Purification of DNA-origami nanostructures by rate-zonal centrifugation
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ABSTRACT

Most previously reported methods for purifying DNA-origami nanostructures rely on agarose-gel electrophoresis (AGE) for separation. Although AGE is routinely used to yield 0.1–1 μg purified DNA nanostructures, obtaining >100 μg of purified DNA-origami structure through AGE is typically laborious because of the post-electrophoresis extraction, desalting and concentration steps. Here, we present a readily scalable purification approach utilizing rate-zonal centrifugation, which provides comparable separation resolution as AGE. The DNA nanostructures remain in aqueous solution throughout the purification process. Therefore, the desired products are easily recovered with consistently high yield (40–80%) and without contaminants such as residual agarose gel or DNA intercalating dyes. Seven distinct three-dimensional DNA-origami constructs were purified at the scale of 0.1–100 μg (final yield) per centrifuge tube, showing the versatility of this method. Given the commercially available equipment for gradient mixing and fraction collection, this method should be amenable to automation and further scale up for preparation of larger amounts (e.g. milligram quantities) of DNA nanostructures.

INTRODUCTION

Self-assembly of a long, single-stranded circular DNA (scaffold strand) together with many synthetic oligonucleotides (staple strands)—the method known as DNA origami (1–7)—has proven an efficient way of fabricating nanostructures with customizable and well-defined geometry. In addition, the DNA-origami nanostructures feature addressable surfaces, thus offering a powerful technique of organizing material with up to sub-nanometer precision (8–10). Despite continued efforts in optimizing the structural design and folding conditions (11), the assembly yield of DNA origami is in most cases far <100%. However, well-folded and contamination-free DNA nanostructures are required for many applications. Therefore, a purification step after folding has become a standard procedure for many DNA-origami studies. Most widely used purification methods are based on agarose-gel electrophoresis (AGE), through which the well-folded objects are resolved as a distinct band and separated from slower migrating by-products (e.g. misfolded structures and aggregates) as well as the faster migrating non-integrated staple strands. After electrophoresis, the well-folded structures are extracted from the gel through homogenization (3,4,6,11) or electro-elution (12), reconstituted into the desired buffer and adjusted to desired concentration. As effective as the AGE-based separation is, the following two problems remain: the extraction step requires significant user input and quickly becomes laborious as the purification scales up and the DNA nanostructures recovered in this way usually co-purify with contaminants such as agarose-gel residues and ethidium bromide (or other staining reagents). There is therefore a pressing need for a scalable purification method that reduces labour cost and contaminants while maintaining the high separation resolution of AGE.

Here, we report a scalable, cost-effective and contamination-free method of purifying DNA-origami nanostructures through rate-zonal centrifugation, which separates molecular species by subjecting them to high centrifugal force in a density gradient media (13).
Similar approaches have been applied to purify macromolecules such as proteins (14), protein–RNA complexes (e.g. ribosomes (15)) and metal colloids (16). In our case, well-folded DNA-origami structures are separated from other unwanted species (e.g. non-integrated staple strands, misfolded structures and aggregates) due to their distinct and well-defined mass and shape. A scheme that depicts the purification workflow is illustrated in Figure 1. In brief, a folded DNA-origami sample is loaded to the top of a linear quasi-continuous gradient of 15–45% glycerol and spun at ~300 000 g for 1–3 h. Fractions are then collected from the glycerol gradient and analysed using AGE. Those containing desired products are then combined, buffer-exchanged and concentrated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Bioneer Inc. (Alameda, CA, USA). Scaffold strands p3024 and p7308 were cloned and amplified in-house following standard molecular biology protocols (17). Ultracentrifuge tubes and adaptors were purchased from Beckman-Coulter Inc. (Miami, FL, USA). Gel-loading tips were purchased from USA Scientific (Orlando, FL, USA). Rubber stoppers were purchased from VWR (Radnor, PA, USA). SYBR Safe DNA gel stain solution was purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Amicon filters were purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

The assembly of the DNA-origami nanostructures was accomplished in a one-pot reaction by mixing 50 nM scaffold strands with a pool of oligodeoxyribonucleotide staple strands (250 or 500 nM of each; reverse-phase cartridge purified) in a folding buffer containing 5 mM Tris (pH 8), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 10–20 mM MgCl₂ (exact concentration depends on the target nanostructure) and subjecting the mixture to a thermal-annealing ramp that cooled from 80°C to 24°C over the course of 15–72 h (exact annealing time depends on the target nanostructure).

A linear glycerol gradient (15–45%, v/v) was prepared in one of the following two ways. In the first way, seven layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer (5 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA and 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 8), 80 or 400 μl per layer, were laid carefully into a 0.8-ml tube. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer (5 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA and 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 8), 80 or 400 μl per layer, were laid carefully into a 0.8-ml ultracentrifuge tube using longneck gel-loading tips (Figure 1a). The tube was then incubated overnight at 4°C to form a quasi-continuous gradient. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer, 1.4 ml per layer, were laid carefully into a 3.5-ml ultracentrifuge tube with 45% glycerol solution at the bottom and 5% concentration decrement per layer (Figure 1a). The tube was then incubated overnight at 4°C to form a quasi-continuous gradient. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer, 1.4 ml per layer, were laid carefully into a 3.5-ml ultracentrifuge tube with 45% glycerol solution at the bottom and 5% concentration decrement per layer (Figure 1a). The tube was then incubated overnight at 4°C to form a quasi-continuous gradient. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer, 1.4 ml per layer, were laid carefully into a 3.5-ml ultracentrifuge tube with 45% glycerol solution at the bottom and 5% concentration decrement per layer (Figure 1a). The tube was then incubated overnight at 4°C to form a quasi-continuous gradient. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer, 1.4 ml per layer, were laid carefully into a 3.5-ml ultracentrifuge tube with 45% glycerol solution at the bottom and 5% concentration decrement per layer (Figure 1a). The tube was then incubated overnight at 4°C to form a quasi-continuous gradient. Alternatively, two layers of glycerol solution in 1 × TE-Mg buffer, 1.4 ml per layer, were laid carefully into a 3.5-ml ultracentrifuge tube with 45% glycerol solution at the bottom and 5% concentration decrement per layer (Figure 1a).

RESULTS

Sample quality after purification

Seven DNA-origami nanostructures (see Supplementary Figure S1 for strand diagrams)—a 6-helix-bundle (6-hb) ring (Figure 2a), a 12-hb ring (Figure 2b), an octahedron with curved 6-hb edges (Figure 2c), an 18-hb rod bent by 90° (Figure 2d), a 48-hb brick (Figure 2e), a 24-hb rod (Figure 2f) and a 24-hb rod with two cavities (Figure 2g)—were purified using the method described above. The effectiveness of rate-zonal centrifugation to separate desired product from non-integrated staple strands and misfolded structures was evaluated by resolving post-centrifugation gradient fractions through...
Staple strands have the slowest sedimentation rate (i.e. resides in the top fractions of the gradient) and segregate far away from most folded materials. Well-folded DNA nanostructures have distinct mobilities that allow them to be separated from other misfolded structures (i.e. oligomeric nanostructures and aggregates), which usually travel faster in the gradient. Further quantitative analysis of gel images revealed that ~85% of the well-folded nanostructures are enriched in 10–20% volume of the gradient. The effective separation resulted in final products with excellent purity, which is supported by both AGE and TEM study of purified DNA-origami samples (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). The AGE analysis revealed enriched well-folded structures with greatly reduced misfolded structures and almost completely eliminated free staple strands. The minor impurities could be attributed to disturbance and diffusion.
of the gradient during sample handling (e.g. pipetting fractions or transferring tubes in and out of the centrifuge) and slight structural deformation of purified DNA-origami molecules. The already low level of remaining free staple strands can be further reduced to gel-undetectable levels by post-processing through Amicon filtration (Figure 2, all gel slices to the left of the noted percentage yields). For comparison, we purified the 6-hb rings (Figure 2a) from the same folding batch through electrophoresis (band electro-eluted) or this centrifugation method. Similar DNA nanostructure purity was observed by resolving the final products side-by-side on an AGE gels. The already low level of remaining free staple strands can be further reduced to gel-undetectable levels by post-processing through Amicon filtration (Figure 2, all gel slices to the left of the noted percentage yields). For comparison, we purified the 6-hb rings (Figure 2a) from the same folding batch through electrophoresis (band electro-eluted) or this centrifugation method. Similar DNA nanostructure purity was observed by resolving the final products side-by-side on an AGE gels.
agarose gel (Supplementary Figure S3). In addition, the DNA-origami structures purified through the centrifugation method were never in contact with agarose gel or DNA intercalating reagent (e.g. ethidium bromide or SYBR Safe), which benefits downstream applications involving TEM or fluorescence study by providing cleaner background or baseline signal.

**Scalability and recovery yield**

**Purification scale**

The purification was performed on 0.5 pmol or 20 pmol folded scaffold strand for each structure (Figure 2) using one 0.8 or 3.5 ml centrifuge tube. It is important to note that these numbers are far below the maximum purification capacity of each tube. The 0.8 and 3.5 ml tubes have been successfully used to purify 8 and 120 pmol of raw DNA-origami structures (i.e. starting material), respectively, without compromising separation resolution or recovery yield. A purification trial using one centrifuge tube per sample generated 0.05–50 pmol (0.1–100 μg) enriched correctly folded product, depending on the purification scale, DNA-origami folding efficiency and recovery yield. One centrifuge rotor (Beckman SW55) accommodates six centrifuge tubes and therefore can be used to purify six different DNA-origami species or larger amount of a single species in one purification trial.

**Recovery yield**

Another valuable feature of the centrifugation-based purification method is the reproducible, high recovery yield. In contrast to the AGE-based purification, the DNA always stays in the aqueous phase and there is no need to extract them from the gel matrix. Therefore, losses of material are greatly reduced. In addition, there is less batch-to-batch variation in the recovery yield, which is a common problem associated with the AGE-based purification method due to the experimental inconsistency (e.g. electro-elution time, homogenization temperature, etc.). As shown in Figure 2, ~40–80% properly folded DNA-origami objects were recovered after centrifugation separation and post-processing (measured by ImageJ). Subsequent TEM analyses also confirmed the strong enrichment of nanostructures with designated geometry (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

DNA nanotechnology is leading to various applications in structural biology (17), biophysics (18–20), biosensing (21–24) and therapeutic delivery (25–28), many of which entail the preparation of micrograms to milligrams of enriched high-quality DNA nanostructures. Traditional electrophoresis-based methods excel at separation resolution but often fall short in scalability. The rate-zonal centrifugation method presented here is an effective complement to the current state-of-the-art in DNA-origami purification—properly folded DNA structures are well separated in the glycerol gradient with similar resolution provided by AGE and can be recovered in large quantity, with high efficiency and ease. Furthermore, we demonstrated that purified 6-hb rings carrying staple extensions (handles) were able to host 5-nm gold nanoparticles through handle/anti-handle hybridization [Supplementary Figure S4, with design and protocol adapted from (29,30)], confirming the well-preserved activity of the single-stranded handles after purification.

We note that the reported method may be improved further in the future to achieve better consistency, greater end-product purity and higher throughput, particularly through the automation of the gradient-mixing and fraction-collection processes using commercially available liquid-handling equipment. It is worth pointing out that in this work a universal gradient (15–45% linear glycerol gradient) was used for all structures. Therefore, when applied to a variety of DNA-origami structures, such a gradient may be more effective in purifying certain ones versus others. Users should be able to fine-tune the gradient to find the optimal conditions for each DNA structure of interest. Finally, higher capacity centrifuge tubes and rotor sets could be used to increase the purification scale by another order of magnitude (e.g. Beckman SW32 rotor accommodates up to 38.5-ml tubes). The rate-zonal centrifugation method, as an effective and easy-to-adapt purification approach, could help addressing the technical challenge of large-scale DNA nanostructure preparation and in turn, enable the development of many applications in the field of structural DNA nanotechnology.
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