Array-based analysis of genomic DNA methylation patterns of the tumour suppressor gene p16\textsuperscript{INK4A} promoter in colon carcinoma cell lines
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ABSTRACT

Aberrant DNA methylation at CpG dinucleotides can result in epigenetic silencing of tumour suppressor genes and represents one of the earliest events in tumorigenesis. To date, however, high-throughput tools that are capable of surveying the methylation status of multiple gene promoters have been restricted to a limited number of cytosines. Here, we present an oligonucleotide microarray that permits the parallel analysis of the methylation status of individual cytosines, thus combining high throughput and high resolution. The approach was used to study the CpG island in the promoter region of the tumour suppressor gene p16\textsuperscript{INK4A}. In total, 876 oligonucleotide probes of 21 nt in length were used to inspect the methylation status of 53 CpG dinucleotides, producing correct signals in colorectal cancer cell lines as well as control samples with a defined methylation status. The information was validated by established alternative methods. The overall methylation pattern was consistent for each cell line, while different between them. At the level of individual cytosines, however, significant variations between individual cells of the same type were found, but also consistencies across the panel of cancer cell lines were observed.

INTRODUCTION

In the human genome, \(~\)4% of the cytosine residues are modified by methylation at their carbon-5 position. DNA methylation plays a key role in genomic imprinting, the maintenance of genome integrity and has been implicated as an important factor in the development of cancer (1–3). Alterations in the epigenetic pattern are among the earliest and most common events in tumourigenesis (4,5). Almost all methylation takes place at CpG dinucleotide: a cytosine that is located 5’ to a guanine (6). While this dinucleotide is generally under-represented in the human genome sequence, there are local regions—CpG islands—which are comparatively rich in unmethylated CpG sites. More than 60% of all known human genes contain CpG islands. Extensive methylation of these sites frequently leads to gene silencing (7). Aberrant hypermethylation of CpG islands in cancer cells is responsible for the transcriptional inactivation of many genes, including tumour suppressor genes (4).

Several methods exist to detect changes in the DNA methylation pattern. Most of these techniques use bisulfite treatment to uncover the methylation status. Sodium bisulfite induces methylation-dependent single nucleotide polymorphisms by converting unmethylated cytosine to uracil and, upon PCR amplification, to thymine. 5-methylcytosine is highly resistant to sodium bisulfite treatment and thus becomes amplified as cytosine. The most common assay to detect DNA methylation changes is methylation-specific PCR (MSP) (8). Two primer sets—one specific for the C-containing sequence, the other binding to T-containing DNA—are used to discriminate between the methylated and unmethylated status of genomic DNA. Also, the combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) (9), uses sodium bisulfite treatment, which is followed by PCR-amplification of the relevant regions, restriction digestion and quantification of the resulting restriction fragments. Variation in methylation is indicated by the creation of new or the retention of pre-existing restriction sites. Both methods examine only a very limited number of cytosines and a single gene per assay. In contrast, sequencing of
produced by light-directed, mask-free microarrays that is capable of surveying the methylation status of multiple CpG dinucleotides in a few selected genes. Another array-based approach indicated the potential for a genome-wide screening metod. For the same reason, microarrays are used in other genotyping assays (11). Working at a single-base resolution, for the same reason, results for every CpG in the region of interest. We focussed our analysis on the p16\(^{\text{INK4A}}\)/C24 hybridization of DNA samples from three colorectal cell lines silenced by CpG methylation in many tumour types (17). After bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA), Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) and combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) DNA was treated with sodium bisulfite as described elsewhere (10). In brief, 700 ng DNA was denatured in 50 μl of 0.2 M NaOH at 37°C for 20 min; 30 μl of freshly prepared 10 M hydroquinone (Sigma, Heidelberg, Germany) and 520 μl of 3 M sodium bisulfite (Sigma) at pH 5 were added. Incubation was under a layer of mineral oil at 55°C for 14 h. The DNA was purified using the Gene Clean kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) at 37°C. To determine the methylation efficacy, each template was digested with the corresponding restriction enzyme, HpaII or HhaI (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany), for 1 h at 37°C and analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Bisulfite treatment**

DNA was prepared from cells with the DNeasy tissue kit of Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and digested with EcoRI. An unmethylated control target was produced by PCR-amplifying genomic DNA with forward primer d(AAAACACGCCCTTGGTGGCAAG) and reverse primer d(CCACGCGGTACAGATCTCT). A fully methylated target was generated by in vitro methylation of 700 ng of the resulting PCR fragment with 8 U of SssI methylase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) at 37°C for 2 h. Partially methylated targets were generated by in vitro methylation with 8 U of bacterial methylase M.HpaII or M.HhaI (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany), respectively, at 37°C for 2 h. To determine the methylation scores of 276 anonymous CpG islands were analysed in a group of breast cancer cell lines. However, the methylation status of defined CpG dinucleotides could not be determined.

To date, little was done to establish high throughput methods that allow a detailed analysis of the DNA methylation pattern of individual CpG islands. Here, we present the design, establishment and validation of a microarray system that is capable of surveying the methylation status of multiple CpGs individually. Oligonucleotide microarrays were produced by light-directed, mask-free in situ synthesis using the Geniom One technology of febit AG (16). This array format allows the flexible production of oligonucleotide arrays with probes for every CpG within genomic regions of interest. We focussed our analysis on the p16\(^{\text{INK4A}}\)/CDKN2A/\(\text{INK4a}\) tumour suppressor gene, which is located in the chromosomal region 9p21 and encodes the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A. This gene is either deleted or mutated in a wide range of cancers and was found to be silenced by CpG methylation in many tumour types (17). After hybridization of DNA samples from three colorectal cell lines and analysing ~29,000 signals produced in each experiment, the methylation status was deduced from the chip data and independently validated with conventional techniques, documenting the detailed resolution of the assay. From these studies, we could also define oligonucleotide probes that exhibited an especially good ability to discriminate between methylated and unmethylated DNA. Selection criteria could be deduced from this information, which will translate into improved oligomer design for expanded DNA methylation studies in cancer research.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines**

The colon carcinoma cell lines HCT116, SW480 and SW48 were cultured under standard conditions. HCT116 cells were grown in McCoy’s 5a medium (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), while RPMI1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS and 5% L-glutamine was used for SW480 and SW48 cells.

**DNA preparation**

Genomic DNA was prepared from cells with the DNeasy tissue kit of Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and digested with EcoRI. An unmethylated control target was produced by PCR-amplifying genomic DNA with forward primer d(AAAACACGCCCTTGGTGGCAAG) and reverse primer d(CCACGCGGTACAGATCTCT). A fully methylated target was generated by in vitro methylation of 700 ng of the resulting PCR fragment with 8 U of SssI methylase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) at 37°C for 2 h. Partially methylated targets were generated by in vitro methylation with 8 U of bacterial methylase M.HpaII or M.HhaI (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany), respectively, at 37°C for 2 h. To determine the methylation efficacy, each template was digested with the corresponding restriction enzyme, HpaII or HhaI (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany), for 1 h at 37°C and analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Array design**

In the analysis of 53 CpG dinucleotides of the p16 promoter region, 252 control and 876 analysis probes were used. The 252 oligonucleotide probes acted as positive controls for the occurrence of synthesis and hybridization or represented entirely independent sequences, which indicated the background signal of unspecific binding. The analysis probe set reflected the methylation status of every CpG dinucleotide. If an oligonucleotide probe covered more than one CpG site, probes for every possible combination of methylated and unmethylated DNA were included within the same array.
unmethylated cytosines were generated (e.g. Figure 1). For \( n \) CpG dinucleotides within a region covered by an oligomer probe, there are \( 2^n \) different probe sequences. Additionally, for every sense probe, the reverse complementary antisense probe was generated. For an evaluation of reproducibility, the analysis probe set was synthesized eight times on each array. The sequences are available at www.dkfz.de/funct_genome/epi-p16-oligos (and also as Supplementary Data).

**Array production**

Oligonucleotide arrays were generated by photo-controlled *in situ* synthesis as previously described (16) using the Geniom One system and DNA processors provided by febit AG (now available from febit biotech, Heidelberg, Germany). Each DNA processor consists of four individually accessible micro-channels, each of which is referred to as array in this manuscript. Since in our analysis every array contained
7260 probes, the whole DNA processor consisted of 29 040 oligonucleotides. They were synthesized in parallel in all four arrays using standard DNA synthesis reagents (Proligo, Hamburg, Germany) and 3’-phosphoramidites carrying a 5’-photolabile group (18,19).

**Labelling, hybridization and signal detection**

For each hybridization, 50–100 ng PCR product was labelled by random hexamer priming (20) in the presence of biotin-dUTP (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Prior to hybridization, a prehybridization was performed in 100 mM 2-[N-morpholino]ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Na2EDTA, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 1% BSA (Sigma) at room temperature for 15 min. To each array, 5–10 ng/µl of biotin-labelled DNA were hybridized in the same buffer but with only 0.5 mg/ml BSA and supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml herring sperm DNA (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). Hybridization was at 45°C for 3 h, followed by a first washing step at 25°C with 6× SSPE (0.9 M NaCl, 60 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7.4 and 6 mM Na2EDTA) and a second washing step at 45°C with 0.5× SSPE supplemented with 0.005% (v/v) Triton X-100. Fluorescence-staining was performed with 0.1 mg/ml streptavidin-R-phycoerythrin-conjugate (Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands) in 6× SSPE at 25°C for 15 min. Subsequently, the arrays were rinsed with 6× SSPE at 25°C. Signal detection was done using the internal CCD-camera system of the Geniom One instrument. For detection of the phycoerythrine chromophore, the Cy3 filter was employed. The duration of signal integration was determined automatically by the instrument’s software. Typical integration times were 350–500 ms.

**Bisulfite sequencing**

After bisulfite treatment as described above, PCR amplification was carried out in 20 µl Thermoprime polymerase and ReddyMix reaction buffer (Abgene, Wiesenberg, Germany) in the presence of 1.25 mM of each dNTP and 400 nM of the primers d(CGTGGGGAGGAGTTTAGTTTTTTT) and d(CCTTAACCTCCAAACCCCCAAAA). An initial incubation at 95°C for 3 min was followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 45 s and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The amplification product was purified from a 1.5% agarose gel and cloned with the TA-TOPO Cloning kit (Invitrogen). Sequencing on the plasmid DNA was performed with sodium bisulfite, chemically introducing a C to T conversion at unmethylated cytosines. For MSP, we used two previously published primer pairs (8) that anneal to the positions of cytosines 738, 743, 745 and 854, 858, 860 and 871 (Figure 1). The results indicated that some copies of the p16 locus were methylated (M) and others unmethylated (U) in HCT116 cells (Figure 2A). For SW480 cells, the MSP resulted in a distinct M band, while for SW48 cells the gel showed a prominent U band in addition to a weak M band (Figure 2A). In vitro methylated and unmethylated target samples were used as controls. (B) Methylation analysis by COBRA. The restriction enzyme BstUI cleaves d(CGCG) sequences while failing to cut d(TGTG) sites that result from bisulfite conversion. Restriction patterns are shown that were obtained with the 790 bp ampiclon of the p16 promoter. Partial digestion was observed with DNA of HCT116, indicating partial methylation. The PCR-fragment made from SW480 cells was completely digested, which confirms to complete methylation. Analysis of the SW48 material revealed only traces of the bands that result from cleavage and can therefore be considered to be largely unmethylated.

**RESULTS**

**p16 methylation analysis by MSP and COBRA**

For DNA methylation analysis, we used three colorectal cancer cell lines with different p16 methylation patterns. HCT116 cells have been shown to contain both an unmethylated and a hypermethylated allele (21). In the SW 480 cell line, the promoter of the p16 gene has been reported to be methylated (22), while the p16 methylation status of SW48 cells has not been published so far. In order to establish reliable conditions for bisulfite deamination, we analysed p16 methylation by using methylation-specific PCR (MSP) and combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA). Genomic DNA was treated with bisulfite, chemically introducing a C to T conversion at unmethylated cytosines. For MSP, we used two previously published primer pairs (8) that anneal to the positions of cytosines 738, 743, 745 and 854, 858, 860 and 871. The results indicated that some copies of the p16 locus were methylated (M) and others unmethylated (U) in HCT116 cells (Figure 2A). For SW480 cells, the MSP resulted in a distinct M band, while for SW48 cells the gel showed a prominent U band in addition to a weak M band (Figure 2A). In vitro methylated and unmethylated target samples were used as controls. (B) Methylation analysis by COBRA. The restriction enzyme BstUI cleaves d(CGCG) sequences while failing to cut d(TGTG) sites that result from bisulfite conversion. Restriction patterns are shown that were obtained with the 790 bp ampiclon of the p16 promoter. Partial digestion was observed with DNA of HCT116, indicating partial methylation. The PCR-fragment made from SW480 cells was completely digested, which confirms to complete methylation. Analysis of the SW48 material revealed only traces of the bands that result from cleavage and can therefore be considered to be largely unmethylated.
in the p16 methylation status between the three cell lines and thus established p16 as a stringent test locus for the establishment of a methylation-sensitive oligonucleotide array.

**p16 methylation analysis by oligonucleotide microarrays**

After having established the p16 methylation pattern by conventional methods, we extended our analysis to 53 CpG dinucleotides in the p16 promoter. For this purpose, we generated oligonucleotide arrays on *Geniom One* DNA processors. For each cell line, a biotinylated p16 amplicon was hybridized to the first of the four identical oligonucleotide arrays that are present on a single DNA processor. Each array consisted of 7260 oligonucleotide probes, divided into 7008 analysis and 252 control probes, which represent positive controls for the occurrence of DNA synthesis and hybridization or independent sequences for the measurement of background signal. The latter probes reflected the methylation status of every CpG dinucleotide in the sequence of the CpG island of the p16 promoter. If an oligonucleotide covered more than one CpG site, probes for every possible combination of methylated and unmethylated cytosines were generated. In addition to the cell line sample, amplicons made from *in vitro* methylated or unmethylated DNA were mixed as control samples with a methylation level of 0%, 50% and 100%, respectively, and hybridized individually to arrays 2, 3 and 4. The predicted methylation level of these templates was confirmed by bisulfite sequencing, which revealed 99% methylation of the *in vitro* methylated template and 0% methylation of the unmethylated template (Figure 3A). After washing and staining with fluorescent dye, the signal intensities on all four arrays were recorded. For each experiment, the background-corrected raw data of array 1 was compared pairwise with the data obtained on arrays 2, 3 and 4. For each cell line, we observed the highest correlation to the sample with the analogous methylation level (Figure 3B). Also, there was a high degree of correlation of the results obtained on either DNA strand (Figure 4A). To determine the discrimination power of the array in greater detail, we also methylated p16 PCR amplicons with site-specific bacterial methylases (see Materials and Methods for details). Oligonucleotide probes that cover individual target sites were selected and the methylation level was determined by array hybridization, as described above. Probes, which interrogate exactly an individual methylated CpG site, have shown in all cases significantly higher signals in comparison with those probes containing one or more mismatches (e.g. Figure 4B).

**Selection of highly discriminative probe molecules**

As could be expected, however, the individual oligonucleotide probes differed in their performance. While many permitted a good discrimination between methylated and unmethylated DNA, others failed to provide conclusive data. Most of the latter consisted of sequences that covered more than a single CpG site. For those oligomers, discrimination between fully methylated or completely unmethylated DNA was also good. However, the occurrence of partial methylation in the target sequence decreased the accuracy of the base calling (Figure 4). For the selection of oligonucleotide probes that exhibit a high discriminatory power, a calibration was performed for each probe on the basis of the hybridizations with the control samples of 0, 50 and 100% methylation. After calculating the
median hybridization signal from eight experimental replications, the intensity ratio $M/(M+U)$ was computed for each oligomer (23). In addition, the Pearson coefficient of correlation of the observed methylation level—measured from the average signal intensities—to the expected methylation level was computed. Only oligonucleotide probes that passed the criteria of producing an intensity ratio of $<0.2$ for the 0% methylated control target, a ratio of between 0.4 and 0.6 for the 50% methylated target or $>0.8$ for the 100% methylated target, and additionally exhibited a Pearson coefficient of at least 0.85 were selected for further analyses. More than one half of the examined CpG dinucleotide sequences in one strand were found to be covered by oligonucleotide probes, which met both filtering criteria. Overall, they showed an average intensity ratio of 0.031 for the 0% methylated target, 0.494 for the 50% methylated target and 0.934 for the 100% methylated target (Figure 5). In this highly selective set, the number of oligonucleotides that cover more than a single CpG site increased to 100% from a percentage of 80% in the initial probe set.

Subsequently, the selected probes were used to analyse the methylation level of the $p16$ promoter of the three colorectal cell lines (Figure 6). In agreement with our previous results, they exhibited a clear distinction at the methylation level. Based on the microarray results, the CpG sites of the $p16$ amplicon from SW48 cells had a methylation level of 22% (indicated by a mean intensity ratio of 0.220). For HCT116 cells, the methylation level was found to be 40% (mean intensity ratio 0.397). The amplicon of SW480 cells, finally, exhibited a mean intensity ratio of 0.653, corresponding to a methylation level of ~65%.

To confirm the detailed methylation analysis by an independent method, we subjected genomic DNA from HCT116, SW480 and SW480 cell lines to bisulfite sequencing (Figure 7). As predicted by the microarray analysis, the methylation status...
at the individual cytosines of the CpG island of the p16 gene showed clear differences between the colorectal cancer cell lines. HCT116 clearly exhibited a monoallelic methylation. SW480 showed 92% methylation and SW48 only contained ~7% methylated cytosines.

**DISCUSSION**

We have described an oligonucleotide-based microarray approach for a detailed analysis of the methylation status of many individual CpG sites. Focusing on a CpG island in the promoter of the tumour suppressor gene p16\(^{INK4A}\), we demonstrated that this technique provides significant advantages over previous array approaches. By using in situ synthesized oligonucleotide microarrays made by the flexible Geniom One system, we were able to query individual CpG dinucleotides. The inclusion of probes not only for the sense, but also for the antisense strand of the DNA enlarged the number of accessible CpG positions within the hybridization assay. Nevertheless, there were differences in the performance of individual oligonucleotides. The sequence composition of CpG islands has a low complexity, which may compromise the specificity of oligonucleotide probes. Consequently, the selection of probes suitable for the analysis of DNA methylation patterns is a crucial step. Owing to the very different sequence composition of the oligonucleotide probes for the methylated and the unmethylated status, the hybridization behaviour with respect to melting temperature and hybridization kinetics may differ a lot, especially for probes containing two or more CpG sites. Cross-hybridization of a methylated target to probes specific for the unmethylated status is more likely than vice versa. By applying stringent filter criteria, we were able to select oligonucleotide probes, which allow a reliable and reproducible analysis of the methylation level of many individual CpG sites. For sequences, which are more difficult to be analysed, individual oligomer probes are usually insufficient for a proper discrimination. In such cases, a tiling path of oligonucleotides is required for producing statistically valid information. Alternatively, dynamic allele-specific hybridization (24) or enzymatic primer extension reactions (25) could improve the analysis.

Consistent with published results (21), HCT116 cells usually had a monoallelic methylation of the p16 promoter region. Most of the sequenced clones were either unmethylated or completely methylated across the entire region that was analysed. However, some incompletely methylated alleles were also observed. This result is in good agreement with an overall methylation level of 40% observed with our microarray experiments. The lower methylation of CpG site no. 10 in Figure 7, as seen in the bisulfite data, was also found in the microarray data, which provided an intensity ratio of 0.115 for
this position, in contrast to an intensity ratio of ~0.6 of the adjacent CpG dinucleotides.

Our data also suggests that the p16 promoter is largely unmethylated in SW48 cells. The microarray data, which resulted in a methylation level of 20%, were validated not only by MSP, which yielded a weak M band in addition to the prominent U band, but also by COBRA, which produced some faint bands of digested, therefore methylated DNA besides the distinctive U band. In addition, the bisulfite sequencing also showed a low level of methylation. The slightly lower degree of methylation detected by MSP and COBRA compared to the oligonucleotide microarray is probably due to the fact that methylation analysis by MSP and COBRA is restricted to a few cytosines in the 3' end of the primers. Consistent with this notion, a closer analysis of our microarray data revealed an intensity ratio of only 0.09 for the particular CpG sites queried with both MSP and COBRA primers.

In agreement with published data (22), the CpG island of p16 exhibited a clear hypermethylation in SW480 cells. While MSP and COBRA as well as bisulfite sequencing showed a high degree of methylation, the oligonucleotide microarray displayed a methylation level of 65%. Again, both MSP and COBRA analysed only a limited number of CpG sites, which may not be representative for the whole CpG island. The basic accuracy of the microarray assay had been confirmed in the calibration with the in vitro methylated samples. Therefore, it is likely that the difference was introduced during sample processing and preparation. The hybridization assay, for example, directly analyses the mixture of molecules that yield from the bisulfite conversion. In contrast, individual molecules are being analysed by sequencing. Only a limited degree of redundancy is achieved therefore. Adding one or two of the more mosaic clones, such as clone SW480.6 in Figure 7, would change the average methylation value significantly. In addition, the sequenced fragments underwent cloning into E.coli prior to the analysis. However, also the hybridization assay might be biased by experimental factors. Since sequences of alternating purines and pyrimidines have a profound effect on the DNA structure, the sequence composition of the CpG island is likely to influence the hybridization efficiency.

In the analysis, several levels of variation were observed. The overall methylation was clearly different between the three colorectal cancer cell lines. However, also significant variations between individual cells of the same type were found at the level of individual cytosines. Additionally, it is apparent that particular cytosines within a CpG island can have a distinct likelihood of being methylated. In all cell lines, the cytosine no. 10 in Figure 7, for example, was significantly less frequently methylated than its neighbours. Whether this is an effect of biological importance and how it is regulated remains to be seen, but this will require large-scale analyses of single-base resolution as presented here. Importantly, this high-resolution determination of an individual cytosine being differentially methylated cannot be obtained by methylation-specific PCR and unlikely to be detected by combined bisulfite restriction analysis.

In summary, our array provides a novel tool for high resolution DNA methylation analysis. The potential of this method will be further expanded in future experiments, in which we will include oligonucleotides from additional genes.

By multiplexing, using different dyes, and by reducing the redundancy of each oligonucleotide well below 8-fold, we will be able to analyse a lot more CpG sites per array. Currently, we are in the process of establishing a chip for ~250 genes, which are correlated to prostate cancer on the level of transcriptional variations. In addition, we intend to look at the promoter region of all classical tumour suppressor genes. This epigenetic array may eventually be used as a tool to monitor therapeutic interventions before, during and after cancer treatment. With DNA being a much more stable molecule than RNA and with methylation variations occurring slower than changes of the transcript level, epigenetic analyses might be superior to other assays, such as transcriptional profiling, since they are less prone to biases artificially introduced during preparative steps. A comprehensive epigenetic array that allows for a parallel analysis of several epigenetically regulated cancer loci (26–28) may provide detailed insights into the dynamic regulation of genomic DNA methylation and represents a valuable diagnostic tool for oncological research.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
Supplementary Material is available at NAR Online.
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