IBM1, a JmjC domain-containing histone demethylase, is involved in the regulation of RNA-directed DNA methylation through the epigenetic control of RDR2 and DCL3 expression in Arabidopsis
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ABSTRACT

Small RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) is an important epigenetic pathway in Arabidopsis that controls the expression of multiple genes and several developmental processes. RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 2 (RDR2) and DICER-LIKE 3 (DCL3) are necessary factors in 24-nt small interfering RNA (siRNA) biogenesis, which is part of the RdDM pathway. Here, we found that Increase in BONSAI Methylation 1 (IBM1), a conserved JmjC family histone demethylase, is directly associated with RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin. The mutation of IBM1 induced the hypermethylation of H3K9 and DNA non-CG sites within RDR2 and DCL3, which repressed their expression. A genome-wide analysis suggested that the reduction in RDR2 and DCL3 expression affected siRNA biogenesis in a locus-specific manner and disrupted RdDM-directed gene repression. Together, our results suggest that IBM1 regulates gene expression through two distinct pathways: direct association to protect genes from silencing by preventing the coupling of histone and DNA methylation, and indirect silencing of gene expression through RdDM-directed repression.

INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation is a major epigenetic mechanism of transcriptional repression and gene silencing in eukaryotic development and reproduction (1). Compared with animals, in which cytosine is methylated exclusively at symmetric CG sites in somatic cells, DNA methylation in plants occurs in all sequence contexts (e.g. CG, CHG and CHH) (2,3). In Arabidopsis, the maintenance of DNA methylation is primarily dependent on three methyltransferases: METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (MET1), a DNMT1 homolog that is responsible for maintaining CG methylation after DNA replication (4,5); DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2), a DNMT3 homolog that catalyzes de novo DNA methylation (6,7); and CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3), a plant-specific DNA methyltransferase that functions in non-CG methylation maintenance redundantly with DRM2 (6,7).

How particular sequences are recognized or eliminated as substrates of DNA methyltransferases and in transcriptional silencing is a major question in the epigenetic control of genes via DNA methylation. DNA methylation is guided by small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) through the recognition of complementary DNA sequences in the genome, in a process known as RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM (8,9).
In *Arabidopsis*, several components function in the biogenesis of 24-nt siRNAs, which function specifically in chromatin silencing, including NUCLEAR RNA POLYMERASE IV A (NRPD1A), RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 2 (RDR2) and DICER-LIKE 3 (DCL3) (10,11). RDR2 is responsible for the production of dsRNA precursors from single-stranded RNA transcripts, which are produced by RNA polymerase IV (Pol IV) and which correspond to the silenced genes or elements (12,13). dsRNAs are subsequently cleaved by the Dicer-like ribonuclease DCL3 to yield 24-nt siRNAs, which are captured by ARGONAUTE 4 (AGO4) and localized to Cajal bodies in the nucleus (14–16). Although these enzymes are not associated with the initiation of silencing, they are necessary for the accumulation of siRNAs and maintenance of RdDM; thus, they may function in siRNA biogenesis as part of a putative feedback reinforcement mechanism (11,17).

Although RdDM typically participates in the suppression of transposable and repetitive sequences and in genome stability, the expression of a large number of endogenous genes is regulated by DNA methylation and siRNAs (2–4). For instance, an F-box protein-coding gene, SUPPRESSOR OF drm1 drm2 cmt3 (SDC), is highly methylated at non-CG cytosines in tandem repeats within its promoter and is transcriptionally silenced in wild-type (WT) plants (18). SDC misexpression is responsible for the multiple developmental defects seen in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* (ddc) triple mutants (18). Genetic analysis has shown that siRNAs generated from repeated sequences are required to maintain the DNA methylation of SDC, indicating the significance of RdDM in the regulation of protein-coding genes during development (18).

Besides the interaction between siRNAs and DNA methylation, histone H3K9 methylation, an epigenetic marker of transcriptional inactivation, is highly associated with DNA methylation in plants and animals (19). In *Arabidopsis*, three Set-domain methyltransferases responsible for H3K9 dimethylation, SU(VAR)3-9 HOMOLOGUE4 (SUV4, also known as KRYPTONITE), SUV5 and SUV6, are necessary to maintain the non-CG methylation caused by CMT3 (20–22). Further, a genome-wide analysis has shown a connection between H3K9 and DNA methylation (23).

It has also been reported that the SRA domain in SUV4 preferentially binds double-stranded oligonucleotides containing methylated cytosines in a non-CG context, which suggests that this histone modifier can read DNA methylation marks directly (24). Furthermore, the chromodomain of CMT3 can recognize and bind K9 dimethylated H3 tails (25). The interdependent recruitment of CMT3 and SUV4 indicates that the maintenance of non-CG methylation and H3K9 dimethylation is mediated by a positive feedback loop (24,25).

*Increase in BONSAI methylation 1 (IBM1)* encodes a JmjC domain-containing histone demethylase that catalyzes the removal of H3K9 monomethylation and dimethylation in *Arabidopsis* (26). The mutation of *IBM1* produces multiple developmental defects, including small and narrow leaves, pollen grain abortion, floral organ and embryo abnormalities, and decreased reproduction (27). *JMJJ*06, the rice (*Oryza sativa*) homolog of *IBM1*, also encodes an H3K9 demethylase that participates in the regulation of floral organ formation (28).

A loss-of-function of *IBM1* causes ectopic H3K9 methylation at the *BONSAI* locus, leading to KYP- and CMT3-dependent non-CG DNA hypermethylation and gene silencing (27). These results suggest that *IBM1* protects protein-coding genes from repression via H3K9 and non-CG DNA methylation arising from flanking transposable elements (27). Genome-wide profiling has shown that a large number of genes are hypermethylated at non-CG cytosines and histone H3K9 in *ibm1* (26,29). Further, it has been shown by genetic analysis that known components of the RdDM pathway, including NRPD1A, RDR2 and AGO4, are dispensable in *ibm1*-induced DNA hypermethylation and the transcriptional repression of *BONSAI*, indicating that de novo DNA methylation of the direct targets of this gene in *ibm1* is subject to siRNA-independent regulation (26,29).

In the present study, we found that RDR2 and DCL3, two necessary components of the RdDM pathway, are direct targets of IBM1. IBM1 associates with their chromatin and removes the methyl group(s) from H3K9. The hypermethylation of H3K9 in *ibm1* was accompanied by an increase in non-CG DNA methylation at RDR2 and DCL3, which inhibited the expression of these genes. This reduction in RDR2 and DCL3 expression affected the biogenesis of 24-nt siRNAs in a gene-specific manner. We also found that the decrease in siRNAs homologous to their target sequences was accompanied by an increase in transcription and decrease in DNA methylation of their targets in *ibm1*. These results demonstrate that IBM1 regulates gene expression through two distinct pathways: direct association to protect genes from silencing by preventing the coupling of histone and DNA methylation, and indirect silencing of gene expression through RdDM-directed repression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and growth**

The lines used in this study, *ibm1*-3 (SALK_023533) and *ibm1*-4 (SALK_035608), were described previously (27); *ibm1*-5 (SALK_128403) is a new allele. All plant materials were obtained from the ABRC (http://abrc.osu.edu/). All mutations were confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

Seeds were sterilized in 2.25% bleach, washed with sterilized water, kept for 3 days at 4°C, and then dispersed on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1% sucrose and 0.3% Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich). After 10 days of growth under a cool white fluorescent light (160 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and long-day (LD) conditions (16h of light, 22°C/8h of dark, 18°C) in a growth chamber (Percival CU36LS), the plants were transplanted to soil and grown in a growth room with coincident conditions at 50% relative humidity.

**Plant transformation**

The 35 S::YFP::IBM1 construct consisted of the *Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35 S* (*CaMV*35) promoter, the gene encoding yellow fluorescence protein (YFP), and
the full coding sequence of IBM1 cDNA. IBM1 cDNA was generated from total RNA isolated from WT Col-0 plants by RT-PCR and amplified using the primers IBM1-NS and IBM1-CAS (Supplementary Table S10). The product was digested with BamHI-SalI and cloned into the binary vector pCAMBIA1300 containing the CaMV35 promoter and YFP.

For plant transformation, the constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, and then transformed into plants via the floral dip method (30). T1 transformants were selected using hygromycin; single insertion lines were obtained based on their segregation rates of antibiotic resistance.

**Illumina mRNA sequencing and bioinformatic analysis**

mRNA samples were purified and subjected to Illumina sequencing as described in the Illumina mRNA sequencing sample preparation guide. Briefly, mRNA was specifically enriched from total RNA using oligo(dT) beads and sheared into small pieces. The fragments were then reverse-transcribed into first-strand cDNA using random hexamer primers, followed by second-strand synthesis using DNA polymerase I. The short cDNA strands were ligated with 3'- and 5'-adapters for amplification and sequencing.

All reads 42 bases in length were mapped to the reference genome of Arabidopsis thaliana (TAIR10). Bowtie (31) was used to map those sequence reads with no more than three mismatches, and only uniquely mapped reads were used in our subsequent analyses. Reads mapped to exonic regions of the annotated gene models were normalized against the length of the transcript and sample size for further analysis. Cutoff values were based on the average number of reads in the introns and intergenic regions. The raw data have been deposited in the NCBI database under GEO number GSE32284.

**RT-PCR and real-time qPCR analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from 10-day-old seedlings grown on MS plates using RNAiso plus (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instructions then treated with RNA-free DNase (Promega). Three milligrams of total RNA were reverse-transcribed by a two-step method using M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (Takara) in a total volume of 20 μl. The siRNA was quantified by a TaqMan CaMV35 promoter and recovered by ethanol precipitation. The abundance of immunoprecipitated chromatin was determined by qPCR using the primers given in Supplementary Table S10. The results of three biological replicates are shown as the absolute enrichment compared with the input.

**DNA methylation analysis**

Genomic DNA from the appropriate genotypes was subjected to bisulfite conversion using a Methylamp DNA Modification kit (Epigentek) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The regions of interest, RDR2 (+2509 to +2702) and DCL3 (+4204 to +4396), were amplified by PCR and cloned into the vector pEASY-Blunt (Transgen). At least 11 clones for each converted sample were sequenced using the primer M13F. Bisulfite sequencing to determine the methylation state of tandem repeats in the SDC promoter was performed as described (18). During our analysis of the bisulfite sequencing data, the percentage of methylated sites in the clones was calculated for various sequence contexts. Further information on the clones and bisulfite data are provided in Supplementary Tables S4, S5, S7 and S8; the primers used for amplification are listed in Supplementary Table S10.

**Small RNA sequencing and analysis**

The preparation of small RNA samples for Illumina sequencing was done according to the Illumina small RNA sample preparation guide. Using total RNA extracted from 10-day-old plants, small RNAs were enriched by PEG precipitation and separated by 15% TBE-urea PAGE. Following SYBR-gold staining, the small RNA fraction (18–26 nucleotides [nt] in length) was recovered by gel purification and ligated sequentially to 5'- and 3'-adapters. The products of the second ligation were reverse-transcribed and amplified using the corresponding primers. The sequences of the adapters and primers used were as described in the Illumina user manual. Small RNA sequencing was done according to the Illumina 1G sequencing protocol. The raw data have been deposited in the NCBI database under GEO number GSE32284.

The 3'-adapter sequences were removed by a custom Perl script, and the trimmed small RNA reads were mapped to the Arabidopsis genome using Bowtie with perfect matches. After mapping, the positions of those reads matching with multiple loci were randomly selected and counted together with those reads mapping to unique loci in our subsequent analyses. Sequences matching with tRNAs, rRNAs, small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) that might represent degradation products of these abundant RNA species were discarded. Reads were selected as miRNAs and tasiRNAs by comparing the remaining small RNA reads against miRNA and tasiRNA precursor genes. The programs Tandem Repeat Finder (35) and Inverted Repeat Finder (36) were used to identify
tandem repeats and inverted repeats, respectively. Other repeats were identified using the program RepeatMasker with the repeat library from RepBase (37).

Small RNA reads from WT and ibm1-3 were pooled together and clustered by merging the regions with at least four small RNA reads, each separated from its nearest neighbor by no more than 200 nt.

Analysis of differentially expressed genes

In all comparisons, the read counts for a gene or genomic region from each library were normalized to the Reads Per Kilobase of exon model per Million mapped reads (RPKM); the read number for each gene or region was divided by the total read number in each library and multiplied by 10⁹. Significant differences in gene expression and small RNA generation between the compared lines were identified by the chi-squared test. Next, the P-values were adjusted to q-values for multiple testing corrections (38).

RESULTS

IBM1 regulates RDR2 and DCL3 expression

To identify novel targets of IBM1, we performed a genome-wide analysis of gene expression using Illumina mRNA-Seq, which sequences cDNAs synthesized from pre-sheared and poly(A)-enriched RNA directly (39,40). This approach keeps the proportion of sequence reads from rRNA low, and avoids the bias against mRNAs with a short poly(A) tail (41). We obtained >17 million reads of 42 bp, representing >6 times coverage of the Arabidopsis genome, from whole 10-day-old WT or ibm1-3 plants. By mapping the sequence reads to the reference genome (TAIR10), ~71% of the WT reads and 62% of the ibm1-3 reads mapped to unique genomic locations; of these, ~98% mapped to annotated exons (Supplementary Table S1). Furthermore, 24 013 (71.5%) of all annotated genes in the TAIR10 database were mapped to by at least one read (Figure 1a). Thus, mRNA-Seq provides quantitative data for studies of transcription (39,42).

At P < 0.05 and Q < 0.01, 4912 genes were identified as differentially expressed between ibm1-3 and WT, with an estimated absolute log₂-fold change >0.5, and 40.9% (2007 genes) of these exhibited a log₂-fold change >1 (Figure 1b and Supplementary Table S2). Unlike the variations in DNA methylation seen previously in ibm1 (i.e. elevated DNA methylation levels in more than 1000 genes but reduced levels in just a few) (29), almost equal numbers of genes were up- or downregulated at the level of transcription in our mRNA-Seq analysis (2869 up- to 2043 downregulated) (Figure 1b and Supplementary Table S2). Since IBM1 is a histone demethylase with the ability to remove methyl group(s) from H3K9me2, a marker of transcriptional repression, the loss of IBM1 may lead to the reduced expression or silencing of its targets. Hence, the enhanced expression of multiple genes seen in this study suggests the indirect regulation of gene expression by IBM1.

From the list of modulated genes, we found that RDR2 and DCL3, which encode necessary factors in siRNA biogenesis and the RdDM pathway, were obviously decreased in ibm1; in contrast, RDR6 and DCL4, homologs of RDR2 and DCL3 with divergent functions, remained unchanged in ibm1 compared with WT plants (Supplementary Table S3). Further examination by qPCR verified the downregulation of RDR2 and DCL3 in ibm1. However, there was no significant difference in the expression of NRPD1A and AGO4, two other key members of the RdDM pathway (Figure 1c). These results were reproducible in three ibm1 alleles (Figure 1c), suggesting that IBM1 is required to maintain normal transcriptional levels of RDR2 and DCL3 in Arabidopsis.

IBM1 associates directly with and demethylates RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin

In a previous study, IBM1 was clustered with the human JHDM2 subfamily, which is known to specifically demethylate H3K9me2 and H3K9me1 (43–45); IBM1 was also shown to catalyze H3K9me2 and H3K9me1 demethylation in Arabidopsis (26). To address whether IBM1 regulates the expression of RDR2 and DCL3 by affecting the histone methylation status of the chromatin at these loci, we performed a ChIP assay using a series of primers covering different regions across RDR2 and DCL3 (Figure 2a).
In WT plants, the H3K9me2 level was extremely low across RDR2 and DCL3 (Figure 2b, c and e), which is consistent with the active function of these genes in growth and development. The H3K9me2 levels in ibm1 were distinctly increased in regions P2 and P3, which represent the gene body of RDR2 (Figure 2b and c). In addition, the level of H3K4me3, which is a gene activation marker and antagonist to H3K9me2, was obviously reduced in ibm1 in the intragenic, but not in the upstream or downstream region, of RDR2 (Figure 2b and d).

Nearly the same results were obtained for DCL3 by ChIP: the H3K9me2 level was distinctly increased in regions P2–P7 of the DCL gene body, whereas the H3K4me3 level in DCL3 chromatin was regulated in an antagonistic manner to H3K9me2 in ibm1 (Figure 2b, e and f). These results indicate that IBM1 induces RDR2 and DCL3 expression by demethylating H3K9me2 within their loci in vivo.

To determine how K3K9 methylation regulates the transcription of RDR2 and DCL3, we examined the recruitment of Pol II to RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin in WT and ibm1 plants. Pol II accumulation was decreased at RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin when IBM1 was absent (Supplementary Figure S2a and b), which is consistent with the downregulation of their expression in ibm1. However, accumulation of the initiation (Ser5 phosphorylated) and elongation (Ser2 phosphorylated) forms of Pol II at RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin was unchanged in ibm1 (Supplementary Figure S2c–f), suggesting that H3K9 methylation is not involved in the transcriptional initiation or the elongation of RDR2 and DCL3.

The above results suggest that IBM1 binds directly to RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin to regulate their H3K9 methylation status locally. To investigate the direct association of IBM1 with RDR2 and DCL3 chromatin, we performed a ChIP assay using transgenic plants expressing 35S::YFP::IBM1 in an ibm1-3 background. The integrated IBM1 in the transgenic plants was expressed in vivo, as demonstrated by its ability to complement all of the mutant phenotypes of ibm1-3, including its embryonic defect and abnormally sharp rosette leaves (Figure 3b and c).

Using YFP-specific antibodies, the chromatin associated with IBM1 was immunoprecipitated and measured by qPCR. Our results indicate that DNA fragments from the upstream and intragenic regions of RDR2, and from the intragenic region of DCL3, were obviously enriched by IBM1 in the transgenic plants (Figure 3e and f). The occupancy of IBM1 was detected at a higher density in regions P3, P4 and P5 of DCL3, and in the P1,
P2 and P3 regions of RDR2 than in any other region within that locus, in agreement with the increase in H3K9me2 induced by a defect in IBM1 (Figure 2b, c and e). In summary, these data suggest that IBM1 associates directly with the gene body of DCL3, and with both the promoter and gene body of RDR2 in vivo, and that it regulates the H3K9me2 status at these loci to control their expression.

IBM1 mediates the non-CG DNA methylation of RDR2 and DCL3

Previous genetic and molecular studies have demonstrated a close relationship between H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation (20,25). Given the function of IBM1 in the regulation of H3K9 methylation, we examined the cytosine methylation state of target loci of IBM1. Using a bisulfite assay, the intragenic regions of RDR2 (+2509 to +2702) and DCL3 (+4204 to +4396) were amplified, cloned and sequenced. The regions in RDR2 and DCL3 selected for bisulfite sequencing had the highest IBM1 occupancy and greatest increase in H3K9me2 in ibm1 (Figure 4).

Considerable CG methylation was detected in the WT plants, but almost no methylated cytosines were found in the context of CHG or asymmetric cytosines in RDR2 and DCL3 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Tables S4 and S5). It has been reported that a large number of genes carry CG methylation within their coding sequences (3). Unlike non-CG methylation in the promoter or 5' region, intragenic CG methylation clearly does not function to silence gene expression (4,46). In all three ibm1 alleles, the non-CG methylation levels at RDR2 and DCL3 were remarkably elevated (Figure 4 and Supplementary Tables S4 and S5), in agreement with previous data.
showing a correlation between H3K9 and DNA cytosine methylation. The non-CG hypermethylation of RDR2 and DCL3 in ibm1 indicates that the increase in expression of RDR2 and DCL3 caused by IBM1 is a consequence of integrated regulation by different epigenetic modifications.

The mutation of IBM1 causes a decrease in gene-specific siRNAs

In Arabidopsis, a whole-genome tiling array analysis revealed dozens of upregulated transcripts in rdr2, some of which have predicted siRNA loci (47). Previous studies revealed the dramatic enrichment of 21-nt miRNAs and tasiRNAs, and a corresponding reduction in 24-nt siRNAs, in rdr2 (48–51). Also, del3 shows a similar pattern to rdr2 in terms of its small RNA population (49).

To determine whether the reduction in RDR2 and DCL3 expression in ibm1 affects the global profile of siRNA biogenesis, we performed unbiased Illumina small RNA sequencing using WT and ibm1-3 plants. A total of 24 911 693 reads in WT and 24 845 025 reads in ibm1-3 were obtained. After eliminating unmatch nucleotides, 21 461 549 sequences (86.2% of the total reads) in WT and 22 014 858 sequences (88.6% of the total reads) in ibm1-3 were found to have at least one perfect match in the Arabidopsis nuclear genome, whereas 5 088 056 reads in WT and 6 562 528 reads in ibm1-3 were considered to be small RNAs after eliminating those reads corresponding to tRNAs, rRNAs, snRNAs and snoRNAs. A total of 45.4% of the small RNAs in WT (2 310 921 reads) and 48.7% of those in ibm1-3 (3 195 421 reads) were mapped to unique genomic loci. Those small RNAs that mapped to the genome of siRNAs associated with genic, intergenic and transposable sequences were distinctly enriched in ibm1-3 (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S3). In summary, the defect in siRNA biogenesis detected in ibm1 is specific to a group of genes, instead of being a genome-wide effect.

To further investigate the regulation of small RNA biogenesis by IBM1, the small RNAs mapped to the Arabidopsis genome were categorized based on their sizes, species, functions and genomic locations (54,55). The locations included intergenic regions, annotated protein-coding genes, transposable elements, repetitive sequences and the promoter or 3′ region of coding genes (Table 1). Based on the known proportions in the miRNA library, the overall enrichment of miRNA in ibm1-3 was 1.7-fold, which is similar to the previously reported 1.8-fold increase in rdr2 (48). In comparison, the overall enrichment of tasiRNAs in ibm1-3 was 1.4-fold (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S3). Although the mechanism of miRNA and tasiRNA enrichment by the knockout or downregulation of RDR2 and DCL3 is unclear, it is most likely an indirect effect. In comparison, other fractions of small RNAs, like the 24-nt siRNAs associated with genetic, intergenic and transposable sequences, showed no obvious differences between WT and ibm1-3 (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S3).

To analyze the distribution of loci generating small RNAs on a genome-wide scale.

Size profiling revealed that although the population of 21-nt small RNAs was increased in ibm1-3, similar to rdr2 (50,52), the population of 24-nt siRNAs was not dramatically reduced (Figure 5b and Table 1). These data suggest that, unlike rdr2 and del3, in which siRNA biosynthesis was completely blocked, the ~50% decrease in RDR2 and DCL3 in ibm1 only affected a small portion of siRNAs.

Further, we found the distinct accumulation of siRNAs mapping to the genic regions of RDR2 in ibm1-3, which is in agreement with the increase in non-CG methylation in the gene body and repression of mRNA transcription (Figures 1c and 4 and Supplementary Table S3). In summary, the defect in siRNA biogenesis detected in ibm1 is specific to a group of genes, instead of being a genome-wide effect.

Genes regulated by IBM1 through RdDM

SDC is a protein-coding gene silenced by siRNA-mediated DNA methylation in WT plants. The 24-nt siRNAs homologous to tandem repeats in the promoter of SDC are undetectable in rdr2 and del3 (18), suggesting a genetic requirement for RDR2 and DCL3 in establishing and maintaining SDC in a silent state. To test whether the reduction in RDR2 and DCL3 expression in ibm1 resulted in defective siRNA biogenesis and RdDM, we used SDC expression, which indicates the functional activity of the RdDM pathway in Arabidopsis, as a molecular marker.

Using mRNA-Seq, the reads that mapped to exons of SDC were distinctly enriched in ibm1-3 (Supplementary Figure S4a and Supplementary Table S3). In addition, qPCR confirmed the ≥20-fold accumulation of SDC mRNA in all three ibm1 alleles (Supplementary Figure S4b). Subsequently, we analyzed DNA methylation in the
Figure 5. Small RNA sequencing in WT and ibm1 plants. (a) Scrolling-window analysis of small RNAs in ibm1-3 and WT. The reads produced by Illumina sequencing were mapped to the Arabidopsis genome, and the small RNA density distributions in 100-kb sliding windows with a 50-kb slide were counted along the chromosomes and are shown as the read number per bp. Bars with >10 reads/bp are not shown in this figure. (b) Comparison of the size distribution of small RNAs in WT and ibm1-3. The percentage of reads of a given length in all small RNA reads was calculated. (c) Scatterplot comparing the amounts of endogenous siRNAs generated from each locus between ibm1-3 and WT. The number of siRNA reads for each locus was counted and normalized to the scale of the libraries. RPM: reads per million.

Table 1. Global view of the small RNA clusters in ibm1 and WT plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>WT reads of small RNA</th>
<th>WT signatures</th>
<th>ibm1-3 reads of small RNA</th>
<th>ibm1-3 signatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5 088 056</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6 562 528</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miRNA</td>
<td>481 980</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>1 050 165</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tasiRNA</td>
<td>142 722</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2 544 005</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem repeats</td>
<td>137 206</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>144 885</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposon</td>
<td>253 933</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>301 960</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>373 619</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>408 169</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-LTR</td>
<td>103 559</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>114 238</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted repeats</td>
<td>75 791</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>100 064</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-coding</td>
<td>888 374</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>1 084 449</td>
<td>16.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoter regions</td>
<td>1 194 633</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>1 418 967</td>
<td>21.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream regions</td>
<td>157 753</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>187 536</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1 278 486</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>1 498 090</td>
<td>22.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consistent with the downregulation of northern blotting (Supplementary Figure S5), which is detect the siRNA levels: the TaqMan ibm1 in the above-mentioned 246 loci in WT and ibm1 siRNA levels of several randomly selected genes from levels of two RDR2- and DCL3-dependent marker transcription and partially affected the small RNA-mediated DNA methylation of the SDC locus.

To investigate whether the reduction in local siRNAs could influence the expression of other genes, we combined our mRNA-Seq and small RNA sequencing data. Since RdDM exerts a repressive effect at the level of transcription, the downregulation of RDR2 and DCL3, and the resulting decrease in siRNAs in ibm1, should release the repressed genes. Thus, we expected to find genes with upregulated expression and downregulated local siRNA production caused by the mutation of IBM1.

Screening based on these criteria revealed 246 loci (7.41%) whose local siRNA levels were reduced in ibm1-3, and which were upregulated by a log2-fold change >0.5 in terms of mRNA accumulation; among them, 127 (3.83%) loci were upregulated by a log2-fold change >1. Our real-time PCR results confirmed that the mRNA levels of these randomly selected genes were upregulated in ibm1-3 (Figure 6a). In addition, all of them were significantly upregulated in both rdr2 and dcl3 (Figure 6a).

To detect the reduction in siRNAs in ibm1, we conducted northern blotting to analyze the corresponding siRNA levels of several randomly selected genes from the above-mentioned 246 loci in WT and ibm1 plants. Due to the low levels of those siRNAs, we could not detect the siRNA signals, even in WT plants, by northern blotting. However, we detected a reduction in the siRNA levels of two RDR2- and DCL3-dependent marker transposable elements, SIMPLEHAT2 and AtREP2, by northern blotting (Supplementary Figure S5), which is consistent with the downregulation of RDR2 and DCL3 in ibm1. Thus, we employed a more sensitive approach to detect the siRNA levels: the TaqMan* small RNA assay. Among the four loci we selected, the target siRNAs of three were specifically detected, and all three showed an obvious decrease in ibm1 (Figure 6c).

To determine whether these loci with increased expression and decreased siRNA levels are regulated by the RdDM pathway, we examined their DNA methylation status by bisulfite sequencing. For all eight randomly selected loci, the methylation levels in ibm1 were decreased (Figure 6b and Supplementary Table S8), consistent with the observed reduction in siRNAs and transcriptional release. These results indicate that the indirect repression of these novel targets by IBM1 is dependent on RdDM-directed DNA hypermethylation.

In summary, by analyzing the transcriptome and small RNA profiles of WT and ibm1-3 plants, more than 100 candidate genes were identified that exhibited an increased mRNA level and decreased siRNA accumulation in ibm1. These data suggest a mechanism whereby IBM1 regulates a series of protein-coding genes through the RdDM-dependent control of siRNA biogenesis.

**DISCUSSION**

The expression level of a gene is controlled by its histone modification status. For example, H3K4me3 is an activation marker, whereas H3K9me2 is a repression marker (56,57). IBM1 is a conserved JmjC family histone demethylase in Arabidopsis that specifically removes methyl group(s) from H3K9me2 and H3K9me1; thus, it works as a gene activator (26,29). Therefore, we expected that the expression of multiple genes would be repressed in ibm1. However, the results of our mRNA-Seq analysis revealed that in addition to the repression of more than 2000 genes, a similar number of genes were derepressed (Figure 1b and Supplementary Table S2). This suggests that IBM1 directly represses gene expression by demethylating H3K9me2 chromatin, and that it indirectly increases gene expression by an unknown mechanism.

We compared the genes with a hypermethylated cytosine in ibm1 from Miura et al. (29) with the genes that exhibited transcriptional downregulation in ibm1-3 based on our mRNA-Seq data, and found that among 2043 genes, 309 (15.1%) were also hypermethylated at cytosines in ibm1 by at least 1.41-fold (Supplementary Figure S6a). We also observed the accumulation of 24-nt small RNAs in the gene body regions of these hypermethylated genes (Supplementary Table S9). These results indicate that the genes exhibiting both DNA hypermethylation and downregulated expression in ibm1 may be direct targets of IBM1.

In our high-throughput mRNA-Seq analysis, we found that the expression of two essential factors required for siRNA biogenesis and the RdDM pathway, RDR2 and DCL3, was downregulated in ibm1, and this observation was confirmed by qPCR (Figure 1c and Supplementary Table S3). Consistent with this observation, we found that among the 497 genes upregulated significantly in ibm1 (Figure 1c), suggesting that other regulators
besides IBM1 are necessary for the regulation of RDR2 and DCL3 expression and the RdDM pathway. Further, besides RDR2 and DCL3, there are other direct targets of IBM1 in the genome, and a number of them were downregulated and hypermethylated in ibm1 (Supplementary Figure S6a).

RDR2 and DCL3 play essential roles in siRNA biogenesis in cells, and especially in the production of 24-nt siRNAs (10). A genome-wide expression profile analysis of the small RNAs in ibm1 revealed a reduction in siRNAs specific for a group of loci (Figure 5c). The reduction in these siRNAs was confirmed by a TaqMan® small RNA assay (Figure 6c). Subsequent experiments showed that the locus-specific regulation of siRNAs by IBM1 regulated the DNA methylation of their target genes, and ultimately mediated the expression of these genes (Figure 6), demonstrating the indirect function of IBM1 in the regulation of gene expression via the RdDM pathway.

We also observed that there were no global changes in 24-nt siRNAs between WT and ibm1 (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S2). This may be due to functional...
redundancy among RDR and DCL proteins, or to the fact that RDR2 and DCL3 expression was only reduced by 50% in ibm1 (Figure 1c).

Besides its direct function in protecting genes from silencing by preventing the coupling of histone and DNA methylation, IBM1 also works as a precise regulator that indirectly represses gene expression by mediating siRNA biogenesis and specifically controlling a set of siRNA-targeted genes through the RdDM pathway. These observations suggest that IBM1 regulates gene expression through two distinct pathways in plants to coordinate the response to environmental signals and endogenous cues: the direct inducement of gene expression and indirect repression.

During the processes whereby IBM1 achieves its function in gene regulation, RDR2 and DCL3, two components of the RdDM pathway, play an important role in the regulation of gene expression by IBM1, as they link the two pathways. In rdr2 and dcl3, 24-nt siRNAs are distinctly underrepresented (48, 49). However, in ibm1, in which the expression of RDR2 and DCL3 was downregulated (Figure 1c), there was no detectable difference in the abundance or distribution of 24-nt siRNAs by cluster or scrolling-window analysis (Figure 5a and Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, a pericentromeric concentration of heterochromatic siRNA was detected in ibm1 (Supplementary Figure S1), and the reduction in siRNAs in ibm1 was limited to a specific group of loci, rather than being on a genome-wide scale (Figure 5c). These results indicate distinct dosage requirements for RDR2 and DCL3 in the biogenesis of different 24-nt siRNAs. However, the biochemical mechanism underlying the differential requirement for these enzymes in the biosynthesis of siRNAs remains unclear.
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