Targeted Blood Metabolomic Study on Retinopathy of Prematurity
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PURPOSE. This study aims at exploring alterations of major metabolites and metabolic pathways in retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) infants and identifying biomarkers that may merit early diagnosis of ROP.

METHODS. We analyzed targeted metabolites from 81 premature infants (<34 weeks of gestational age), including 40 ROP cases (15 males and 25 females, birth weight 1.263 ± 0.345 kg, gestational age 31.20 ± 4.62 weeks) and 41 cases (30 males, 11 females, birth weight 1.220 ± 0.293 kg, gestational age 30.96 ± 4.17 weeks) of well-matched non-ROP controls. Metabolites were measured by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Standard multivariate and univariate analysis was performed to interpret metabolomic results.

RESULTS. Glycine, glutamate, leucine, serine, piperidine, valine, tryptophan, citrulline, malonyl carnitine (C3DC), and homocysteine were identified as the top discriminant metabolites. In particular, discriminant concentrations of C3DC and glycine were also confirmed by univariate analysis as statistically significant different between ROP and non-ROP infants.

CONCLUSIONS. This study gained an insight into the metabolomic aspects of ROP development. We suggest that higher blood levels of C3DC and glycine can be promising biomarkers to predict the occurrence, but not the severity of ROP.
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Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) is a common premature complication, in which the development of immature retinal vasculature is disrupted by higher oxygen environment encountered at birth. This can arrest developing retinal vasculature, which lead to neovascularization and retinal detachment, both are potential threats for vision loss.1 With improvement in the medical standards and neonatal care, the survival rate of premature infants in low-income countries is growing rapidly.2 Every year, ROP causes approximately 100,000 childhood blindness around the world,3,4 which makes it a critical factor affecting the quality of life of premature infants.5–7 Current screening methods and treatment tactics for ROP are greatly limited,8,9 new preventive strategy and therapeutic targets are urgently required.

The retina is one of the most energy-consuming tissues in the human body, and its energy consumption is comparative to that of a proliferating tumor.10,11 Studies have revealed that the occurrence and development of retinal diseases is related to abnormal metabolism.12 Amino acids, for instance, are known to play a major role in retinal vascular development, function, cell survival, and neurotransmission. The location, synthesis, and degradation enzymes of retinal amino acid can vary greatly in different diseases, such as retinal detachment,13 retinal degeneration,14 and retinopathy of prematurity.15,16 Furthermore, it has also been proposed that nutritional intervention can be beneficial for ROP. For example, dietary supplements, such as long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, can inhibit the formation of retinal neovascularization and prevent the occurrence of ROP.17–20 They revealed that in vivo metabolism intervention can benefit future prevention and treatment of ROP.

Cell metabolism is the process of nutrient being converted to energy and metabolic by-product. The retina is known to metabolize in a similar manner as cancer cells, which prioritize using aerobic glycolysis to generate energy, a process known as the Warburg effect.21 Alteration in metabolism during development can influence cell survival, migration, and growth, especially for endothelial cells (ECs). As a vascular disease, metabolomic aspect of ROP has
been hugely overlooked. Until now, it has remained unclear as to which metabolites and/or pathways are affected in ROP. To our knowledge, only a few studies have focused on ROP metabolic changes. Most of them focused on nutrition intervention, such as omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, insulin-like growth factor I, erythropoietin, and carotenoids. Although some have explored the effect of single metabolites, such as arginine, glutamine, cysteine, hypoxia-inducing factor 1, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1. Additionally, a more recent study has used plasma proteomics technique to identify potential therapeutic/diagnostic biomarkers for ROP. Mass spectrometry is instrumental in analyzing multiple metabolites in a single run. The amino acid and acylcarnitine analysis of dried blood spots using mass spectrometry contributes to current advancements in neonatal screening. The goal of this study is to identify potential blood metabolic biomarkers and provide a new insight into a better understanding of the underlying mechanism of ROP. This was achieved by taking advantage of ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) to generate and compare targeted metabolome profile of blood spot samples from premature infants with and without ROP.

**Targeted Blood Metabolomic Study on ROP**

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This is a prospective study with data collected between January 2016 and September 2017 from the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University and Shenzhen ROP Collaborative Group (33 hospitals in Shenzhen, China). This study received ethical approval authorized by Medical Ethics Committees from all hospitals and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Signed consent forms for enrollment were obtained from all families. Infants were enrolled if they were born preterm, defined as delivered between 20 weeks to 34 weeks from gestation. The heel blood samples of infants were collected in 3 days after birth and 30 minutes after feeding using “newborn blood collection card” and store in −20°C. A total of eighty-one preterm infants were included in this study. The presence of ROP was determined by specialized ophthalmologists from Shenzhen Eye Hospital. Participants will be excluded from the study if (1) requested by participants’ families; (2) participants were diagnosed with congenital metabolic diseases; (3) occurrence of severe complications, including but not limited to, sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis, neonatal respiratory distress syndrome, and severe metabolic disorder; (4) the mother of the participant had a history of medication and/or serious disease, such as gonorrhea, syphilis, or AIDS during pregnancy; or (5) death.

**Diagnosis of ROP**

The diagnostic and therapeutic criteria of ROP follow the international classification and the screening guidelines for retinopathy of prematurity in China (2014). All infants were screened using binocular indirect ophthalmoscope (Heine, Bavaria, Germany) and RetCam (Natus Retcam3, California, United States) until the end of the follow-up. Every infant was examined by two experienced retina specialists independently and the eligibility of participation was confirmed by both the specialists. Infants with any zone ROP were included in this study.

**Sample Collection and Metabolomic Data Acquisition**

Eighty-one heel blood samples were collected and sent for UPLC-MS/MS analysis (QI000 Japanese Electronics, American Biological Application Systems Co., Ltd.). In brief, blood was collected by cards (Whatman 903 Filter Paper, America) that were punched as 3-mm-diameter circular pieces (Puncher, Perkin-Elmer, Turku, Finland) and placed in 96-well filter plates (0.45 μm, Millipore Inc., America). Each hole included 100 μL marked methanol (Sigma Inc., America, (N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)) trifluorooacetamide/Trimethylchlorosilane) containing stable isotope-labelled internal standards (Cambridge Isotope Labs Inc., America) and was left to stand for 20 minutes at room temperature. The homogenate was centrifugated (Eppendorf, 5414C, Germany) at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes with centrifugal radius of 11 cm and removed to the 96-hole polypropylene board (America, Fisher Inc.). The solution was dried (L-129A, Beijing Lai Heng Science and Trade Co., Ltd.) at 50°C and added 60 μL hydrochloric acid N-butyl alcohol at a 65°C constant temperature box for 15 minutes covered with Teflon film. Then, it was dried at 50°C and 80% acetonitrile (15.4 mol/L) was added. Instrumental parameters were as follows: mobile phase, eluted with isocratic elution of 80% acetonitrile (15.4 mol/L); the flow rate was 140 μL/min. Neutral amino acids were scanned with neutral loss scan, and the mass to load ratio (m/z) of neutral loss fragment was 102 Da. The scanning range was m/z 140 to m/z 280. Acylcarnitine analysis was done by using precursor scan. The daughter ions were m/z 85 Da fragment, and the scanning range from m/z 210 to m/z 502. Glycine, ornithine, arginine, and citrulline adopted multiresponse monitoring, and a sample test took approximately 2 minutes.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

According to the m/z of the mass spectrum peak, the concentration value of metabolites was automatically calculated by quantitative analysis software. After removing ratio substances, multivariate data analysis was conducted using SIMCA (v.14.1; Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). Orthogonal partial least squares-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was used to increase the class separation, flatten dataset, and find potential biomarkers. The quality of models was validated using two parameters: R2Ycum (goodness of fit) and cumulated Q2 (Q2cum, goodness of prediction). A threshold of 0.5 is widely accepted in model classification to identify good Q2cum ≥ 0.5 or poor Q2cum < 0.5 predictive capabilities. We validated the OPLS-DA model using permutation test (200 times) to reduce the risk of overfitting and possibilities of false-positive findings. Plots show correlation coefficients
between the original Y and the permuted Y versus the cumR2Y and Q2. Fitted regression lines were also displayed, which connects the observed Q2 to the centroid of permuted Q2 cluster. The model was considered valid if (1) all Q2 values from the permuted data set to the left are lower than the Q2 value on the actual data set to the right and (2) the regression line has a negative value of intercept on the y-axis.42 To identify moderate and strong outliers, DModX test and Hoteling’s T-squared test was performed. These tests were performed using SIMCA.

The variable importance in projection (VIP) values was then identified by supervised investigations. VIP is a read-out of the contribution of each variable on the x-axis to the model. It is summed over all components and weighted to the Y accounted for by every single component.43 There- model. It is summed over all components and weighted to this criteria is similar to other studies.44,45

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was then used to assess the ability of predicting potential metabolic biomarkers. To classify ROP infants, we calculated and compared area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity values of identified principal components. This approach takes both predictive capability and statistical significance of individual metabolites in to account. The analysis was performed using SPSS, version 25.0.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic characteristics and distribution pattern of each metabolite were first determined by QQ- and PP-plots. Normally distributed variables were presented as mean ± SD, otherwise data were presented as median and mean ranks. Student’s t-tests and Wilcoxon tests were then used to compare variables whose distribution followed and did not follow normal distribution, respectively, between ROP and non-ROP infants. Differences were considered as significant when \( P < 0.05 \). Analysis was performed using SPSS, version 25.0.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic Characteristics**

Demographic characteristics of the cohort was described in Table 1. There was no statistically significant difference in mean gestational age between ROP (31.20 ± 4.62 weeks) and non-ROP (30.96 ± 4.17 weeks) groups (\( P = 0.657 \)). Mean birth weight was also comparative (1.263 kg in ROP vs 1.220 kg for non-ROP, \( P = 0.546 \)). Nor does multiple pregnancy differ significantly between groups (48% singleton in ROP vs 70% in non-ROP, \( P = 0.078 \)). With regard to nutritional supply, we did not find statistically significant difference between two groups in feeding tactics at day 3 of life (80% breast fed and total parental nutrition [TPN] supplemented in ROP vs 95% in non-ROP, \( P = 0.084 \)) and TPN or breast milk volume (TPN 105.4 ± 25 ml vs 103.2 ± 27 ml, ROP vs non-ROP, \( P = 0.707 \); breast milk 8.0 ml vs 8.0 ml, ROP vs non-ROP, \( P = 0.970 \)). Noteworthy, none of them have insulin infusion. Additionally, oxygen administration is similar between groups (oxygen saturation, 92.5% vs 92.5%, respectively, \( P = 0.291 \); fraction of inspired O2 [FiO2], 30% vs 29%, respectively, \( P = 0.724 \)). However, delivery mode (% cesarean section, 32.40% in ROP vs 65.50% in non-ROP, \( P = 0.008 \)) and sex (35.5% male in ROP vs 73.2% in non-ROP, \( P = 0.002 \)) differed significantly between the two groups.

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>ROP</th>
<th>Non-ROP</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>31.20 ± 4.62</td>
<td>30.96 ± 4.17</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>1.263 ± 0.345</td>
<td>1.220 ± 0.293</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery mode (cesarean section %)</td>
<td>12 (32.40)</td>
<td>19 (65.50)</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy (singleton %)</td>
<td>18 (48.60)</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male %)</td>
<td>15 (38.50)</td>
<td>30 (73.20)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding strategy (TPN and nontrrophic feeding %)</td>
<td>32 (80.00)</td>
<td>39 (95.12)</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPN (mL)</td>
<td>105.4 ± 25</td>
<td>103.2 ± 27</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast milk (mL)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturations (%)</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2 (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers were expressed as mean ± SD. Gestational age, birth weight, and TPN are normally distributed parameters whose significant differences (\( P \) value) are calculated using Student’s t-test. Breast milk, oxygen saturation, and FiO2 are presented by median and statistical significance analyzed using Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Delivery mode, multiple pregnancy status, sex, and feeding strategy are analyzed by Chi-squared test. TPN = total parenteral nutrition.

\* \( P < .05 \).
To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating metabolomic alteration from blood of ROP and non-ROP infants using UPLC-MS/MS. Single-variant analysis may be insufficient and limited to reveal a comprehensive picture of the disease; we thus applied OPLS-DA to analyze our dataset and identified 10 metabolites that contributed largely to the separation between ROP and non-ROP. This highly
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**Figure 1.** OPLS-DA score scatter plot of first two principal components (ROP and non-ROP groups). Clear separate clustering can be observed between ROP and non-ROP groups. R2Xcum = 0.991, R2Ycum = 0.772, Q2cum = 0.563. Green dots represent ROP (n = 40); blue dots represent non-ROP (n = 41).

(VIP = 3.2083), leucine (VIP = 2.5558), serine (VIP = 1.8946), piperidine (VIP = 1.5630), valine (VIP = 1.4493), and tryptophan (VIP = 1.0895). Citrulline (VIP = 0.7915), C3DC (VIP = 0.6336), and homocysteine (VIP = 0.6078) were also considered to expand our selection (0.5 < VIP < 1.0).

**Cross Validation Using Univariate Analysis.** To identify alterations in metabolites associated with development of ROP, univariate analysis was performed on all metabolites (not shown), for cross validation of our multivariate analysis using OPLS-DA, results of top 10 potential discriminant metabolites were shown here. Distribution patterns of each metabolites were assessed first to determine the type of significance test to be used. Among all, glycine, glutamate, leucine, valine, and homocysteine obeyed normal distribution (Table 2), whereas serine, piperidine, tryptophan, citrulline, and C3DC did not (Table 3). Scatter plots presented that glycine, glutamate, leucine, serine, and C3DC were higher in the ROP group compared with the non-ROP group. Valine, homocysteine, piperidine, tryptophan, and citrulline are reduced in the ROP group (Fig. 4). Notably, only glycine (P = 0.018) and C3DC (P < 0.001) presented statistically significant difference between ROP and non-ROP groups (Fig. 4). After adjusting for sex, the changes in C3DC and glycine levels remained significant. C3DC and glycine are still independent risk factors for ROP. The higher the value of C3DC, the higher the risk of ROP; indicating it is a strong risk factor (P < 0.001, Wald = 16.478, odds ratio [OR] = 4.846E+56, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 2.068E+29-1.136E+84); glycine is a low risk factor for ROP, and is positively correlated (P = 0.031, Wald = 4.669, OR = 1.014, CI = 1.001-1.027). With every 1 μmol/L increase in glycine, the risk of ROP is elevated by 1.4%. However, the level of C3DC and glycine had nothing to do with the severity of ROP after adjusting for sex, delivery mode, gestational age, and birth weight (i.e., nonproliferation vs proliferation [C3DC: P = 0.822, Wald = 0.051, OR = 12.477, CI = 0.000-4.231E+10]) and glycine: P = 0.707, Wald = 0.141, OR = 0.998, CI = 0.987-1.009). Our study provides the very first data indicating a potential association between increased C3DC/glycine and risk of ROP, but not the severity of ROP.

ROC plot was drawn to assess the ability of predicting potential metabolic biomarkers in ROP (Fig. 5). AUC of all identified metabolites: citrulline (AUC = 0.564, CI = 0.438-0.691), glutamate (AUC = 0.601, CI = 0.478-0.725), glycine (AUC = 0.659, CI = 0.540-0.778), homocysteine (AUC = 0.554, CI = 0.428-0.680), valine (AUC = 0.573, CI = 0.446-0.699), leucine (AUC = 0.568, CI = 0.441-0.694), piperidine (AUC = 0.507, CI = 0.379-0.634), serine (AUC = 0.545, CI = 0.419-0.672), tryptophan (AUC = 0.523, CI = 0.396-0.649), and C3DC (AUC = 0.914, CI = 0.856-0.972) were greater than 0.5. Notably, AUC of C3DC is even greater than 0.8, indicating its good predictive ability. According to the hypothesis test (H0 = 0.5), AUCs of citrulline (P = 0.3213), glutamate (P = 0.1169), glycine (P = 0.1169), homocysteine (P = 0.4005), valine (P = 0.2610), leucine (P = 0.2944), serine (P = 0.4845), and C3DC (P < 0.01) were not obtained randomly. C3DC was exceptionally good at rejecting the null hypothesis. In this study, the accuracy of C3DC-based diagnosis is the best (AUC = 0.914, sensitivity = 97.5%, and specificity = 68.3%) among all potential discriminant metabolites, followed by glycine (AUC = 0.659, sensitivity = 92.5%, and specificity = 58.5%). However, diagnoses based on other metabolites were too weak to draw any significance. Collectively, these results suggest that C3DC and glycine can be potential biomarkers for ROP diagnosis.

**DISCUSSION**

We define the first study investigating metabolomic alteration from blood of ROP and non-ROP infants using UPLC-MS/MS. Single-variant analysis may be insufficient and limited to reveal a comprehensive picture of the disease; we thus applied OPLS-DA to analyze our dataset and identified 10 metabolites that contributed largely to the separation between ROP and non-ROP. This highly
FIGURE 2. Validity tests for OPLS-DA model. (A) Permutation analysis plotting R2 and Q2 from 200 permutation tests in the OPLS-DA model. The y-axis shows R2 and Q2, whereas the x-axis shows the correlation coefficient of permuted and observed data. The two points on the right represent the observed R2 and Q2. Cluster of points on the left represents 200 permuted R2s and Q2s. Green and blue dots represent R2 and Q2 values, respectively. Dashed lines denote corresponding fitted regression lines for observed and permuted R2 and Q2. (B) Hotelling’s T-squared test revealed that most samples did not show deviation, except participants 6 (T2 = 40.82) and 28 (T2 = 39.91) that exceeded 99% CI level and participants 32 (T2 = 30.33) and 40 (T2 = 31.04) that exceeded 95% CI level, all four of these deviators were from the ROP group. Red and orange horizontal dashed line denotes 99% and 95% CI level, respectively. (C) DModX test plot presented that most samples did not show severe deviation in DModX, the only moderate outlier being participant 78 (3.10). Red horizontal dashed line denotes 95% CI.
FIGURE 3. Contribution plot from the OPLS-DA model including all metabolites. According to the plot, glycine, glutamate, leucine, serine, piperidine, valine, and tryptophan were considered important; citrulline, C3DC, and homocysteine were slightly below the “important” threshold. Metabolites to the right of homocysteine were unimportant in contributing to the OPLS-DA model. All metabolites analyzed in current study obtained positive contribution scores, which indicated higher levels in ROP.

**Table 2. Blood Metabolites That Are Normally Distributed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$\chi \pm SD$ (µmol/L)</th>
<th>$t$ Value</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>306.47 ± 114.28</td>
<td>2.411</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>248.29 ± 102.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>253.69 ± 82.23</td>
<td>1.687</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>223.38 ± 79.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>162.28 ± 60.13</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>143.00 ± 42.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>99.27 ± 39.05</td>
<td>−0.246</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>101.10 ± 27.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homocysteine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>16.86 ± 5.01</td>
<td>−1.097</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>17.98 ± 4.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glycine, glutamate, leucine, valine, and homocysteine obey normal distributions. Data expressed as $\chi \pm$ standard deviation. Statistical significance evaluated using Student’s $t$-test.

**Table 3. Blood Metabolites That Are Not Normally Distributed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median (µmol/L)</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>79.94</td>
<td>42.85</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>76.94</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperidine</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>58.89</td>
<td>40.73</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>62.29</td>
<td>41.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>34.76</td>
<td>40.08</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>41.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrulline</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>38.38</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>43.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3DC</td>
<td>ROP (+)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>57.98</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROP (−)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serine, piperidine, tryptophan, citrulline, and C3DC did not obey normal distributions. Data presented as median and mean ranks. Statistical significance analyzed using Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

Glycine, Serine, and Related Pathways

Proliferating and migrating endothelial cells require a large amount of metabolism and serine production. Although they are exposed to high level of oxygen in the blood, ECs produce most (80%) of their ATP through glycolysis. This metabolic signature is similar to that of tumor cells, which is known as the Warburg effect. This highly active glycolysis process is vital for the synthesis of serine and glycine in ECs. Serine synthesis is dependent on the glycolytic metabolite 3-phosphoglycerate. Conversion to serine is catalyzed sequentially by phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH). Phosphoserine aminotransferase and phosphoserine phosphatase. Global mutations in the genes encoding these enzymes can lead to vascular and multiorgan abnormalities. Moreover, deletion of PHGDH is lethal to ECs. This revealed that ECs highly rely on serine synthesis pathway to survive. This is possibly because that active serine biosynthesis and metabolism provide essential substances for proliferating ECs and reduce the oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species level in Müller cells and ECs in the retina. Another isoform of serine, D-serine, can be converted from L-serine by serine racemase (SR). D-serine is a potent ligand for the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, activation of this receptor causes production of nitric oxide (Fig. 6), which is a proangiogenic factor. Deficiency in SR lowers nitric oxide and
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FIGURE 4. Univariate analysis of the top 10 VIP. Concentration of the top 10 VIPs identified from the OPLS-DA model were compared between ROP and non-ROP premature infants. Only C3DC (P < 0.001) and glycine (P = 0.018) reached statistical significance between the two groups. For statistical significance analysis, Student’s t-test was performed for glycine, glutamate, leucine, valine, and homocysteine; Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for serine, piperidine, tryptophan, citrulline, and C3DC. "a" represents statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) "b" indicates differences are not significant.

FIGURE 5. ROC curve of potential discriminant metabolites for ROP. C3DC is the best among all identified discriminant metabolites at predicting ROP, the diagnostic threshold of which is around 0.04 μmol/L, with high sensitivity (97.5%) and specificity (68.3%); followed by glycine (sensitivity = 92.5% and specificity = 58.5%), which is notably inferior at predicting than C3DC.

vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels and eventually attenuates choroidal neovascularization.59

The level of plasma glycine maybe higher in ROP. Singh et al. used untargeted metabolite profiling research found that serine and glycine was more than 50% higher in the anti-hypoxia-inducing factor 1 (Roxadustat) treated group than the control group.60 In the meantime, serine and glycine are interconvertible, which is catalyzed by serine hydroxymethyl transferase. A recent study suggested that glycine could mimic the effect of VEGF, the well-known angiogenic cytokine for endothelial cells, on promoting angiogenesis (Fig. 6).61 VEGF-induced activation of its transporter, glycine transporter 1 (GlyT1), lead to influx of glycine into the cell, which can affect cellular metabolism. Furthermore, they found that dietary supplementation of glycine enhanced neovascularization both in vivo and in vitro.61 In addition, glycine can promote the biosynthesis of HEME, which is critical in controlling the level of reactive oxygen species and maintaining oxidative phosphorylation, thus providing a favorable environment for the vascular proliferation.46,53,55,62 Besides, glycine has been proved to be closely associated with rapid proliferation of cancer cells.63 Validity of glycine therapy has been verified in renal ischemia-reperfusion injury, in which glycine reduces the injury mainly by reducing initial hypoxic damage to the tissue and inhibiting consequent inflammatory response.64

C3DC

Another interesting finding was that the level of C3DC was most indicative of predicting ROP. C3DC is an odd-chain dicarboxylic acid acylcarnitine produced from malonyl-coenzyme A (CoA), the major regulator of energy homeostasis by inhibiting carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A (CPT1A), an enzyme catalyzing the rate-limiting step of mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation.59 Most malonyl-CoA is converted back to acetyl-CoA by malonyl-CoA decarboxylase (Fig. 6). Patients with congenital deficiency of this enzyme presented elevated C3DC levels66; therefore, C3DC may be reflective of malonyl-CoA level.67 Higher serum C3DC level was also reported in cases of diabetes mellitus68,69 and chronic kidney disease.70 Nevertheless, angiogenesis wise, fatty acid oxidation is critical for ECs in that CPT1A knockdown diminishes angiogenesis.71 Additionally, accumulation of C3DC and malonyl-CoA leads to endothelial sprouting defects.71 However, it remains unclear whether malonyl-CoA or C3DC accumulation suppresses fatty acid oxidation and switches fuel utilization to glucose. In ROP, the observation that arrested ECs failed to sprout, repopulate, and continue developing normally can possibly be attributed to abnormal fatty acid metabolism or impaired mitochondrial function. Collectively, these studies provide a reasonable explanation for our observation of higher C3DC in ROP infants.
FIGURE 6. Schematic diagram of the effect of specific metabolites and metabolic pathways on endothelial cells. Orange indicates amino acids that contributed to the respiratory pathways. Cyan shows conventional TCA cycle pathways. Green presents interconversion of glycine and serine. Abbreviations: α-KG = alpha-ketoglutarate; ASNS = asparagine synthase; CPT1A = carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A; C3DC = malonyl carnitine; GLS1 = glutaminase 1; OAA = oxaloacetic acid; PEP = phosphoenolpyruvic acid; PSPT = phosphoserine phosphatase; SHMT = serine hydroxymethyltransferase; SR = serine racemase.

This prospective study collected newborn bloodspot and our results revealed an elevation of malonyl carnitine at 3 days of age in ROP group. However, clinical features of ROP were usually noted around 1 to 3 months after birth. Our results suggested that signs of growth suppression can start much earlier than the diagnosis of disease; these early and mild events can lead to a more catastrophic growth deficit later.

There are three major limitations of our study. First, the size of our cohort was not very large (40 ROP and 41 non-ROPs), and participants enrolled were limited to southeast China. For a bigger picture of the ROP conditions nationwide, a multicenter study involving larger population across the country need to be carried out. The other being that this present study only looked at targeted metabolomics (i.e., amino acids and carnitine and its derivatives). To reveal a comprehensive network of metabolites, untargeted metabolomic study is urgently needed, which will detect more unknown metabolites and generate an unbiased view of the metabolome. Last, in this preliminary study, we simply explored the relationship of major metabolites and the occurrence of ROP. A larger cohort enrolling individuals at different stages of ROP will have to be carefully designed and studied in the future.

Considering the potential of metabolomics analysis on diagnosing and evaluating prognosis of ROP, there are still great challenges. The human metabolome needs to be comprehensively defined first, including the number of endogenous metabolites in the human body. It is necessary to establish a reliable spectral reference database for the identification and interpretation of metabolites. In addition, our interpretation of so-called "biologically relevant" is limited by our current knowledge of the biological system, which makes it even harder to gain and insight into how the metabolic spectrum contributing to a certain disease.

Our preliminary findings showed that metabolites, especially C3DC and glycine, are exceptionally good at predicting ROP, and can identify a few pathways likely to be affected by ROP. This study opened new possibilities of using metabolomic analysis to look at the development of the disease. Yet metabolomics is only part of the state-of-the-art "omics" technologies, which includes proteomics, metabolomics, transcriptomics, and genomics. These together will provide us a more in-depth knowledge on the development of ROP and could potentially merit future disease screening and diagnosis.
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