A nanobody modulates the p53 transcriptional program without perturbing its functional architecture
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ABSTRACT

The p53 transcription factor plays an important role in genome integrity. To perform this task, p53 regulates the transcription of genes promoting various cellular outcomes including cell cycle arrest, apoptosis or senescence. The precise regulation of this activity remains elusive as numerous mechanisms, e.g. posttranslational modifications of p53 and (non-)covalent p53 binding partners, influence the p53 transcriptional program. We developed a novel, non-invasive tool to manipulate endogenous p53. Nanobodies (Nb), raised against the DNA-binding domain of p53, allow us to distinctively target both wild type and mutant p53 with great specificity. Nb3 preferentially binds ‘structural’ mutant p53, i.e. R175H and R282W, while a second but distinct nanobody, Nb139, binds both mutant and wild type p53. The co-crystal structure of the p53 DNA-binding domain in complex with Nb139 (1.9 Å resolution) reveals that Nb139 binds opposite the DNA-binding surface. Furthermore, we demonstrate that Nb139 does not disturb the functional architecture of the p53 DNA-binding domain using conformation-specific p53 antibody immunoprecipitations, glutaraldehyde crosslinking assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation. Functionally, the binding of Nb139 to p53 allows us to perturb the transactivation of p53 target genes. We propose that reduced recruitment of transcriptional co-activators or modulation of selected post-transcriptional modifications account for these observations.

INTRODUCTION

The p53 protein is of great importance in cancer biology as it mediates innate tumor suppression. This is underscored by its high mutation frequency in human cancers, presence as a germ-line mutation in Li–Fraumeni cancer prone families and highly penetrant cancer predisposition in p53 null mice. Its role as a barrier to tumor development is only one of many as it is centered within numerous signalling pathways. As such, p53 has been widely considered as the master regulator of cell fate in unstressed conditions, where it is held at a basal level by its negative regulator, Mdm2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which binds to p53 and targets it for proteasomal degradation. When challenged with various stress conditions, however, this inhibition eases and p53 target genes are transactivated. p53-responsive genes have been documented to be involved in among others cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and senescence (1,2).

Active p53 consists of a tetramer made up of four identical subunits. Each monomer, in turn, retains an architecture commonly found in transcriptional regulators: an N-terminal transactivation domain (residues 1–60), a proline-rich region (residues 63–97), an evolutionarily conserved core DNA-binding domain (DBD) (residues 100–300), a linker region (residues 301–323), a tetramerisation domain (residues 324–355) and finally, a C-terminal regulatory domain (residues 360–393) (3).

p53 is inactivated in over half of all human cancers, either through TP53 mutations or through alterations in genes encoding up-and downstream regulators of p53. In the former case, over 80% of cancer-derived p53 mutations are found within the DBD (4). This clearly illustrates the importance of the DBD. To date more than 125 protein-coding genes have been documented to be direct transcriptional targets of p53 (5). Aside from being a transcriptional co-activator, p53 is also known for transcriptional repression (6). In ad-
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dition, it has even been demonstrated that p53 can exercise its influence through a transcription-independent apoptotic response (7).

Multiple mechanisms within the cell are in play to fine-tune the p53 transcriptional program. These include posttranslational modifications of p53, covalent and non-covalent p53 binding partners and p53 response elements of variable binding affinity. Each of these features dynamically adds to the combinatorial regulation of the p53 response, and this magnitude of variables has made understanding the p53 transactivation requirements a formidable task (1,8). A prerequisite therefore is that potent research tools are available. As such, p53 over-expression and knock-out mutations among others have been repeatedly applied to great effect. However, investigating p53 at an endogenous level in a non-invasive manner still remains tasking.

Here, we produced versatile and functional monoclonal single chain antibodies against the p53 DBD based on camelid heavy-chain-only antibodies. These single chain antibodies, also known as nanobodies, represent the smallest (15 kDa), intact, native antigen-binding fragment (9). Their specific biophysical and biochemical properties and their potential of targeting novel epitopes render them a potent research tool in diverse fields, e.g. oncology (10–13), parasitology (14,15), neuropathology (16) and immunology (17).

These nanobodies were applied with great effect within the cell as intrabodies and proved to be an effective research tool to manipulate the p53 transcriptional program. We show that a nanobody is able to disrupt the p53 transcriptional program without altering endogenous p53 levels in a radical fashion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and antibodies

Anti-V5 was purchased from Invitrogen (Merelbeke, Belgium). Anti-p53 (DO1), etoposide, nutlin-3a, RNase A, proteinase K and glutaraldehyde were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Diegem, Belgium). Anti-HA was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Anti-p63 (BC4A4) was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Anti-V5 was purchased from Invitrogen (Merelbeke, Belgium). Anti-p53 (DO1), etoposide, nutlin-3a, RNase A, proteinase K and glutaraldehyde were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Diegem, Belgium). Anti-HA was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Anti-p63 (BC4A4) was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Anti-V5 was purchased from Invitrogen (Merelbeke, Belgium).

Generation of recombinant untagged p53 DBD

The p53 DBD (residues 92–312) was purified as previously described (19). Briefly, the cDNA fragment was sub-cloned in the pTYB12 vector (NEB, Evry, France). An initial affinity purification, using chitin resin (NEB), was performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The purified protein was successively processed via a Superdex 75 (16/60) (GE Healthcare) (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 5 mM DTT, pH 8.0) and a HiTrap Heparin column (GE Healthcare) (50 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 5 mM DTT, pH 8.0). The final concentration was determined using a spectrophotometric approach. The p53 DBD was finally dialyzed against 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, pH 8.0 and stored at −20°C.

Cell culture and transfection

U2OS, U2OS pGL13 and HEK293T cell lines were cultured at 37°C, 10% CO2 in DMEM (Gibco Life Technologies, Gent, Belgium) supplemented with 10% FBS. Transient transfections were executed with Jetprime (Polyplus Transfections, Illkirch, France) and performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

Generation of stable U2OS cell lines

U2OS cells stably expressing V5-tagged p53 DBD Nbs or GFP Nb were developed using the Lenti-XTM Tet-OnR Advanced Inducible Expression System from Clontech as previously described (13). Briefly, 250 × 103 cells were seeded in a six-well plate, after which viral mixture (nanobody and regulator) (MOI: 10) was added in a total amount of 2 ml. Cells were then centrifuged for 1 h at 12000 g, after which a two-week selection procedure with neomycin (0.4 mg/ml) and puromycin (1 µg/ml) followed. Expression of nanobodies was induced by the addition of 500 ng/ml doxycycline for 24–48 h.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting

U2OS and/or HEK293T cells were treated with ice-cold lysis buffer (PBS, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF and a protease inhibitor cocktail mix) and the extract was centrifuged for 10 min at 20 × 103 g at 4°C. Concentration of the cytoplasmic extract was determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Nazareth Eke, Belgium) according to the manufacturers’ prescriptions. Subsequently 0.5 mg of cytoplasmic extract was incubated with 2–5 µg recombinant V5-tagged nanobody or 2–5 µg IgG antibody of interest for 1–2 h at 4°C. The former step was skipped when working with stable nanobody-expressing U2OS cell lines. Afterward, 15 µl anti-V5 agarose (Sigma) or protein A/G sepharose (GE Healthcare) was added to the sample and incubated for 1–2 h at 4°C. The beads were washed with lysis buffer, boiled for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer and proteins were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Western blotting was performed as previously described (20).
Isothermal titration calorimetry

The binding affinity of p53 DBD to p53 DBD Nb139 was measured at 30°C by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) using a Microcal VP-ITC as previously described (18). Untagged p53 DBD and V5-tagged p53 Nb139 were dialyzed against 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. The precise protein concentrations used for the ITC are described in Supplementary Figure S1. ITC data was fitted using a ‘One Set of Sites’ model.

Luciferase assay

A luciferase assay was performed as previously described (21). Briefly, 180 × 10³ U2OS cells in which the pGL13 luciferase reporter is stably integrated were plated on 12-well plates 1 day before transfection. A nanobody and a beta-galactosidase vector were co-transfected (see above). At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were reseeded in a 96-well plate and treated with or without nutlin-3a (5 μM). The next day, luciferase activity from triplicate samples was measured by chemiluminescence with a Topcount luminometer (Canberra-Packard). The level of firefly luciferase activity was normalized by that of the beta-galactosidase activity in each experiment.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated from a stable nanobody-expressing U2OS cell line using a High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturers’ preferences. RNA quality was assessed for each sample by determining the 260/280 ratio using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was immediately synthesized using a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit with oligo(dT) primers (Roche). The cDNA concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer. Samples were stored afterward at –80°C.

Primers for PUMA, GADD45a and the reference genes (ACTβ, B2M, GAPDH, G6PDH, PGK1 and cyclophilin) were in-house validated. All primer efficiencies lie within the range of 90–110%. The sequence of the primers can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Primers for p21 (#631) and MDM2 (#3499) were derived from RTPRimerDB (22).

RTqPCR was performed using a LightCycler 480 (96-plate platform) (Roche). Each reaction consisted of 6 μl of cDNA (1/12 dilution) mixed with 0.75 μl of a 10 μM solution of each primer and 7.5 μl of 2 × LC480 SYBR Green Master (Roche). The PCR conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation (10 s at 95°C) and elongation (45 s at 60°C). All reactions were performed in triplicate and no template controls were included for all genes.

Stability analysis of the different references was performed using the geNormPLUS application in the qbase-PLUS software version 2.0 (Biogazelle, Zwijnaarde, Belgium) (23).

Crystallization and structure determination

Nb139 and p53DBD, both dialyzed against 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 5 mM DTT, pH 7.2, were mixed at a 1.2:1 ratio to a final concentration of 6.56 mg/ml, before adding the freshly formed complex to the crystallization set-up. After screening with commercially available crystallization kits, crystals of the complex were obtained at 20°C using the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method (24) after mixing 1 μl protein solution with 1 μl reservoir solution equilibrated against 125 μl 0.2 M potassium formate 20%/w/v PEG 3350 reservoir solution (Molecular Dimensions JCSG+ condition 1.10). The crystals were cryoprotected by transfer to a solution consisting of 0.2 M potassium formate 20%/w/v PEG 3350 and 20%/v glycerol and subsequently vitrified in liquid nitrogen. Data was collected at 100 K on the Proxima 1 beaml ine of the SOLEIL synchrotron on a 6M Pilatus detector (Gif-Sur-Yvette, France). One Nb139/p53 core domain co-crystal diffracted to 1.9 Å resolution and a full data-set was collected. The crystal belongs to space group P2₁2₁2₁ with unit-cell dimensions a = 46.4 Å, b = 68.3 Å and c = 110.0 Å.

Data was processed with the software package XDS (25) and the unit-cell content was estimated with the program MATTHEW_COEF from the CCP4 program suite (26). A molecular replacement approach was applied with Phaser-MR (27) using a search model with the coordinates of the a nanobody against Escherichia coli MazE (PDB entry 1MVF). Prior to the search all three complementarity determining regions were deleted. Using the molecular replacement solution, ARP/wARP (28) rebuilt the correct model to almost completion. The refinement was completed by combining manual building using Coot (29) and automated maximum likelihood refinement as implemented in phenix.refine (30). Structure quality was checked using the MolProbity (31). Full data collection and refinement statistics are reported in Supplementary Table S2. The extreme 21 C-terminal p53 DBD residues were missing and were thus not included in subsequent analysis. Surface accessibility was determined with Nacces 2.1.1 using a probe with a radius 1.4 Å (32), and residues involved in hydrogen bond formation and van der Waals interactions were determined by HBPLUS v.3.06 (33) and as residues harboring non-hydrogen atoms in their environment closer than 4 Å, respectively. These data are reported in Supplementary Table S3.

Crosslinking assay

HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with indicated nanobody plasmids and lysed with lysis buffer (0.5% NP-40, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM NaVO₃, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor mixture) 36 h after transfection. Glutaraldehyde was added to the lysate at indicated concentrations. After incubating the lysate on ice for 20 min, the glutaraldehyde reactions were stopped by adding Laemmli sample buffer, and the samples were heated at 100°C for 5 min and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Western blot analysis was performed with anti-p53 antibody (DO1).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

A chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed as previously described (34). Briefly, lysates from
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Figure 1. Characterization of p53 DBD Nanobodies. (a) Recombinant V5-tagged Nb3, Nb139 or GFP Nb (2 μg) were added to wild type p53-bearing U2OS and HEK293T cell lysates (500 μg). Nanobody–protein complexes were immunoprecipitated and analysed for the interaction with p53 protein family members. Nb139 is only able to interact with wild type p53, leaving p63 and p73 unaffected. (b) Recombinant V5-tagged nanobodies (2 μg) were added to HEK293T cell lysates (500 μg), in which HA-tagged hot spot p53 mutants, i.e. R175H, R273H and R282W, were expressed at variable levels (see input). A co-immunoprecipitation was performed relying on the V5-tagged nanobodies. The mutual interaction between mutant p53 and nanobody was subsequently analysed via western blot. Nb3 has a preference for ‘structural’ mutant p53, i.e. R175H and R282W, while Nb139 binds p53 irrespective of the mutation. The binding characteristics of Nb3 and Nb139 are compared to that of the control GFP Nb.

RESULTS
Nanobody 3 and nanobody 139 target the p53 DBD
We developed two single domain antibodies against the DBD of p53, termed Nb3 and Nb139 (see Materials and Methods). Their binding specificity was determined by investigating the cross-reactivity with members of the p53 protein family, i.e. p53, p63 and p73. The p53 family members retain a similar basic modular structure. However, despite a rather limited overall homology, they display 60% similarity within the DBD (36). By using a co-immunoprecipitation set-up we incubated recombinant Nb3, Nb139 or a control GFP Nb (37) with either HEK293T or U2OS cell lysates. In both cases, Nb139 was able to bind endogenous wild type p53 but did not interact with p63 nor with p73 (Figure 1a). Nb3 on the other hand failed to show a significant interaction with wild type p53 or with the other p53 protein family members. Interestingly, in over-expression experiments using HA-tagged hot spot p53 mutants, we observed that Nb3 preferentially inter-
acted with ‘structural’ mutant p53, i.e. R175H and R282W (Figure 1b), in comparison to the control GFP Nb. Nb139, on the other hand, interacted with p53, regardless of mutations in the DBD. These ‘structural’ mutants are known to destabilize the overall architecture of the DNA-binding surface, rendering the p53 protein inactive (3). As a result, it was not opportune to evaluate the effect of Nb3 on the transcriptional characteristics of wild type p53, in contrast to Nb139. Nb3 was therefore included, in addition to the control GFP Nb, as a control in subsequent experiments.

The $K_D$ of Nb139 determined by ITC is $\sim$1 $\mu$M (Supplementary Figure S1a and b). This rather weak $K_D$ indicates that the interaction between the p53DBD and Nb139 is of a transient nature. However, depending on the molecular environment, volatile protein–protein interactions are known to change their characteristics and obtain a more permanent binding pattern (38,39). The binding affinity value is thus merely to be considered indicative for a cellular context. In addition, the binding stoichiometry with which Nb139 interacts with the p53DBD was determined at 1:1. Thus, since Nb139 conformed to the needs for a precise research tool, i.e. specificity and affinity, we set out to investigate the influence of Nb139 on the transcriptional program of wild type p53.

**Nb139 perturbs the p53 transcriptional program in a non-specific fashion**

With Nb139 specifically targeting the wild type p53DBD, we assessed how it would alter the transcriptional functions attributed to this domain. Therefore, we performed a transactivation assay by transiently transfecting Nb3, Nb139 or the control GFP Nb in U2OS cells stably harboring a luciferase reporter gene. The luciferase cDNA is preceded by 13 repeats of a p53 consensus response element. We found that Nb139 is able to significantly diminish luciferase expression in comparison to the control condition (Figure 2). Thus, it became apparent that Nb139 can influence the p53 transcriptional program. We took this one step further by looking into the regulation of p53 target genes.

To succeed in this endeavor we developed U2OS cell lines with doycycline-inducible nanobody expression. This not only guarantees a homogenous nanobody throughout the cell population but also allows us to tune nanobody expression (Figure 3a). p53 isoform specificity is corroborated in these cell lines (Supplementary Figure S2).

Next, we performed a RTqPCR, establishing that Nb139 suppresses p53’s transcriptional program. The mRNA levels of p53 target genes involved in cell cycle arrest (i.e. p21, GADD45A), apoptosis (i.e. PUMA) and p53 regulation (i.e. MDM2) all decreased in a significant manner in the presence of Nb139 (Figure 3b). Hence, this nanobody impacts transcription-dependent functions of p53 in a non-specific fashion.

**The structural evidence advocates a rupture of the functional architecture of p53**

To understand at the molecular level how Nb139 quenches the p53 transcriptional program, we determined the co-crystal structure of the p53DBD in complex with Nb139. The structure was obtained at a resolution of 1.9 Å and reveals that Nb139 binds the immunoglobulin-like $\beta$-sandwich fold of the DBD on the opposite side of the DNA-binding surface (Figure 4a). While four other crystal packing contacts between Nb139 and the p53DBD could be identified, none of them made extensive protein–protein interactions through the complementary determining regions (CDRs) of the nanobody (Supplementary Table S3). The DBD consists of a hydrophobic core formed by the stacking of two twisted antiparallel $\beta$-sheets. This inner core is flanked by the DNA interaction surface, which involves two loops stabilized by a zinc ion, and a loop-sheet-helix motif. On the opposite side of the DBD, the three CDRs of Nb139 enclose the leucine-rich loop connecting $\beta$-strand 9 and $\beta$-strand 10, thereby anchoring CDR2 into the core of the $\beta$-sandwich through the formation of hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions (Figure 4a and Supplementary Table S3). The backbone of residues Gly104 and Pro101 of CDR3 form hydrogen bonds with the side chains of Ser261 and Asn263 respectively while residues Thr32 of CDR1 and Trp54 of CDR2 form backbone–backbone hydrogen bonds with Gly262 and Leu264 of the p53 core domain (Figure 4b).

We performed a backbone superposition of 194 atoms (Ser96 to Leu264) of the DBD from the Nb139/DBD complex with four molecules of the asymmetric unit from the previously determined free human DBD (PDB entry 2OCJ (40)). This resulted in RMSDs of 0.54, 0.53, 0.51 and 0.67 Å respectively. Overall, the structure distinctively illustrated that Nb139 does not disturb the architecture of the DBD. To corroborate this finding, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation using conformation-specific p53 antibodies Ab1620 (wild type) and Ab240 (mutant) (Figure 4c and Supplementary Table S3). The backbone of residues Gly104 and Pro101 of CDR3 form hydrogen bonds with the side chains of Ser261 and Asn263 respectively while residues Thr32 of CDR1 and Trp54 of CDR2 form backbone–backbone hydrogen bonds with Gly262 and Leu264 of the p53 core domain (Figure 4b).
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Figure 3. Nb139 reduces transcription of various p53 target genes. (a) Inducible expression of V5-tagged nanobodies in U2OS cells was verified in the absence (−DOX) or presence (+DOX) of 500 ng/ml doxycycline (50 μg crude lysate was loaded). (b) U2OS cell lines were consecutively treated with doxycycline (500 ng/ml) (24 h) and etoposide (20 μM) (24 h), after which the transcription levels of p53 target genes (p21, MDM2, GADD45a, and PUMA) were evaluated via RT-qPCR. Irrespective of the target gene, Nb139 is able to significantly reduce mRNA levels in comparison to control conditions. A paired student t-test was performed (** < 0.01 and *** < 0.001).

Recently, the structure of a tetrameric DBD bound to the full consensus site was solved, revealing a co-operative self-assembling process for oligomerization (PDB entry 3KMD (42)). First, two DBD monomers (A and B) form a p53 dimer across one half site of the DNA by making distinctive protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions (Figure 5). Subsequently, identical dimers can bind the dimeric complex. In this configuration, each DBD monomer is stabilized through protein–protein interactions at the dimer and dimer–dimer interface as well as through protein–DNA interactions, resulting in a stable tetrameric complex. This oligomerization process is mediated through essential con-

experiment also revealed that, in comparison to the other conditions, Nb139 is only slightly more present in the p53-Ab1620 complex. Thus, Nb139 could possibly not adequately bind p53 in the presence of Ab1620. In addition, minor structural variations are present at the interaction interface as the turn between β-strand 9 and β-strand 10 is displaced away from the inner core of the p53 β-sandwich upon binding of Nb139 (Figure 4d). As follows, we are strongly inclined to conclude that Nb139 leaves the DBD’s architecture unaffected, but additional experimentation is needed to confirm this with absolute certainty.
Figure 4. Structure of the Nb139/DBD complex. (a) Nb139 (green) with CDR1 (magenta), CDR2 (orange) and CDR3 (yellow) represented in cartoon, bound to p53 core domain (blue) represented in cartoon/surface. The zinc ion of the DNA-binding interface is represented as a red sphere. (b) The interaction between Nb139 and the DBD is established by hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) between the backbone and side chains of CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3 with the leucine-rich loop connecting the β-strand 9 and β-strand 10 of the p53 core domain. (c) U2OS or HEK293T cell lysate (500 μg) were successively incubated with recombinant V5-tagged Nb3, Nb139 or GFP Nb (2 μg) and 5 μg of p53 conformation-specific antibodies, i.e. Ab1620 (wild type) or Ab240 (mutant). Nanobody-p53 complexes were immunoprecipitated (relying on the used conformation-specific antibodies) and analysed for the presence of p53. Nb139, nor other nanobodies, influences the architecture of p53. In addition, the presence of p53-bound nanobody was also evaluated via western blot. (d) Backbone superposition of the p53DBD of the Nb139/DBD (blue) and four molecules of the asymmetric unit of 2OCJ (red, orange, yellow and pink). Upon binding of Nb139, the loop connecting β-strand 9 and β-strand 10 is displaced away from the inner core of the β-sandwich fold (arrow).

Formational changes in the backbone and side chains of residues of the DBD at the dimer–dimer interface, resulting in tight surface complementarity (42).

Although the p53 dimer on its own is symmetrical (in regard to the position of Nb139), formation of the dimer–dimer complex breaks the symmetry. The result is two unique binding sites for Nb139 when encountering a tetrameric complex. The first one is located on the outer side of the B and D core domain (Figure 5, green Nb139) while the second one is located at the dimer–dimer interface (Figure 5, orange Nb139). In the latter possibility, the positively charged side chain of Arg28 of Nb139 would coincide with side chains of Val225 and Lys101 at the patch II thereby breaking the dimer–dimer interface. Unfortunately, electron density for Arg28 of CDR1 was lacking in the Nb139/p53DBD domain complex, indicating disorder, and could not be represented on the superposition. However, this superposition suggests that Nb139 might prevent the formation of the tetrameric complex but not the p53 core domain dimer.

**Nb139 permits endogenous p53 to bind p53-responsive promoters**

To validate the hypothesis that Nb139 interferes with tetramer formation, we performed a crosslinking assay by treating transiently nanobody-transfected HEK293T cells
with increasing amounts of glutaraldehyde. This set-up demonstrated elegantly that Nb139 still allows the formation of p53 di- and tetramers (Figure 6a), both of which form the basis for p53’s functional transcriptional characteristics. As to see if this also meant that p53 is still able to functionally bind its response elements in vivo, we executed a ChIP assay by using a commercial p53 antibody (DO1) to precipitate a p53/chromatin-complex. Hereby, we found strong indications that Nb139 preserves the DNA-binding characteristics of p53, with p53 binding the response elements of p21 and MDM2 (Figure 6b). Thus, another transcriptional inhibitory mechanism, e.g. the reduced recruitment of transcriptional co-activators, must be at play as Nb139 is able to modulate the p53 transcriptional program while leaving the p53 conformation unaffected.

DISCUSSION

The p53 protein is indisputably an important tumor suppressor and is often referred to as ‘the guardian of the genome’ (43). In unstressed conditions p53 is held at basal levels, while a wide variety of intra- and extracellular stress signals promote p53 stabilization and activation. As such, p53 is able to provide an appropriate transcription-dependent and -independent response, contributing to diverse biological functions, e.g. cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, angiogenesis, energy metabolism, motility and migration (44). We are at the beginning of understanding many of these functions and the mechanism by which p53 is regulated. It is clear, for example, that p53 transcriptionally regulates the expression of different genes. The p53 transcriptional program is fine-tuned by many mechanisms, which enable p53 to differentiate between the vast repertoire of target genes (8,45). Among others, post-translational modifications of p53, (non)covalent p53 binding partners and p53 response elements of variable binding affinity. However, despite intensive effort, this area of study still remains elusive.

Technological innovations have always proven to advance the knowledge of p53. The development of p53 genotype-specific knock-in/out mice (46), conformation-specific antibodies (41), p53-null cell lines (47), p53-specific siRNA (48), MDM2 inhibitors (49) and many more have all contributed
pieces to the ever-growing complex p53 puzzle. However, past studies have revealed a disparity between several hypotheses generated by in vitro transfection studies and in vivo mouse models, which is probably explained by the inability of mouse models to preserve crucial stoichiometric relationships between p53 and its negative and positive regulators (50). Nevertheless, in vitro studies will remain indispensable as they provide a cost/time-effective, important source of scientific data, that in turn can be validated in vivo.

Taking both of these concerns into consideration, we have applied nanobody technology to the field of p53. We have developed research tools, Nb3 and Nb139, which allow us to specifically target endogenous p53 in a non-invasive manner. Nb3 binds ‘structural’ mutant p53. Despite us not having investigated its full potential, Nb3 may be of invaluable importance in the field of re-activating loss-of-function p53 (51,52). Liu et al. recently proposed certain criteria to screen for genuine p53 re-activation (53). With Nb3 already complying with one of these criteria, i.e. the specific binding of ‘structural’ mutant p53, Nb3 is potentially considered a valid candidate. If further research confirms that Nb3 reactivates mutant p53, this nanobody could accelerate the rational design of small molecules capable of stabilizing mutant p53. As small molecule drug design is supported by structural biology, the co-crystal structure of Nb3 in complex with the DBD could help identify the crucial binding site or help generate hypotheses for structure-based design for new p53 re-activating compounds (54).

Nb139, on the other hand, targets both mutant and wild type p53. Specifically focusing on wild type p53, we demonstrate that Nb139 is able to inhibit the transcriptional capabilities of p53 with surgical precision, as it maintains the functional architecture and the DNA-binding characteristics of p53. However, as to exclude possible ambiguousness, regarding Nb139’s traits, additional experimentation can be of interest. Assays such as circular dichroism, an electrophoretic mobility shift assay or a Nb-based ChIP assay, could unequivocally corroborate our findings that point toward the conservation of the functional architecture of p53 in the presence of Nb139.

Other existing research tools fail to specifically target single functions of p53. For example, pifithrin-α (55) does not only exploit the p53 pathway but also heat shock and glucocorticoid receptor signalling, among others (56,57). By manipulating the p53 pathway in such a gentle manner, Nb139 creates a unique opportunity to investigate the combinatorial effects of, e.g. p53 binding proteins, post-translational modifications and stress signals on the transcriptional program. Since the p53 response is context-dependent, and we only tested U2OS cells treated with etoposide, the application of Nb139 in various backgrounds, with regard to use of cell lines and stress-inducing treatments, will undoubtedly provide insight into the regulatory mechanics of the transcription-dependent functions.

Additionally, Nb139 may be of interest in regard to the transcription-independent functions of p53 as the DBD is also an instrument of apoptotic regulation in the cytosol (7,58,59). Mihara et al. first touched upon the subject of transcription-independent functions of p53 (7). Since then, this process has been well characterized (60). However, certain questions do remain, e.g. interaction of p53 with Bcl2 protein family members and the effect(s) of mutant p53 in the cytosol. As such, Nb139 may prove to be an ideal crystallization chaperone (61) to stabilize the weak p53-BAX interaction, since it theoretically does not interfere with the p53-protein-binding interface. This would thus allow to elucidate the molecular details of this interaction.

Furthermore, both nanobodies can also be applied to track the localization of cytosolic mutant p53 as it has not been established whether mutations in p53 affect its cytoplasmic translocation (62). The application of nanobodies as intracellular probes has already been demonstrated in numerous cases (10,11,13,16,17,63). In addition, Nb139 can be used as a stepping stone for the rational design of gain-of-function inhibitors. As most common types of cancer-associated p53 mutations abrogate p53’s tumor suppressive
activity, it has also been established that some endow the mutant protein with new activities, e.g. enhanced invasion and anti-apoptotic activity, that actively contribute to various stages of tumor progression and increase resistance to anticancer treatments (64). As such, mutant p53 is a valid target for inactivation by prospective anticancer therapies.

In conclusion, Nb3 and Nb139 are novel research tools that will permit an innovative approach to various branches of the intricate field of p53.
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