In vitro *Streptococcus mutans* adhesion and biofilm formation on different esthetic orthodontic archwires

Deise C. Oliveira; Joshua J. Thomson; Jamal A. Alhabel; Jonathan M. Toma; Sarah C. Plecha; Rafael R. Pacheco; Carlos E. Cuevas-Suárez; Evandro Piva; Rafael Guerra Lund

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the ability of different esthetic archwires to retain oral biofilms in vitro.

Materials and Methods: Seven different brands of coated orthodontic archwires were tested: two epoxy coated, two polytetrafluoroethylene coated, two rhodium coated, and one silver plus polymer coated. Conventional uncoated metallic archwires were used as controls. *Streptococcus mutans* adherence to archwires was quantified by colony count following 24 hours of biofilm growth, and total wire-associated biofilm was measured using a crystal violet staining assay. For both tests, two conditions were used: 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose. For statistical analysis, \( P < .05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

Results: For *S. mutans* colony forming units per biofilm, there were no statistically significant differences among the various archwires (\( P = .795 \) for 0% sucrose; \( P = .905 \) for 3% sucrose). Regarding total biofilm formed on archwires in the 3% sucrose condition, there were statistically significant differences in crystal violet staining only for the comparison between Niti Micro Dental White and Copper Ni-Ti wires (\( P < .05 \)).

Conclusions: The clinical use of esthetic-coated orthodontic wires may be considered to have similar risks as uncoated archwires for biofilm retention. (Angle Orthod. 2021;91:786–793.)
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INTRODUCTION

Traditional orthodontic archwires are manufactured from stainless steel, cobalt-chromium-nickel alloy, nickel-titanium (NiTi), or titanium alloys. Because of increased demand for better esthetics, coated-metallic and fiber-reinforced archwires were introduced to complement esthetic brackets in orthodontics. Frequently used coatings include epoxy resin and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), which improve esthetics, but can modify the surface in a way that can adversely affect several properties including biome-
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With orthodontic appliances, 16 although not always the predominant member in caries-associated dental plaque, S. mutans can produce extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) when in the presence of sucrose, which can facilitate further colonization of S. mutans and other cariogenic organisms to enamel and other surfaces. 17 Because the accumulation of EPS may alter the binding of other cariogenic organisms, it is important to not only assess bacterial adhesion to esthetic archwires in the absence of dietary sugars but also in an environment that facilitates the production of EPS. Therefore, in vitro S. mutans adhesion in the presence of sucrose and biofilm accumulation in the absence of sucrose on different esthetic archwires was evaluated.

Table 1. Investigated Orthodontic Archwires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Code</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Coating Type</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Lot No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG1</td>
<td>NT3 SE</td>
<td>No coating (control)</td>
<td>American Orthodontics (Sheboygan, Wisc)</td>
<td>G74366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG2</td>
<td>Copper Ni-Ti</td>
<td>No coating (control)</td>
<td>Ormco Corporation (Orange, Calif)</td>
<td>149464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX1</td>
<td>Tooth Tone Coated Archwire</td>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>Ortho Technology (Tampa, Fla)</td>
<td>152960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX2</td>
<td>Nitiainium Super Elastic</td>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>Ortho Organizers, Inc. (Carlsbad, Calif)</td>
<td>51768825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT1</td>
<td>FLI Wire</td>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>RMO Inc. (Denver, Colo)</td>
<td>183877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT2</td>
<td>Niti Micro Dental White</td>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>Acme Monaco Co (New Britain, Conn)</td>
<td>198379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH1</td>
<td>Bio-active RC</td>
<td>Rhodium</td>
<td>Gc Orthodontics Europe GmbH (Breckerfeld, France)</td>
<td>A346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH2</td>
<td>Niti Dental White S</td>
<td>Rhodium</td>
<td>Acme Monaco Co (New Britain, Conn)</td>
<td>1A438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>Dany Coated Archwire</td>
<td>Silver + Polymer</td>
<td>Dany BMT Co. Ltd. (Gwanyang-Dong, South Korea)</td>
<td>362412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orthodontic treatment in general can lead to an increase in biofilm accumulation attributed to the retention of plaque on orthodontic appliances involved in the treatment (brackets, elastomers, powerchains, elastic bands, etc). 9 The combination of increased biofilm accumulation along with poor oral hygiene can lead to periodontal disease 10 and enamel decalcification. 11 Although the secondary effects of orthodontic treatment on periodontal tissues are transitory, enamel alterations attributed to orthodontic treatment are frequently permanent. 11 Therefore, it is important to determine whether coated archwires pose an added level of risk when compared with their conventional counterparts. Ongoing research in the field of esthetic archwires has focused on the color, coating stability, mechanical properties, and surface characteristics. 12

Although numerous studies have investigated bacterial adhesion and surface biofilm accumulation on coated wires, the risk of increased bacterial accumulation remains uncertain. 13–15

Streptococcus mutans has a well documented role in the initiation of dental caries and enamel decalcification and is found in areas of white-spot lesions associated with orthodontic appliances. 16 Although not always the predominant member in caries-associated dental plaque, S. mutans can produce extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) when in the presence of sucrose, which can facilitate further colonization of S. mutans and other cariogenic organisms to enamel and other surfaces. 17 Because the accumulation of EPS may alter the binding of other cariogenic organisms, it is important to not only assess bacterial adhesion to esthetic archwires in the absence of dietary sugars but also in an environment that facilitates the production of EPS. Therefore, in vitro S. mutans adhesion in the presence of sucrose and biofilm accumulation in the absence of sucrose on different esthetic archwires was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design and Sample Preparation

Table 1 describes the characteristics of the different coated orthodontic archwires used in this study. Experimental methodology is illustrated in Figure 1. Orthodontic archwires with cross-sectional dimensions of 0.016 x 0.022 inches were removed from the supplier’s packaging material and bisected. The 1-cm distal ends of each half of the wire were cut and discarded. Then, each half of the wire was cut into three 2-cm sections, placed into the wells of a 12-well polystyrene tissue culture plate, and sterilized using ultraviolet light for 30 minutes. The following two conditions were used: (1) 0% sucrose, which results in bacterial adhesion but little biofilm formation, and (2) 3% sucrose, which allows S. mutans to form extracellular insoluble glucans that enable binding and biofilm accumulation on the wire surfaces. Three wire sections (A, B, C) from each condition (+/− sucrose) were used for the quantitative biofilm measurement determined by colony count and for total biofilm accumulation on the wire surfaces. Three wire sections (A, B, C) from each condition (+/− sucrose) were used for the quantitative biofilm measurement determined by colony count and for total biofilm accumulation by crystal violet staining. Two separate experiments were performed (n = 6 for each condition for each biofilm assessment method).
Strains and Culture Methods

*S. mutans* (American Type Culture Collection - ATCC® 25175™) was used in all adhesion studies. Bacterial stock was kept at -80°C in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth + 20% glycerol. Before assays, *S. mutans* was grown from glycerol stock on BHI agar for 48 hours at 37° C/5% CO₂. Overnight cultures were made in 5 mL of BHI broth and grown statically for 16 hours at 37° C/5% CO₂.

Bacterial Biofilm Growth on Orthodontic Wires

Biofilms were inoculated using overnight cultures of *S. mutans* that were normalized to optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) = 1.0 in fresh BHI broth. First, 500 µL of the normalized culture (~1.5 × 10⁸ colony forming units [CFU]) were added to each well containing sterilized wires plus an additional 440 µL of BHI broth. For bacterial adhesion and background staining of wires, 60 µL of sterile deionized water were added (0% sucrose). Previous studies demonstrated that 3% sucrose biofilms were more resistant to inhibitors; therefore, a final concentration of 3% sucrose was used to monitor biofilm accumulation by facilitating production of extracellular glucans by *S. mutans*.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Plates were then incubated statically for 24 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂. All wires were simultaneously analyzed in two separate experiments (n = 6 sections, two half wires total) to control for variability in biofilm growth between experiments. After incubation for 24 hours, all supernatants were carefully removed, and wells were washed once with 1 mL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before subsequent analysis.

Colony Count Assessment of Bacterial Adhesion

Orthodontic wires were aseptically removed from the well using forceps and placed into a microcentrifuge tube and washed 3 times in PBS to remove non-adherent bacteria. Next, an additional 1 mL of PBS was added to the tube and gently shaken. Immediately, a 100 µL sample was taken for serial dilution and plating on BHI agar for a baseline of free/unbound bacteria remaining after washes. Samples were then vortexed at max speed for 10 seconds followed by sonication in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes and another 10-second vortex just before serial dilution. Serial dilution was performed and plated on BHI agar for biofilm-associated *S. mutans* quantification. After incubation for 48 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂, bacterial colonies were counted from each plate, and the colony forming units/biofilm on each segment of wire was calculated.
Crystal Violet Biofilm Staining

Orthodontic wires were aseptically removed from the well and placed into a microcentrifuge tube and washed with 1 mL of PBS, followed by heat fixation at 80°C for 30 minutes. Wires were then stained with 1 mL of 0.5% crystal violet for 30 minutes. Afterward, the crystal violet solution was aspirated, and the wires were washed three times with distilled water or until no stain was found in the wash. Biofilm-associated stain was then solubilized by the addition of 1 mL of 33% acetic acid solution and shaken for 10 minutes. Afterward, 100 µL of the solubilized crystal violet solution from each specimen was added into a well of a 96-well plate in duplicate, and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm. Average of the duplicates was used as the absorbance for each section of wire. Three wells of the plate without containing a wire, in each condition (+/− sucrose), were stained in the same way, and absorbance readings of these wells were used as the normalization factor for biofilm growth variation between experiments. Plate biofilm was normalized to $A_{570} = 6.0$, and the normalization factor was applied to the biofilms formed on archwires.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma Plot 14.0 Software (Systat Software Inc. GmbH, Erkrath, Germany). The data were analyzed to test the assumption of normal distribution and homogeneity of variance. CFU were log_{10} transformed before analysis using a Kruskal-Wallis test. Absorbance values from crystal violet biofilm staining test were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis (0% sucrose condition) or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; 3% sucrose condition) and Tukey honestly significant difference post hoc tests. The comparison between 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose conditions within each group was evaluated through different Student’s t-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests. A $P$ value lower than .05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Arch wire-adherent *S. mutans* counts were determined by dispersal from the wires and subsequent colony counting. Mean colony counts in both conditions are shown in Figure 2A. Table 2 shows the median and interquartile range of *S. mutans* CFU/biofilm per section of orthodontic archwire when incubated in 0% sucrose or 3% of sucrose. Within each condition, there were no statistically significant differences among the archwires ($P = .795$ for 0% sucrose; $P = .905$ for 3% sucrose). There was a statistically significant increase in CFU/biofilm between the 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose conditions for each wire except for NT3 SE and Niti Micro Dental White ($P \leq .132$). When comparing the fold increase in CFU/biofilm from 0% sucrose to 3% sucrose, Copper Ni-Ti, Tooth Tone Coated Archwire, and NiTi Dental White S archwires achieved the highest values, demonstrated by an observed fold increase higher than 100.

Total biofilm accumulation was measured by crystal violet staining (Figure 2B). Table 3 shows the means and standard deviations of absorbance at 570 nm after crystal violet biofilm staining. Niti Micro Dental White achieved the highest levels of staining in the 0% sucrose condition; however, statistical significance was only found when compared with the NT3 SE and Copper Ni-Ti archwires ($P \leq .002$). For the 3% sucrose biofilm condition, there was a statistically significant difference between Niti Micro Dental White and Copper Ni-Ti ($P = .025$). When comparing crystal violet staining from 0% sucrose to 3% sucrose, the largest increase in biofilm accumulation was calculated for the Niti Micro Dental White archwire, but this increase was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the in vitro ability of different esthetic archwires to retain oral biofilms was evaluated through a quantitative biofilm measurement and a biofilm staining test. No statistically significant differences in surface-associated *S. mutans* CFU were found between esthetic and conventional orthodontic wires. Significantly increased crystal violet biofilm staining in adhesion studies in the absence of sucrose was observed for Niti Micro Dental White and Copper Ni-Ti when compared with the uncoated NT3 SE and Copper Ni-Ti archwires. In the 3% sucrose condition, only Niti Micro Dental White (PTFE coated) achieved statistically significant higher overall biofilm accumulation when compared with Copper Ni-Ti. Considering this, the hypothesis tested here was partially accepted.

Viable *S. mutans* were found on all types of wires evaluated for both 0% and 3% sucrose medium using a colony count assay (Figure 2A, Table 2). There were no statistically significant differences in CFU between wires within either culture condition, indicating that the esthetic coatings in this study did not have an influence on the in vitro adherence of viable bacteria regardless of exogenous sucrose. It was previously demonstrated that surface accumulation of bacteria to biomaterials, including orthodontic wires, is influenced by their surface roughness and surface energy.\(^{21,22}\) In the case of orthodontic wires, these properties have been extensively studied both in vitro and in vivo.\(^{23-26}\) The type of coating material and its surface characteristics,
Figure 2. Following growth of *S. mutans* biofilms on wire sections for 24 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂, wires were assessed for bacterial adhesion by (A) colony counting and (B) crystal violet staining. Bars represent the means, and error bars represent plus one standard deviation. (A) Colony counts were not significantly different among wires in the same growth conditions. Statistically significant changes (P < .05) in colony counts between 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose on the same wires were evaluated using the Student’s t-test (*) or Mann-Whitney U-test (+). (B) For each orthodontic wire, statistically significant increases in crystal violet staining were found between the 0% and 3% sucrose conditions. Statistical differences between different wires were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis (0% sucrose) and one-way ANOVA (3% sucrose), with significant differences indicated (*P < .05). Ag indicates silver; Abs., absorbance.
particularly surface roughness and apparent surface energy, play decisive roles in the extent of bacterial adhesion.\(^2\) Previous studies found significant positive correlations between the surface energy and \(S.\ mutans\) adhesion to both esthetic and metallic-base orthodontic wires and concluded that materials with high surface energy attracted more bacteria to its surface.\(^{14,27}\) By comparing the surface topography of coated and uncoated orthodontic wires, some studies concluded that coating might not affect the surfaces’ parameters considerably.\(^{28,29}\) This feature could be the reason why no statistically significant differences in bacterial count were detected among the materials evaluated in this study. The results obtained in this study differed from those reported by other authors.\(^{14,30,31}\) It is worth mentioning that these referenced studies used different conditions for the bacterial adhesion studies and different brands of wire. Also, none of them evaluated both 0% and 3% sucrose conditions, making direct comparisons difficult.

The fold increase in \(S.\ mutans\) CFU associated with archwires from 0% to 3% sucrose was also calculated. The results from this calculation suggested that the Copper Ni-Ti (138.09-fold), Tooth Tone Coated Archwire (126.07-fold), and NiTi Dental White S archwires (135.56-fold) trended toward the largest increases in biofilm formation when \(S.\ mutans\) produced extracellular glucans in the presence of sucrose, approaching 10 times the level of the remaining groups (Table 2). This slight increase could have been due to the presence of a \(\text{TiO}_\text{2}\) oxide passive layer within the surface of NiTi-based alloys, which has been associated with a higher surface energy and higher bacterial adhesion.\(^{14}\)

\(S.\ mutans\) total biofilm was also measured using a crystal violet staining assay (Figure 2B, Table 3). This quantification method has proven extremely useful for estimation of overall biofilm accumulation on surfaces, including bacteria and biofilm extracellular matrix.\(^{32}\) In the absence of sucrose, statistically significant differences were only detected for the comparison between

### Table 2. Median and Interquartile Range of CFU/Biofilm Formed on the Orthodontic Archwire Sections\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>CFU/Biofilm (Log,(_{10})) 0% Sucrose</th>
<th>CFU/Biofilm (Log,(_{10})) 3% Sucrose</th>
<th>Fold Change 0% to 3% Sucrose(^*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT3 SE</td>
<td>3.33 (2.00–4.37)</td>
<td>4.65 (2.25–5.58)</td>
<td>17.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Ni-Ti(^+)</td>
<td>3.15 (2.53–3.72)</td>
<td>5.11 (4.27–5.90)</td>
<td>138.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth Tone Coated Archwire</td>
<td>3.11 (2.89–3.49)</td>
<td>4.93 (4.24–5.63)</td>
<td>126.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitanium Super Elastic</td>
<td>3.75 (2.89–4.23)</td>
<td>4.83 (4.00–5.61)</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLI Wire</td>
<td>3.64 (2.45–4.56)</td>
<td>4.56 (3.72–5.36)</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niti Micro Dental White(^*)</td>
<td>3.59 (2.78–4.26)</td>
<td>4.64 (3.57–5.37)</td>
<td>14.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dany Coated Archwire</td>
<td>3.77 (3.05–4.25)</td>
<td>4.75 (3.70–5.57)</td>
<td>19.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-active RC</td>
<td>3.07 (2.85–3.87)</td>
<td>4.77 (3.46–4.93)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiTi Dental White S</td>
<td>2.98 (2.73–3.80)</td>
<td>4.68 (3.58–5.72)</td>
<td>135.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) There were no statistically significant differences between wires within each condition \((P > .05)\) using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

\(^+\) Statistically significant difference between 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose \((P < .05)\). CFU comparisons between row means were analyzed using Student’s \(t\)-test.

\(^\circ\) Statistically significant difference between 0% sucrose and 3% sucrose \((P < .05)\). CFU comparisons between row means were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney \(U\)-test.

### Table 3. Mean (Standard Deviation) of Absorbance at 570 nm Using Crystal Violet Biofilm Staining\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Total Biofilm in 0% Sucrose (Absorbance at 570 nm)</th>
<th>Total Biofilm in 3% Sucrose (Absorbance at 570 nm)</th>
<th>Fold Increase in Total Biofilm (0% to 3% Sucrose)(^*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT3 SE</td>
<td>0.056 (0.006)(^+)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.10)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Ni-Ti(^+)</td>
<td>0.056 (0.003)(^+)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.10)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth Tone Coated Archwire</td>
<td>0.065 (0.003)(^+)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitanium Super Elastic</td>
<td>0.087 (0.019)(^+)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.12)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLI Wire</td>
<td>0.061 (0.003)(^+)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.21)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niti Micro Dental White</td>
<td>0.065 (0.007)(^+)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.16)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dany Coated Archwire(^+)</td>
<td>0.066 (0.005)(^+)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.12)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-active RC</td>
<td>0.062 (0.002)(^+)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.08)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiTi Dental White S</td>
<td>0.064 (0.007)(^+)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.07)(^{\circ})</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^+\) For each column, one-way independent tests, Kruskal-Wallis (0% sucrose) and one-way ANOVA (3% sucrose) with Tukey honestly significant difference post hoc tests, were used based on tests for both normality and equal variance. Different superscript symbols indicate statistically significant differences in biofilm staining between wires in the same condition \((P < .05)\).

\(^\circ\) Crystal violet staining of biofilm in the 3% sucrose condition was significantly increased for each wire when compared with the 0% sucrose condition \((P < .05)\). These comparisons were analyzed using Student’s \(t\)-test.

\(^\circ\) Calculated from the mean of each column.

\(^\circ\) Crystal violet staining of biofilm in the 3% sucrose condition was significantly increased for each wire when compared with the 0% sucrose condition \((P < .05)\). These comparisons were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney \(U\)-test.
the Niti Super Elastic and NT3 SE or Copper Ni-Ti wires. The differences observed could have been associated with the composition of the coating for Niti Super Elastic, which was made of epoxy resin. The hydroxyl groups of epoxy resins tend to absorb water molecules that, consequently, turn the surface hydrophilic.\textsuperscript{33} The high hydrophilicity could have been the reason for the increase in background staining seen with this wire rather than staining of overall biofilm.\textsuperscript{34} It is possible, yet not likely, that this difference was attributed to increased bacterial attachment in this condition where biofilm formation was minimum, as there was no significant difference in bacterial count between these two wires (Table 2).

Conversely, for the 3% sucrose condition, significantly higher absorbance values were observed for the Niti Micro Dental White compared with the Copper Ni-Ti wires. This result was surprising because this orthodontic wire is fabricated using PFTE coating, which has been previously demonstrated to reduce biofilm formation.\textsuperscript{35,36} Despite this, potentially, under the test conditions used for this study, the surface coating material from this orthodontic wire may have slightly degraded, increasing its roughness and consequently its ability to retain biofilm.\textsuperscript{37} It is also possible that the increase in absorbance could have been a consequence of increased stain absorption by the wire coating. Although higher biofilm accumulation on this PFTE coating could indicate an increased risk to develop dental caries, future in vivo studies with these wires are needed to further assess the attraction of pathogens and biofilm accumulation differences as surface changes during placement can have significant changes in biofilm adhesion.\textsuperscript{38,39}

Limitations of this study included that biofilm formation was only performed in vitro with a mono-species biofilm of \textit{S. mutans} in conditions with and without sucrose. \textit{S. mutans} is a commonly used model organism for bacterial adhesion/biofilm accumulation and should demonstrate differences in biofilm accumulation attributed to variations in surface characteristics of archwires, yet it does not fully model the physiological environment in the oral cavity. Another limitation was that the assays performed to measure biofilm may lack precision needed for measurement of minute differences in biofilm formation observed on small pieces of wire; however, many segments were measured to account for variability in the system.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations of this study, it was possible to conclude the following:

- No significant difference in bacterial adhesion (0% sucrose) or biofilm accumulation (3% sucrose) was found among the tested wires when assessed by colony counting.
- Significantly increased crystal violet staining of biofilm at 3% sucrose was only observed in Niti Micro Dental White when compared with Copper Ni-Ti wires.
- Clinical use of esthetic-coated orthodontic wires may be considered to have similar risks for biofilm accumulation compared with uncoated archwires.
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