Primary angle–closure glaucoma (PACG) is estimated to be a major cause of blindness worldwide. Controlling intraocular pressure (IOP) is the main goal of glaucoma treatment. Schlemm’s canal (SC) was suggested to be important in regulating IOP in the human eye, whose size may correlate with the fluctuation in IOP. However, few reports that delineate the effect of the trabeculectomy on SC in glaucoma are currently available.

Technological advances in the imaging resolution and acquisition speed of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) have enabled observation of the anterior chamber angle architecture in greater detail and helped with the understanding of the in vivo structural and pathophysiological changes in SC. Using this technology, we recently reported that eyes with primary open-angle glaucoma have a decreased SC area compared with normal eyes, and a correlation between the SC area and the IOP also was observed. In addition, previous reports have suggested that canoloplasty, a procedure involving circumferential viscodilation and tensioning of Schlemm’s canal, achieved a significant decrease in IOP at 12 months and the IOP decrease is sustained in adult patients with open-angle glaucoma. Since trabeculectomy could significantly decrease the IOP in PACG eyes, this surgical treatment could result in changes in the SC. However, the features of SC morphology in PACG eyes undergoing trabeculectomy are still unknown. The purpose of this study was to determine whether similar structural changes occurs in the SC in PACG eyes undergoing trabeculectomy, and to explore the potential factors associated with the change in the SC size.
METHODS

Participants

This was a prospective study of 40 Chinese subjects with PACG at the glaucoma clinic of the Shanghai Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the hospital and was performed in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Each subject received comprehensive ophthalmic examinations that included visual acuity measurement, Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, and stereoscopic evaluation of the optic disc using a 90-diopter (D) lens (Volk Optical, Inc., Mentor, OH, USA). A-scan ultrasonography (Model US-800; Nidek Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure axial length and corneal thickness. The patients also underwent imaging of the angle using anterior segment optical coherence tomography (Visante; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). Finally, gonioscopy was performed in the dark in all patients by glaucoma specialists.

Primary angle-closure glaucoma was diagnosed on the basis of narrow angles with glaucomatous optic neuropathy (vertical cup-to-disc ratio > 0.7, cup-to-disc asymmetry > 0.2 and/or focal notching) with corresponding visual field loss on static automated perimetry (SITA standard algorithm with a 24-2 test pattern; Humphrey Visual Field Analyzer II; Carl Zeiss Meditec). The glaucoma hemifield test was outside normal limits including a cluster of three or more, nonedge, contiguous points on the pattern deviation plot, not crossing the horizontal meridian with a probability of <5% of being present in the age-matched normal (one of which was <1%), an abnormal pattern standard deviation with P < 5% occurring in the normal population, and fulfilling the test reliability criteria (fixation losses < 20%, false positives < 33% and/or false negatives < 33%).

Additional inclusion criteria were an IOP > 21 mm Hg despite maximally tolerated medications or requiring more than three topical medications for IOP control; at least 180 of angle-closure obliterating pigmented part of the trabecular meshwork, whether synchial or appositional, segmented or continuous; and eyes in which the degree of peripheral anterior synchiae is too extensive to be managed by laser peripheral iridotomy. All ocular topical medications were continued up to the time of the surgery. Participants were excluded if they had previous uveitis, trauma or prior intraocular surgery, laser iridectomies, penetrating eye injury, or corneal disorders such as corneal endothelial dystrophy or severe corneal opacity.

Surgical Trabeculectomy Procedure

The surgical procedure details of trabeculectomy in the current study have been described previously. Intraocular lens surgery was not performed simultaneously in each patient. Briefly, trabeculectomy was performed under peribulbar anesthesia. A limbus-based conjunctival flap was prepared, which was followed by a rectangular half-thickness scleral flap measuring 4 × 4 mm. A sponge soaked in 0.04% mitomycin C was applied under the scleral flap and subconjunctival space anesthetized. A limbus-based conjunctival flap was prepared, whereas the Tenon capsule and conjunctiva were reapprroximated with 8-0 vicryl continuous sutures so that they were watertight.

SC Measurements Using Optical Coherence Tomography

Baseline SC scanning was performed 1 day before trabeculectomy. Follow-up angle scanning was performed within 1 month after the surgery. Measurements of IOP were recorded at all follow-up visits. For SC scanning, all subjects underwent SD-OCT imaging (RTVue OCT, software version 4.0.7.5; Optovue, Inc., Fremont, CA, USA) in a dark room. Scans were obtained using the standard anterior-segment single-scan protocol that included one image scanning the angle at the 3 and 9 o’clock positions (horizontal meridian). The details of the SC imaging protocol were previously reported. In order to remove image artifacts caused by light attenuation and to enhance SD-OCT image quality, all scans (nasal and temporal) were postprocessed using adaptive compensation (Figs. A, B) with a threshold exponent of 9 and a contrast exponent of 2. Adaptive compensation has been demonstrated to improve tissue visibility at high depth; remove shadow artifacts (through decreases in intralayer contrast); enhance tissue boundary visibility (through increases in inter-layer contrast); and reduce noise over-amplification at high depth. Following adaptive compensation postprocessing, SD-OCT image quality was evaluated by two independent observers (JH, YY), and scans with poor resolution and/or nonvisible SC were excluded.

The SD-OCT images of SC were imported in ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) for analysis after they were enhanced with the adaptive compensation algorithm. For each image, the SC diameter and area were measured manually by masked operators (JH and AJ), as shown in Figures C and D. The SC diameter was defined as the average of three measurements of the sagittal axial length of the thin, black, lucent space on the SD-OCT images. The SC area was drawn freehand and depicted the area surrounded by the outline of SC. The mean of the nasal and temporal SC was used in the analysis.

Repeatability and Reproducibility of SC Measurements. To measure intraobserver variation, 20 SC images before and after surgeries were chosen from 10 PACG patients using a randomization table generated from a block randomization table. These images were evaluated in random order by a single masked observer (JH) on two separate occasions at an interval of 2 days, and agreement between the two observations was determined. To measure interobserver variation, the same images were evaluated by two independent and well-trained observers (JH, AW), and the agreement between them was analyzed. The order of the observers was randomized. The participants were given a 15-minute pause between the SC measurements taken by the two clinicians. All the evaluators were unaware of the subjects’ demographic details and diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using a statistical software package (SPSS for Windows, version 17.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and a spreadsheet program (Microsoft Excel 2003; Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Repeatability and reproducibility were analyzed by using the coefficient of variation (CV) and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) between measurements. The CV is the standard deviation of the measurements divided by their mean, expressed as a percentage. The ICC measures the proportion of total variability in measurements contributed by variability in measurements between different subjects and was determined using the random-effects mixed model. For
comparison, differences in the mean values of the parametric data were examined using the Student’s $t$-test or the Mann-Whitney $U$ test. The nonparametric Spearman correlation was used to compare the data between the parameters. The following variables were analyzed: age, sex, refraction, central corneal thickness, axial length of eye, mean deviation, number of preoperative IOP-lowering drugs, visual outcomes, baseline and postoperative IOP, angle opening distance (AOD750), angle recess area (ARA750), trabecular iris surface area (TISA750), and percentage change in IOP ($\Delta$IOP). Multivariate linear regression analysis with stepwise methods was performed for the predictors of “percentage change in mean Schlemm’s canal diameter or area” (hereafter denoted as $\Delta$SCD or $\Delta$SCA) using parameters that showed significance at less than the 0.1 level in univariate analysis, excluding those that showed multicollinearity. All $P$ values were two-sided and considered statistically significant when $< 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics**

Of the 40 patients with PACG who were initially enrolled, the percentage of sections in which the SC was observable in the subjects studied increased from 52.5% (21/40) to 75.0% (30/
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Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Potential Predictors Associated With SC Changes

As shown in Table 3, the only variable related to changes in SC was ΔIOP (ΔSCD, P = 0.002; ΔSCA, P < 0.001). In addition, ΔSCA was also correlated to AOD750 at baseline (P = 0.047). The multivariate linear regression model found no significant associations for changes in SC with age, sex, refraction, central corneal thickness, axial length of eye, mean deviation, number of preoperative IOP-lowering drugs, visual outcomes, baseline and postoperative IOP, ARA750, and TISA750.

DISCUSSION

We found that SD-OCT equipped with the adaptive compensation algorithm yielded acceptable visualization of SC in patients with PACG. Our results demonstrate the expansion of SC after the trabeculectomy in PACG eyes. In addition, the multivariate linear regression analysis also showed a significant relationship between the degree in SC changes and the magnitude of the decrease in the IOP. The percentage of eyes in which SC was observable (75%) in the PACG patients was lower than that (86%) in normal subjects, but was similar to that (78%) in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma patients. One possible reason is that the patients with PACG were significantly older than the two other groups, who tend to develop arcus senilis and pterygium.

Previous studies have demonstrated the advantages of adaptive compensation, including removal of noise over-amplification in the deep layers of the tissue and improved visibility of the posterior tissue boundary (such as SC in our study). However, this technique is not available for all subjects. In our experience, the SC in patients with dense arcus senilis, pterygium, and pinguecula may be hard to investigate. This issue must be addressed in a future study.

The reproducibility and repeatability of SC measurement with SD-OCT have been examined previously. Kagemann et al reported a CV of 11.4% for the SC area between two observers in healthy subjects. Our study in primary open angle glaucoma showed that both the SC diameter and area measurements by SD-OCT have good intraobserver and interobserver repeatability. Similarly, our new results indicated acceptable agreement for SC measurement with SD-OCT in PACG patients.

To our knowledge, this is the first detailed assessment of the in vivo change in the SC in PACG eyes before and after glaucoma surgery. Based on our previous study of the SC morphology in normal subjects, the SC in PACG eyes seems to be smaller. One might suspect that the SC would collapse after trabeculectomy because a path of lesser resistance has been created, and the driving force across the trabecular meshwork has been eliminated. However, in the current study, we found that the mean SC diameter and area increased significantly after surgical treatment. The SC increase in our study might be

Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Descriptive Statistics*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>60.5 ± 14.6, (22, 95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male:female</td>
<td>13:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction, D</td>
<td>–0.36 ± 3.56, (–5.25, 3.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline IOP, mm Hg</td>
<td>33.6 ± 10.2, (20, 59.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central corneal thickness, μm</td>
<td>549 ± 46, (490, 692)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length of eye, mm</td>
<td>22.5 ± 1.3, (20.6, 26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation, dB</td>
<td>–19.8 ± 8.1, (–31.2, –6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of preoperative IOP-lowering drugs</td>
<td>3 ± 1, (2, 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best corrected visual acuity</td>
<td>6/6 to 6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation, dB</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6/60</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are shown in mean ± SD, range (minimum, maximum).

40) and before we used adaptive compensation (Figs. A, B), showing a statistically significant difference (P = 0.03). Ten subjects were excluded in the final analysis because of poor scan image quality nonvisibility of SC. The reasons of exclusion included dense arcus senilis (4/10), pterygium (4/10), and pinguecula (2/10).

As shown in Table 1, of the 30 eyes diagnosed as PACG, 10 (33%) had previous acute primary angle closure. All eyes had been treated with maximally tolerated IOP-lowering medication and then underwent trabeculectomy. The mean age was 60.5 ± 14.6 years (range, 22–95 years); 17 patients were women, and 13 were men. Their visual acuity ranged from 6/60 to 6/6, and the refractive error (spherical equivalent) was –3.6 ± 5.36 D (range, –5.25 to +5.75 D). The visual field mean deviation was –19.8 ± 8.1 dB (range, –51.2 to –6.2 dB).

For intraobserver repeatability, the CV and ICC values were 7.5% and 95.9% for the SC diameter, and 11.6% and 8.8% for the SC area, respectively. For interobserver repeatability, the CV and ICC values were 12.3% and 97.0% for the SC diameter, and 13.0% and 98.1% for the SC area, respectively.

Changes in Anterior Segment Angle Morphology After Trabeculectomy

In all 30 eyes, the IOP decreased from 33.6 ± 10.2 mm Hg (range, 20–59.6 mm Hg) to 14.3 ± 3.5 mm Hg (range, 8–21 mm Hg; P < 0.001). After trabeculectomy, AOD750 (0.29 ± 0.15 mm vs. 0.49 ± 0.15 mm, P < 0.001); ARA750 (0.18 ± 0.08 mm² vs. 0.27 ± 0.09 mm², P < 0.001); and TISA750 (0.14 ± 0.07 mm² vs. 0.23 ± 0.06 mm², P < 0.001) increased (Table 2). Interestingly, the mean SC diameter (28.4 ± 6.1 μm vs. 34.2 ± 6.2 μm, P < 0.001) and the mean SC area (5200 ± 996 μm² vs. 8117 ± 1942 μm², P < 0.001) increased significantly after the surgical treatment.

Table 2. Intraocular Pressure, Anterior Chamber Angle Parameters, and SC Morphology at Baseline and Follow-up Using OCT Examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>P Values*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOP, mm Hg</td>
<td>33.6 ± 10.2</td>
<td>14.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD750, mm</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARA750, mm²</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TISA750, mm²</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC diameter, μm</td>
<td>28.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>34.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC area, μm²</td>
<td>5200 ± 996</td>
<td>8117 ± 1942</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Independent t-test was performed for these parameters.
explained by the decreased mechanical expression due to the IOP decrease, the relief of the pupillary block, and the widening of the anterior chamber angle, facilitating the access of aqueous humor to the drainage system in PACG eyes. Based on this finding, SC compression may exit in PACG eyes. However, the SC expanded after the surgery within 1 month in our study, and the IOP and the chamber depth may not have been stable yet. Further studies are necessary to determine the long-term changes in the SC to further support these findings.

The IOP change was associated with SCD and SCA changes. Very few reports address the relationship between IOP and SC parameters. The current general belief is that the aqueous drainage system has a great chance of improvement with canaloplasty alone or combined with phacoemulsification.10–12 Canaloplasty is a surgical alternative that involves viscodilation of the Schlemm’s canal to enlarge the drainage canal, relieving pressure in open-angle glaucoma to decrease the IOP.11,12 The decrease in IOP is mainly attributable to the successful plasticity of SC in canaloplasty. Recently, SC compression has been found that the association between the SCD and SCA changes after trabeculectomy in PACG. In addition, we also found that the association between the ASCD and AOD750 was statistically significant (P = 0.047). This may support the hypothesis that mechanical compression of the trabecular meshwork may be contributing to the low AOD baseline values. However, the statistical P value is very close to 0.05, which may be the result of the relatively small number of investigated eyes and a possible violation in the linear model. A larger, prospective, long-term study is still warranted to address this issue. Nevertheless, our study is a preliminary step in deriving a mechanism that eventually elucidates the SC changes after trabeculectomy.

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, despite the good quality of the OCT images, 25% (10/40) of eyes were excluded because the SC was not observed. This may have resulted from the effect of the position of collector channels. Kagemann et al.13,14 and Usui et al.14 found that the SC is larger at the site of a collector channel than at sites close to but not at the collector channel. The status of the collector channel may change the SC size. Second, only the nasal and temporal sections were used for analysis in our study, because the superior and inferior section images could not be imaged without manipulation of the eyelid, which may compress the angle structures. In addition, the superior SC was absent because the incision of the surgery mostly located in the superior limbus. We have to admit that two single cross-sectional slices of the SC may not represent the entire SC anatomy. A more systematic investigation of the SC morphology throughout a region would enhance our findings. Third, because only the eyes undergoing trabeculectomy of most of the enrolled subjects were measured, we did not investigate changes in the lateral eyes; this is the subject of an ongoing study. Fourth, we did not collect information regarding the duration of glaucoma therapy, which may be associated with the baseline status of SC, because some patients were referred to our unit with limited data. Finally, the current study included subjects with medically uncontrolled severe PACG who have large visual field defects and higher IOP. Therefore, this finding might not be extrapolated to mild PACG or the other types of glaucoma.

In summary, we have demonstrated the in vivo expansion of SC after trabeculectomy in patients with PACG. Our data also indicated that the adaptive compensation algorithm provided significant improvement in the visibility of SC in the SD-OCT images. The current study suggests that the IOP decrease might also be correlated to the changes in SC in some of the PACG eyes, because the increase in SC was associated with the magnitude of the IOP decrease. Further study is needed to determine the influence of the SC changes after glaucoma surgery on disease progress.
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