

Methods of the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program, 2014–2015 Through 2018–2019

Avinash Chandran, PhD, MS*; Sarah N. Morris, PhD*; Erin B. Wasserman, PhD†; Adrian J. Boltz, MSH*; Christy L. Collins, PhD*

*Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention, Indianapolis, IN; †IQVIA Real-World Solutions, Research Triangle Park, Durham, NC

Background: Since 1982, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) has collaborated with athletic trainers (ATs) to create the largest ongoing collegiate sports injury database in the world. This report provides an operational update of the NCAA Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA ISP) during the academic years 2014–2015 through 2018–2019.

Surveillance system structure: The NCAA ISP used a convenience sampling technique via a rolling recruitment model. The ATs at contributing institutions voluntarily submitted data into their respective electronic medical record systems; common data

elements were pushed to and maintained by the Datalys Center. The ATs provided information about all team-related activities, even if no injury occurred during that activity, as well as detailed reports on each injury, including condition and circumstances.

Summary: The NCAA ISP has a long-standing role in supplying NCAA stakeholders with crucial injury surveillance data, playing a critical part in safeguarding student-athletes participating in collegiate sports.

Key Words: NCAA ISP, methods, surveillance

Sports injury surveillance plays a crucial role in the development and continued improvement of injury prevention practices.^{1,2} The routine monitoring of injury incidence offered by surveillance has been particularly important within National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) sports due to the large volume of student-athletes competing as part of the NCAA within collegiate institutions across the United States.³ The NCAA formally established an injury surveillance system in 1982, and this system has evolved through a series of adaptations to reach its current form as the NCAA Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA ISP).^{4,5} The NCAA ISP has had the exclusive capacity to capture a comprehensive association-wide scope of sports-related injuries among NCAA athletes and since its inception has captured sport-related injury and exposure information on nearly all NCAA-sponsored sports.^{6–14} As a result, it has been possible to appraise the burden of sport-related injuries in this population through examinations at various time points. In its current form, the NCAA ISP is uniquely positioned to comprehensively survey the landscape of sport-related injuries among collegiate student-athletes and identify emerging patterns in injury incidence, providing data to inform injury prevention practices.

It is important to acknowledge that surveillance methodology routinely evolves with time and retains the flexibility to align with evolutions in the area of study. It follows that

the NCAA ISP has also adapted after technological advances in injury documentation and progress in sports medicine research. These adaptations have been previously described at routine intervals.^{4,5,15} Participation among NCAA membership institutions, the particular elements captured by the system, and the operations of the surveillance system continue to change with each academic year, and it is therefore important to recharacterize the methods of the surveillance system. Accordingly, we summarize the operational methods of the NCAA ISP for the 2014–2015 through 2018–2019 academic years.

SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM STRUCTURE

The NCAA ISP is an injury surveillance system aimed at providing a comprehensive appraisal of injuries among NCAA student-athletes. It is the exclusive association-wide injury surveillance system targeting the NCAA student-athlete population, and it captures both time loss (TL) and non-time loss (NTL) injuries. The scope and breadth of the surveillance system in its current form make it well-positioned to capture the epidemiology of sports-related injuries among NCAA student-athletes. The NCAA ISP is funded by the NCAA and maintained by the Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention, an independent nonprofit research organization specializing in sports injury surveillance and epidemiology. The methods of the surveillance system have been reviewed and approved as an exempt study by the NCAA Research Review Board. Although methods of the ISP before the 2014–2015 academic year have been characterized previously,^{4,5} we

Authors Avinash Chandran, PhD, MS, and Sarah N. Morris, PhD, have contributed equally to manuscript preparation. The articles in this issue are published as accepted and have not been edited.

focus on the operational methods from 2014–2015 through 2018–2019, which notably vary from previous years.

Operational Definitions

Academic Year. The academic year was defined as beginning July 1 and ending June 30, resultantly spanning 2 calendar years.

Sport Seasons. Sport participation was divided into phases (or segments) of the competitive season, per the definitions of the NCAA. *Preseason* included all formal team activities conducted before the first regular season competition; *regular season* included all formal team activities from the first regular season competition through the last regular season competition; and *postseason* included all formal team activities after the last regular season competition through the last postseason competition. *Nontraditional season* was used to refer to formal team activities during the legislated nontraditional season, whereas *out of season* referred to training time that fell outside of traditional and nontraditional seasons but within the academic year, excluding summer. *Summer season* encompassed training time falling between the completion of the spring term and start of fall term or the start of fall preseason practice—whichever came first. Reporting was only required for the championship season as defined by NCAA legislation (the segment of the playing season beginning with the first allowed date of practice and concluding with the NCAA championship). This included preseason, regular season, and postseason, although information from all seasons was captured by the system.

Exposure. An *exposure* was defined as any team-sanctioned athletic activity in which student-athletes were participating and “exposed” to the risk of injury due to participation. These activities included junior varsity and varsity competition, junior varsity and varsity scheduled team practice, captain’s practice, scrimmage, strength and conditioning, skill instruction, and walk-through (not collected until 2018–2019). Individual workouts were not included.

Number of Athletes. The *number of student-athletes* participating in an athletic activity was defined as the number of athletes who were at risk for injury due to their participation. A *nonparticipant* was defined as any athlete not participating in the team activity due to an injury or some other conflict (eg, class, physician appointment).

Injury. A *reportable injury* was defined as an injury that (1) occurred as a result of participation in an organized intercollegiate practice or competition and (2) required attention from an athletic trainer (AT) or physician, regardless of time loss. Multiple injuries occurring from 1 injury event were able to be reported. A *time loss* (TL) injury was any injury evaluated or treated by an AT or physician in which an athlete returned the day after or beyond with respect to the date of injury. A *NTL* injury was any injury evaluated or treated by an AT or physician in which an athlete returned to participation on the date of injury.

Participating Schools

During the 2014–2015 through 2018–2019 academic years, the NCAA ISP used a convenience sample of NCAA membership teams via a rolling recruitment model. Institutions and teams were recruited to participate in the

NCAA ISP using multiple communication streams. Datalys Center staff recruited participants in person at professional conferences. In addition, communication efforts via the Datalys Center website, emails, and social media as well as word of mouth were used. Furthermore, certified electronic medical record (EMR) vendors also supported recruitment efforts. In 2018, the NCAA initiated a charge promoting participation in the ISP using educational and informational pushes to athletic directors, conference commissioners, and other leadership stakeholders. A participation summary is provided in Table 1. As described in subsequent sport-specific articles within this issue, this contributed to a marked increase in participation, resulting in approximately 30% of NCAA membership institutions participating in the ISP during the 2018–2019 academic year.

Data Collection

The ATs at participating institutions contributed data by entering information into their respective EMR systems or injury documentation applications. The NCAA ISP uses the common data element (CDE) strategy, allowing data to be pushed from various EMR systems and injury documentation applications. From 2014–2015 through 2018–2019, these systems included the Athletic Trainer System (ATS, Keffer Development Services), Presagia Sports (Presagia Corp), the Sports Injury Monitoring System (SIMS, FlanTech Computer Service), SportsWare (Computer Sports Medicine Inc), Vivature (Vivature Inc), and the Injury Surveillance Tool (IST, Datalys Center). The CDE export standard allows ATs to document injuries as part of their typical clinical practice instead of duplicating efforts to report injuries solely for the purposes of participation in the ISP. The ATs completed detailed reports on exposures (season, event type [eg, competition, practice], number of athletes) and injuries (condition [eg, site, diagnosis], circumstances [eg, activity, mechanism, playing surface]). A full listing of exposure and injury variables is provided in Table 2; concussion symptoms, which are captured separately as dichotomous variables for concussion observations only, are listed in Table 3. During academic years 2014–2015 through 2018–2019, response options were added to certain variables (eg, field location, activity at time of injury) to better accommodate sport-specific nuances. The ATs were able to view and update previously submitted information during the course of an academic year. Although not required, ATs had the ability to capture sports-related adverse health events beyond the scope of a reportable injury as defined above, such as illnesses and skin infections, that could not be directly associated with a team-sanctioned activity. Before contributing data, ATs received training materials regarding ISP participation either from Datalys Center staff or directly from their EMR vendor representatives. The ATs were not financially compensated for their data collection efforts; however, they could claim continuing education units each reporting period for their participation.

Given the CDE strategy used for data collection, integrating EMRs with the ISP is an essential component of the data collection process. The EMR software vendors contributing to the NCAA ISP underwent a certification process, modifying their systems and embedding secure data-transmission protocols that allowed the transfer of deidentified records to secure Datalys Center servers.

Table 1. Qualification Summary for the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA ISP) by Sport and Division, 2014–2015 Through 2018–2019^a

	All Divisions										Division I			Division II			Division III		
	Annual No. Teams	Avg Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams from Mem Prog (%)	Avg Annual No. Teams	Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams From Mem Prog (%)	Avg Annual No. Teams	Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams From Mem Prog (%)	Avg Annual No. Teams	Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams From Mem Prog (%)	Avg Annual No. Teams	Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams From Mem Prog (%)	Avg Annual No. Teams	Annual No. Teams—Mem Prog	Qual Teams From Mem Prog (%)	
Men	32	948	3.4	11	296	3.7	11	296	3.7	11	296	3.7	11	296	3.7	11	296	3.7	
Baseball	55	1094	5.1	20	349	5.7	19	313	5.7	19	313	5.7	17	421	6.1	17	421	6.1	
Basketball	18	991	1.8	6	314	1.9	7	275	1.9	7	275	1.9	5	402	2.5	5	402	2.5	
Cross-country	46	670	6.9	22	253	8.7	12	169	8.7	12	169	8.7	12	247	7.1	12	247	7.1	
Football	1	34	2.9	1	20	5	†	2	5	†	2	5	†	†	†	†	12	†	
Fencing	5	827	0.6	2	298	0.7	3	231	0.7	3	231	0.7	3	298	1.3	3	298	1.3	
Golf	2	16	12.5	2	15	13.3	—	—	13.3	—	—	—	*	1	—	1	1	*	
Gymnastics	30	145	20.7	19	60	31.7	2	7	31.7	2	7	31.7	9	79	28.6	9	79	28.6	
Ice hockey	22	372	5.9	6	70	8.6	11	68	8.6	11	68	8.6	9	234	16.2	9	234	16.2	
Lacrosse	2	32	6.3	*	11	*	†	6	*	†	6	*	2	15	†	2	15	†	
Skiing	33	830	4	10	203	4.9	11	214	4.9	11	214	4.9	12	413	5.1	12	413	5.1	
Soccer	10	436	2.3	5	133	3.8	3	74	3.8	3	74	3.8	3	229	4.1	3	229	4.1	
Swim & dive	14	753	1.9	7	256	2.7	4	168	2.7	4	168	2.7	4	329	2.4	4	329	2.4	
Tennis	20	807	2.5	8	284	2.8	7	216	2.8	7	216	2.8	6	308	3.2	6	308	3.2	
Track & field	6	131	4.6	3	22	13.6	3	24	13.6	3	24	13.6	2	85	12.5	2	85	12.5	
Volleyball	2	47	4.3	2	24	8.3	†	8	8.3	†	8	8.3	†	15	†	†	15	†	
Water Polo	11	237	4.6	5	76	6.6	5	60	6.6	5	60	6.6	5	101	8.3	5	101	8.3	
Wrestling	55	1100	5	18	347	5.2	16	314	5.2	16	314	5.2	20	438	5.1	20	438	5.1	
Basketball	1	74	1.4	1	34	2.9	*	27	2.9	*	27	2.9	*	13	*	*	13	*	
Bowling	1	36	2.8	†	31	†	1	6	†	1	6	†	8	422	16.7	8	422	16.7	
Beach Volleyball	21	1070	2	6	346	1.7	8	302	1.7	8	302	1.7	8	422	2.6	8	422	2.6	
Cross-country	†	146	†	†	88	†	†	16	†	†	16	†	†	42	†	†	42	†	
Rowing	1	43	2.3	1	24	4.2	†	3	4.2	†	3	4.2	†	15	†	†	15	†	
Fencing	15	274	5.5	6	78	7.7	6	32	7.7	6	32	7.7	6	164	18.8	6	164	18.8	
Field hockey	3	678	0.4	2	264	0.8	3	195	0.8	3	195	0.8	2	218	1.5	2	218	1.5	
Golf	8	83	9.6	6	61	9.8	2	7	9.8	2	7	9.8	2	15	28.6	2	15	28.6	
Gymnastics	13	100	13	7	35	20	1	5	20	1	5	20	6	60	20	6	60	20	
Ice hockey	28	496	5.6	8	112	7.1	8	105	7.1	8	105	7.1	11	278	7.6	11	278	7.6	
Lacrosse	39	998	3.9	13	293	4.4	15	292	4.4	15	292	4.4	11	412	5.1	11	412	5.1	
Softball	2	34	5.9	†	12	†	1	7	†	1	7	†	2	15	14.3	2	15	14.3	
Skiing	49	1033	4.7	19	331	5.7	15	265	5.7	15	265	5.7	15	437	5.7	15	437	5.7	
Soccer	15	555	2.7	7	195	3.6	4	101	3.6	4	101	3.6	5	259	4	5	259	4	
Swim & dive	18	914	2	9	316	2.8	4	227	2.8	4	227	2.8	5	370	1.8	5	370	1.8	
Tennis	26	895	2.9	10	335	3	9	245	3	9	245	3	8	315	3.7	8	315	3.7	
Track & field	49	1066	4.6	20	332	6	15	302	6	15	302	6	15	432	5	15	432	5	
Volleyball	2	62	3.2	2	33	6.1	†	12	6.1	†	12	6.1	†	17	†	†	17	†	
Water Polo	Mixed																		
Cheer	†	24	†	†	4	†	†	7	†	†	7	†	†	13	†	†	13	†	
Equestrian	†	48	†	*	19	*	†	4	*	†	4	*	†	24	†	†	24	†	
Rifle	2	29	6.9	2	23	8.7	*	3	8.7	*	3	8.7	*	3	*	*	3	*	

Abbreviations: Avg, average; Mem, membership; Prog, program; Qual, qualifying.
^a Table depicts average annual number of qualifying teams, average annual number of teams from membership programs, and proportion of qualifying teams from membership programs pooled association-wide and stratified by division.
 * Indicates no participating teams during study period.
 † Indicates no qualifying teams during study period.
 — Indicates no NCAA membership teams during study period.

Table 2. National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA ISP) Exposure and Injury Variables, 2014–2015 Through 2018–2019

Exposure Variables	Injury Variables
Unique institution identifier	Unique institution identifier
Unique EMR identifier	Unique EMR identifier
Academic year	Academic year
Sport code	Sport code
Unique exposure identifier	Unique exposure identifier
Event date of scheduled event	Event date of scheduled event in which injury occurred
Event order within a single day	Event order within a single day
Event type	Event type
Number of participants for event	Season segment
Total roster size on day of event	Playing surface type
Season segment	Primary division of institution
Playing surface type	Sport division
Primary division of institution	Football division ^a
Sport division	Sampling weight ^b
Football division ^a	Unique athlete identifier
Sampling weight ^b	Class year
	Gender
	Unique injury event identifier
	Unique injury details identifier
	Injury order within a single injury event
	Injury diagnosis
	Body part or system affected
	Injury/illness group
	Body structure affected
	Side of body
	Basic injury mechanism
	Specific injury mechanism
	Player activity at time of injury
	Event segment type
	Location on playing surface at time of injury
	Player position at time of injury
	Medical professional performing injury assessment
	Urgently transported by emergency vehicle
	Surgery resulted from this injury
	New injury/injury recurrence
	Chronic injury
	Time lost due to injury
	Date returned to schedule team activities, even if with limitations/accommodations
	Concussion symptoms ^{c,d}
	Concussion symptom resolution time ^d

Abbreviation: EMR, electronic medical record.

^a Variables only applicable to football.

^b Poststratified by sport, year, division.

^c Captured as distinct, dichotomously operationalized variables for concussion observations only (listed separately in Table 3).

^d Captured for concussion observations only.

Before export, data were stripped of identifying information, tagged with a unique 16-digit alphanumeric code, and encrypted. This process is Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) compliant. All incoming data were evaluated through a quality control (QC) process, and Datalys Center staff assisted ATs in resolving any concerns regarding invalid values.

Table 3. Concussion Symptoms Reported in the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program (NCAA ISP), 2014–2015 Through 2018–2019

Concussion Symptoms
Headache
Nausea ^{a,b}
Dizziness
Irritability ^c
Difficulty concentrating
Drowsiness ^d
Sensitivity to light
Sensitivity to noise
Balance problems ^e
Sadness ^a
Nervous or anxious ^a
Moving slow ^a
Feeling/thinking slowed down ^a
Hard to think clearly/feeling mentally foggy ^a
Tired or fatigued ^a
Difficulty remembering ^a
Visual problems (blurry, double vision) ^f
Vomiting ^{a,b}
Trouble falling asleep ^g
Sleeping more than usual ^a
Sleeping less than usual ^a
Numbness or tingling ^a
Move in a clumsy manner ^a
Answer questions more slowly than normal ^a
More emotional ^h
Feel dazed or stunned ^a
Get confused with directions or tasks ^a
Tinnitus (ringing in the ears)
Neck pain ^a
“Pressure in head” ^a
Disorientation
Loss of consciousness
Posttraumatic amnesia
Retrograde amnesia
No symptoms above apply

^a Added in 2015–2016.

^b Nausea and vomiting were collected separately beginning in 2015–2016.

^c Description changed from “excess irritability” to “irritability” in 2015–2016.

^d Description changed from “excess drowsiness” to “drowsiness” in 2015–2016.

^e Description changed from “loss of balance” to “balance problems” in 2015–2016.

^f Description changed from “visual disturbances” to “visual problems (blurry, double vision)” in 2015–2016.

^g Description changed from “trouble sleeping” to “trouble falling asleep” in 2015–2016.

^h Description changed from “excess excitability” to “more emotional” in 2015–2016.

Quality Control

The process of verification and review of incoming data was an essential component of the NCAA ISP, ensuring that data of the highest fidelity were retained in analysis datasets. Before data entered the research database, they were checked for accuracy and completeness through a process automated by a proprietary verification engine (VE). The VE identified partial or failed submissions due to errant or missing values. Datalys Center staff routinely conducted additional inspections and contacted ATs for assistance in reconciling any data quality issues. All fall

sports data reported to the ISP were considered final as of February 15 in each academic year; data for all other sports were considered final as of June 30 in each academic year. Records submitted or modified beyond that date were not included or reflected in the research datasets.

Data Management

Exposures. Exposure data were considered valid if (1) they occurred between July 1 and June 30 (during the academic year) and (2) the number of athletes participating in the reported event was nonzero and nonmissing. Zero or missing values for the number of athletes remaining after the QC process were replaced with mean imputations estimated on the basis of all valid AE data captured from the same year, sport, division, and exposure event type. Beginning in 2016–2017, competition schedules provided by the NCAA for team sports and posted on school websites for individual sports were used to confirm accuracy in reporting of season (ie, preseason, regular season, postseason, or out of season) and event type (eg, competition, practice); both variables were duly updated as needed.

Injury Data. Injury events with multiple reported injuries were identified and evaluated for duplicate submissions. Records were retained if each reported injury had a separate specific injury diagnosis or different affected body parts (eg, ankle and knee injuries occurring in the same injury event); otherwise, duplicate injuries were removed. The VE contained a validation process to ensure agreement between a categorical injury outcome variable measuring days missed due to an injury and date of return to participation; ATs were asked to reconcile any disagreement during the QC process. As an addition to existing practices, from 2014–2015 through 2018–2019, if a discrepancy remained after the VE check and QC process, both injury outcome and date of return were set to missing because there was no valid method to reconcile the inconsistency.

Qualifying Criteria for Inclusion in Analysis Datasets. Qualification criteria details have been previously documented.¹⁵ To ensure data submitted by participating teams reflected an entire championship season, 2 criteria were used to determine a team's qualification on the basis of reported exposures: (1) a minimum of 8 weeks of exposure activity must have been reported and (2) at least 80% of regular season competitions must have been reported. In juxtaposition to previous years of the NCAA ISP,¹⁵ the reporting of at least 48 practice or competition exposures was specifically used to determine whether a team met the first criterion. Competition schedules for each team were used to determine whether the second criterion was met. Furthermore, unless a zero-injury season was verified by the reporting AT, at least 1 injury must have been reported during the championship season. On occasion, adaptations were made to accommodate nuances specific to the different EMR software vendors.

Sampling Weights

Poststratification sample weights by sport and division were established to obtain national estimates of injury events occurring in collegiate sports on the basis of the sampled teams. Given the year-to-year heterogeneity in the reporting sample, poststratification sample weights were

modified each academic year. Poststratification sample weights were calculated using the following expression:

$$\text{weight}_{ijk} = \left(\frac{\text{Number of Qualified Teams}_{ijk}}{\text{Number of Teams from Membership Programs}_{ijk}} \right)^{-1},$$

where weight_{ijk} corresponds to the weight for sport i in division j in year k .

Underreporting is ubiquitous in sports injury surveillance. Specific to the NCAA ISP, this may be attributable to competing demands on ATs' time and the dynamic nature of the athletic training facility environment. It has been previously estimated that the ISP would capture approximately 88% of all TL medical care injury events.¹⁶ Under the assumption that underreporting does not vary by sport, year, school, or division, weights were subsequently further adjusted to correct for underreporting by scaling weighted counts up by a factor of 0.883⁻¹.

SUMMARY

The NCAA is a dynamic sporting microcosm involving elite student-athletes across various sports. The NCAA Injury Surveillance Program has been critical in expanding the understanding of injury incidence in this population.^{5,7,9–11,13,14} Given its history, scope, and continued improvements, the NCAA ISP most closely represents the complete spectrum of sports-related injuries occurring in this population. Particularly during the 2014–2015 through 2018–2019 academic years, participation in the ISP improved notably across most NCAA-sponsored sports. As a result, data currently captured within the surveillance system are more representative of the larger association-wide population than in previous years. Furthermore, during 2014–2015 through 2018–2019, new data elements were introduced to the system that have improved the comprehensiveness with which injury records are captured. Refinements in data collection and management practices have ensured that data of the highest possible fidelity are retained within analysis datasets, with minimal burden on the contributing ATs. These adjustments have been made with the objective of providing the most stable platform from which to identify emerging injury-related patterns among collegiate athletes and subsequently inform interventions oriented towards improving athlete health and safety. It is important to acknowledge the necessity of adapting surveillance methods to match the cadence of technological growth and advancements in sports medicine research. With that said, exposure ascertainment in sports injury surveillance has been previously discussed as a challenge.¹⁷ Whereas current methods used by the NCAA ISP are motivated towards ensuring valid data are collected as closely to real time as possible, it is important to note that exposure ascertainment still presents a notable burden on participating ATs and warrants further refinement. Also, as noted previously, participation in NCAA ISP markedly improved during the years 2014–2015 through 2018–2019. The constant juxtaposition of participation to the qualification process and weighting estimations described herein is relevant, and with continued improvements in participation, the qualification process and the weighting structure may require adjustments. Although it was considered premature to modify current qualification processes and weighting estimations, it is salient

to continue monitoring participation after 2018–2019 to revisit these topics in the near future. Furthermore, the aforementioned definition of *reportable injuries* affords ATs an element of discretion in reporting. This flexibility may introduce reporting heterogeneity between ATs, particularly with regard to illnesses and infections, and improvements in definitional clarity (of reportable events) warrant consideration moving forward. Finally, the current practice of extracting CDEs from existing commercial software is understandably an efficient reporting framework; however, it is dependent on the implicit understanding that the aforementioned operational practices continue to match the pulse of technological adaptations in commercial injury-tracking software. Whereas significant strides have been made to ensure that the surveillance system accommodates a wide array of commercial EMR systems, further adaptations to operational procedures will be needed over time. Ultimately, continued improvements in surveillance methods will ensure that the NCAA ISP remains a valuable asset in informing health and safety initiatives for NCAA student-athletes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The NCAA Injury Surveillance Program was funded by the NCAA. The Datalys Center is an independent nonprofit organization that manages the operations of the NCAA ISP. The content of this report is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding organization. We thank the many ATs who have volunteered their time and efforts to submit data to the NCAA-ISP. Their efforts are greatly appreciated and have had a tremendously positive effect on the safety of collegiate student-athletes.

REFERENCES

1. van Mechelen W, Hlobil H, Kemper HC. Incidence, severity, aetiology, and prevention of sports injuries: a review of concepts. *Sports Med.* 1992;14(2):82–99. doi:10.2165/00007256-199214020-00002
2. Chandran A, Nedimyer AK, Register-Mihalik JK, DiPietro L, Kerr ZY. Comment on: “Incidence, severity, aetiology and prevention of sports injuries: a review of concepts.” *Sports Med.* 2019;49(10):1621–1623. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01154-1
3. NCAA Sports sponsorship and participation rates report 1981–82–2018–19. National Collegiate Athletic Association website. Published 2019. https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2018-19RES_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf. Accessed July 3, 2020.
4. Dick R, Agel J, Marshall SW. National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System commentaries: introduction and methods. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(2):173–182.
5. Kerr ZY, Comstock RD, Dompier TP, Marshall SW. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance (2004–2005 through 2013–2014): methods of the National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program and High School Reporting Information Online. *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(8):727–737. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-143-17
6. Clifton DR, Onate JA, Hertel J, et al. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: descriptive epidemiology of injuries in US high school boys’ basketball (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association men’s basketball (2004–2005 through 2013–2014). *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(11):1025–1036. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-148-17
7. Kerr ZY, Wilkerson GB, Caswell SV, et al. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: descriptive epidemiology of injuries in United States high school football (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association football (2004–2005 through 2013–2014). *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(8):738–751. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-144-17
8. Pierpoint LA, Lincoln AE, Walker N, et al. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: descriptive epidemiology of injuries in US high school boys’ lacrosse (2008–2009 through 2013–2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association men’s lacrosse (2004–2005 through 2013–2014). *J Athl Train.* 2019;54(1):30–41. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-200-17
9. Kroshus E, Utter AC, Pierpoint LA, et al. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: descriptive epidemiology of injuries in US high school boys’ wrestling (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association men’s wrestling (2004–2005 through 2013–2014). *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(12):1143–1155. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-154-17
10. Dick R, Putukian M, Agel J, Evans TA, Marshall SW. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate women’s soccer injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988–1989 through 2002–2003. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(2):278–285.
11. Dick R, Hertel J, Agel J, Grossman J, Marshall SW. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men’s basketball injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988–1989 through 2003–2004. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(2):194–201.
12. Agel J, Dompier TP, Dick R, Marshall SW. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men’s ice hockey injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988–1989 through 2003–2004. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(2):241–248.
13. DiStefano LJ, Dann CL, Chang CJ, et al. The first decade of web-based sports injury surveillance: descriptive epidemiology of injuries in US high school girls’ soccer (2005–2006 through 2013–2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association women’s soccer (2004–2005 through 2013–2014). *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(9):880–892. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-156-17
14. Dick R, Ferrara MS, Agel J, Courson R, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men’s football injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988–1989 through 2003–2004. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(2):221–233.
15. Kerr ZY, Dompier TP, Snook EM, et al. National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System: review of methods for 2004–2005 through 2013–2014 data collection. *J Athl Train.* 2014;49(4):552–560. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.58
16. Kucera KL, Marshall SW, Bell DR, DiStefano MJ, Goerger CP, Oyama SK. Validity of soccer injury data from the National Collegiate Athletic Association’s Injury Surveillance System. *J Athl Train.* 2011;46(5):489–499. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-46.5.489
17. Stovitz SD, Shrier I. Injury rates in team sport events: tackling challenges in assessing exposure time. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46(14):960–963. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2011-090693

Address correspondence to Avinash Chandran, PhD, MS, Director, NCAA Injury Surveillance Program, Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention, 6151 Central Avenue, Suite 117, Indianapolis, IN 46202. Address email to avinashc@datalyscenter.org.