Upsloping lateral sourcil: a radiographic finding of hip instability
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ABSTRACT

While radiographic findings of frank hip dysplasia are well defined, there is a lack of diagnostic criteria for patients with radiographically ‘normal’ hips who have borderline morphologic deficits and clinical instability. In this study, we aim to define and validate a new radiographic finding associated with hip instability known as the upsloping lateral sourcil (ULS). Patients (316) were reviewed for lateral center edge angles, generalized joint laxity assessed with the Beighton Hypermobility Score and the presence of the ULS. The ULS was defined as a caudal-to-cranial inclination of the middle-to-far lateral aspect of the acetabular sourcil with loss of the normal lateral acetabular concavity. The prevalence of the ULS correspondingly increased with the degree of under-coverage as defined by LCEA. Within the normal coverage group, hips with a ULS had smaller LCEAs than those without ULS (29° versus 32°, P < 0.001). Among hips with a ULS, 59.00% had generalized joint laxity. The association between the ULS finding and generalized joint laxity was statistically significant (P < 0.01). The ULS is seen with higher prevalence in patients with clinical hip laxity and radiographically decreasing LCEA and may serve as an adjunctive finding in patients presenting with hip pain and instability. The ULS may help to characterize patients with borderline hip dysplasia and laxity that fall outside conventional imaging criteria for dysplasia.

INTRODUCTION

Hip instability is a functional abnormality in which the femoral head fails to maintain full congruency with the acetabular cup and which results in hip subluxation and pain [1]. Several proposed factors have been implicated in the development of hip instability, including acetabular coverage [2], femoral torsion [3] and insufficiency of the soft tissue stabilizers [4]. Although developmental hip dysplasia (DDH) is found in only about 0.2% of the newborn population [5], up to 40% of individuals with osteoarthritis of the hip have some involvement of dysplasia [6]. In DDH, a deficient acetabular cup leads to an increase in acetabular roof inclination, decrease in the lateral coverage of the femoral head and increase in anteverision of the acetabular cup [6–8]. These findings present as excessive femoral torsion and acetabular version [9, 10]. The conventional radiographic means of detecting adult hip dysplasia includes measurements such as a Tönnis angle >10° or lateral center edge angle (LCEA) <20° [11–15]. A LCEA of 20–25° is technically within the normal range but is considered by some authors to be more appropriately defined as ‘borderline dysplastic’ and therefore a poor indicator of true congruency of the hip. These borderline patients present a clinical challenge, as some cases can be successfully addressed with hip arthroscopy alone, while some may require open bony realignment procedures [1, 16–18]. Patients with chronic instability associated with hip dysplasia are predisposed to premature osteoarthritis [19–21], a fact that has undoubtedly driven the push for aggressive and early treatment once diagnosis is established. In the
past, treatment for adult dysplastic patients was largely comprised of arthroplasty procedures as a means for symptomatic relief. Recently, orthopedic surgeons have shifted treatment focus to preventative measures aiming to halting the progressive cartilage damage and early joint failure enabling return to pain-free, active life [16, 18, 22–27].

While radiographic findings of frank hip dysplasia are well defined on anteroposterior (AP) and false profile radiographs, these measurements do not always speak to the presence of chronic hip instability arising from a combination of other parameters, such as tissue laxity, patient’s weight, or femoral and acetabular version [14]. Furthermore, when considering patients with borderline acetabular coverage (LCEA 20–25°), the ability to radiographically discern the inherent instability of the hip has substantial benefit in treatment planning. The purpose of this study was to define and validate a new radiographic finding associated with generalized joint laxity in these patients known as the upsloping lateral sourcil (ULS).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

After Institutional Review Board approval was obtained, a retrospective chart review of prospectively collected data was performed on a consecutive series of patients who presented to a hip preservation clinic between 2013 and 2014. Inclusion criteria for patients selected for this study were as follows: (i) persistent hip pain and mechanical symptoms refractory to nonoperative management (physical therapy, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, activity modifications, corticosteroid injections) lasting at least 3 months, (ii) joint-space width exceeding 3 mm on all views of plain radiography and cross-sectional imaging and (iii) no previous hip joint surgery. All patients underwent routine comprehensive physical examination of the lower spine and lower limbs with emphasis on the evaluation of hip pain and generalized joint laxity. Passive hip range of motion (supine, lateral, prone), the FADIR (flexion, abduction, internal rotation) test, the FABER (flexion, abduction, external rotation) test, the ligamentum teres test, the posterior impingement test and use of the Beighton Hypermobility Score (BHS) [28]. BHS was categorized as follows: no laxity (0–2 points), mild laxity (3–5 points), moderate to severe laxity (6–9 points). BHS allows for a standardized and quantifiable assessment for joint laxity.

All patient charts were reviewed for pre-operative AP hip radiographs that conformed to standard radiographic quality parameters. Standard AP pelvis films were obtained with the lower extremities internally rotated 15° to maximize femoral neck length. The X-ray beam was directed midway between the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and the pubic symphysis, with a focus-film-distance of 120 cm [29]. Radiographs were determined to be adequate given symmetric obturator foramina and a distance of 1–3 cm between the coccyx and pubic symphysis [30].

LCEAs were measured using the Ogata technique, where an angle is formed between the orthogonal line drawn from the tear-drop line and a line through the center of the femoral head to the lateral margin of the acetabulum. Both left and right hips were analyzed for a ULS (described below) and LCEA measurement via AP pelvic radiograph. Based on LCEA, patients were segmented into the following categories: frank dysplasia (LCEA <20°), borderline dysplasia (20° ≤ LCEA <25°), normal (25° ≤ LCEA <40°) and pincer-type femoroacetabular impingement (FAI; LCEA ≥40°) (Fig. 1).

Criteria to determine the presence or absence of the ULS was determined prior to radiographic review of images. A ULS was defined as a caudal-to-cranial inclination of the middle-to-far lateral aspect of the acetabular sourcil with loss of the normal lateral acetabular concavity (Fig. 2). We documented a ULS finding if the sourcil was sloped cranially beyond neutral, which may be referenced by the tear-drop line. All images were reviewed independently by two of the authors (MKJ, TYW) who were both blinded to exact LCEA measurements, BHS classification and findings of the other reviewer. Patients were excluded from analysis if no pre-operative images were available, imaging did not meet the set quality parameters, or if there was dramatic dysplasia of the acetabular cup (negative LCEA) or gross deformity of the femoral head such as Perthes or SCFE.

Statistical analysis

Interrater reliability was conducted on the ULS categorization between the two raters using a Fleiss Kappa statistic, independently calculated for the left and right hips. Due to the constraints in the calculation of the statistic, any hips with missing data were removed from the analysis. Further analysis was performed after Kappa statistics were deemed appropriate.

Utilizing the ULS rating from the first rater and pooling ratings between left and right hips, Fisher’s exact tests were conducted to determine if significant associations existed between the acetabular ULS and LCEA as well as generalized joint laxity. A Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test was performed to determine if a significant association existed between the ULS and generalized joint laxity, while controlling for the potential confounder of LCEA categorization. Finally, positive and negative predictive values were calculated using two different ‘gold standards’: categorization of dysplasia when the LCEA is less than 25° and a BHS of five or fewer points, respectively.

RESULTS

A total of 316 patient charts were reviewed. Sixty-seven patients were excluded based on aforementioned
radiographic criteria, leaving 246 patients (492 hips) available for analysis, 70.3% female with a mean age of 33.3 ± 11.4 years. With both hips pooled together, the study sample comprised the following categorizations based on the LCEA: 18 frank dysplasia, 42 borderline dysplasia, 358 normal and 74 pincer-type FAI. Half of all borderline patients had BHS/C21 points, as did 44% of normal patients (Table I). Stratifying LCEA groups by BHS was not statistically significant in either borderline or normal LCEA groups (Table II).

The overall Fleiss-Kappa statistic for ULS in the left hip was 0.67 and for the right hip was 0.63 (Tables III and IV), indicating adequate agreement between the raters [31, 32]. The frank dysplasia category contained the most disagreement for both hip sides, while the other two categories contained similar levels of agreement between the raters.

Fisher’s exact test showed a significant association between LCEA category and ULS (P < 0.0001). ULS was most common among patients with frank dysplasia, occurring in 83.33% of all cases. The prevalence of the ULS decreased as the LCEA increased (Table V). Stratifying by LCEA category, two-sample t-tests demonstrated a significant difference in mean LCEA between normal hips with and without ULS (29° versus 32°, P < 0.001) (Table VI).

Of the 100 hips that demonstrated the ULS finding, 59 hips (59.00%) were in patients with a BHS/C21 points. Conversely, the ULS finding was relatively less common in patients with a BHS <3 points, present in only 41 of the 272 (15.07%) cases. The association between the ULS finding and generalized joint laxity was found to be statistically significant (Table VII, P < 0.01). As well, the

---

Table I. Generalized joint laxity and LCEA category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCEA category</th>
<th>No laxity</th>
<th>Mild laxity</th>
<th>Moderate/severe laxity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>9 (50.00%)</td>
<td>5 (27.78%)</td>
<td>4 (22.22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>21 (50.00%)</td>
<td>16 (38.10%)</td>
<td>5 (11.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>194 (55.75%)</td>
<td>106 (30.46%)</td>
<td>48 (13.79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pincer</td>
<td>48 (64.86%)</td>
<td>19 (25.68%)</td>
<td>7 (9.46%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. Four patient groups defined by lateral center edge angle.

Fig. 2. Radiographs demonstrating the ULS finding in various LCEA categories: (A) frank dysplasia, (B) borderline dysplasia and (C) normal, with a (D) negative ULS finding for comparison.
Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test showed that the LCEA category was a significant variable when evaluating the association between the presence of ULS and generalized joint laxity ($P < 0.01$). Finally, Table VIII details the positive and negative predictive values of the ULS measurement when compared against the ‘gold standard’ measurements of dysplasia (LCEA $< 25^\circ$) or laxity (BHS of $\leq 5$), respectively. The ULS is shown to be more discriminatory at correspondence to a negative, rather than positive, diagnosis of either dysplasia or laxity.

Table II. LCEA category stratified by generalized joint laxity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCEA category</th>
<th>Generalized joint laxity</th>
<th>LCEA Mean (SD) Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>16.02 (4.65) 17.50 (2.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>12.28 (7.07) 14.50 (3.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate/severe</td>
<td>16.00 (2.94) 16.50 (4.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22.64 (1.60) 23.00 (3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>22.31 (1.31) 22.00 (2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate/severe</td>
<td>22.00 (1.87) 23.00 (3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>31.81 (4.15) 31.00 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>31.81 (4.25) 31.00 (7.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate/severe</td>
<td>31.60 (3.80) 31.00 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pincer</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>43.21 (3.32) 42.00 (4.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>42.87 (2.52) 42.00 (3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate/severe</td>
<td>46.57 (4.28) 48.00 (8.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant by ANOVA test, $< 0.03$

Table III. Left hip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater by upslope</th>
<th>No ULS</th>
<th>ULS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater #1</td>
<td>200 (81.30%)</td>
<td>46 (18.70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater #2</td>
<td>212 (86.18%)</td>
<td>34 (13.82%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overall Fleiss-Kappa statistic: 0.67.

Table IV. Right hip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater by upslope</th>
<th>No ULS</th>
<th>ULS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater #1</td>
<td>192 (78.05%)</td>
<td>54 (21.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater #2</td>
<td>198 (80.49%)</td>
<td>48 (19.51%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overall Fleiss-Kappa statistic: 0.63.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of this study, the presence of the ULS demonstrated a statistically significant association with the...
LCEA and the presence of generalized joint laxity. It is not surprising that patients with frank hip dysplasia are found to have additional, secondary findings of hip instability given the morphologic and mechanical alterations known to be present in these patients [6]. This study, however, provides new insight into the prevalence of the ULS finding in patients with borderline dysplastic and normal hips. Borderline patients are considered to have ‘low-normal’ acetabular coverage based on standard imaging criteria but often demonstrate hip instability symptoms. Our study shows a considerable proportion of borderline dysplastic hips have the ULS finding on hip radiographs; the ULS finding was more than three-times prevalent in borderline dysplastic hips compared to hips with normal acetabular coverage. Even within the category of a normal LCEA, hips that had the ULS finding had significantly smaller LCEAs. These results are in line with labral hypertrophy [33], increased cartilage thickness [34] and medialization of the iliofemoral line [35], which have all been reported in greater prevalence in borderline and frankly dysplastic hips. As with these examples, it may be feasible, based on our study findings, for the clinician to use the ULS as an additional radiographic finding to identify patients with possible abnormal mechanics that result in hip instability in the setting of otherwise ‘normal’ morphology. This may also assist the clinician in determining which borderline dysplastic patients are expected to yield good long-term outcomes from arthroscopy-only treatment, and which patients will require more invasive bony realignment procedures, though further studies are necessary to determine these outcomes (Fig. 3).

In addition to finding an association between the presence of the ULS and degree of acetabular coverage, we also found patients with higher BHS demonstrate an increased risk of presenting with the ULS. The observed association between ULS with bony undercoverage and instability, as well as between ULS and BHS, suggests that generalized joint laxity may be a significant contributor to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia (LCEA &lt;25°)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.28, 0.48)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.92, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.80, 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laxity (BHS ≤5pts)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.12, 0.28)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.85, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.71, 0.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. A case of a 26-year old, avid skier presenting with right hip pain. Initial pre-surgical radiograph demonstrates a LCEA 21° with an ULS (A). The patient initially underwent arthroscopy for femoracetabular impingement and labral reconstruction. The patient returned 18 months post-operatively with ongoing hip pain. Assuming an instability component to the hip pain, the patient then underwent peri-acetabular osteotomy (PAO) to correct for the acetabular insufficiency (B). At 3 years post-operative follow up the patient has no re-emergence of hip pain (C).
radiographic and clinical hip instability. Moreover, the lack of statistically significant relationship between LCEA and BHS stratification in borderline and normal patients may further support the ULS as a useful, independent delineator for instability.

Previous studies have investigated the relationships between generalized joint laxity and instability of the shoulder [36, 37], patellofemoral [38] and ankle joints [39]. As in these joints, there may be an association between generalized joint laxity and instability of the hip joint, in some cases resulting in the ULS finding even if other radiographic findings are normal. Although our study does not specifically provide an association between generalized joint laxity and hip instability, it does present some evidence that this relationship may exist and provides the foundation for future studies on this topic.

Studies have shown that patients with reduced acetabular coverage demonstrate an associated linear increase in the size of the acetabular labrum [33, 40]. The labral lengthening may be a compensatory loading mechanism by the joint to accommodate excessive stress put on this structure in borderline and frankly dysplastic patients [41]. Although the presence of labral hypertrophy is compelling evidence of chronic instability, this finding requires costly and time-consuming magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and cannot be feasibly performed on all patients as an initial imaging evaluation. The ULS may be an osseous surrogate to the enlargement of the labrum. As can be seen clearly on MRI, the hypertrophied acetabular labrum in dysplastic patients results in a wider and more robust labro-osseous base, which is consistent with the appearance of the ULS (Fig. 4). Past studies suggest a similar association between dysplastic loading of the capsular limbus with damage to the bony acetabular rim, which may be seen as an ‘os acetabuli’ on radiographs [42]. With these assumptions, we may then potentially glean the same important information and conclusions about the soft tissue compensation of the hip through radiographs alone.

Incorporation of the ULS into a diagnostic algorithm may help to identify patients who suffer from chronic hip instability and are at risk for early joint deterioration despite normal LCEA parameters for hip dysplasia [11, 21]. The ULS, as a secondary finding visible on standard hip radiographs, is advantageous in its simplicity as well as its cost and time-effectiveness. It can serve as a quick, bedside tool utilized in the clinic during the initial assessment. In our results, the ULS finding demonstrated a prominent negative predictive value for both acetabular undercoverage and generalized laxity. Toward this end, the lack of a ULS finding may also be helpful as a secondary confirmation of stability. Traditional radiographic signs and measurements of hip instability and dysplasia, such as the Tönnis angle or neck-shaft angles, remain an important step in the evaluation of the painful and unstable hip. However, it is also critical to measure LCEA in the context of sourcil morphology, as the presence of a ULS may appear to increase the LCEA without necessarily providing more coverage of the femoral head (Fig. 5). The benefit of the ULS is not to replace the current standard in determining hip dysplasia but rather to help suggest underlying issues with chronic instability.

The limitations of this study should be noted. In particular, there exists a potential sample bias in that all patients presented to a hip preservation surgeon and,
therefore, do not represent a fully generalizable sample. Thus, it is likely that our patient sample over-represents the prevalence of the ULS finding in a general population. However, we do not feel that this overrepresentation in our select patient population threatens the validity of this radiographic finding. In addition, as a retrospective cross-sectional study, we did not specifically evaluate subjective reports of instability, treatment outcome, disease progression or changes in the ULS finding following operative management of pre-osteoarthritic hip conditions. Data analysis consisted only of objective factors such as radiographic findings and laxity scores, thereby eliminating subjective symptomatology. Therefore, our data do not directly quantify the effect of the ULS finding in long-term outcomes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The ULS is a novel radiographic description characterized as an upslope in the lateral quarter of the acetabular sourcil. The ULS finding carries a statistically significant association with the degree of acetabular dysplasia as defined by LCEA. The ULS finding not only occurs in a considerable proportion of patients who have borderline hip dysplasia, but also relates to a significantly smaller LCEA even in normal hips. Moreover, this radiographic sign carries a significant association with generalized joint laxity, which supports its utility as a secondary finding to incorporate into the diagnosis of patients at-risk for instability. Further investigations are needed to validate the clinical applicability of this radiographic finding for use alongside traditional markers of hip dysplasia.
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