Binding of the 5′-untranslated region of coronavirus RNA to zinc finger CCHC-type and RNA-binding motif 1 enhances viral replication and transcription
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ABSTRACT

Coronaviruses RNA synthesis occurs in the cytoplasm and is regulated by host cell proteins. In a screen based on a yeast three-hybrid system using the 5′-untranslated region (5′-UTR) of SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) RNA as bait against a human cDNA library derived from HeLa cells, we found a positive candidate cellular protein, zinc finger CCHC-type and RNA-binding motif 1 (MADP1), to be able to interact with this region of the SARS-CoV genome. This interaction was subsequently confirmed in coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus (IBV). The specificity of the interaction between MADP1 and the 5′-UTR of IBV was investigated and confirmed by using an RNA pull-down assay. The RNA-binding domain was mapped to the N-terminal region of MADP1 and the protein binding sequence to stem–loop I of IBV 5′-UTR. MADP1 was found to be translocated to the cytoplasm and partially co-localized with the viral replicase/transcriptase complexes (RTCs) in IBV-infected cells, deviating from its usual nuclear localization in a normal cell using indirect immunofluorescence. Using small interfering RNA (siRNA) against MADP1, defective viral RNA synthesis was observed in the knockdown cells, therefore indicating the importance of the protein in coronavirus RNA synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

During the replication of mammalian viruses, it is inevitable for host proteins to be involved in the viral life cycles. In fact, coronaviruses require host proteins to aid in the stages from virus entry to progeny release. Entry of the virus particle into a host cell requires the recognition of specific cell surface proteins, which act as receptors for the virus spike (S) protein (1–6). Upon entry into host cells, the ribonucleocapsid uncoats and releases the 5′-capped viral genome, a single-stranded positive-sense RNA. The genomic RNA ranges from 27 to 32 kb in length, is the largest known of its kind and is structurally similar to host mRNA (7). The replicase gene, which spans the 5′ two-thirds of the genome, is translated by host ribosomes into two large polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab via a frameshift event (8–10). The polyproteins are autoproteolytically processed into a maximum of 16 nonstructural proteins (8,11–16), which are assembled into replication–transcription complexes, including the main enzyme RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (nsp12) (17,18). This complex is required for generating new full-length virus RNA in replication as well as subgenome-length RNAs to be used for translation of virus structural and accessory proteins. In addition to their role in RNA synthesis, these nonstructural proteins may have multiple functions, such as the suppression of host mRNA translation as well as mRNA degradation by nsp1 of SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV; 19–21), which may play a role in the suppression of immune response mounted by the host upon infection.

The replication–transcription complex (RTC), which is located on membrane bound vesicles in the cytoplasm (22), is required for genome replication through continuous transcription and subgenomic RNA synthesis via discontinuous transcription (18,23,24). Apart from the replicase gene products, a viral structural protein, the nucleocapsid (N), is also required for efficient viral RNA synthesis (25,26). The resulting genome-size transcripts are destined to be packaged into progeny virions while the subgenomic, positive-sense transcripts are being translated into four structural proteins, spike (S), nucleocapsid (N), membrane (M) and envelope (E) proteins, as well as other accessory proteins.

In virus RNA synthesis, the replicase complex is indispensable but not an exclusive participant. Several host proteins have been identified to be able to interact with regulatory signals within the untranslated regions in the...
viral genome of betacoronavirus MHV. These include the polypyrimidine tract-binding protein (PTB) (27,28) with the leader sequence, hnRNP A1 (27,29,30) and hnRNP Q (31) with the 3′-UTR. More recently, poly(A)-binding protein (PABP), hnRNP Q and glutamyl-prolyl-tRNA synthetase (EPRS) were found to play a role in coronavirus RNA synthesis through their interaction with the 3′-UTR of alphacoronavirus TGEV (32). In addition, interaction of viral proteins with host proteins, such as the recently identified interaction between coronavirus nsp14 and DDX1 (33), may also play important enhancement functions in coronavirus replication and infection cycles.

In this study, we describe the interaction of a cellular protein, MADP1 (zinc finger CCHC-type and RNA binding motif 1) with the 5′-UTR of IBV and SARS-CoV, using yeast-based three hybrid screen (34) and RNA-binding assays. Subsequently, the RNA-binding domain of MADP1 and the RNA secondary structure responsible for the interaction were mapped and defined. Using indirect immunofluorescence, we confirmed that MADP1, despite being reported as a nuclear protein (35), was detected in the cytoplasm of virus-infected cells and partially co-localized with the RTCs. Upon silencing of MADP1 using siRNA, viral RNA synthesis on general has been affected, resulting in a lower replication efficiency and infectivity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Over-expression of Flag-tagged proteins**

All wild-type and mutant MADP1 expressing constructs were based on the vector pXJ40Flag which contains both the CMV and T7 promoter and all expressed proteins were N-terminally tagged with the Flag epitope. For the over-expression of the wild-type and mutant MADP1 proteins, H1299 cells grown to 100% confluency were transfected with recombinant Vaccinia-T7 virus for 2 h (h), and the constructs were transfected into the infected cells using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen). Cells were lysed with lysis buffer [140 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 1% NP-40] 22 h post-transfection.

**Biotin-RNA pull-down assay**

Template DNA was amplified from plasmid DNA encoding the 5′ end of IBV genome with various sets of primers targeting different regions of the 5′-UTR (Tables 1 and 2), with the sense primers containing the T7 promoter sequence (36). Biotinylated RNAs were in vitro transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase (Roche Applied Science) in the presence of Biotin RNA Labeling Mix (Roche Applied Science) at 37°C for 2 h. Template DNAs were removed by digestion with RNase-free DNase I (Roche Applied Science) and the labeled RNAs purified with UltraPure Phenol:Chloroform:Isomyl Alcohol (Invitrogen) then solubilized in nuclease-free water.

Biotinylated RNA at 0.1 μM was incubated with cell lysates over-expressing EGFP, Flag-tagged MADP1 or its mutant proteins, respectively, in the presence of 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 100 μg/ml yeast tRNA (Ambion) and 1 U/μl Protector RNase Inhibitor (Roche Applied Science) in a final volume of 200 μl at room temperature for 30 min. The mixtures were incubated with 40 μl (50% slurry) of streptavidin agarose beads (Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 30 min. The beads were collected by centrifugation and washed three times with RNase P (RP) buffer (50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, pH 8.0), suspended in 25 μl of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer with 100 mM DTT. Bound proteins were resolved by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and detected with appropriate antibodies.

**Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy and bromo-UTP labeling**

African green monkey kidney cells (Vero) grown to 50% confluency in four-chamber glass slides were transfected to over-express Flag-tagged MADP1 or vector control using Effectene for 16 h. Transfected cells were infected with wild-type IBV or mock-infected with Vero cell lysate (Vero cells with serum-free medium subjected to three freeze–thaw cycles at minus 80°C and room temperature, respectively) for 1 h. Infection was allowed to progress for 2 h after virus removal and the cells were treated with actinomycin D at 15 μg/ml (Sigma Aldrich) for 4 h; 1 mM of BrUTP (Sigma Aldrich) was transfected into the cells with SuperFECT (Qiagen) for 3 h.

Cells were fixed at 10 h post-infection with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-X 100 for 10 min. Treated cells were blocked in 10% goat serum, stained with primary antibodies mouse anti-BrdU and rabbit anti-Flag (Sigma Aldrich) and subsequently probed with AlexaFluor 488 anti-rabbit and 594 anti-mouse (Invitrogen) antibodies. Images were captured with Olympus Fluoview Upright Confocal microscope using a sequential laser scanning protocol.

**Viral growth assays**

H1299 cells grown to 30% confluency were transfected with 100 mM of either siEGFP (5′-GCAACGUAGCUGUAGAUCdTdT-3′) or siMadvp1 (5′-CAAUGACUCGUAGCAGAUAdTdT-3′) using DharmaFECT 2 siRNA Transfection Reagent (Dharmacon) for 72 h. Cells were infected with recombinant IBV-Luc at a multiplicity of infectivity of ~1 (MOI ≈ 1) and incubated for 2 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. The virus-containing medium was replaced with fresh serum-free medium and the cells were either harvested immediately (0 h) or continued to be incubated at 37°C until specific time points post infection (4, 8, 12, 16, 20 or 24 h). Infected cells were subjected to lysis, either through three freeze–thaw cycles (at −80°C and room temperature, respectively) without removal of media, or using lysis buffer after removal of media. Firefly luciferase activity which was used as an indication of viral activity for the recombinant virus was measured using Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to manufacturer’s instructions using the cell lysates. An end-point dilution assay, the 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50), the amount of virus that will produce
The TCID₅₀ of the infected cells at each time point was used as a measurement of virus titer. To detect a pathological change in 50% of inoculated cell culture, of the infected cells was used as a measurement of virus titer. The TCID₅₀ of the infected cells at each time point was determined by using the freeze–thawed infected cells. For pathological change in 50% of inoculated cell culture, of the infected cells was used as a measurement of virus titer.

Table 1. Nucleotide sequences of primers used to amplify DNA templates for in vitro transcription

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T7_i1-27</td>
<td>5'-TGTAATACGACTCACTATAGAGTAATTTAGAATTTAGATATCT-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| pT_i507-528R | 5'-TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
anti-sense 3'-UTR, which was not required for viral protein translation, subsequent functional studies of the protein would prove to be complicated as the virus itself relies heavily on the host ribosome to translate viral proteins, necessary for the infection to proceed. Therefore, it was not chosen for further studies. HAX1 was reported to function as an anti-apoptotic protein, which was not the focus of our screen and was therefore not chosen for further studies as well. MADP1 was reported as a member of the alternative splicing pathway, which implied a possible role in facilitating distal RNA sequences to be brought into close proximity, corresponded well with current evidence on the mechanism of discontinuous transcription. Therefore, it was chosen as the sole target for this study.

The 5'-UTR of coronavirus genomic RNA interacts specifically with MADP1

The interaction between MADP1 and the coronavirus 5'-UTR was confirmed by using over-expressed Flag-tagged MADP1 in a biotin-RNA pull-down assay. Based on the efficiency of Flag-tagged MADP1 co-purification with the biotinylated RNA, the full-length, mammalian-expressed MADP1 was found to be able to interact with the 5'-UTR of IBV and SARS-CoV RNA (Figure 1A). Over-expressed Flag-tagged protein was used to facilitate detection, as there was no commercially available antibody to the protein at that time. It was noted that IBV 5'-UTR showed higher binding affinity to the Flag-tagged MADP1 than did SARS-CoV 5'-UTR (Figure 1A). The specific interaction between IBV 5'-UTR and MADP1 and its functional implication in coronavirus replication were therefore chosen for subsequent characterization.

To check the specificity of the interaction, a competition assay based on the biotin-RNA pull-down assay was performed. Total cell lysates containing Flag-tagged MADP1 were incubated with 0.1 μM biotinylated IBV 5'-UTR in the presence of increasing concentrations of either unlabeled specific competitor RNA probe (IBV 5'-UTR) or unlabeled non-specific probe (EGFP RNA) composed of nucleotides 1–528 of the EGFP coding sequence, from 0 to 0.2 μM. Western blot analysis of the co-purified

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/40/11/5065/2409547) (A) Interaction of MADP1 with SARS-CoV and IBV 5'-UTR in a biotin-RNA pull-down assay. Total cell lysates prepared from H1299 cells over-expressing Flag-tagged MADP1 were mixed with 0.1 μM of biotinylated IBV and SARS-CoV 5'-UTR, respectively, followed by addition of streptavidin agarose beads. Unbound complexes to the beads were subsequently removed by washing and complexes that remained bound to the beads were eluted with gel loading buffer. All fractions and elute were resolved by SDS–PAGE and probed with antibody to Flag tag.

(B) Competition assay for the specificity of interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5'-UTR. Total cell lysates prepared from H1299 cells over-expressing Flag-tagged MADP1 were added to mixtures of a fixed concentration of biotinylated IBV 3'-UTR RNA and unlabeled IBV 3'-UTR or EGFP RNA and subjected to the same treatment.
Flag-tagged protein showed that increasing concentrations of unlabeled specific competitor RNA led to the decreasing co-purification of MADP1 with the biotinylated RNA probe (Figure 1B). However, increasing concentrations of unlabeled non-specific competitor RNA did not result in detectable change to the efficiency of MADP1 co-purification (Figure 1B).

Simultaneously, a protein exhibiting a non-specific RNA-binding activity, the Flag-tagged IBV-N, was used as a control. Total cell lysates containing the Flag-tagged IBV N protein was incubated with 0.1 mM of the biotinylated IBV 3′-UTR, in the presence of increasing concentrations of either the unlabeled specific probe or an unlabeled non-specific probe, EGFP RNA, of an equal length. Western blot detection of the co-purified Flag-tagged N protein revealed that increasing concentrations of both unlabeled RNA probes increasingly reduced the efficiency of N protein co-purification with the biotinylated RNA probes (Figure 1B). These results confirmed that MADP1 could interact specifically with the 5′-UTR of IBV RNA.

Over-expressed Flag-tagged MADP1 translocates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm

MADP1 was identified as a component of the 18S U11/12 snRNP (37) and its subcellular localization was determined to be in the nucleoplasm (35). IBV replication and transcription, on the other hand, take place in the cytoplasm of the infected cells. Therefore, to validate the likelihood of MADP1 interacting with the viral 5′-UTR, immunofluorescence was used to track the subcellular localization of both Flag-tagged MADP1 and de novo synthesized viral RNA in both mock-infected and IBV-infected cells. Flag-tagged MADP1 was over-expressed in cultured Vero cells, which were then infected with IBV and treated with actinomycin D to inhibit host transcription. The newly synthesized viral RNA, a marker for the RTCs, was labeled with BrUTP. The cells were fixed at 10 h post-infection to allow sufficient labeling of the newly synthesized viral RNA and to minimize the formation of large syncytial cells. In uninfected cells, Flag-tagged MADP1 was localized in the nucleus exclusively (Figure 2). Upon infection by IBV, Flag-tagged MADP1 appeared to be present in the cytoplasm as well (Figure 2). Interestingly, the cytoplasmic localization pattern of Flag-tagged MADP1 appears to be partially overlapped with that for the RTCs, although further studies would be required to ascertain if MADP1 would be a part of the RTCs (Figure 2). As a negative control for the over-expressed protein, vector transfected cells probed with Flag antibody showed negative staining for the over-expressed protein (Figure 2). Similar colocalization patterns were also observed in IBV-infected H1299 cells (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Over-expressed Flag-tagged MADP1 partially colocalized with viral RTCs. Vero cells over-expressing either Flag-tagged MADP1 or empty vector were infected with IBV, treated with actinomycin D at 3 h post-infection, and transfected with bromo-UTP at 7 h post-infection. Cells were fixed at 10 h post-infection and permeabilized with Triton-X 100. Immunofluorescence was performed with antibodies to Flag and BrdU followed by secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 and 594, respectively. Vector-transfected Vero cells infected with IBV were used as negative controls. H122 cells transfected with Flag-tagged MADP1 and infected with IBV, as described for Vero cells.
Stem–loop I of IBV 5'-UTR is required for interaction with MADP1

To define the segment and structural elements of IBV 5'-UTR required for its interaction with MADP1, four truncated mutant RNA fragments were synthesized, as shown in Figure 3A, by in vitro transcription. 5'-UTRA1 contains stem–loops I–IV (38), 5'-UTRA2 and 5'-UTRA3 spans stem–loops I to III and II to IV, respectively, whereas 5'-UTRA4 spans the rest of the 388 nucleotides. The biotin-labeled RNA transcripts were used in the biotin-RNA pull-down assay (Figure 3B) to check the efficiency of Flag-tagged MADP1 co-purification with RNA. Results showed that MADP1 was co-purified only with transcripts which contain stem–loops I–III of the 5'-UTR (5'-UTRA1 and 5'-UTRA2). In addition, stem–loop I appeared to be essential for interacting with MADP1 as its absence in 5'-UTRA3 abolished the interaction with MADP1 (Figure 3B). The rest region of the 5'-UTR (5'-UTRA4) did not appear to interact with MADP1 (Figure 3B).

To confirm further the role of stem–loop I in the interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5'-UTR, two mutants were constructed, based on 5'-UTRA2. 5'-UTRA2M1 carried two-point mutations at nucleotide residues 11 and 12 from GA to CU, which would disrupt the structure of stem–loop I (Figure 3C), and 5'-UTRA2M2 carried additional mutations at residues 25 and 26 from UC to AG (Figure 3C), which would restore the secondary structure of stem–loop I. The mutant RNAs spanning stem–loops I–III were assessed for its ability to bind MADP1. The result indicated that the integrity of stem–loop I may be essential for the interaction between the 5'-UTR with MADP1 (Figure 3D), as the stem–loop disrupting mutation (5'-UTRA2M1) failed to interact with MADP1. The stem–loop restoring mutation at nucleotide residues 25 and 26 from UC to AG was able to restore partially the interaction (5'-UTRA2M2) (Figure 3D). This result affirmed the conclusion that the secondary structure of stem–loop I of IBV 5'-UTR is indispensable for its interaction with MADP1.

The RNA recognition motif (RRM) of MADP1 is responsible for its interaction with IBV 5'-UTR

MADP1 contains two nucleic acid binding domains, the RNA recognition motif (RRM) in the N-terminal region and the universal minicircle sequence binding protein (UMSBP) in the central region. In order to identify the domain involved in the interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5'-UTR, a series of truncation mutants of the protein were created (Figure 4A). The first three mutants, Madp1n which contains the RRM domain, Madp1m spans the zinc finger domain and Madp1c contains mostly phosphorylation sites, were assessed for their ability to interact with IBV 5'-UTR. Only Madp1n
retained a low level of the RNA-binding activity (Figure 4B, In) and negligible activity was detected for the other two truncated proteins (Figure 4B, Im, Ic).

As the RNA-binding activity for Madp1n fragment was much lower compared to the full-length protein, three more mutants were created to extend the Madp1n fragment (Figure 4A). An extension of 14 or 31 amino acid residues was made for mutants Madp1x and Madp1z, respectively. A truncation at the N-terminus by 40 residues as well as an extension by 14 amino acid residues was made for Madp1y. It was observed that both Madp1x and Madp1z bound to IBV 5'-UTR more strongly than did the full-length protein as well as Madp1n mutant protein (Figure 4B, lx, lz). Madp1y, on the other hand, bound weakly to the RNA fragment (Figure 4B, ly). Hence, the 14 amino acid extension beyond the RRM (Madp1x) may have been required to preserve the integrity of the protein structure and that the 40 amino acid residues at the N-terminus of MADP1 are required for efficient RNA binding. As the RRM domain was determined to be responsible for the interaction, information available on this domain indicated three amino acids at its active site, which interact with nucleic acid residues via their aromatic and hydrophobic side chains. For MADP1, the identified active site was composed of phenylalanine 55 and valine 53, respectively, while tyrosine 13 may have acted as an anchor for the phosphate backbone via electrostatic interactions. Hence, three mutants with either a single alanine substitution for tyrosine 13 (Y13A), a double alanine substitution for valine 53 and phenylalanine 55 (V53F55A) or triple alanine substitutions for all three residues (YVF), were constructed (Figure 4A). These three mutants were over-expressed in H1299 cells as Flag-tagged proteins, and the lysates were assessed for their respective RNA-binding affinities for full-length IBV 5'-UTR (Figure 4C).

Figure 4. Defining the RNA-binding domain. (A) A schematic diagram of constructs of MADP1 and its truncation mutants. Numbers denote amino acid residue positions. Conserved domains RNA Recognition Motif (RRM) and Universal Minicircle Sequence Binding Protein (UMSBP) were indicated as black and white blocks, respectively. (B) Interaction of deletion mutants of MADP1 with IBV 5'-UTR. Cell lysates prepared from H1299 cells over-expressing Flag-tagged wild-type MADP1 or its truncation mutants were used for biotin-RNA pull-down assay using the full-length IBV 5'-UTR. Both the crude lysates (labeled C) and protein bound on the streptavidin beads (labeled E) were resolved by SDS–PAGE and detected by Western blot with anti-Flag antibody. EGFP over-expressed cell lysate was included as a negative control. (C) Interaction of three MADP1 mutant constructs, Y13A, V53F55A and YVF, with IBV 5'-UTR. The three full-length MADP1 constructs with amino acid mutations at the predicted RNA-binding sites were transfected into H1299 cells and used in a biotin-RNA pull-down assay with the full-length IBV 5'-UTR.
All mutants resulted in a reduction in RNA-binding affinity for the biotinylated RNA molecule and the reduction was most dramatic for triple residue mutant YVF (Figure 4C), implying cooperative binding demonstrated by the three residues. This finding confirms that the MADP1 RRM is involved in the interaction with IBV 5′-UTR.

**MADP1 is required for efficient virus transcription**

To demonstrate the significance of the interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5′-UTR, an siRNA duplex designed to silence MADP1 expression (siMadp1) and a negative control siRNA targeting EGFP protein (siEGFP) were used in time course experiments. H1299 cells were transfected twice with the siRNA duplexes in 24-h interval and infected with IBV-Luc recombinant virus (39) 3 days after the first transfection. IBV-infected samples were harvested at 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 h post-infection, respectively, and the mock-infected cells were harvested at 24 h post-infection as a negative control. The levels of negative stranded genomic RNA and both the positive and negative stranded subgenomic RNA were assessed by RT-PCR (Figure 5A). Densitometric analyses identified a reduction between 40% and 80% of MADP1 mRNA was achieved by this siRNA which resulted in a reduction between 70% and 90% of negative stranded genomic viral RNA, 40–80% of negative stranded subgenomic viral RNA and 50–90% of positive stranded subgenomic viral RNA. Western blot analysis also noted a reduction in the expression of viral structural genes, between 50% and 90% reduction for S and N proteins, with a reduction between 40% and 80% of MADP1 protein (Figure 5B). Virus titers as represented by the tissue culture infectious dose (Log10 TCID50) at each infection time point was reduced by a minimum of 3-fold and up to 10-fold compared to siEGFP-transfected cells by 4 h of infection (Figure 5C). Firefly luciferase activity of cell lysates showed that over-expression of triple residue mutant (FM(YVF)) as well as a slight increase in cells over-expressing both normal triple residue mutant (FM(YVF)) and silencing-resistant triple residue mutant (FMmut(YVF)) post-infection. Western blotting results showed an obvious increase in the amount of IBV N expression in cells over-expressing silencing-resistant wild-type MADP1 (FMmut) as well as a slight increase in cells over-expressing both normal triple residue mutant (FM(YVF)) and silencing-resistant triple residue mutant (FMmut(YVF)) (Figure 7C).

An assessment of the luciferase activity of total cell lysates showed that over-expression of triple residue mutants FM(YVF) and FMmut(YVF) resulted in a slight increase of the luciferase activity in shMadp1 cells, whereas over-expression of silencing-resistant wild-type MADP1 (FMmut) resulted in a more drastic increase of the luciferase activity in shMadp1 cells (Figure 7D).

**MADP1 interacts weakly with human coronavirus OC43 (HCoV-OC43) 5′-UTR**

It was noted that although MADP1 interacted with both SARS-CoV and IBV 5′-UTR, the interaction was rather weak for the former. A comparison of the predicted stem-loop I structures from both coronaviruses indicated a marked difference in their primary sequence as well as the secondary structures. Hence, a third coronavirus, HCoV-OC43, whose stem–loop I which deviated further from IBV than SARS-CoV, was assessed for its binding to MADP1 (Figure 8A). It was found that the binding of MADP1 to the 5′ UTR of HCoV-OC43 was as weak, if not weaker than SARS-CoV. It was also noted that the predicted stem–loop I structure of HCoV-OC43 contained a bulge which encompassed a larger area of the stem compared to SARS-CoV (Figure 8B). Bulges were conspicuously absent from the IBV stem–loop I (Figure 8B).
Figure 5. Knockdown of MADP1 by siRNA suppresses IBV infection. (A) RT-PCR analysis of the effect of MADP1 knockdown on IBV RNA replication. H1299 cells were transfected twice with siRNA targeting either Madp1 (+siMadp1) or EGFP (-siMadp1) and infected with IBV-Luc recombinant virus 3 days after the first transfection. Samples were harvested at 4-h intervals, and mock-infected cells were used as negative control. RT-PCR analyses of the mRNA levels of MADP1, the negative strand IBV RNA (gRNA(-)), (+) and (-) mRNAs 3 and 4 (sgRNA) and control GAPDH were carried out. (B) Western blot analyses of the protein levels of Madp-1, viral proteins spike (S), nucleocapsid (N) and cellular protein actin for loading control. (C) TCID50 of total virus produced by the virus-infected cells showed that the silencing of Madp1 reduced virus titers by several folds. (D) Luciferase gene activity measured for the cell lysate indicated a dramatic drop in viral activity in the Madp1 silenced H1299 cells.

Figure 6. Two hundred and fifty pico moles (250 pmol) of either siRNA to EGFP or siRNA pools against Madp1 were transfected into H1299 cells twice and infected with recombinant luciferase-IBV at 72 h after the first transfection. (A) Volumes (in microliters) of each 50-μM siRNA used in the siRNA pools. (B) Luciferase activity of the infected cells measured at 20 h post-infection showed a decrease in viral activity after silencing Madp1 with the different siRNA pools.
In addition to the differences in the secondary structures between the coronaviruses, there was a lack of sequence similarity as well (Figure 8B).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies on the involvement of host proteins in viral RNA synthesis have revealed a number of proteins which are able to interact with the UTRs of viral genomes (29,30,32,40–43). Some of these proteins may also interact with other viral proteins as well (30,40). Our attempts to identify host proteins involved in this early process of the coronavirus life cycle yielded MADP1. This protein was shown to be localized to the nucleoplasm but excluded from the nucleolus, but its role in RNA splicing remains to be determined (37). MADP1 contains two conserved RNA-binding domains, the RNA recognition motif (RRM) 1 and universal minicircle sequence binding protein (UMSBP) domains (a zinc finger CCHC-type) (35). The former was determined to be the domain responsible for the interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5′-UTR. The MADP1 RRM 1 domain interacts with nucleic acid residues via aromatic and hydrophobic side chains at its active site, which in the case supplied by phenylalanine 55 and valine 53, respectively. Tyrosine 13 may have acted as an anchor for the phosphate backbone via electrostatic interactions.

In this study, interaction between MADP1 and the SARS-CoV and IBV 5′-UTR was initially identified by a yeast-based three hybrid screen and subsequently confirmed using an *in vitro* RNA pull-down assay with IBV 5′-UTR. A deeper look at the details of this interaction revealed that the RNA recognition motif, but not the zinc finger motif, of MADP1, is responsible for the interaction. This interaction is also shown to be specific and stem–loop I of IBV 5′-UTR is essential for the interaction to occur. Although MADP1 was reported to be a nuclear protein (35), it could be detected in the cytoplasm of IBV-infected cells and partially overlaps with the de novo synthesized viral RNA, which marks the location of the RTCs in infected cells in the presence of actinomycin D. Silencing of MADP1 resulted in a marked reduction in syncytium formation upon IBV infection. A closer examination revealed that the synthesis of both genome- (gRNA) and
subgenome-length RNAs (sgRNA) was compromised, resulting in a drastic reduction of viral structural protein expression and release of viral progeny (titers), hence the overall reduction of viral infectivity in the cells.

Across different coronaviruses, the leader sequence situated in the extreme 5' end of the genome, is composed of stem–loops I and II. Mutations introduced into either stem–loop I or II resulted in non-viable viruses, impaired (sense and anti-sense) sgRNA synthesis, but not the full-length gRNA synthesis (38,44). It was, however, observed in this study that silencing of MADP1 did render an impact on gRNA synthesis, although to a lesser extent compared to sgRNA synthesis. This might have been due to a secondary effect of decreased sgRNA synthesis, as proteins encoded by sgRNAs may enhance viral RNA synthesis (45). The predicted structure of stem–loop II indicated a strong secondary interaction, which is highly conserved across different groups of coronaviruses. The predicted stem–loop I structure, on the other hand, appears to fold into a hairpin of low thermodynamic stability, shows a wider sequence variation and is characterized by the presence of bulges, non-canonical base pairing as well as a prevalence of A-U base pairing (46). It has been shown in MHV that the structural liability of stem–loop I is a critical driving force in the 5'- and 3'-UTR interaction (44). Comparing the predicted stem–loop I structures of IBV to SARS-CoV and HCoV-OC43 (Figure 8B), it was noted that there exists a difference in the loop sequence. In addition, IBV stem–loop I has a shorter stem and the absence of bulges, although the structure may be as unstable thermodynamically as that of SARS-CoV and HCoV-OC43, due to the extremely high prevalence of weak base pairing between A and U as well as the presence of a non-canonical base pair at the base of the stem (46). Hence, sequence and structural differences may be one of the possible explanations for the observation of a weaker binding between MADP1 and SARS-CoV or HCoV-OC43 5'-UTR than with IBV 5'-UTR. In fact, the relatively weaker binding of MADP1 to the stem–loop I restoring mutant (5'-UTRA2M2) demonstrated in this study supports that primary sequences in the 5'-UTR may play a certain role in this interaction.

Most studies on host involvement in coronaviral RNA synthesis were so far performed using MHV (29–31,42,43). Identification of the interaction between MADP1 and 5'-UTR as well as its functional involvement in coronavirus replication, in this study, therefore may represent the first host protein identified to play a role in viral RNA synthesis by interacting with the 5'-UTR of the viral RNA in a gammacoronavirus. The functional implication of the interaction between MADP1 and IBV 5'-UTR may be extended to the rest of the members of the coronavirus family. In the case of hnRNP A1, it was initially reported to be functionally important for viral RNA synthesis for group II virus MHV (29,30). Subsequently, its involvement in viral RNA synthesis was also confirmed in TGEV, a group I coronavirus (32). In this study, we have shown that betacoronaviruses HCoV-OC43, SARS-CoV and gammacoronavirus IBV can bind to MADP1, albeit...
with different affinities. Due to the lack of a high containment facility, the functional implication of the relatively weaker interaction between SARS-CoV 5' UTR and MADP1 was not further studied. It is, therefore, yet to be demonstrated if this weaker binding dictates less dependency on MADP1 in SARS-CoV RNA replication and infectivity.

Current evidence indicates that MADP1 is compartmentalized in the nuclei of cultured cells (35), markedly differing from the cytoplasmic, perinuclear localization of the coronavirus RTCs (47–49). As there was no report on the possibility of MADP1 shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm, our observation using indirect immunofluorescence that over-expressed MADP1 upon IBV infection became partially localized in the cytoplasm may represent a first report that MADP1 could be localized outside the nucleus. This could have been achieved with either an existing shuttling mechanism used by a nuclear protein or the assistance of viral factors. For example, IBV N protein is known to enter the nucleus while maintaining a predominantly cytoplasmic localization (50,51). Alternatively, binding of viral RNA may partially retain the newly synthesized MADP1 in the cytoplasm, as observed in this study.

It was observed that over-expression of Flag-tagged MADP1 was unable to fully restore IBV infection in MADP1-knockdown cells, even though the expression level of the introduced MADP1 construct far surpassed the endogenous level, as observed by western blot analysis. Considering the fact that only 30% of cells were transfected and over-expressed MADP1 protein despite the presence of a higher level of the protein in the transfected cells, it is understandable that the expression of viral proteins could not be restored after combining transfected and untransfected cells. Interestingly, over-expression of silencing-sensitive MADP1 was unable to cause an increase in virus infection, comparing to that was observed for silencing-resistant MADP1 (FMmut) in shMADP1 cells, even though their expression levels were comparable. This lends further support to the conclusion that MADP1 is actively involved in the replication and infectivity of IBV.

Although the functional studies involving IBV, a chicken coronavirus, and a human protein, MADP1, were conducted using human and African green monkey cells, which were non-native. It is noteworthy that MADP1 (HomoloGene 12095) is conserved in humans (Homo sapiens), chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), wolves (Canis lupus), cattle (Bos Taurus), mice (Mus musculus), rats (Rattus norvegicus) and chickens (Gallus gallus). The African green monkey genome is not available at NCBI, but an alignment search using basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) of the MADP1 amino acid sequence against the rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta) RefSeq Protein library yields a 99% sequence similarity between the two species. The chicken homolog, on the other hand, bears 85% amino acid sequence similarity, but with an almost identical match in the N-terminal 120 amino acids, to the human MADP1 protein. As the predicted interaction domain lies in the N-terminus, it is highly likely that the homologs from other species could replace human MADP1 in the interaction studies.

In conclusion, the involvement of MADP1 in coronavirus RNA synthesis and its significance are demonstrated in this study in the tissue culture system. Further studies with an MADP1 knock-out animal system, which is currently not available, would be required to confirm further the involvement of MADP1 in coronavirus RNA synthesis.
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