be independent of P-bodies, also contain the same miRISC components, often associated with multivesicular body (MVB)-like membranes (14). MiRNAs have also been found in exosomes (14,15) and more recently also in the nucleus (16) and mitochondria (17).

Oligonucleotide analogues (ON) complementary to miRNAs can inhibit their function and are known as anti-miRs. Anti-miRs have been used extensively as tools to gain understanding of specific miRNA functions and as potential therapeutics (18–23). The first anti-miR chemistries were based on 2'-O-methyl ribonucleosides (18,24–27). The first anti-miR chemistries became more promising, such as 2'′-O-methoxyethyl (MOE) (19,28) and 2′-fluoro/2′-methoxyethyl mixmers (2′F/MOE) (29), charge neutral ONs, such as Peptide Nucleic Acids (PNA) (22,30–32) and high-affinity Locked Nucleic Acid (LNA) in mixmers with DNA (21,23,33,34), OMe (30,32,34) or MOE (28,29). To date only an LNA/DNA mixmer has advanced to clinical trials (Santaris Pharma News release 23 September 2010).

Further development of anti-miRs as reagents and therapeutics is likely to benefit from an improved understanding of the anti-miR biology: the chemical structure requirements for anti-miR targeting and as mechanistic aspects, such as cell uptake and subcellular localization. To this end, we have chosen to study miR-122, which is a 23-mer-long, liver-specific miRNA that has generated considerable interest as a therapeutic target (23). Inhibition of miR-122 by PNA anti-miRs might occur. Our data should be independent of P-bodies, also contain the same miRISC components, often associated with multivesicular body (MVB)-like membranes (14). MiRNAs have also been found in exosomes (14,15) and more recently also in the nucleus (16) and mitochondria (17).

In our new studies, we have explored various aspects of targeting of miR-122 by cationic PNA anti-miRs. We first addressed the PNA sequence and attached amino acid requirements for efficient miRNA targeting and show that activity of the PNA anti-miR is enhanced substantially by the presence of a free thiol group. We demonstrate that this is due primarily to better cellular uptake, an unanticipated discovery. We also investigated the mechanisms of cellular uptake for Cys-K-PNA-K3 and shed light on a possible subcellular compartment where the targeting of miR-122 by PNA anti-miRs might occur. Our data should prove useful for further design of PNAs and other ONs as potent anti-miR agents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PNA synthesis**

PNA ONs were synthesised as previously described (22) and their sequences are shown in Table 1, with the exceptions of Met-K-PNA23mer-K3, Ser-K-PNA23mer-K3 and MPA-K-PNA23mer-K3. These three PNA ONs had the same sequence as Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 but the terminal Cys residue was replaced by methionine, serine or 3-mercaptopropionic acid, respectively. RNA oligonucleotide having the same sequence as miR-122 (5′UG GAGUGUGACAAUGGUGUUUGU-3′) was purchased

Table 1. Sequences of PNAs used in this study. Indicated in the grey box is the miR-122 ‘seed’ sequence-targeting region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNAs anti-miR122</th>
<th>(N-term)5'-PNA sequence–3'(C-term)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCys-φK-PNA23mer-dK3</td>
<td>dCys-φK-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-dKdkdk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dK-PNA23mer-dK3</td>
<td>dK-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-d(KKK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K4-PNA23mer</td>
<td>K4-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-PNA23mer-K4</td>
<td>Cys-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA23mer-K4</td>
<td>KKKK-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA19mer(5′trunc)-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA18mer(5′trunc)-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA17mer(5′trunc)-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA23mer(60M at 5′)-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-TGTTTGACATTTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-K-PNA17mer(3′trunc)-K3</td>
<td>Cys-K-ACAAACACCATTGCTACCTCAA-KKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature miR-122 sequence (3′-5′)</td>
<td>UGUUGUGUGUACAAUGGUGUUGU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that while PNA sequences are written from 5'/N-term to 3'/C-term, the mature miR-122 sequence is indicated from 3' to 5' to show base pairing between the miRNA and the anti-miRs.
from Dharmacon. TO-PNAs were synthesized on 2 μmol scale on Merck NovaSyn TGR resin preloaded with the C-terminal Lys (80 μmol/g) by using an Intavis ResPep parallel synthesizer and 1 ml polyethylene syringe reactors. For Fmoc deprotection the resin was treated with a solution of piperidine/DMF (1:4, 200 μl) for 2 min following by draining of the reactor. The procedure was repeated and the resin washed with DMF (3 × 200 μl). For couplings, stock solutions were prepared: PNA monomers in NMP (0.2 M), Fmoc-Lys(Boc) in NMP (0.3 M), Fmoc-TO-monomer in NMP (0.2 M), HCTU in NMP (0.3 M), NMM in DMF (4 M). Solutions were combined for preactivation (6 eq Lys, 5.4 eq HCTU, 18 eq NMM or 4 eq PNA monomer, 3.6 eq HCTU, 12 eq NMM) and after 2 min transferred to the syringe reactor. The reactor was drained after 30 min. Double coupling and triple coupling was used when necessary. The resin was washed with DMF (3 × 200 μl). For capping, the resin was treated with DMF/acet anhydride/2,6-lutidine (89:5:6, 200 μl) for 2 min, and washed with DMF (3 × 200 μl). For final cleavage the resin was washed with CH2Cl2 (100 μl), and dried. The resin was treated for 1 h with a solution of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (7.5 mg) in TFA/m-cresol/water (93:5:2, 200 μl). After draining, the resin was washed with TFA (2 × 200 μl). Work-up and purification was performed as previously described (35). Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 and K-(TO)PNA-K3 were synthesized at 40 μmol scale as previously described (22) but with manual coupling of the TO-PNA monomer. BoPhpC-PNA was synthesized purified and characterized as previously described (36). Briefly, PNA monomers were synthesized using an Applied Biosystems 433A peptide synthesizer and standard Fmoc chemistry on a parallel synthesizer and standard Fmoc chemistry on a PNA synthesizer. Crude oligomers (Full Media: DMEM/FBS/PenStrep) at 37°C/5% CO2. Cell culture and transfections Huh7 cells were plated and lipofected with miR-122 dual-luciferase reporter construct as described previously (32). Cells were washed once with PBS and were incubated for 2 h with 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in 100 μl opti-MEM or 100 μl of either 30 μM chlorpromazine (CPZ), 2.5 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MBCD), 0.45 M sucrose or 2.5 μg/ml Latruncul B. All the inhibitor solutions were freshly made up in opti-MEM just before use. For specific inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), Huh7 cells were co-lipofected with miR-122 dual luciferase reporter construct and with 100 ng AP180-C construct (37) and PNA incubation was carried out the next day in opti-MEM. For AP180-C plus MBCD treatment, Huh7 cells were pre-incubated for 1 h in opti-MEM or opti-MEM containing 2.5 mM MBCD before addition of PNA and cell incubation with PNA was carried out for 1 h. After PNA incubations, cells were washed twice with PBS and 200 μl Full Media was added. 2 h later media was again replaced by 200 μl Full Media. Luciferase expression was measured 48 h after PNA incubation.

Cell viability assays Cell viability assays were carried out using CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega; cat number 63582) following the manufacturer’s protocol (see Supplementary Methods). The assay is based on the MTS tetrazolium reagent.

FACS analysis Huh7 or HEK293ET cells were incubated with 3 μM TO-PNA anti-miRs in opti-MEM for 4 h, after which media was replaced by Full Media (see Supplementary Methods). After 24 h of PNA incubation, FACS analysis was performed in a FACSCalibur analyzer measuring 10000 gated events per sample. TO-PNA fluorescence was recorded by excitation at 488 nm (argon laser) and detection at 530 nm. Data was processed using FlowJo version 9.3.2.

Luciferase assays MiR-122 dual luciferase reporter system and luciferase assays was described previously (32). Unless stated otherwise, Huh7 cells were lipofected with 100 ng miR-122 dual luciferase plasmid and the next day washed and incubated with PNA anti-miRs for 4 h in serum-free media (opti-MEM). After PNA incubation, cells were washed and grown in Full Media until luciferase measurements were carried out. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Assays with endocytosis inhibitors Huh7 cells were plated and lipofected with miR-122 dual-luciferase reporter construct as described previously (32). Cells were washed once with PBS and were incubated for 2 h with 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in 100 μl opti-MEM or 100 μl of either 30 μM chlorpromazine (CPZ), 2.5 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MBCD), 0.45 M sucrose or 2.5 μg/ml Latruncul B. All the inhibitor solutions were freshly made up in opti-MEM just before use. For specific inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), Huh7 cells were co-lipofected with miR-122 dual luciferase reporter construct and with 100 ng AP180-C construct (37) and PNA incubation was carried out the next day in opti-MEM. For AP180-C plus MBCD treatment, Huh7 cells were pre-incubated for 1 h in opti-MEM or opti-MEM containing 2.5 mM MBCD before addition of PNA and cell incubation with PNA was carried out for 1 h. After PNA incubations, cells were washed twice with PBS and 200 μl Full Media was added. 2 h later media was again replaced by 200 μl Full Media. Luciferase expression was measured 48 h after PNA incubation.

Assays with chloroquine and calcium Huh7 cells expressing miR-122 dual luciferase reporter construct were incubated for 4 h with 1 or 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in 100 μl opti-MEM in the presence or absence of 6 mM CaCl2 or 100 μM chloroquine. After PNA incubation media was replaced by Full Media. Luciferase expression was carried out 48 h after PNA incubation. For Figure 5B, PNA-treated cells were washed twice with 150 μl PBS and media was replaced by 100 μl opti-MEM in the presence or absence of 6 mM CaCl2 but without PNA present. Four hours later, cells were washed and media was replaced by Full Media and Luciferase expression measured after 48 h.

Luciferase assays MiR-122 dual luciferase reporter system and luciferase assays was described previously (32). Unless stated otherwise, Huh7 cells were lipofected with 100 ng miR-122 dual luciferase plasmid and the next day washed and incubated with PNA anti-miRs for 4 h in serum-free media (opti-MEM). After PNA incubation, cells were washed and grown in Full Media until luciferase measurements were carried out. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Assays with endocytosis inhibitors Huh7 cells were plated and lipofected with miR-122 dual-luciferase reporter construct as described previously (32). Cells were washed once with PBS and were incubated for 2 h with 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in 100 μl opti-MEM or 100 μl of either 30 μM chlorpromazine (CPZ), 2.5 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MBCD), 0.45 M sucrose or 2.5 μg/ml Latruncul B. All the inhibitor solutions were freshly made up in opti-MEM just before use. For specific inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), Huh7 cells were co-lipofected with miR-122 dual luciferase reporter construct and with 100 ng AP180-C construct (37) and PNA incubation was carried out the next day in opti-MEM. For AP180-C plus MBCD treatment, Huh7 cells were pre-incubated for 1 h in opti-MEM or opti-MEM containing 2.5 mM MBCD before addition of PNA and cell incubation with PNA was carried out for 1 h. After PNA incubations, cells were washed twice with PBS and 200 μl Full Media was added. 2 h later media was again replaced by 200 μl Full Media. Luciferase expression was measured 48 h after PNA incubation.

 Assays with chloroquine and calcium Huh7 cells expressing miR-122 dual luciferase reporter construct were incubated for 4 h with 1 or 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in 100 μl opti-MEM in the presence or absence of 6 mM CaCl2 or 100 μM chloroquine. After PNA incubation media was replaced by Full Media. Luciferase expression was carried out 48 h after PNA incubation. For Figure 5B, PNA-treated cells were washed twice with 150 μl PBS and media was replaced by 100 μl opti-MEM in the presence or absence of 6 mM CaCl2 but without PNA present. Four hours later, cells were washed and media was replaced by Full Media and Luciferase expression measured after 48 h.

Cell viability assays Cell viability assays were carried out using CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega; cat number 63582) following the manufacturer’s protocol (see Supplementary Methods). The assay is based on the MTS tetrazolium reagent.

FACS analysis Huh7 or HEK293ET cells were incubated with 3 μM TO-PNA anti-miRs in opti-MEM for 4 h, after which media was replaced by Full Media (see Supplementary Methods). After 24 h of PNA incubation, FACS analysis was performed in a FACSCalibur analyzer measuring 10000 gated events per sample. TO-PNA fluorescence was recorded by excitation at 488 nm (argon laser) and detection at 530 nm. Data was processed using FlowJo version 9.3.2.
Confocal microscopy

Huh7 cells were plated, plasmid transfected and incubated with TO-PNA or BoPhpC-PNA as described in Supplementary Methods. Before visualization by confocal microscopy, cells were washed and fixed with 4% EM-grade paraformaldehyde (PFa; Electron Microscopy Sciences). We obtained similar results when performing live imaging (data not shown). For transferrin colocalization studies, Alexa 594-conjugated human transferrin (Invitrogen; T-13343) at 50 μg/ml was mixed with Cys-K(TO) PNA-K3 at 3 μM in opti-MEM clear media (no phenol red). Cells were incubated with 200 μl transferrin/TO-PNA solution for 1.5 h and then washed 3 times with 200 μl PBS, fixed, nuclei stained and visualized by confocal microscopy. Additional detailed protocols in Supplementary Methods.

Cell fractionation and Immuno-precipitation

Cell fractionation and immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments were based on Steuble et al. (38) with some modifications (see Supplementary Methods). For Figure 7A, the membrane fraction was resuspended in 12 ml HB, while for IP (Figure 7B) it was suspended in 3.5 ml HB. For STX13 plus antigen IP experiment (Figure 7B, lane 5), beads were incubated with 5 μg pure STX13 protein (Synaptic Systems; 110-13 P) in 500 μl final volume HB for 30 min at 4°C prior to incubation with membrane fraction sample.

RNA extraction and protein extraction

RNA was extracted using TRIzol LS (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocols. The obtained RNA pellet was re-suspended in water and was re-precipitated as described previously (39). Quantification was carried out using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). For protein extraction, 200 μl sample obtained after cellular fractionation or IP were thoroughly mixed with 600 μl methanol (MeOH) and 100 μl chloroform. Then 600 μl water was added and mixed. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at room temperature at 13 000 rpm for phase separation. The upper phase was discarded. 600 μl MeOH was added to the remaining phases, mixed and centrifuged for 15 min at room temperature at 13 000 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was air-dried. Samples obtained after IP experiments were re-suspended in 35 μl 4× NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) and were not quantified. Samples obtained after cell fractionation were re-suspended in 1% SDS and quantified using a QuantiPRO BCA assay kit (Sigma) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Western blot and antibodies

Western blots were carried out using standard procedures (see Supplementary Methods). Primary antibodies used: anti-Rab5 (Sc-46692; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) used at 1:2000 dilution, anti-Lamp1 (H4A3; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) used at 1:10000, anti-Golgin (A-21270; Molecular Probes/Invitrogen) used at 1:1000 dilution, anti-p97 (MA1-21412; Pierce/Thermo Scientific) used at 1:2000 dilution, anti-STX13 (110132; Synaptic Systems) used at 1:10000 dilution. For IP experiments, IgG heavy chain was detected when the membrane was incubated with anti-STX13 (cross-reaction). All secondary antibodies were ZyMax IgG (H+L) HRP Conjugated (Invitrogen) and were used at 1:3000 dilution. All antibodies were diluted in PBS/0.1% Tween20/5% Milk.

North-western blot

Proteins were extracted and electrophoresed in protein gels as described above (and Supplementary Methods). After gel transfer, the lower portion of the membrane (below 17 KDa in size) was cut and incubated in UltraHyb Oligo hybridization buffer (Ambion/Applied Biosystems; AM8663) for 30 min at 42°C. Then, 250 pmol of an RNA oligonucleotide having the same sequence as miR-122 (see above) was 5'-end-radiolabeled using [γ-32P]ATP and added to the membrane-containing hybridization buffer. The membrane was left hybridizing with the radiolabeled probe overnight at 42°C and the next day washed as previously described (39) and exposed to X-ray films.

Northern blot

Northern blots were carried out as previously described (30,39) with one modification: 2.5 μg of RNA was dissolved in 8 M urea/20% formamide loading dye and samples were loaded in 15% TBE-Urea pre-cast gels (Invitrogen) and ran for 65 min at 180 V.

miR-122 reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR

Quantification of miR-122 by quantitative real-time PCR (RT–qPCR) was carried out essentially as described previously (30) with some modifications. Absolute miR-122 quantification method was carried out using a calibration curve that was prepared by performing serial dilutions of a single stranded RNA oligonucleotide having the same sequence as miR-122. A 5 μl sample was used for cDNA synthesis. Then 9 μl cDNA taken immediately from the cDNA reaction was combined with 11 μl qPCR Master mix for qPCR step.

RESULTS

PNA anti-miR and attached amino acid requirements for efficient miR-122 inhibition in cells

We described recently a convenient reporter system for assessing the potency of anti-miRs against miR-122 (32), which is based on a dual-luciferase miRNA sensor assay (20). Briefly, a miR-122 recognition site, perfectly complementary to the full mature miR-122 sequence was inserted at the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of Renilla Luciferase (RLuc) to bring RLuc under the control of miR-122 (repressed luciferase expression). In this construct, a second luciferase gene is present (Firefly; FLuc) that is not under miR-122 regulation and which serves as an internal control. When this dual-luciferase construct is introduced into miR-122 positive cells (e.g. Huh7 cells), RLuc is repressed. Upon addition of an anti-miR, de-repression of
RLuc is observed as a consequence of anti-miR binding to miR-122, leading to an increase in relative RLuc/FLuc ratio (32). Such an approach has become widely used to measure anti-miR activity (20, 21, 32–34, 40).

Dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were incubated with increasing amounts of Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR for 4 h in serum-free media in the absence of a transfection agent, followed by cell growth in Full Media for 2 days in the absence of added PNA. This showed dose-dependent RLuc de-repression (miR-122 inhibition) by the PNA anti-miR (Figure 1A). MiR-122 inhibition was seen at sub-micromolar concentrations, as reported recently (32), with saturation above 1 μM anti-miR concentration. Importantly, Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 did not show any statistically significant decrease in cell viability up to 10 μM (one-way ANOVA with Dunnet’s multiple comparison test) (Figure 1B).

We then asked whether the presence of the N-terminal Cys residue, the positioning of the Lys residues or use of D-amino acids have an effect on anti-miR activity. Dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were treated as before with different cationic PNA anti-miRs (Table 1) at 1 μM, a concentration just below anti-miR activity saturation to ensure maximal dynamic range. Whereas use of all D-amino acids (dCys-dK-PNA23mer-dK3) led to only a mild reduction in miR-122 inhibition (Figure 2A), additional removal of the terminal Cys (dK-PNA23mer-dK3) reduced activity dramatically. Positioning of the Lys residues at the N-terminus of the PNA anti-miR also showed a detrimental effect (Cys-K4-PNA23mer) as compared to the PNA anti-miR containing all four Lys residues at the C-terminus (Cys-PNA23mer-K4). Similarly, upon removal of the terminal Cys, the activity in each case was substantially reduced (K4-PNA23mer and PNA23mer-K4) (Figure 2A). Comparable activity levels were obtained for experiments with PNA anti-miRs with all D-amino acids when pre-incubated with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) for 2 h and then added to reporter Huh7 cells for 4 h also in 10% FBS (Figure 2B), suggesting that the cellular uptake of these PNA anti-miRs is not affected by serum proteins. In the case of anti-miR lacking the terminal Cys (dK-PNA23mer-dK3) a higher concentration was used (5 μM) to obtain a better activity comparison between untreated [(-) control] and dK-PNA23mer-dK3 treated cells, but no difference was also seen at 1 μM (data not shown).

The activity of Cys-K-PNA-K3 was reduced as the length of the ON was shortened (Figure 2C). However, even a 19-mer PNA anti-miR containing a 4-nucleotide truncation at the 5′-end of the anti-miR [Cys-K-PNA19mer (5′trunc)-K3] was still able to inhibit miR-122 significantly. Interestingly, a 6-nucleotide truncation at the 5′-end of the anti-miR [Cys-K-PNA17mer (5′trunc)-K3] was better tolerated than an equivalent 6 nucleotides mismatch in that position [Cys-K-PNA23mer (6MM at 5′)-K3]. Also, a 6 nt truncation at the 5′-end of the anti-miR [Cys-K-PNA17mer (5′trunc)-K3] was better tolerated than a 6 nt truncation at 3′-end of the anti-miR [Cys-K-PNA17mer (3′trunc)-K3]. This highlights the importance of targeting the ‘seed’ sequence of the miRNA (Table 1). All the PNA anti-miRs showed similar melting temperatures when hybridized against a fully complementary RNA strand containing the same sequence as miR-122 (Supplementary Table S1). This suggests that there are factors involved in efficient targeting of miRNAs beyond the RNA binding affinity of the anti-miR.

**Thiol-dependent enhanced cellular uptake for cationic PNA anti-miRs**

We further investigated the function of the terminal Cys residue in PNA anti-miRs and asked if the enhanced anti-miR activity is due to the presence of a free thiol group. PNA23-mer anti-miR was alkylated at the Cys residue using N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) and the anti-miR added to dual luciferase reporter Huh7 cells at 1 μM concentration for 4 h as before. Alkylation (dCys(NEM)-dK-PNA23mer-dK3) led to a dramatic loss in anti-miR activity (Figure 3A), similar to that of dK-PNA23mer-dK3 anti-miR lacking a Cys residue (Figure 2A). Similarly, replacement of the Cys by Met, which contains a methylated thiol group (Met-K-PNA23mer-dK3) led to a dramatic loss in anti-miR activity (Figure 3A), similar to that of dK-PNA23mer-dK3 anti-miR lacking a Cys residue (Figure 2A).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  
**Figure 1.** (A) Dose-dependent up-regulation of luciferase expression in Huh7 cells treated with Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 in the absence of transfection agents. (B) Toxicity assay for Huh7 cells treated as in (A).
PNA anti-miRs is due to the presence of a terminal-free thiol group.

We asked whether the activity increase obtained with a terminal free thiol group was due to enhanced cellular uptake or improved miRNA targeting following cell internalization. For these studies, we made use of a fluorescent PNA in which a PNA nucleobase is replaced by thiazole orange (TO) (41–43) (Supplementary Figure 1A). The TO dye is able to behave as universal base (41). Moreover, the fluorescence emission of the TO-PNA monomer in the context of a PNA ON is enhanced upon binding to a complementary DNA or RNA strand in vitro (41–43) and in cells (43). We carried out a scan of K-PNA19mer-K3 anti-miR by replacement of individual PNA units at different sequence positions by the TO-PNA monomer and by measurement of the fluorescence differences when the PNA is single-stranded (ss-PNA) or in a duplex with a complementary miR-122 RNA (PNA–RNA duplex) at 25 or 37°C (Supplementary Figure S1B and C). A 19-mer anti-miR containing a TO-PNA monomer at the second to last position at the 5'-end [PNA 17, K-(TO)PNA-K3] was amongst the best TO-PNA anti-miRs in terms of fluorescence enhancement upon RNA binding. The K-(TO)PNA-K3 anti-miR also showed enhanced fluorescence by confocal microscopy as compared to the other TO-PNA anti-miRs (data not shown). Further, the K-(TO)PNA-K3 was one of the most active TO-PNA anti-miRs as seen by luciferase up-regulation measurements (data not shown). Importantly, the Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 maintained much of the anti-miR activity as compared to Cys-K-PNA19mer-K3 (Figure 3B). Removal of the terminal Cys residue [K-(TO)PNA-K3] or alkylation of the terminal Cys [Cys(NEM)-K-(TO)PNA-K3] led to a substantial loss in anti-miR activity, just as for unmodified PNA anti-miRs (Figure 3B, compared with Figures 2A and 3A).

When Huh7 cells were incubated with 3 μM Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3, with the anti-miR lacking Cys [K-(TO)PNA-K3] or with the alkylated Cys anti-miR [Cys(NEM)-K-(TO)PNA-K3] under standard 4 h/24 h incubation conditions, FACS analysis showed that the relative median fluorescence was 35.5 ± 5.4 for Huh7 cells treated with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3, but only 9.4 ± 1.7 and 4.9 ± 0.5 for cells treated with K-(TO)PNA-K3 or Cys(NEM)-K-(TO)PNA-K3, respectively (Figure 3C, left panel and 3D). This suggested enhanced uptake of the Cys-containing PNA. However, under these conditions, the median fluorescence is dependent on cellular uptake of the anti-miR and dependent on binding of the TO-anti-miR to miR-122. We therefore carried out the same experiment on HEK293ET cells that do not express the RNA target miR-122. We found that the relative median fluorescence was also much higher for HEK293ET cells after treatment with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 (28.3 ± 0.1) as compared to K-(TO)PNA-K3 (2.9 ± 0.2) or Cys(NEM)-K-(TO)PNA-K3 (2.1 ± 0.2) (Figure 3C, right panel and 3D). Similarly, the relative median fluorescence was higher for Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 than for K-(TO)PNA-K3 in undifferentiated (myoblast) or differentiated (myotubes) H2K mdx mouse-derived muscle cells (data not shown). We also carried out confocal microscopy on Huh7 cells treated with the TO-PNA anti-miRs to verify that the observed fluorescence by FACS was detected inside the cells and not bound externally to the

**Figure 2.** (A) Effect of Cys, D-amino acids and positioning of Lys residues on Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity as seen by luciferase assay. Huh7 cells were treated with 1 μM PNAs. (B) Luciferase assay of Huh7 cells treated with dPNAs at the indicated concentrations in the presence or absence of 10% FBS. (C) Effect of truncations and mismatches on Cys-K-PNA-K3 anti-miR activity as seen by luciferase assay. Huh7 cells were treated with 1 μM PNAs.
Figure 3. (A) Effect of Cys modification or replacement within Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 on anti-miR activity as seen by luciferase assay. Huh7 cells were treated with 1 μM PNAs. (B) Luciferase activity assay for 1 μM TO-PNAs as compared to a sequence-equivalent unmodified PNA anti-miR. (C) Representative FACS histogram for Huh7 (left panel) or HEK293ET (right panel) cells treated with TO-PNAs at 3 μM. (D) Median fluorescence relative to untreated cells observed for Huh7 cells and HEK293ET cells treated as in (C) by FACS analysis; shown are results of three independent experiments. (E) Confocal microscopy of Huh7 cells treated with TO-PNAs at 3 μM. Green, TO-PNAs; blue, Hoechst staining; red, Cell Mask plasma membrane staining. Scale bar corresponds to 5 μm. All three images were taken with the same confocal microscopy settings.
plasma membrane. Figure 3E shows the fluorescence inside the cell in vesicular shapes in the cytosol but fluorescence was not observed in the nucleus or plasma membrane. In agreement with FACS, cells treated with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 were more fluorescent than cells treated with K-(TO)PNA-K3 or Cys(NEM)-K-(TO)PNA-K3. Confocal microscopy on HEK293ET cells also showed Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 anti-miR fluorescence only inside cells (data not shown). Overall, the data are strongly consistent with the view that the terminal Cys residue enhances PNA anti-miR cell uptake. Moreover, this seems to be a general feature for several cell lines.

Endocytosis of Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3

Dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were incubated with 0.5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 (a concentration at which PNA activity is sub-saturating, Figure 1A) for various times up to 240 min in serum-free media. After PNA incubations, cells were washed thoroughly to remove undelivered PNA, media replaced by Full Media and Luciferase expression measured after 48 h as before. The activity data plotted against time fit to a sigmoidal-shaped curve (Figure 4A). A low level of activity (RLuc/FLuc 1.5–2.0) is obtained within 5 min of PNA anti-miR incubation, which is followed by a rapid increase after about 15 min and reached a plateau by 100 min incubation time. We ruled out the possibility that the low-level activity at early time-points is due to Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 remaining bound to the plasma membrane after washing and internalized during subsequent cell growth, because in a control experiment where cells were incubated with Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 control anti-miR as competitor, no decrease in Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 activity was observed (Supplementary Figure S2).

We then asked whether an endocytotic mechanism was involved in Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 uptake. To do this, dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were incubated for 2 h with 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 (saturating conditions of activity) in media containing one of a number of endocytosis inhibitors (Figure 4B). Use of hypertonic media (0.45 M sucrose) completely abolished the PNA anti-miR activity. However incubation in the presence of 30 μM chlorpromazine (CPZ), 5 μg/ml Latrunculin B (LatB) or 2.5 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MBCD) only partially reduced Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 anti-miR activity (~40% reduction). Similar results were obtained in each case when the cells were pre-incubated with inhibitor for 1 h followed by incubation with PNA anti-miR for 1 h in the presence of inhibitor (data not shown). A total of 0.45 M sucrose is a general inhibitor of endocytosis and mainly blocks CME, but it has also been shown to block fluid-phase endocytosis in fibroblasts (44) and to inhibit a clathrin-independent mechanism of receptor-mediated endocytosis in ependymoma cells, possibly by disturbing actin filaments (45). High sucrose was shown to reduce uptake of another cationic PNA (K8-PNA-K3) in HeLa cells (46). CPZ is thought to inhibit CME as it blocks uptake of transferrin (a typical marker for CME) (47).

LatB prevents actin polymerization involved in CME but mainly in clathrin-independent endocytotic mechanisms (48). Finally, MBCD removes cholesterol from the cell plasma membrane also affecting mainly clathrin-independent endocytosis (CIE) (48). A similar reduction in Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 activity in the presence of MBCD was seen when the cells were incubated with the PNA anti-miR for 15 min (early PNA anti-miR uptake time point) (Supplementary Figure S3A) suggesting that there is no difference in cell entry mechanism for Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 at either early or later time points.

To further inhibit CME specifically, we used a plasmid construct expressing the C-terminus of the clathrin-assembly protein AP180 (AP180-C; (37)) that has been validated as a dominant negative approach for inhibition of CME (37,49). Huh7 cells containing co-transfected miR-122 dual luciferase reporter and AP180-C plasmids and incubated with Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR as before showed slightly improved activity (Figure 4B). This suggests that an alternative clathrin-independent endocytotic uptake mechanism is able to compensate for
this loss of CME (48) and also gives rise to enhanced uptake (50,51). When over-expression of AP180-C during cell incubation with Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 was combined with MBCD treatment, to inhibit general CIE, activity was completely abolished, which suggests complete loss of productive cellular uptake (Figure 4B). Such cell incubation conditions did not affect cell viability (Supplementary Figure 3B). Overall the inhibitor data suggest that Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 is internalized in Huh7 cells by CME but can also enter productively to reach miR-122 by a clathrin-independent but actin- and cholesterol-dependent mechanism of endocytosis.

Endosome trapping does not significantly affect Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity

We asked whether following PNA internalization, endosome trapping would limit the efficiency of Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR. Dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were incubated with 1 or 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 for 4 h in the presence or absence of 100 μM chloroquine or 6 mM CaCl2, conditions reported to increase endosomal release and nuclear splicing inhibitory activity of cationic PNA (46,52) (Figure 5A). Chloroquine had only a small effect on Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity, whereas calcium ion addition led to a very significant increase in Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity. Since an increase in cytosolic calcium ion concentration has been shown to stimulate CME and CIE in pancreatic β-cells (53) and to accelerate endocytosis of vSNAREs (54), we asked if the calcium ion–induced increase in Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity is due to enhanced cellular uptake of the PNA. Thus, dual-luciferase reporter Huh7 cells were incubated for (i) 4 h with 5 μM Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 followed by a further 4 h in the absence of PNA, or (ii) 4 h with PNA and 6 mM calcium ions followed by a further 4 h incubation in 6 mM calcium ions in the absence of PNA, or (iii) 4 h with PNA alone followed by incubation with 6 mM calcium for 4 h in the absence of PNA (Figure 5B). Careful cell washings were carried out between incubations to prevent carry-over. The contribution to Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 anti-miR activity in the presence of calcium ions due to endosomal release (condition iii) was small and similar to the effect of chloroquine (Figure 5A; 5 μM PNA), whereas the activity stimulation was much larger under condition ii, when calcium ions were present at the start. These results suggest that endosomal trapping does not significantly affect Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity and that the predominant effect of calcium ions in Huh7 cells is to stimulate PNA endocytosis. Note that we were able to verify that for Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 chloroquine treatment of Huh7 cells results in a pattern of fluorescence consistent with partial endosomal release, but we were unable to carry out the same verification for calcium ions as we found the TO fluorescence to be quenched inside Huh7 cells in the presence of calcium ions (data not shown).

Subcellular localization of PNA anti-miRs

MiRNAs were found associated with endosomal compartments as well as cytoplasmic foci known as P-bodies and GW-bodies (13,14,55). We therefore asked whether targeting of miRNA by PNA anti-miR might occur within these compartments. To test if Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 is found in early endosomes, Huh7 cells were co-incubated with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 and fluorescently labelled transferrin for 1.5 h. Cells were thoroughly washed, fixed, nuclei were stained and cells were visualized by confocal microscopy. Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 was found to co-localize with transferrin in small vesicles likely to be early endosomes and thus consistent with CME (Figure 6A). In a second experiment, Huh7 cells were incubated with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 for 4 h. Twenty-four hours later, lysosomes and nuclei were stained, and cells were fixed and visualized by confocal microscopy (Figure 6B). The results showed that the PNA was co-localized with lysosomes, implying it had travelled through the complete endocytotic pathway. Since P-bodies and GW-bodies were suggested to associate with endosomal compartments (55), we investigated whether PNAs colocalize with these cytoplasmic structures. Due to fluorescence compatibility issues between
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**Figure 5.** (A) Effect of 100 μM chloroquine or 6 mM CaCl2 on Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity as seen by luciferase assay. Huh7 cells were incubated with the indicated amounts of PNA in the presence or absence of chloroquine or CaCl2. (B) Effect of CaCl2 on Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity due to increase of endocytotic uptake or enhanced endosomal escape (see text for details) as seen by luciferase assay.
TO-PNAs and markers for P-bodies and GW-Bodies, we utilized an alternative fluorescent PNA unit, [bis-o-(aminoethoxy)phenyl]pyrrolocytosine (BoPhpC) (36) (Supplementary Figure S4A) that has been used for PNA localization in cells (56). BoPhpC-PNA anti-miR exhibited similar activity as K-(TO)PNA-K3 in the miR-122 dual luciferase reporter system (Supplementary Figure S4B). BoPhpC-PNA was able to enter Huh7 cells in the absence of transfection agents and co-localized with K-(TO)PNA-K3 (Supplementary Figure S4C). Confocal microscopy showed that BoPhpC-PNA was also found in early and late endosomes as seen by co-localization with fluorescently labelled transferrin and LysoTracker, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4D and E). Huh7 cells expressing pcNEGFP-hDcp1a (a plasmid expressing the human decapping enzyme 1 as a P-body marker (57)) or GFP-GW182 (58) were incubated with BoPhpC-PNA for 1 - 4 h and confocal microscopy was carried out at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 24 h after PNA incubation. No co-localization with P-bodies or GW-Bodies was detected under these conditions (Figure 6C and D).

We were unable to test whether PNA anti-miR co-localizes with miR-122 by in situ hybridization for miRNA detection, since the miRNA is not detected by

Figure 6. (A) Confocal microscopy of Huh7 cells co-incubated with 3μM Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 and 50μg/mL Alexa 594-conjugated human transferrin for 1.5 h. (B) Confocal microscopy of Huh7 cells treated with 3μM Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 observed at 24 h after PNA treatment; lysosomes were stained using LysoTracker Red. (C) Representative image of confocal microscopy of Huh7 cells expressing P-body marker (pcNEGFP-hDcp1a) treated with 3μM BoPhpC-PNA. (D) Representative image of confocal microscopy of Huh7 cells expressing GW-body marker (GFP-GW182) treated with 3μM BoPhpC-PNA.
this technique in the presence of a high-affinity anti-miR (33). Instead, we carried out cellular fractionation on Huh7 cells treated with Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 48 h after PNA incubation. Nuclei and cell debris were first separated from cytoplasm by centrifugation, which was then divided into membrane-bound compartments (‘pellet’) and the remaining cytosol (‘supernatant’) by ultracentrifugation. Proteins and RNA were extracted from each fraction and analyzed by western blot and northern blot, respectively. PNA ONs may be recovered by standard protein extraction and detected by electrophoresis as discrete bands in standard protein gels after western blotting by use of a radiolabeled RNA complementary probe (north-western blot), similarly to a previous report (59). In western blotting, there was enrichment in the ‘pellet’ fraction of markers for membrane-bound compartments including Rab5 (early endosomes), Syntaxin13 (STX13; recycling endosomes), Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1; late endosomes/lysosomes) and Golgin (Golgi apparatus) (Figure 7A). As a control, we detected p97, a cytosolic AAA-ATPase that associates with endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) (60), which is expected to be present in both the ‘pellet’ and ‘supernatant’ fractions. Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 was also detected in the ‘pellet’ fraction as seen by the north-western blotting approach. Note that Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 was detected as two bands instead of one, similarly to the reported detection of a PNA ON conjugated to a cationic cell penetrating peptide, whereas a charge neutral PNA ON of the same sequence was detected as a single band (59). Finally, northern blotting showed enrichment of mature miR-122 in the ‘pellet’ fraction as compared to the ‘supernatant’, in agreement with mature miRNAs being found within endosomal compartments (55). The same results were obtained for cellular fractionation of Huh7 cells treated with K-(TO)PNA-K3 (without Cys) (data not shown).

We have been unable to date to fully separate different endosomal compartments using continuous or discontinuous opti-prep gradients (data not shown). Instead we carried out IP to discriminate between endosomal and other membrane-bound compartments, such as Golgi or endoplasmic reticulum. Protein A coated beads were incubated with a STX13 anti-serum and then the anti-STX13-containing beads were incubated with the ‘pellet’ fraction to recover endosomal vesicles (mainly recycling but also early and late endosomes). Elution of the STX13-positive compartments was carried out either by treatment with a mild detergent (Triton X-100) to elute the internal components of the vesicles and part of trans-membrane proteins, or by a pH shock to break the bead-antibody bond to recover IgG and STX13-positive components. Endosomal markers Rab5 and Lamp1 were detected when the samples were eluted with either Triton X-100 treatment or pH shock (Figure 7B). Pre-treatment of anti-STX13-containing beads with STX13 antigen mostly abolished the detection of these markers. As expected, IgG was detected only under pH shock conditions. STX13 could not be detected, since it cross-reacted with the IgG present in the samples. Both Cys-K-PNA(TO)-K3 and miR-122 were present in STX13-positive compartments as seen by north-western blotting and by RT–qPCR, respectively (Figure 7B). Northern blot for miR-122 detection could not be carried out due to the small amounts of
RNA obtained after the IP. Overall these results are fully consistent with the view that PNA anti-miRs passage through the endocytotic pathway and target miR-122 in STX13-positive endosomal compartments.

**DISCUSSION**

Lys-functionalized PNA anti-miRs are versatile tools to inhibit miRNAs inside cells without the need for added transfection agent (22,30,32,39). However, information has been lacking to date on the PNA and amino acid sequence requirements for anti-miR activity. Our studies on PNA anti-miR truncations (Figure 2C), agrees with a previous study where truncations of a full-length 22-mer MOE PS anti-miR at the 5′-end were better tolerated than truncations at the 3′-end (28). Nevertheless, a large drop in activity still occurred upon 5′-truncation by 3 nucleotides of MOE PS anti-miRs (28), whereas inhibition of miR-122 remained strong for the 5′-truncation of 4 nucleotides from the PNA anti-miR (19-mer Figure 2C) and was reduced drastically only for a 6-nt truncation (17-mer). In contrast, LNA-containing anti-miRs do not need to target the full-length miRNA to maintain strong anti-miR activity (21,23,33) and ‘tiny’ all-LNA 8mers targeting only the seed sequence of miR-21 or miR-122 have been shown to be very effective in cells and in mice (40). Thus, it is clear that the difference in length requirements for anti-miR potency is chemistry dependent. Based on the available literature and the data presented here, it is reasonable to suppose that the stronger the RNA-binding chemistry, the greater the ability to tolerate anti-miR truncation whilst still maintaining anti-miR potency. However, all PNA anti-miRs tested here showed high melting temperatures with complementary RNA in vitro (Supplementary Table S1), whilst their anti-miR potencies were different (Figure 2C). Thus other miRNA targeting factors beyond the affinity of the anti-miR must play a strong role in potency determination, as has been suggested previously (28,29,32).

Our data suggest that targeting the ‘seed’ sequence of the miRNA is crucial for PNA anti-miR activity (Figure 2C), in agreement with other reports (28,40). MiRNA loading into miRISC pre-organises the seed sequence for target recognition (11,12). Further, the 5′-end of an siRNA-like duplex or a 5′-phosphorylated 21-base DNA guide strand loaded into Ago serves as the nucleation site for miRNA recognition and binding (61–63). It seems likely that an anti-miR first interacts with the miRNA seed sequence followed by a ‘zipping-up’ mechanism towards the 3′-end of the miRNA to form a stable miRNA:anti-miR duplex. This would imply that the targeting by anti-miRs occurs once the miRNAs has been loaded in miRISC (24,25,28,40). Since a 6-nt truncation at the 5′-end of the PNA anti-miR was better tolerated than an equivalent 6-nt mismatch (Figure 2C), even though both these PNA showed almost the same melting temperature (Supplementary Table S1), it is likely that the mismatches destabilize the duplex in the context of miRISC.

Positioning the 4 Lys residues at the N-terminus of the PNA (Cys-K4-PNA23mer) resulted in a substantial drop in anti-miR activity compared to location at the C-terminus of the PNA (Cys-PNA23mer-K4) (Figure 2A). However, substitution of all L-amino acids by D-amino acids (dCys-dK-PNA23mer-dK3) did not significantly affect the anti-miR activity. This is consistent with our recent demonstration that a PNA anti-miR containing 4 × D-Lys could inhibit miR-155 in cells and in vivo and partially recapitulated the gene expression profile of miR-155 knockout mice at doses that did not cause toxicity (22). However, the most striking observation was the presence of a terminal Cys (in either L or D configuration) dramatically enhanced PNA anti-miR cellular activity (Figure 2A). Interestingly, this was not due to the particular structure of Cys but due to the presence of a free thiol group (Figure 3A), leading to enhanced cellular uptake of the PNA anti-miRs (Figure 3C–E) in Huh7 liver cells, HEK293ET cells (Figure 3C and D) and H2K mdx mice-derived muscle cells (data not shown). We therefore believe that the most likely explanation for the enhanced anti-miR activity observed for thiol-containing PNA is due to improved bulk PNA uptake.

Aubry et al. (64) showed for Cys disulfide-conjugated cell penetrating peptides (CPPs) that thiol/disulfide exchange reactions may occur at the cell surface to enhance cell entry and they reported higher cell association for peptides containing Cys residues, either oxidized or reduced, as compared to their Cys-free versions, leading to enhanced internalization. They were also able to internalize a Protein Kinase C peptide inhibitor into CHO K1 cells by addition of an activated Cys residue. We found also that a disulfide-conjugated Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 dimer was able to inhibit miR-122 in Huh7 cells as well as the monomeric version (data not shown), which is consistent with a redox involvement at the plasma membrane. We have not investigated specifically whether the enhanced cellular uptake for Cys-K-PNA-K3 is due to enhanced cell association. However, when we used confocal microscopy at different time-points we could only observe TO-PNAs inside the cell and not associated with the plasma membrane (Figure 3E and data not shown). Nevertheless, since Cys-K-PNA-K3 is both cationic and thiol-containing, and since cationic CPPs are known to associate with cells through negatively charged heparan sulfate-containing glycosaminoglycans (65), improved cell attachment cannot be ruled out as an explanation for the enhanced cellular uptake observed.

The presence of a thiol group in peptides has been shown to correlate with enhanced cellular uptake. The MPG series of peptides have been used to complex DNA and siRNA and these contain a C-terminal cysteamide residue that is a pre-requisite for efficient delivery into cells (66,67). Similarly, internalization of the CPP Pep-1, used for delivery of protein cargoes, was inhibited in the absence of its C-terminal cysteamide (68). The precise role of the cysteamide in MPG and Pep-1 has not been clearly demonstrated, although it is thought that it plays a role in stabilising the nanoparticle complex or in membrane anchoring (66–68). However, our results would
indicate that the free thiol may also play a more direct role in cellular delivery for these nanostructures.

Sulfur replacement of non-bridging oxygen atoms in ONs have also led to enhanced activity and cell delivery in the absence of transfection agents. For example, lipid-conjugated thio-phosphoramidates exhibited higher anti-telomerase activity as compared to lipid-conjugated phosphoramidate ONs in cancer cells, thought to be due to enhanced delivery (69). Similarly, DNA PS ONs were better taken up by mouse B-cells than their PO versions, which correlated with better cell-surface binding of the PS ON (70). We showed recently better anti-miR activity for an LNA/OMe PS anti-miR than an LNA/OMe PO anti-miR delivered in the absence of transfection agents, suggesting better cellular uptake (32). Our results here showing a steep increase in Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 anti-miR activity at lower concentrations that reaches a plateau beyond 1 μM PNA (Figure 1A) are similar to the uptake curves reported by Zhao et al. (70), who argued that DNA PS ONs may congregate at high-affinity binding sites on cells. No convincing evidence has yet emerged for specific receptor proteins for binding of ONs or PNA, but our results suggest that proteins capable of interacting either with free thiols or with phosphorothioates may help to enhance cell uptake, and this subject deserves to be explored further.

The extent to which these thiol/sulfur-mediated enhanced uptake mechanisms are relevant in vivo still remains to be addressed. We have carried out a preliminary study on targeting miR-122 in mice by dCys-dK-PNA23-mer-dK3 and dK-PNA23-mer-dK3. After a short-term i.p. dosage protocol of 3 doses on alternating days at 40 μg/kg PNA, both PNAs gave rise to a 20% reduction in cholesterol levels in plasma 10 days after the last injection as compared to PBS-treated mice (data not shown), showing that PNA anti-miRs are active in mouse liver. The reason for the lack of phenotypic activity difference between these two PNAs is not yet clear. One possibility is that, in vivo, the presence of a reactive thiol group on the PNA compromises the enhancement of cell uptake seen in cell culture, through sequestration by a thiol-reactive protein perhaps. We are currently investigating long-term dosage protocols as well as the possibility of delivery of dCys-dK-PNA23-mer-dK3 as disulfide-conjugated dimers.

In our cell studies, FACS analysis and confocal microscopy (Figures 3 and 6) were greatly aided by use of two novel fluorescent PNA residues. Intrinsinc PNA fluorescence has advantages over an attached fluorescent tag to avoid substantial compromise of activity or alteration of delivery properties or cell localization (71–74), as well as eliminating the danger of tag removal during cell delivery (75). Here, both TO-PNA and BoPhpC-PNA allowed monitoring of PNA cell delivery and trafficking without substantial compromise of PNA activity (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S4). A BoPhpC-PNA was shown previously to inhibit mutant huntingtin protein in GM04281 cells (56). Our studies are the first to use this fluorescent analogue in efficient inhibition of miRNA (Supplementary Figure S4). TO-PNAs have recently been shown to be useful tools for imaging of influenza H1N1 mRNA in living cells (43). In our work, we report the first intracellular functional activity for TO-PNAs, in this case for miR-122 targeting (Figure 3B). TO-PNA has an additional advantage in that binding to a complementary RNA strand restricts depletion of the TO excited state by hampering twisting motions, resulting in enhanced emitted fluorescence (43). We confirmed this property for in vitro RNA binding (Supplementary Figure S1). In cells, an increased fluorescence emission was detected for Huh7 cells that express the target miR-122 as compared to HEK293ET cells that do not express the target miR-122 (Figure 3C and D). To rule out better TO-PNA uptake in Huh7 cells over HEK293ET cells, we thought to treat Huh7 cells with a scrambled TO-PNA anti-miR. However, the precise positioning of the TO-PNA monomer within the anti-miR sequence affects the fluorescence emission properties significantly (Supplementary Figure S1), so such an approach cannot be used. Although we cannot rule out completely cell-specific uptake differences, since TO-PNA anti-miRs were shown to inhibit miR-122 in Huh7 cells (Figure 3B), it seems likely that the enhanced median fluorescence detected for TO-PNAs in Huh7 cells is due to TO-PNAs binding to the miR-122 RNA target.

The mechanism of cellular uptake for Cys-containing cationic PNA ONs was investigated. Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 was found to be co-localized with transferrin, which indicates that the bulk PNA prefers a CME route of entry (Figure 6A). However, the use of a functional assay is paramount to determine the pathways of the active fraction of PNA that can reach the desired RNA target. Anti-miR activity results showed clear evidence for both clathrin-dependent and independent uptake pathways for the active fraction of the PNA, since activity was not fully blocked by CPZ, LatB or MBCD and completely blocked only when both CME and CIE were simultaneously inhibited (Figure 4B). Interestingly, upon specific inhibition of CME through the use of the dominant-negative form of AP180 (AP180-C) (37), activity of Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 was enhanced a little, suggesting that the CIE pathway may lead to slightly more productive PNA delivery. However, in general both types of pathway led to anti-miR inhibitory activity. In the absence of endocytosis inhibitors, the kinetics for productive uptake of Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 showed a fast but mild uptake at early time points (within 5 min) that rapidly increased and reached a plateau by 100 min incubation times (Figure 4A). One possibility is that the rapid increase in uptake is due to endosomal recycling and the plateau stage corresponds to saturation of the endocytic machinery. Consistent with this model, our data suggest that Cys-K-PNA23-mer-K3 internalization at early or late time points occurs via the same endocytic mechanism (Supplementary Figure S3A).

Our data suggest that the mechanisms of cellular targeting of miRNA are different from that of mRNA and pre-mRNA. Koller et al. suggested recently (76) that RNase-H active MOE/DNA PS gapmers targeted to mRNA and delivered without transfection agents did not co-localize with P-bodies and that the bulk of the ON was found in lysosomes after 24 h. However, they concluded that two endocytotic pathways explained their
antisense activity data best. The non-productive pathway led to lysosomes, while the productive uptake involved a novel pathway that was Adaptor Protein Complex 2 (AP2)-dependent, but clathrin- and caveolae-independent. For miRNA targeting, activity is clearly achievable unaided by transfection agents through established endocytic pathways and there is no evidence for an independent productive pathway. In the case of pre-miRNA targeting, we and others have found that the nuclear-active fraction of cationic PNA for splicing redirection is internalized predominantly by CME (50,51,77) and endosome trapping significantly limits antisense activity (46,50,52). For miRNA targeting, the chloroquine experiment showed that promotion of endosomal release into the cytosol did not significantly affect Cys-K-PNA23mer-K3 anti-miR activity (Figure 5). Although both Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 and BoPhpC-PNA were found in early and late endosomes (Figure 6A and B and Supplementary Figure S4), suggestive of endosome trapping, enough PNA is able to reach and inhibit miR-122 (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S4B). Moreover, we have found that both the PNA anti-miR and also miR-122 are associated with endosomal compartments (Figure 7, see below). This provides strong evidence that targeting of miRNAs by anti-miRs occurs within or associated with endosomal compartments and does not require PNA release into the cytosol. Note also that we showed recently that LNA/OMe PS and other modified ONs are also highly active inhibitors of miR-122 in cells at sub-micromolar concentrations in the absence of transfec-
tion agents (32; Threlfall et al., manuscript submitted for publication).

How therefore is an anti-miR able to access the miRNA while travelling through the endosomal pathway? MiRNAs loaded into miRISC are thought to traffic to P-bodies following mRNA binding, whereupon Cap and polyA tails of the repressed mRNA are removed (55). The complex is then thought to move to GW-bodies, where miRISC may disassemble. P-bodies and GW-bodies were shown to often associate with MVBS that may fuse with the plasma membrane and release miRNAs and mRNAs into exosomes or, alternatively, traffic to lysosomes for cargo degradation. BoPhpC-PNA was not seen to colocalize with P-bodies or GW-bodies (Figure 6C and D), similarly to a report that antagoniRs did not co-localize with P-bodies in mouse liver (we note however use of a marker for GW-bodies, GFP-GW182) (27). Obad et al. detected tiny-LNA anti-miR-21 delivered without transfection agents as discrete punctate signals in the cytoplasm of HEK293 cells that, in some cases, co-localized with FLAG-tagged Ago2 (40). Our cell fractionation studies showed that both miR-122 and TO-PNAs were enriched in fractions containing membrane-bound compartments together with markers for early, recycling and late endosomes as well as markers for Golgi and ER (Figure 7A). Both miR-122 and Cys-K-(TO)PNA-K3 anti-miR were detected during immunoprecipitation identification of STX13-positive compartments (Figure 7B), indicative that targeting of miRNAs with anti-miRs may take place in recycling endosomes. Therefore, anti-miRs are perhaps able to access the miRNA either by fusion of anti-miR-containing endosomal vesicles with miRNA-containing endosomal compartments or by leakage of enough anti-miR into the compartment where miRNA passages, possibly at the endosome recycling step. However, a full understanding of the trafficking and sub-cellular encounter between PNA anti-miR and its complementary miRNA will require detailed further investigation and needs to take account not only of localization of bulk fluorescent anti-miR but also to address the issue of the active targeting fraction, which is currently hard to distinguish biophysically.

Our studies have addressed a number of important aspects of PNA anti-miR design for efficient targeting in cultured cells and have pointed to the mechanisms of cell uptake leading to encounter of the target miRNA. To date, only the outcome for the miRNA upon targeting by anti-miRs of different chemistries has been addressed in depth (27,29,39). There is still much to learn on the mechanisms, localization and structural requirements for efficient miRNA inhibition in cells and in vivo by anti-miRs. We hope that our studies on PNA are a useful contribution along this pathway of understanding, and may help towards design of better therapeutic candidates.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
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