

PRINCIPAL EDITORS

NANCY L. ROSS, Virginia Tech, USA
(nross@vt.edu)
JONATHAN D. BLUNDY, University of Bristol,
UK (jon.blundy@bristol.ac.uk)
JOHN M. EILER, Caltech, USA
(eiler@gps.caltech.edu)

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

BLANCA BAULUZ, Sociedad Española
di Mineralogía
COSTANZA BONADIMAN, Società Italiana
di Mineralogia e Petrologia
VALÉRIE BOYSE, Société Française
de Minéralogie et de Cristallographie
CATHERINE CORRIGAN, Meteoritical Society
KATERINA M. DONTSOVA, The Clay Minerals
Society
BARBARA L. DUTROW, Mineralogical
Society of America
MASAKI ENAMI, Japan Association
of Mineralogical Sciences
DANIEL J. FROST, European Association
of Geochemistry, Chair
BERNARD GROBÉTY, Swiss Society of
Mineralogy and Petrology
MARK E. HODSON, Mineralogical Society
of Great Britain and Ireland
HEATHER JAMIESON, Mineralogical
Association of Canada
KLAUS MEZGER, Deutsche Mineralogische
Gesellschaft
MAREK MICHALIK, Mineralogical Society
of Poland
RYAN R.P. NOBLE, Association of Applied
Geochemists
ORFAN SHOUAKAR-STASH, International
Association of Geochemistry
SASHA TURCHYN, Geochemical Society
MICHAEL WIEDENBECK, International
Association of Geoanalysts

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

JODI J. ROSSO (jrosso.elements@gmail.com)

EDITORIAL OFFICE

WASHINGTON STATE
UNIVERSITY
TRI-CITIES

2710 Crimson Way, Floyd 263
Richland, WA 99354-1671, USA
Tel/Fax: (509) 420-5331 (UTC-8)

Layout: POULIOT GUAY GRAPHISTES
Copy editor: PATRICK ROYCROFT
Proofreader: PATRICK ROYCROFT
Printer: ALLEN PRESS

The publishers assume no responsibility for
any statement of fact or opinion expressed
in the published material. The appearance
of advertising in this magazine does not
constitute endorsement or approval of the
quality or value of the products or of the
claims made for them.

elements magazine.org

Elements
An International Magazine of Mineralogy, Geochemistry, and Petrology

DON'T MISS AN ISSUE OF ELEMENTS.
Join a participating society!

MINERALOGICAL REVELATIONS FROM SPACE ODYSSEYS

DOI: 10.2138/gselements.15.1.3



Nancy Ross

Sir Arthur C. Clarke (1917–2008), perhaps best known for the 1968 book and film 2001: A Space Odyssey, once stated that “The only way of discovering the limits of the possible is to venture a little way past them into the impossible.” As you enjoy this issue of *Elements* on planet Mercury, think about the remarkable achievement of sending a spacecraft to Mercury, the closest planet to the Sun.

Getting to Mercury is difficult, not only because the speed required to reach it is relatively high but also because the Sun’s gravitational field pulls on any spacecraft that is sent into Mercury’s orbit. The NASA MESSENGER probe, launched 2004, was the first to orbit Mercury and, as described in this issue, revealed many unique and enigmatic aspects of the planet. On 20 October 2018, the ESA/JAXA BepiColombo spacecraft was launched and began its seven-year journey to Mercury. This mission joins a myriad of other spacecraft sent out to explore the solar system’s planetary expanse. On 5 November 2018, *Voyager II*, which was launched in 1977 on a mission to explore the outer planets, exited the heliosphere and entered interstellar space after flybys of Jupiter (1979), Saturn (1981), Uranus (1986) and Neptune (1989). On 26 November 2018, the NASA InSight lander safely touched down on Mars. It is the first space robotic explorer designed to study the interior of a planet by using seismology, geodesy, and heat flow measurements. On 3 December 2018, the NASA spacecraft OSIRIS-REx reached the carbonaceous asteroid Bennu and discovered the presence of water. On 3 January 2019, China successfully landed the *Chang’e-4* spacecraft on the far side of the Moon, this probe carrying a suite of instruments to analyze this unexplored region.

Each of these, and other, missions gather data from “natural laboratories” that encompass a wide range of composition, pressure, and temperature conditions. The acquired data answer some questions, but typically raise more, about the origin and evolution of our planetary system. For example, one of the surprising findings of the MESSENGER mission to Mercury was the unusually high sulfur and low FeO content of its surface lavas, suggesting highly reducing conditions during its formation. As described by Cartier and Wood (2019 this issue), insights into these redox conditions can be gained from studying the possible building blocks of Mercury, such as enstatite chondrites which are also highly reduced. These chondrites contain a wide variety of unusual sulfides, including the alkali copper–iron sulfide mineral, djerfisherite, with composition $K_6(Fe^{2+}, Cu, Ni)_{25}S_{26}Cl$. I must admit that I was not familiar with djerfisherite and intrigued by its complex chemistry. It turns out that djerfisherite has an elegant structure with sulfur atoms arranged in a cubic close-packed arrangement and edge-sharing FeS_4 tetrahedra forming Fe_8S_{14} clusters that form the backbone of the structure. The iron atoms themselves form



FIGURE 1 Artist’s rendition of the “super-Earth” planet 55 Cancri e, in the constellation of Cancer, which was initially dubbed the “diamond planet”. Note the core! IMAGE CREDIT: TRAVIS METCALFE AND RUTH BAZINET, HARVARD-SMITHSONIAN CENTER FOR ASTROPHYSICS

perfect cubes and, with interatomic separations of 2.74 Å, there are likely Fe–Fe bonded interactions that may account for the material’s semiconductor properties.

Some of the most fascinating mineralogical revelations are coming from the discovery of exoplanets orbiting stars beyond our solar system. On 30 August 2004, an exoplanet now named 55 Cancri e was discovered 40 light-years away in the constellation of Cancer the crab (McArthur et al. 2004). The mass of this exoplanet is about 8.63 times that of Earth, and its diameter is about twice that of the Earth. This classifies it as a “super-Earth” planet. Similar to Mercury, 55 Cancri e is the innermost known planet in its planetary system, but its orbital year takes less than 18 hours (!) compared to the 88 days for Mercury. In 2012, it was announced that 55 Cancri e could be a carbon planet (Madhusudhan et al. 2012), and speculations arose that it could have a diamond-rich interior (Fig. 1). Interestingly, in Arthur C. Clarke’s 1982 book sequel, 2010: Odyssey Two, one of his extraterrestrial characters discovered that “the core of Jupiter, forever beyond human reach, was a diamond as big as the Earth.” While the diamond hypothesis has been challenged (Teske et al. 2013), studies are ongoing to explain the unusual properties of 55 Cancri e. Indeed, the discoveries of 55 Cancri e and other super-Earth planets have motivated a number of theoretical and experimental studies that are expanding our knowledge of the stabilities of materials under extreme conditions of pressure and temperature.

It is time to end my odyssey into space and return to Earth. As I have time to reflect, it is clear that mineralogists have a rich and diverse playground of natural laboratories in which to explore the state of matter. The field of mineralogy is well positioned to expand along with the space missions and to embrace the amazing discoveries about the materials that comprise the universe.

Nancy L. Ross, Principal Editor

REFERENCES

- Cartier C, Wood BJ (2019) The role of reducing conditions in building Mercury. *Elements* 15: 39–45
- Madhusudhan N, Lee KKM, Mousis O (2012) A possible carbon-rich interior in super-Earth 55 Cancri e. *Astrophysical Journal Letters* 759, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/759/2/L40
- McArthur BE and 11 coauthors (2004) Detection of a Neptune-mass planet in the ρ^1 Cancri system using the Hobby-Eberly Telescope. *Astrophysical Journal Letters*. 614: L81–L84
- Teske JK, Cunha K, Schuler SC, Griffith CA, Smith VV (2013) Carbon and oxygen abundances in cool metal-rich exoplanet hosts: a case study of the C/O ratio of 55 Cancri. *Astrophysical Journal* 778, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/778/2/132