Diffusion of Cs, Np, Am and Co in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures: evidence for surface diffusion of Cs cations
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ABSTRACT: We studied the diffusive transport of Cs, Np, Am and Co in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures by using the through-diffusion method. The experiments for Cs were performed under various aqueous compositions. Effective diffusivity ($D_e$) values of $4.7 \times 10^{-10}$ to $5.9 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ were obtained with a somewhat large variation. Apparent diffusivity ($D_a$) values, on the other hand, showed less variation, ranging from $2.0 \times 10^{-12}$ to $6.2 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. The results indicated that diffusive flux was proportional to the concentration gradient on the basis of the amount of Cs in the unit volume of the compacted sand-bentonite mixtures rather than the Cs concentration gradient in pore water. Because the former concentration gradient in the mixtures was nearly equal to that of adsorbed Cs, the diffusion of Cs in the mixtures was probably dominated by the concentration gradient of the Cs adsorbed on the mixtures. In addition, the effective/apparent diffusivity of $^{237}$Np(IV) and apparent diffusivity of $^{241}$Am(III) and $^{60}$Co(II) in the mixtures were determined in 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ solution.
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During the storage of high-level radioactive waste in a deep geological isolation systems, long-lived $^{135}$Cs (half life: $2.3 \times 10^6$ years) may leach from the waste packages and subsequently move through the surrounding buffer materials to the geosphere. Thus, the buffer materials are expected to form a low-permeability barrier against groundwater flow and to retard the migration of $^{135}$Cs by sorption. For this purpose, compacted sand-bentonite mixtures are considered as candidate buffer materials. Water permeability in sand-bentonite mixtures is so low that diffusion is the only possible mechanism for $^{135}$Cs transport.

Fick’s 1st law of diffusion was applied to the diffusion of ions in sand-bentonite mixtures. Two diffusivities, apparent diffusivity ($D_a$) and effective diffusivity ($D_e$), are used to describe the diffusive transport of radionuclides through engineered and natural barriers in radioactive waste management.
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When the concentration gradient is defined on the basis of the amount of diffusing species per unit volume of porous material, the proportional constant between the flux and the concentration gradient is called $D_a$. On the other hand, when the definition is based on the concentration of diffusing species in pore water, the proportional constant is $D_e$.

The driving force behind cation diffusion in bentonite mixtures is well known for Sr$^{2+}$. Eriksen & Jansson (1996) showed positive correlation between $D_e$ and distribution coefficient ($K_d$), which is evidence for the contribution of surface diffusion or diffusion in the sorbed state. For Cs, on the other hand, plausible data on the driving force for diffusion in bentonite mixtures are not available. On the basis of the results of a through-diffusion experiment, Muurinen et al. (1987) reported that surface diffusion is the predominant Cs diffusion mechanism in compacted bentonite. In their experiment, however, steady state was not reached, and the results and conclusion are questionable. Yu & Neretnieks (1997) conducted a literature review, proposed diffusion coefficients of elements in compacted bentonite, and concluded that surface diffusion effects are found for Cs, Pa, and Sr in low-ionic-strength waters. Their discussion was, however, based on the effective diffusivity that was indirectly estimated from $D_a$ and $K_d$ data. Okamoto et al. (1999) hinted at the contribution of surface diffusion by showing that the $D_a$ of Cs is independent of $K_d$. The scarce information on Cs is mainly because of the difficulties encountered in through-diffusion experiments or the extremely long times required for reaching steady-state diffusion.

In this study, through-diffusion experiments for Cs in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures were performed under several water compositions, expecting that the variation in the water composition can lead to variations in Cs sorptivity and in the driving force of diffusion. We examined whether the apparent diffusivity or the effective diffusivity was the fixed constant, namely the proportional constant between the diffusive flux and the concentration gradient driving diffusion. For comparison, the diffusivities of $^{237}$Np(IV), $^{241}$Am(III), and $^{60}$Co(II) in the mixtures were also determined under 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ conditions through multitracer diffusion experiments.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Through-diffusion experiments were carried out at various solution compositions. The acrylic diffusion cell used in this study is shown in Fig. 1. The experimental conditions are summarized in Table 1. The employed bentonite material was Kunigel V1 (Kunimine Industries Co. Ltd.), with 46–49 wt.% Na-montmorillonite (Ito et al., 1993). In the

---

**Fig. 1.** Diffusion cell used in the through-diffusion experiments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$K_d$ (m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_a$ (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_{eb}$ (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl Ar atmosphere RT (25±3)ºC</td>
<td>Cs$^+$</td>
<td>(1.0±0.2) x 10$^0$</td>
<td>(5.9±1.7) x 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>(3.7±1.1) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>&gt;5.9 x 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>Series-1–1, this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl Ar atmosphere RT (25±3)ºC</td>
<td>Cs$^+$</td>
<td>(8.0±1.3) x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>(6.7±1.6) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(5.3±1.3) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(7.8±2.8) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>Series-1–2, this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaOH Ar atmosphere RT (25±3)ºC</td>
<td>Cs$^+$</td>
<td>(6.7±1.4) x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>(6.7±2.2) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(6.2±2.0) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(7.8±4.0) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>Series-1–3, this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$, pH = 8.8 Total carbonate = 0.3 mol l$^{-1}$ [S$_2$O$_4^{2-}$] = 0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ $E_H = -312$ mV vs. NHE Ar atmosphere RT (26.4±2.7)ºC</td>
<td>$^{137}$Cs$^+$</td>
<td>(6.4±0.6) x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>(5.2±0.5) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(5.1±0.5) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(5.6±0.7) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>Series-2, this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{237}$Np($^{4+}$CO$_3$)$_2$(OH)$_2^-$</td>
<td>(6.0±0.3) x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>(4.7±0.6) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(4.9±0.6) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(4.9±0.8) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6.8±0.2) x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>(5.7±0.6) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(5.2±0.6) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(6.2±0.9) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>av. (6.4±0.7) x 10$^{-2}$ av. (5.2±1.1) x 10$^{-10}$ av. (5.1±0.8) x 10$^{-12}$ av. (5.6±1.6) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>av. (1.5±0.1) x 10$^{-2}$ av. (4.3±0.7) x 10$^{-11}$ av. (1.8±0.2) x 10$^{-12}$ av. (3.7±0.6) x 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{241}$Am($^{3+}$CO$_3$)$_3^-$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.7 x 10$^{-14q}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{60}$Co($^{2+}$NH$_3$)$_3^-$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.6 x 10$^{-15q}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$, pH = 9.0 Total carbonate = 0.3 mol l$^{-1}$ [S$_2$O$_4^{2-}$] = 0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ $E_H = -430$ to $-250$ mV vs. NHE Ar atmosphere RT (30ºC)</td>
<td>$^{134}$Cs$^+$</td>
<td>(2.6±0.3) x 10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(9.3±1.1) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(2.2±0.3) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(1.2±0.2) x 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>Yamaguchi et al. (2007) Re-estimated, this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.7±0.3) x 10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(8.8±0.9) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(2.1±0.2) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(1.1±0.2) x 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.1±0.3) x 10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(7.0±0.8) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>(2.0±0.2) x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>(7.9±1.3) x 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>av. (2.5±0.7) x 10$^{-1}$ av. (8.4±2.2) x 10$^{-10}$ av. (2.1±0.4) x 10$^{-12}$ av. (1.0±0.4) x 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The errors were evaluated at a confidence limit of 68% (1σ).

* Apparent diffusivity estimated by the distribution of species in the bentonite mixture at the end of the experiments.
experiments, mixtures of Kunigel V1 and silica sand were compacted to an acrylic diffusion column of 20 mm in diameter and 10 mm in thickness. The mixture ratio of Kunigel V1 and silica sand was 7:3 by dry weight and the density of the mixed specimens was 1600 kg m\(^{-3}\); these are specified as the reference buffer material in Japan (JNC, 2000). Each side of the sand-bentonite mixture was covered with sintered stainless steel, 1-mm-thick filters of 40% porosity in order to prevent the expansion of bentonite in the reservoirs.

**Series-1—1: 0.01 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution**

A multitracer through-diffusion experiment was performed by using the diffusion cell following a diffusion experiment for HTO. A 0.01 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution was employed to simulate fresh groundwater. The diffusion cell was soaked in the solution under vacuum to remove all air from the pores in the specimen. The two reservoirs of the diffusion cell were then filled with 1.1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) l of the solution. The diffusion experiment was started by adding HTO to the solution in one of the reservoirs (high-concentration reservoir). At intervals of seven days, 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) l aliquot was taken from the low-concentration reservoir, and 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) l aliquot from the high-concentration reservoir for radiometric analysis. The 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) l aliquot removed from the low-concentration reservoir was replaced by an equal volume of 0.01 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution to maintain the water levels in the two reservoirs. This balancing act prevents the development of a pressure difference that can lead to advective transport from the high-concentration to the low-concentration reservoir. The concentrations of HTO in both reservoirs were determined by liquid scintillation counting (LS-6500, Beckman Coulter, Inc.). After the diffusion of HTO reached a steady state, the solution was transferred into an atmosphere-controlled glove box and left for 30 days to condition the specimens. The starting solution was prepared by combining a 5.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) l \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4\) mixed solution, whose total ammonia concentration, total carbonate concentration and dithionite concentration were 6.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), 3.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) mol l\(^{-1}\) and 3.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-2}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), respectively. A diffusion cell without bentonite was used in a blank test to check the adsorption of radionuclides on the cell walls. The diffusion cells were transferred into an atmosphere-controlled glove box and left for 30 days to condition the specimens in the solution. The starting solution was prepared by combining a 5.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) l of \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4\) solution, a 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) l \(^{137}\)Cs stock solution, a 9.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) l \(^{60}\)Co stock solution, a 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) l \(^{24}\)Am stock solution and a 1.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) l Np(IV) stock solution in a polypropylene bottle. The concentrations of Ni, Nb and Pb were lower than the detection limit, diffusivities were not obtained for these elements. The diffusion experiments were performed at room temperature under Ar \((\text{O}_2 < 1 \text{ ppm})\) to avoid oxidation of \(\Gamma^-\).

**Series-1—2: 0.5 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution**

A 0.5 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution was employed to simulate saline groundwater. The procedure of the diffusion experiments was similar to that of the series-1—1 experiments.

**Series-1—3: 0.5 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution**

A 0.5 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution was employed to simulate the highly alkaline groundwater conditions induced by cementitious materials in the radioactive waste repositories. The procedure of the diffusion experiments was similar to that of the series-1—1 experiments. In this series, the initial concentrations of HTO, Cs, I, Sr, Sn and Pb were 100 kBq l\(^{-1}\), 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), 2 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol l\(^{-1}\) and 9 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol l\(^{-1}\), respectively. The concentrations of Ni and Nb were lower than the detection limit, so the diffusivities were not determined.
and $^{237}\text{Np}$ in the starting solution were 0.6 mol $^{-1}$, 0.3 mol $^{-1}$, 0.03 mol $^{-1}$, 5.5 x $10^3$ kBq $^{-1}$, 5.5 x $10^1$ kBq $^{-1}$, 1.35 x $10^5$ kBq $^{-1}$ and 1.36 x $10^2$ kBq $^{-1}$, respectively. The pH and $E_{H}$ (redox potential against normal hydrogen electrode) of the solutions were measured with electrodes. Aliquots of the solutions were withdrawn to determine the concentrations of radionuclides by $\gamma$-spectrometry. The diffusion runs started by replacing the solution in one of the reservoirs with a 1.1 x $10^{-11}$ l starting solution and a blank test with a 2.2 x $10^{-11}$ l starting solution. At intervals of three weeks, the solutions in the high- and low-concentration reservoirs were sampled. The sampling procedure was similar to the series-1 experiments.

After performing series-2 diffusion runs for 363 days, a series of post-experimental analyses were performed for the diffusion tests and the blank test. The pH and $E_{H}$ were measured with electrodes, and the ratio of Np(IV) to the total dissolved Np was determined using the thienoyl trifluoroacetone (TTA) extraction technique (Yamaguchi et al., 2007). Np(IV) is extracted by TTA, while the other oxidation states of Np remain in the aqueous phase (Foti & Freiling, 1964) in 1 mol $^{-1}$ HCl. We filtered 4.0 x $10^{-4}$ l aliquots of the solution through four types of filters, a 0.45 $\mu$m durapore (PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride) filter, a regenerated cellulose filter with 100 k and 30 k nominal molecular weight limit (NMWL), and polyether sulphon filters with 10 k and 5 k NMWL in series to analyse the colloidal Np in the solution. The filters were preconditioned by filtering a 4.0 x $10^{-3}$ l HCl. We then rinsed with 1.0 x $10^{-2}$ l deionized water three times, and then rinsed with 1.0 x $10^{-2}$ l of 1.0 x $10^1$ mol $^{-1}$ HNO$_3$ to analyse the amount of Np adsorbed on the acrylic walls. The sand-bentonite mixture was pushed out from the acrylic frame one millimeter at a time by using a screw presser and sliced with a steel blade to reveal the distribution of radionuclides in the sand-bentonite mixture specimens. Because the periphery of the slice seemed to be disturbed from pushing the sample out of the acrylic frame, the centre of the slice with a 14 mm in diameter was cut using a polypropylene tube. The specimen was dried, weighed, and analysed for radionuclides by $\gamma$-spectrometry.

### DATA ANALYSIS

Neretnieks (1980) applied the pore diffusion equation proposed for diffusion in macroporous media by Brakel & Heertjes (1974) for modelling $D_a$ and $D_c$ in rock matrix

$$D_a = \frac{\delta \tau}{(1 + \rho K_d)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{c}{\phi + \rho K_d} \right)$$

(1)

where $D_a$ is the diffusivity in the bulk solution (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $\delta$ is the contricktivity of the pores (dimensionless), $\tau$ is the tortuosity of the pores (dimensionless), $\phi$ is the porosity of the diffusion medium (dimensionless), $\rho$ is the bulk density of the diffusion medium (kg m$^{-3}$), $K_d$ is the distribution coefficient (m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) and $D_p$ is the pore diffusivity (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$).

Fick’s 1$^{st}$ law of diffusion is applied to model one-dimensional diffusion in the diffusion medium. If the linear sorption isotherm is assumed, $D_a$ and $D_c$ are defined as

$$J = -D_a \frac{dc}{dx} = -D_a (\phi + \rho K_d) \frac{dc}{dx} = -D_a \frac{dc}{dx}$$

(2)

where $J$ is the diffusive flux (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ or Bq m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $C$ is the amount of diffusing species per unit volume of the diffusion medium (mol m$^{-3}$ or Bq m$^{-3}$), $x$ is the length coordinate in the diffusion direction (m), and $c$ is the concentration of the diffusing species in pore water (mol m$^{-3}$ or Bq m$^{-3}$). In this paper, the gradient of $C$, $dc/dx$, is called the “apparent concentration gradient.”

Because of equation 1, the two diffusivities $D_a$ and $D_c$ coexist in the field of radioactive waste management. However, we need to identify whether $dc/dx$ or $dc/dx$ drives diffusion and to use the corresponding diffusivity to model the diffusive mass transfer. For $\phi \ll \rho K_d$, equation 2 can be rewritten as

$$J = -D_a \phi K_d \frac{dc}{dx}$$

(3)

Then, $D_a$ becomes the ratio of the diffusive flux to the concentration gradient of the species adsorbed on the diffusion medium ($\rho K_d dc/dx$). Yu & Neretnieks (1997) defined this ratio as surface diffusivity.

The rate of change of concentration at a point in one-dimensional systems assuming linear sorption is given by Fick’s 2$^{nd}$ law of diffusion:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{D_c}{\phi + \rho K_d} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}$$

(4)
The exact solution of equation 4 for decreasing inlet concentration—increasing outlet concentration under the initial and boundary conditions shown in Fig. 2 was proposed by Zhang & Takeda (2005). The concentration of species at position $x$ and time $t$ is described using equation 5:

$$c(x, t) = \frac{c_1(0)}{\beta + \gamma + 1} - 2c_1(0) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left( -D_e \frac{\phi_m^2}{L} \cdot t \right)}{[\gamma \cdot \phi_m^2 - (\beta + \gamma + 1)] \cdot \cos(\phi_m) + [\beta \cdot \gamma + \beta + 2\gamma] \cdot \sin(\phi_m)}$$

where $c_1(0)$ is the concentration of species in the starting solution in the high-concentration reservoir (mol m$^{-3}$ or Bq m$^{-3}$), and $M$ is the dry weight of the diffusion medium (kg). The total column length including the filter was used in the analysis because the exact solution of the diffusion equation is available only for diffusion through a single domain. The effective diffusivity of the species was determined by fitting equation 5 to the concentrations of the species in the low-concentration reservoir. The apparent diffusivity was, on the other hand, estimated from $D_e$ and $K_d$ by using equation 1. Effective diffusivities in the sand-bentonite mixtures ($D_{eb}$) were determined by correcting for the loss of the concentration gradient in the filter by using equation 8:

$$D_{eb} = \frac{L_b}{L} - 2\frac{L_f}{L_f}$$

where $L_b$ is the column length of the sand-bentonite mixture (1.0 × 10$^{-2}$ m), $L$ is the total column length including the filter thickness (1.2 × 10$^{-2}$ m), $L_f$ is the filter thickness (1.0 × 10$^{-3}$ m) and $D_{ef}$ is the effective diffusivity of the species in the filter calculated by the pore diffusion model (4 × 10$^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diffusivities of Cs

The changes in the concentrations of Cs in the high- and low-concentration reservoirs are shown in Fig. 3. The concentration of Cs in the low-concentration reservoir was first detected after 20–40 days, then gradually increased, and finally reached equilibrium about 150 days later. The concentrations of radioactive Cs have been reached equilibrium about 150 days later. We also applied the above-mentioned method to analyse the Cs diffusion data in a similar diffusion experiment using a 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ solution by Yamaguchi et al. (2007), and re-estimated $D_e$ and $D_a$.

The distribution coefficients of Cs$^+$ in 0.01 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl solution, 0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl solution, 0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaOH solution, 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ solution, and 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ solution were obtained as $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, $6.2 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, and $(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The apparent diffusivities obtained in this study were close to those reported by Sato et al. (1992) and by Kozaki et al. (1999) from in-diffusion experiments using compacted montmorillonite. At the same effective montmorillonite density (montmorillonite gel density; Sawaguchi et al., 2006), the values were $8.0 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ and $4.8 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

The diffusivities, $D_e$ and $D_a$, as a function of $K_d$ are shown in Figs 4 and 5, respectively. $D_e$ values of $4.7 \times 10^{-10}$ to $5.9 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ were obtained with somewhat large variation. The variation is not caused by the variation in the geometry of the diffusion pathways because the density and mixture ratio of the sand-bentonite mixture specimens were the same in all diffusion runs. $D_a$ values, on the other hand, varied less from $2.0 \times 10^{-12}$ to $6.2 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. The results indicate that the diffusive flux is proportional to the apparent concentration gradient of Cs$^+$ in the compacted sand-bentonite mixtures rather than the gradient of the Cs$^+$ concentration in pore water. Because the apparent concentration gradient in the mixture is nearly equal to the gradient of adsorbed Cs$^+$, the diffusion of Cs$^+$ in the mixtures is probably dominated by the concentration gradient of the Cs$^+$ adsorbed on the mixtures. As shown in Fig. 4, the $D_e$ of Cs$^+$ is not constant but positively correlated to $K_d$, which may be explained if surface diffusion is dominant at high $K_d$ and its contribution decreases as $K_d$ decreases at high ionic strength. Figure 4, however, shows that the contribution of surface diffusion is almost equal to that of pore diffusion in 0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ solutions (series-1–2 and series-1–3), which are equivalent to saline groundwater.

Diffusivities of other elements

In series-2, Np was prepared as Np (IV) at the start of the diffusion and TTA extraction was 99% at the termination of the diffusion runs. Np (IV) is extracted by TTA, while the other oxidation states of Np remain in the aqueous phase. The pH, $E_h$, and the effective diffusivities of Cs$^+$ in 0.01 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl, 0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaCl, 0.5 mol l$^{-1}$ NaOH, 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$, and 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ solutions were obtained as $(3.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, $(5.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, $(6.2 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, and $(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The apparent diffusivities obtained in this study were close to those reported by Sato et al. (1992) and by Kozaki et al. (1999) from in-diffusion experiments using compacted montmorillonite. At the same effective montmorillonite density (montmorillonite gel density; Sawaguchi et al., 2006), the values were $8.0 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ and $4.8 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.
Fig. 3. Changes in the Cs concentrations of the high- and low-concentration reservoirs for series-1, series-2, and the diffusion data of Yamaguchi et al. (2007).
and total carbonate concentrations were 8.8, 
-312 mV vs. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) 
and 3.0 × 10^{-11} mol l^{-1}, respectively, at the 
termination of each diffusion run. These facts 
ensured that Np was mainly in the +IV state 
throughout the diffusion runs. The filtration analysis 
showed that (97%± 6%) of Np passed through the 
5 k NMWL filter, which ensured that Np was not 
present in the solution as colloidal particles. 
Speciation calculations using a thermodynamic 
database (Yamaguchi, 2000) show that the 
carbonatohydroxo complex of Np IV(CO3)2(OH)2 
accounts for most ratios of Np under the 
experimental conditions.
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**FIG. 4.** Effective Cs diffusivities ($D_e$) in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures as a function of distribution coefficient ($K_d$). The lines show the least-squares fitting of the $D_e = \phi D_p + \rho K_d D_s$ equation (Yu & Neretnieks, 1997) to the 
data for a trial where $\phi D_p$ is 2.0 × 10^{-10} m^2 s^{-1} (Yamaguchi et al., 2007). $D_s$ was 3.0 × 10^{-12} m^2 s^{-1}.
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-312 mV vs. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) 
and 3.0 × 10^{-11} mol l^{-1}, respectively, at the 
termination of each diffusion run. These facts 
ensured that Np was mainly in the +IV state 
throughout the diffusion runs. The filtration analysis 
showed that (97%± 6%) of Np passed through the 
5 k NMWL filter, which ensured that Np was not 
present in the solution as colloidal particles. 
Speciation calculations using a thermodynamic 
database (Yamaguchi, 2000) show that the 
carbonatohydroxo complex of Np IV(CO3)2(OH)2 
accounts for most ratios of Np under the 
experimental conditions.

![Example of explanation for Da of Cs](image)

**FIG. 5.** Apparent Cs diffusivity ($D_a$) in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures as a function of the distribution 
coefficient ($K_d$).
The concentrations of $^{237}$Np in the high-concentration reservoirs decreased throughout the experimental period of 363 days, as shown in Fig. 6. Np diffusion in the specimens reached a steady state after 300 days. The effective diffusivities of Np in series-2 were estimated using the exact solution (Table 1), and the analytical results reproduced well the experimental results in both reservoirs.

Under the above-mentioned solution conditions of series-2, it is assumed that $^{241}$Am exists as $^{241}$Am$\left(\text{CO}_3\right)_3^{3-}$ and $^{60}$Co as $^{60}$Co$\left(\text{NH}_3\right)^{2+}$. In this series, $^{241}$Am and $^{60}$Co were not detected in the low-concentration reservoirs during the whole experimental period. Mass balance calculations of $^{241}$Am and $^{60}$Co at the termination of the diffusion runs (Table 2) show that they primarily existed in the filter and could precipitate in the high-concentration reservoirs because of the high loss. Therefore, their $K_d$ and $D_e$ could not be estimated with the exact solution. Hence, only $D_a$ was calculated from the concentration distribution in the compacted mixtures by using the following equation: (Crank, 1975)

$$C(x, t) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{\pi D_a t}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{4D_a t} \right)$$

where $A$ is the amount of deposited species per unit area (mol m$^{-2}$ or Bq m$^{-2}$). Figures 7 and 8 show the distributions of $^{241}$Am and $^{60}$Co in the bentonite mixture at the end of series-2 experiments and the

**Table 2.** Final distribution of Cs, Np, Am and Co in the series-2 experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cs</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Np</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Am</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total inventory</td>
<td>7813</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>27083</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20303</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution in high-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>1529</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>17710</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution in low-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>4554</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter facing the high-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2224</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter facing the low-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall of the high-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall of the low-concentration reservoir</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand-bentonite mixture</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>6219</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>9689</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss*</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>-1490</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>6875</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>5140</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated by subtracting the analysed final inventory from the total amount used in the experiment.
The results of the least-squares fitting, respectively. The apparent diffusivity of $^{241}\text{Am}(\text{CO}_3)_3^{3-}$ in the 0.3/0.03 mol l$^{-1}$ $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$ solution was $1.7 \times 10^{-14}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ and that of $^{60}\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_2^{2+}$ was $7.6 \times 10^{-15}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

The effective diffusivities of HTO, I, Ni, Sr, Nb, Sn and Pb in the series-1 experiments are described elsewhere (JAEA, 2006). Further investigation is necessary to identify the driving force behind the diffusion of elements other than Cs and Sr.

**CONCLUSION**

The driving force for the diffusion of Cs$^+$ through compacted sand-bentonite mixtures was studied using the through-diffusion method under different aqueous compositions. The variation in effective diffusivity values was somewhat large, while that in the apparent diffusivity values was small. The results indicated that the diffusion of Cs in the adsorbed state would be the main Cs diffusion mechanism in the mixtures.
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