The helicase-binding domain of *Escherichia coli* DnaG primase interacts with the highly conserved C-terminal region of single-stranded DNA-binding protein
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ABSTRACT

During bacterial DNA replication, DnaG primase and the \( \chi \) subunit of DNA polymerase III compete for binding to single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB), thus facilitating the switch between priming and elongation. SSB proteins play an essential role in DNA metabolism by protecting single-stranded DNA and by mediating several important protein–protein interactions. Although an interaction of SSB with primase has been previously reported, it was unclear which domains of the two proteins are involved. This study identifies the C-terminal helicase-binding domain of DnaG primase (DnaG-C) and the highly conserved C-terminal region of SSB as interaction sites. By ConSurf analysis, it can be shown that an array of conserved amino acids on DnaG-C forms a hydrophobic pocket surrounded by basic residues, reminiscent of known SSB-binding sites on other proteins. Using protein–protein cross-linking, site-directed mutagenesis, analytical ultracentrifugation and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, we demonstrate that these conserved amino acid residues are involved in the interaction with SSB. Even though the C-terminal domain of DnaG primase also participates in the interaction with DnaB helicase, the respective binding sites on the surface of DnaG-C do not overlap, as SSB binds to the N-terminal subdomain, whereas DnaB interacts with the ultimate C-terminus.

INTRODUCTION

DNA replication is a fundamental process of life. In Eubacteria, DnaB helicase (1) is loaded onto the template DNA (2,3) where it recruits DnaG primase. Both proteins interact and form part of the primosome (4,5), a protein complex in which DnaB unwinds the DNA double-strand in an adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent fashion (1) and primase generates short oligoribonucleotides (RNA primers) (6,7) as starting points of replication. After finishing primer synthesis, DnaG primase detaches from the helicase and stays bound to the primed template (8,9). Owing to the antiparallel nature of double-stranded DNA and the fact that DNA polymerases synthesize DNA exclusively in the 5′–3′ direction, only the leading strand can be synthesized continuously, whereas the lagging strand has to be synthesized in Okazaki fragments of \( \sim 1000 \) nucleotides in length (10).

The main bacterial replication enzyme, DNA polymerase III holoenzyme (11), can be subdivided into three distinct substructures (12). The core contains the polymerase and proofreading activities (13), the homodimeric \( \beta \) sliding clamp ties the polymerase to its substrate and ensures processive DNA synthesis (14), and the \([\gamma/\psi]_3\delta\delta'\psi\] clamp loader complex opens the ring-shaped \( \beta \) clamp and loads it onto the DNA using ATP hydrolysis (15). Whereas the accessory subunits \( \chi \) and \( \Psi \) are not necessary for clamp loading itself (16), the \( \chi \) protein provides the only direct link between DNA polymerase III and SSB, the single-stranded DNA-binding protein (17,18). SSB protects single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at the lagging strand from nucleolytic attack and configures it for efficient replication by DNA polymerase III.

The primary structure of *Escherichia coli* SSB (EcoSSB) consists of three different regions. The N-terminal part of the protein comprises the DNA-binding domain (OB-fold) (19–21), which is followed by a largely unstructured region, rich in glycine and proline residues. The protein sequence is terminated by a highly conserved C-terminal region harbouring the amphipathic consensus sequence DDDIPF.
Apart from binding to the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III, EcoSSB has been shown to interact with more than a dozen other proteins, including exonuclease I, RecQ and DnaG primase (17,22–25). In all cases investigated so far, protein–protein interaction requires the conserved C-terminal part of EcoSSB (26), which is essential for cellular viability, as SSB truncation variants lacking C-terminal amino acids cannot complement for wild-type protein (27). An exchange of the penultimate amino acid of EcoSSB from proline to serine produces the ssh-113 strain, which shows temperature-sensitive conditional lethality (28), and extension of EcoSSB by a C-terminal glycine residue (SSB+Gly) results in slower cell growth, indicating impaired protein function in vivo (29).

Proteolytic digestion of DnaG primase has shown that the protein is composed of three different domains (30). Whereas no 3D structure of full-length DnaG is available to date, the structures of all three domains have been solved separately, either from E. coli or Geobacillus stea thermo philus: the N-terminal zinc-binding domain, which is involved in template recognition (31), the central RNA polymerase domain, which is responsible for the actual primer synthesis (32), and the C-terminal helicase-binding domain (DnaG-C), which is essential for coupling primer synthesis to replication fork progression (33). It has been shown that the last eight amino acids of the C-terminal helix hairpin of DnaG-C are responsible for interaction with DnaB helicase (5,9,34). The domain of DnaG mediating the interaction with SSB has not been identified so far.

During lagging strand replication, the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III and DnaG primase compete for binding to EcoSSB to enable a primase-to-polymerase switch. Each time the synthesis of a new Okazaki fragment is started, the χ subunit detaches primase from the newly synthesized RNA primer, so that a new β sliding clamp can be placed onto the primed template (22). Whereas it is known that the SSB/χ interaction involves the C-terminal region of EcoSSB and their binding site has been characterized recently (29,35), not much is known about the interaction of EcoSSB and DnaG primase (26).

Using protein–protein cross-linking, site-directed mutagenesis, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), analytical ultracentrifugation and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis, this study shows for the first time that the SSB/primase interaction is mediated by the highly conserved C-terminus of EcoSSB. Moreover, the SSB-binding site is mapped to the C-terminal helicase-binding domain of DnaG primase (8) and shares characteristic features with known SSB-binding sites of other proteins.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Buffers and reagents**

All materials were of the highest purity available and were obtained from Sigma, Pierce and J.T. Baker. The SSB-Carb peptide containing the last nine amino acids of EcoSSB and comprising the sequence WMDFDDDPF was synthesized by Thermo Fisher, Germany. The N-terminal tryptophan residue was added to determine peptide concentration [extinction coefficient 5690 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 280 nm (36)].

Protein concentrations were determined using the following extinction coefficients at 280 nm: 45 840 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for full-length DnaG, 33 350 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for DnaG-N (amino acid residues 1–433 of DnaG), 12 490 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for DnaG-C (amino acid residues 434–581 of DnaG) and all its mutants; all of these extinction coefficients were calculated from amino acid composition using the program Sdneterp (36,37). An extinction coefficient at 280 nm of 113 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used for EcoSSB wild-type and SSB+Gly (38). SSB concentrations are given in tetramers throughout the text.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed with the Quik Change site-directed mutagenesis kit of Stratagene (LaJolla, USA) and vectors pET-15bDnaG (see below) or pKL1176 (kindly provided by Dr. N. E. Dixon, University of Wollongong) containing DnaG-C (39). The point mutations were introduced using the following oligonucleotides (MWG Biotech.), the antisense primers for each mutant have the reverse complementary sequence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DnaG-C</th>
<th>5'</th>
<th>CCTGTTCCGCGCAGCTAGCAGTGACCATGC</th>
<th>3'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-C</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>CCGAGCCTAAACGGCCACGCGCAT</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-C</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>CGCAGACCAATGGCCATACCTA</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-C</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>GAAATGTTGATGAAATGCATC</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-C</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>CGGTGACCCTTTGAACCTTAGTCAT</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-C</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>GGACGACGTAATGGAGTAACCAAAAAC</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaG-N</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>GAACGATATAGCAGATGCAAA</td>
<td>3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the DnaG-C mutants, the amino acid residue mentioned in the primer name was replaced by a different amino acid [e.g. in the case of DnaG-C K447A, the lysine residue at position 447 of full-length DnaG was substituted by an alanine. DnaG-C, however, starts at position 434 of DnaG (8)]. For the DnaG-N mutant, a stop codon was inserted at position 434 of DnaG in vector pET-15bDnaG. All mutated constructs were checked for errors by sequencing the complete gene (GATC Biotech., AG, Germany).

**Protein preparation**

Expression and purification of EcoSSB and EcoSSB+Gly were performed as described previously (29).

Vector pET-15bDnaG carries the E. coli primase gene under control of the T7 promoter. It was constructed by amplifying the respective genomic region of E. coli strain LK111λ (40) with the following oligonucleotides: 5'-AGGACATGATGGCTGGACGAAATCACCAGC-3' and 5'-AGGACATCGAGTCGATTTTCTGCCAGTCTGCG-3'. The PCR product was cut by NeoI and XhoI and ligated into pET-15b treated with the same enzymes.

---
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pET-15bDnaG was used to transform E. coli strain Rosetta (DE3) pLysS (Novagen). Protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and cells were harvested after 4.5 h at 37°C. The cell pellet was washed in 50 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), pH 8.3, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 10% (w/v) sucrose and then resuspended in the same buffer containing 15 mM spermidine and flash-frozen in N₂ (liq). For purification of DnaG, the cell suspension was thawed and ‘Complete EDTA free Protease Inhibitor Tablets’ (Roche) were added according to volume as well as 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.04% sodium deoxycholate. After cell lysis by sonicication, the sample was centrifuged for 45 min at 120 000 g and the protein was precipitated from the supernatant with 194 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄. The pellet was resuspended in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA and dialysed extensively against the same buffer. The sample was applied to a Q-Sepharose FF (GE Healthcare) column equilibrated with dialysis buffer and a linear gradient up to 400 mM NaCl was used to elute the protein. Fractions containing DnaG were pooled and the protein was precipitated by adding 250 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄. The pellet was dissolved in 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT (buffer A) and applied to a HiLoad-26/60-Superdex-75-prepgrade column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with the same buffer. Fractions of pure DnaG were pooled and precipitated as before. The pellet was dissolved in buffer A containing 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA, dialysed against the same buffer, flash-frozen in N₂ (liq) and stored at −80°C.

DnaG-N expression was induced by adding 1 mM IPTG to a Rosetta (DE3) pLysS culture transformed with pET-15bDnaG-N. Cells were harvested after 5 h at 37°C. The cell pellet was washed and resuspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10% (w/v) sucrose and flash-frozen in N₂ (liq). For purification, an equal volume of 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA (buffer B) was added to the cell suspension together with protease inhibitors as described above. After cell lysis, the sample was centrifuged for 45 min at 120 000 g and the protein was precipitated from the supernatant with 300 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄. The pellet was resuspended in and dialysed extensively against buffer B. The sample was applied to a Blue-Sepharose (41) column equilibrated with buffer B, and a linear gradient up to 3 M NaCl was used to elute the protein. Fractions containing DnaG-N were pooled, and the protein was precipitated by adding 400 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄. The pellet was dissolved in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT and 0.5 mM EDTA (buffer C) and dialysed extensively against the same buffer. The sample was applied to a Q-Sepharose FF column equilibrated with dialysis buffer and a linear gradient up to 500 mM NaCl was used. Fractions containing DnaG-N were pooled, and the protein was precipitated as before. The pellet was dissolved in buffer C containing 200 mM NaCl and applied to a HiLoad-26/60-Superdex-75-prepgrade column equilibrated with the same buffer. Fractions containing pure DnaG-N were pooled and precipitated with 500 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄. The pellet was dissolved in and dialysed against buffer C containing 200 mM NaCl, flash-frozen in N₂ (liq) and stored at −80°C.

Vector pKL1176 containing DnaG-C or mutants thereof under control of the λp₁ and λp₂ promoters (39) was used to transform Rosetta (DE3) pLysS. Protein expression was induced by a temperature shift from 30°C to 42°C, and cells were harvested 4 h after induction. DnaG-C protein and its mutants were purified essentially as in (39). However, the supernatant of the cell lysate was precipitated with 270 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄, and anion exchange chromatography was performed on a Q-Sepharose FF column. For size exclusion chromatography, a HiLoad-26/60-Superdex-75-prepgrade column was used. After purification, the protein was precipitated with 500 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄, dissolved in and dialysed against buffer A. The protein was flash-frozen in N₂ (liq) and stored at −80°C.

Uniformly ¹⁵N and ¹³C,¹⁵N-labelled DnaG-C were obtained by growing Rosetta (DE3) pLysS harbouring pKL1176 in M9 minimal medium (42) containing 1 g/l ¹⁵NH₄Cl (Sigma) and either ¹²C₆-Glucose or ¹³C₆-Glucose (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) at 4 g/l, respectively, as sole nitrogen and carbon sources at 42°C. The expression and purification were performed in the same way as for unlabelled DnaG-C. The pure protein was dialysed extensively against 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 5 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, then flash-frozen in N₂ (liq) and stored at −80°C.

After purification, the proteins were checked by analytical ultracentrifugation for homogeneity. All mutants showed essentially the same c(s) distributions as wild-type protein, no hint for changes in tertiary structure due to the introduced mutations could be found.

Cross-linking experiments

DnaG and SSB were used in cross-linking reactions with the zero-length cross-linker 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, Pierce), which is able to covalently link carboxyl groups to closely neighbouring amino groups under the formation of an amide bond. The reactions were performed in a buffer containing 1 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM NaCl, 8% (w/v) glycerol and 1 mM DTT (extra low salt buffer) with 25 μM DnaG full-length, DnaG-C or DnaG-N, respectively, 5 μM SSB and 50 mM EDC. Samples and controls (lacking the respective interaction partner in absence or presence of EDC) were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Then, the samples were diluted 1:5 in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) loading buffer [50% (v/v) glycerol, 0.16 M Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 2% (w/v) SDS, 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue] and heated to 95°C for 10 min. Samples and controls were analysed on a 12 or 13.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE), respectively (43).

Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC experiments were performed at 25°C using a Nano ITC microcalorimeter (TA instruments, USA) in a buffer containing 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, and
8% (w/v) glycerol, supplemented with 5 mM or 150 mM NaCl, respectively. After protein dialysis, the SSB-Carb peptide was dissolved in the buffer used for dialysis. In a typical experiment, 1.3 ml of DnaG-C solution (~50 μM) were filled into the sample cell and titrated with a solution of ~1 mM SSB-Carb peptide. An initial injection of 2.1 μl was followed by 19 injections of 4.9 μl SSB-Carb solution. Data were collected for 500 s after each injection. After baseline subtraction, the area under each peak was determined to yield the integrated heat response per injection. Each data set was corrected for heats of dilution as a function of radial position. Programming of the experiment, the absorption of the sample at 280 nm was recorded as a function of radial position. Recording of the instrument software package NanoAnalyze.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation velocity experiments were performed in a ProteomeLab™ XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter, USA), using an An50Ti rotor. During the experiment, the absorption of the sample at 280 nm was recorded as a function of radial position. Programming of the centrifuge and data recording was done using the computer software ProteomeLab™ XL-I GUI v6.0 (Beckman Coulter, USA). Samples with a volume of 400 μl were centrifuged in 12 mm standard double sector cells at 20°C and 50 000 rpm. All experiments were carried out in a buffer containing 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 5 mM NaCl, 8% (w/v) glycerol and 0.5 mM DTT (low salt buffer).

To check whether the binding of DnaG is mediated by the C-terminus of SSB, 2 μM SSB or SSB+Gly were titrated with increasing concentrations of full-length DnaG (4 μM to 14 μM). In the case of DnaG-N, 2.5 μM of SSB and 5 to 25 μM of DnaG-N were used. For DnaG-C, 2.5 μM SSB were titrated with increasing concentrations of DnaG-C wild-type or mutant, ranging from 5 to 42.5 μM, representing stoichiometries of 1:2 to 1:17. The measured data were evaluated with the program package SEDFIT (44). This program provides a model for diffusion-deconvoluted differential sedimentation coefficient distributions [c(s) distributions]. As the areas under the separate peaks in c(s) distributions are a measure of the absorbance of the species represented by the peaks (45), this information can be used to determine binding isotherms (29,46,47).

NMR experiments

Samples for NMR-spectroscopy (600 μl) were in a buffer containing 5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 5 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, supplemented with 10% (v/v) D₂O. For the titration experiment, 15N-labelled DnaG-C was used; assignment of the protein backbone was obtained with 13C,15N-labelled protein. The peptide was added from a stock solution of 30 mM SSB-Carb in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a sample of 300 μM 15N-labelled protein to yield final concentrations of 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6 and 1 mM of peptide. For the assignment of backbone resonances, samples with or without 1 mM SSB-Carb were measured.

NMR spectra were recorded at 600 MHz (1H frequency) on a Bruker AV-III spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Germany) using a cryogenically cooled 5-mm TXI-triple resonance probe equipped with a one-axis self-shielded gradient. All spectra were recorded at 295 K. The titration was performed recording SOFAST-HMQC (48) spectra, each spectrum was recorded using 16 scans and 512* 256* data points (where n* refers to the number of complex points). For the assignment of the protein without peptide, a full set of six triple-resonance experiments (49) was performed: HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCO, HN(CA)CO, HNCACB, HN(CO)CAB; all were run as BEST (50) experiments with 32 scans and 512* 48* 64* data points, only the HNCO was run with 16 scans. The HNCA and HN(CO)CA experiments were repeated using identical parameters after addition of 1 mM peptide.

Data were processed using tospin 3.1 (Bruker Biospin, Germany) and subsequently transferred to CCPN (51) for analysis. After the assignment had been obtained, the shift caused by SSB-Carb was calculated from the spectra without peptide and the one with a peptide concentration of 1 mM using the formula shift = sqrt {[Δδ(1H)]^2 + [Δδ(15N)/10]}^2} (where Δδ is the difference in chemical shift in the respective dimensions).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Complex formation between DnaG primase and SSB is important for the primase-to-polymerase switch at the lagging strand of the DNA replication fork, where a competition of the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III with DnaG primase for binding to SSB ensures primer hand-off to the polymerase (22). Whereas it is known that the SSB/primase interaction involves the C-terminal region of EcoSSB and their binding site was characterized recently (29,35), no details are known about the interaction of SSB and DnaG. To identify the interacting domains of SSB and primase and to characterize their binding site, different mutants of DnaG and EcoSSB were analysed for their interaction.

The interaction of SSB and primase is mediated by the C-terminus of SSB

For EcoSSB, at least 14 different proteins involved in DNA replication, repair and recombination have been identified as interaction partners. In all cases investigated so far, protein–protein interaction requires the conserved C-terminal region of SSB (26). To find out whether the SSB/primase interaction also relies on this region, the binding of DnaG primase to EcoSSB wild-type and a C-terminal extension mutant of EcoSSB, SSB+Gly, was examined by analytical ultracentrifugation. SSB+Gly carries an additional glycine residue at the ultimate C-terminus and is severely impaired in binding to the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III, as the final phenylalanine residue of SSB can no longer fit into the well-defined binding pocket on χ (29).
When two proteins interact, they form a complex of a larger mass, which usually sediments faster than both proteins alone. Figure 1 shows diffusion-deconvoluted sedimentation coefficient distributions \([c(s)]\ distributions\) obtained from analytical ultracentrifugation experiments for the interaction of DnaG primase with wild-type EcoSSB or the SSB+Gly mutant under low salt conditions. Whereas free DnaG showed a sedimentation coefficient of 3.4 S under these conditions, free EcoSSB and SSB+Gly sedimeted with an s-value of 3.9 S (Figure 1). In the case of EcoSSB wild-type, this value increased to 5.1 S on addition of a 7-fold molar excess of DnaG, indicating complex formation (Figure 1A). However, when SSB+Gly was used in the experiment, no protein complex could be detected (Figure 1B). Therefore, also for the interaction of SSB and primase, the C-terminus of SSB is essential.

**DnaG-C contains the SSB-binding site**

The results from analytical ultracentrifugation showed that the C-terminus of SSB mediates the SSB/primase interaction. However, amino acid residues of the primase involved in complex formation remained to be identified.

As the C-terminal region of SSB contains several aspartate residues that may interact with basic residues of the primase, chemical cross-linking reactions with EDC were performed. This zero-length cross-linker forms amide bonds between closely adjacent carboxyl and amino groups (see ‘Material and Methods’ section). Incubation of DnaG with SSB and EDC resulted in the appearance of additional protein bands at higher apparent molecular weights in SDS-PAGE (Supplementary Figure S1). Therefore, a surface lysine of DnaG is most probably involved in the cross-link and reacts with one of the aspartates in the C-terminal region of SSB or its C-terminal carboxyl group, respectively.

To find out which residues had reacted with EDC, cross-linked protein samples were analysed by mass spectrometry, but, unfortunately, the experiments failed to give clear results. Because DnaG is relatively large and contains many lysine residues, it became necessary to divide the full-length protein into two parts for further analysis: on the one hand, the N-terminal two-thirds of the sequence (DnaG-N, amino acid residues 1–433 of DnaG), containing the zinc-binding and the RNA polymerase domain and on the other hand the C-terminal helicase-binding domain (DnaG-C, amino acid residues 434–581 of DnaG). Both constructs were originally derived from partial proteolysis of full-length DnaG and have been thoroughly analysed biochemically and structurally (9,33,34,39).

When DnaG-N and DnaG-C were used in cross-linking experiments, additional protein bands of higher apparent molecular weights only appeared upon incubation of DnaG-C with EcoSSB and EDC (Supplementary Figure S2). This result indicates that it is the C-terminal helicase-binding domain that is involved in the interaction of SSB and primase. However, a participation of DnaG-N could not be excluded, as EDC cross-linking relies on closely neighbouring residues, one of which has to be a lysine.

Therefore, both parts of DnaG were checked for their binding to EcoSSB by analytical ultracentrifugation. Figure 2 shows \(c(s)\) distributions obtained from sedimentation velocity experiments under low salt conditions. Whereas free DnaG-C and free DnaG-N sedimented with an s-value of 1.4 and 2.9 S, respectively (Figure 2), free EcoSSB showed a sedimentation coefficient of 3.9 S under these conditions. Upon addition of a 10-fold molar excess of DnaG-C, this value rose to 4.5 S, indicating complex formation (Figure 2A). Although DnaG-N has approximately three times the molecular weight of DnaG-C, addition of the same excess of DnaG-N to EcoSSB did not increase the sedimentation coefficient of SSB significantly (Figure 2B). Moreover, addition of DnaG-C resulted in a clear increase in the peak area of EcoSSB (reaction boundary, see below) in the \(c(s)\) distribution (Figure 2A). This is caused by an increase in absorbance due to DnaG-C co-sedimenting in complexes with SSB, an effect which is not observed in the case of DnaG-N. Thus, in the concentration range used, an interaction of DnaG-N with EcoSSB can be excluded and it is the C-terminal helicase-binding domain of primase that harbours the SSB-binding site.

---

**Figure 1.** Addition of a C-terminal glycine residue to EcoSSB abolishes the interaction with DnaG primase. (A) In the absence of DnaG (solid line), EcoSSB (2 μM) sediments with an s-value of 3.9 S. When a 7-fold molar excess of DnaG primase is applied (dashed line), the sedimentation coefficient of EcoSSB increases to 5.1 S, indicating complex formation. (B) In contrast, when the same excess of DnaG is added to 2 μM of the C-terminal extension mutant SSB+Gly (dashed line), s-values higher than that of DnaG and EcoSSB cannot be observed.
In reaction mixtures of SSB and DnaG-C, only two boundaries could be observed in sedimentation velocity profiles: A slower sedimenting boundary containing free DnaG-C and a faster one containing EcoSSB and all EcoSSB/DnaG-C complexes. When different ratios of EcoSSB and DnaG-C were used, the sedimentation coefficient of this reaction boundary increased with increasing amounts of DnaG-C. Therefore, the reaction must be fast on the timescale of sedimentation (52). As previously described for the SSB/C31 interaction (29,46,47,53), integration of the two peaks in the $c(s)$ distribution can be used to determine the concentrations of free and bound SSB-binding partner and subsequently the binding parameters. Fitting a model of four identical independent binding sites for DnaG-C on an EcoSSB tetramer to the data revealed an association constant ($K_A$) of $\sim 9 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (Figure 3). An overview of all binding constants determined by analytical ultracentrifugation can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

**DnaG-C interaction with a C-terminal peptide of SSB characterized by ITC**

To check whether the C-terminal region of SSB is sufficient for interaction with DnaG-C and to test the salt dependency of the interaction, binding of DnaG-C to a peptide comprising the last nine amino acids of SSB (SSB-Carb) was investigated by ITC experiments. First, DnaG-C was titrated with SSB-Carb peptide in low-salt buffer and analysed in sedimentation velocity experiments in an analytical ultracentrifuge. Lines represent theoretical binding isotherms calculated for a simple interaction model of one EcoSSB tetramer binding four DnaG-C molecules with the association constants given below. For comparison, a binding isotherm of the interaction of EcoSSB and DnaG-C wild-type (black triangles) with $K_d = 8.6 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ is shown in both parts of the figure (solid black line). (A) Replacing K528 by alanine (diamonds) did not significantly change the affinity of DnaG-C to SSB (solid grey line: $K_A = 7.4 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). Exchanging K447 to alanine (squares), however, had a strong effect on the SSB/DnaG-C interaction. Moreover, the R452A mutation dramatically lowered the binding affinity to SSB (grey triangles). (B) DnaG-C K478A (squares) behaved similarly to wild-type protein (solid grey line: $K_A = 6.2 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$), whereas an exchange of K518 to alanine dramatically decreased the binding affinity to SSB (grey triangles). The T450A mutation (diamonds) lowered the affinity by a factor of three (dashed line: $K_d = 2.8 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$).

Figure 3. Interaction of DnaG-C mutants with SSB. EcoSSB (2.5 $\mu$M) was mixed with different amounts of DnaG-C variants in low salt buffer and analysed in sedimentation velocity experiments in an analytical ultracentrifuge. Lines represent theoretical binding isotherms calculated for a simple interaction model of one EcoSSB tetramer binding four DnaG-C molecules with the association constants given below. For comparison, a binding isotherm of the interaction of EcoSSB and DnaG-C wild-type (black triangles) with $K_d = 8.6 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ is shown in both parts of the figure (solid black line). (A) Replacing K528 by alanine (diamonds) did not significantly change the affinity of DnaG-C to SSB (solid grey line: $K_A = 7.4 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). Exchanging K447 to alanine (squares), however, had a strong effect on the SSB/DnaG-C interaction. Moreover, the R452A mutation dramatically lowered the binding affinity to SSB (grey triangles). (B) DnaG-C K478A (squares) behaved similarly to wild-type protein (solid grey line: $K_A = 6.2 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$), whereas an exchange of K518 to alanine dramatically decreased the binding affinity to SSB (grey triangles). The T450A mutation (diamonds) lowered the affinity by a factor of three (dashed line: $K_d = 2.8 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$).

Figure 2. The C-terminal helicase-binding domain of primase contains the SSB-interaction site. EcoSSB (2.5 $\mu$M) was sedimented in the absence and presence of a 10-fold molar excess of (A) DnaG-C or (B) DnaG-N. Whereas the sedimentation coefficient of SSB increases from 3.9 S in the free state (A, solid line) to about 4.5 S upon addition of DnaG-C (A, dashed line), the s-value of SSB does not change significantly when DnaG-N is present (B).

Figure 2. The C-terminal helicase-binding domain of primase contains the SSB-interaction site. EcoSSB (2.5 $\mu$M) was sedimented in the absence and presence of a 10-fold molar excess of (A) DnaG-C or (B) DnaG-N. Whereas the sedimentation coefficient of SSB increases from 3.9 S in the free state (A, solid line) to about 4.5 S upon addition of DnaG-C (A, dashed line), the s-value of SSB does not change significantly when DnaG-N is present (B).
peptide occurs with a somewhat higher affinity than binding to full-length protein indicates that the DNA-binding domain of EcoSSB inhibits interaction with the C-terminal region. A similar inhibition was observed for the interaction of both the C31 subunit of DNA polymerase III and the replication restart protein PriA with EcoSSB (54). This effect could be overcome by binding SSB to ssDNA, indicating that in absence of ssDNA, the DNA-binding domain interacts with the negatively charged C-terminal region of SSB. Consistently, for C31, a 20-fold increase in SSB-binding affinity could be observed in presence of ssDNA under low salt conditions (18).

Because the highly conserved C-terminus of EcoSSB contains three aspartate residues and we could chemically cross-link EcoSSB to DnaG and DnaG-C by EDC (see Supplementary Figures S1 and S2), we wanted to test whether the ionic strength influences the DnaG-C/SSB-Carb interaction. At 150 mM NaCl, ITC analysis of this interaction revealed a 1:1 stoichiometry and a binding constant of \( K_a = 7.4 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1}, \Delta H = -41.3 \text{kJ/mol} \) (Figure 4B). Therefore, an increase in salt concentration from 5 to 150 mM NaCl decreases the affinity only by a factor of about three. This indicates that in the case of DnaG-C, the interaction with the amphipathic C-terminus of EcoSSB is dominated by hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonds rather than by electrostatic interactions.

Site-directed mutagenesis identifies lysines on DnaG-C involved in the interaction with SSB

Protein–protein cross-linking, analytical ultracentrifugation and ITC experiments have pinpointed the SSB-binding site to lysine residues on the surface of DnaG-C. This domain of DnaG contains six lysine residues, two of which (K580 and K581) are located in the helix hairpin at the ultimate C-terminus that is required for binding to DnaB helicase (5,9,34). Because it seemed unlikely that these two residues were also implicated in the interaction with SSB, we focused on the remaining four lysines, K447, K478, K518 and K528, and replaced them by alanine using site-directed mutagenesis.

When the mutants were analysed for their interaction with SSB by sedimentation velocity experiments, two of them, K478A and K528A, showed binding affinities similar to DnaG-C wild-type (Figure 3). In the case of the K447A and K518A mutants, however, the interaction with SSB was so severely impaired that no binding parameters could be obtained (Figure 3). This indicates that both K447 and K518 of DnaG-C are involved in the SSB/primase interaction.

A highly conserved pocket on the surface of DnaG-C takes part in the interaction with SSB

From the 3D structure of DnaG-C (33), it is known that residues K447 and K518 identified by us to be involved in the interaction with SSB are located rather close to each other on the surface of the protein. To identify a possible SSB-binding surface on DnaG-C, a ConSurf analysis (55) was performed that projected an alignment of 70 bacterial DnaG proteins on the known structure of E. coli DnaG-C (Figure 5). It revealed that K447 and K518 together with R452 form a highly conserved hydrophobic pocket (containing T450, M451, I455, L519 and W522) on the surface of the N-terminal subdomain of the protein. The highly conserved C-terminus of SSB is amphipathic, harbouring three acidic and three
Figure 5. Highly conserved basic and hydrophobic amino acid residues are clustered on the surface of DnaG-C. Using the ConSurf server (55), an alignment of ~70 bacterial DnaG proteins was projected on the known 3D structure of E. coli DnaG-C [pdb code: 2HAJ (33)]. On the right hand side of the structure, a cluster of highly conserved amino acid residues located on the surface of the N-terminal subdomain of DnaG-C can be observed. Similar to the other characterized SSB-binding sites, it consists of a hydrophobic patch (containing T450, M451 and W522), surrounded by a stretch of basic amino acids (K447, K518 and R452). The colour legend shows the conservation scores of the respective residues. Note that the C-terminal lysine residues K580 and K581 involved in interaction of DnaG-C with DnaB helicase (34) are also labelled.

hydrophobic residues (DDDIPF). Therefore, the basic residues on the surface of DnaG-C could interact with the aspartate residues and/or the C-terminal carboxyl group, whereas the hydrophobic pocket could accommodate the proline and phenylalanine residues at the ultimate C-terminus of SSB. A similar array of hydrophobic amino acids with adjacent basic residues forms the other four characterized SSB-binding sites on exonuclease I, RecQ, RecO and the α subunit of DNA polymerase III, respectively (29,35,56–58).

To test whether this highly conserved region is involved in the SSB/primase interaction, two additional amino acids of DnaG-C were exchanged, producing the T450A and R452A mutants, respectively. These proteins were checked for their binding to SSB by analytical ultracentrifugation under low salt conditions. As can be seen from Figure 3B, the T450A mutant showed a significant decrease in affinity to SSB ($K_a = 2.8 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1}$), and in the case of DnaG-C R452A, the interaction with SSB was again so severely reduced that no binding parameters could be obtained (Figure 3A).

These results indicate that the highly conserved region on the surface of the N-terminal subdomain of DnaG-C identified by ConSurf analysis participates in the SSB/primase interaction.

Chemical shifts obtained from NMR experiments confirm SSB-binding site on DnaG-C

To obtain more information about the SSB-binding site on DnaG-C, an interaction study using $^1\text{H},^15\text{N}$ heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy was performed. First, an assignment of the $^1\text{H},^15\text{N}$ correlation was obtained for DnaG-C, using a set of six standard triple resonance experiments. Owing to the different buffer conditions, the assignment deposited in the BMRB (accession number 6284) (9) could be used only in the less-crowded regions of the spectrum; most of the resonances had to be reassigned (Supplementary Figure S3). After addition of the SSB-Carb peptide, containing the last nine amino acids of EcoSSB, the assignment was repeated, using two triple resonance experiments. In the end, the resonances of all amino acids except I525 could be assigned in the peptide-bound form of DnaG-C, some peaks were missing in the free form (see below).

To determine the binding site of the peptide on DnaG-C, a titration was performed, adding increasing amounts of SSB-Carb to the protein and observing the changes in chemical shift (Supplementary Figures S4–S6). The differences in chemical shifts between the free protein and the one with an ~3-fold molar excess of peptide are shown in Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Figure S7. Most changes were complete when a peptide concentration of 0.3 mM (1:1) had been reached. Shift differences are mapped onto the structure of DnaG-C in Figure 6 and are basically restricted to the highly conserved region identified by ConSurf analysis and site-directed mutagenesis (see Figures 3 and 5) that is located in the N-terminal subdomain of the protein (9). The most dramatic shifts were seen in the backbone of T450, which forms part of the hydrophobic pocket, and of R452, which is most probably involved in the binding of acidic residues of the C-terminus of SSB and in the interaction with F177 of SSB (see below). The respective substitution of both residues by alanine decreased the SSB-binding affinity of DnaG-C, with the exchange of R452 abolishing binding nearly completely (see Figure 3A).

Apart from the changes in the chemical shifts of the protein backbone, one of the two Trp side chains (W522) showed strong changes in chemical shift on peptide binding (Supplementary Figure S6). Thus, W522, which is involved in the formation of the hydrophobic pocket, is a good candidate for undergoing a stacking interaction with the ultimate phenylalanine residue (F177) of SSB. In addition, several resonances of the arginine side chains (not assigned) and also of some backbone resonances (K447, R448, T449, D511 and D565) became only visible after addition of excess peptide, indicating a rigidification of amino acids that had most likely been in conformational exchange before binding of the peptide.

CONCLUSIONS

During replication of the DNA lagging strand, DnaG primase and the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III compete for binding to SSB to ensure the primer hand-off to the replicative DNA polymerase (22). Whereas the interaction of χ and SSB has been studied thoroughly (29,35), little was known about the interaction of DnaG and SSB. In this work, we locate the binding regions of both proteins to the C-terminal helicase-binding domain of DnaG and the highly conserved C-terminal region of SSB. We identify by ConSurf analysis, site-directed mutagenesis and $^1\text{H},^15\text{N}$
heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy, a conserved hydrophobic surface pocket in the N-terminal subdomain of DnaG-C as being involved in the binding of the SSB C-terminus. Hydrophobic pockets mediating SSB-binding have also been found on the surface of other SSB-interaction partners, namely \( \chi \), exonuclease I, RecO and RecQ (29,35,56–58). In these cases, the ultimate phenylalanine of SSB (F177) is accommodated in the pocket. F177 shows the highest conservation among the C-terminal amino acids of SSB (26) and can be considered invariant. Using NMR analysis of DnaG-C, we could show that not only the chemical shifts of tryptophan 522 backbone change upon addition of SSB-Carb peptide but also those of the side chain. Therefore, W522 is likely to undergo a stacking interaction with F177 of SSB. This stacking interaction could be intensified by a cation-\( \pi \) interaction (59) of F177 with the guanidinium group of R452 of DnaG located above the indole ring of W522 (see Figure 6) in such a way that F177 of SSB could be bound in between. An exchange of this arginine resulted in a dramatic decrease in SSB-binding affinity. In addition and similar to the situation in the other SSB-interaction partners, the SSB-binding pocket of DnaG is surrounded by other basic residues (K447, K518), which are most probably involved in binding of the acidic C-terminal residues and/or the C-terminal carboxyl group of SSB. Substitution of these residues by alanine also resulted in a dramatic decrease in SSB-binding affinity of DnaG-C, making an involvement in hydrogen bond formation probable.

It has been shown that the C-terminal domain of DnaG is also responsible for binding of the replicative helicase DnaB (9). This interaction has been pinpointed to the last eight amino acids in the C-terminal helix hairpin of DnaG-C (5,34). The hydrophobic pocket identified by us, however, is located in the N-terminal subdomain of DnaG-C. Therefore, the two binding regions do not overlap (see Figure 5). Nevertheless, it remains to be tested whether both, helicase and SSB, can simultaneously bind to DnaG primase, or whether the dissociation of the helicase/primase complex is a prerequisite for SSB-binding and thus primer hand-off to the replicative polymerase.

Since we show that the C-terminal domain of DnaG primase is not only responsible for binding to the helicase but also to SSB, it should be considered to rename the ‘helicase-binding domain’ of DnaG ‘protein-interaction domain’.
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