LIM Kinase, a Newly Identified Regulator of Presynaptic Remodeling by Rod Photoreceptors After Injury
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PURPOSE. Rod photoreceptors retract their axon terminals and develop neuritic sprouts in response to retinal detachment and reattachment, respectively. This study examines the role of LIM kinase (LIMK), a component of RhoA and Rac pathways, in the presynaptic structural remodeling of rod photoreceptors.

METHODS. Phosphorylated LIMK (p-LIMK), the active form of LIMK, was examined in salamander retina with Western blot and confocal microscopy. Axon length within the first 7 hours and process growth after 3 days of culture were assessed in isolated rod photoreceptors treated with inhibitors of upstream regulators ROCK and p21-activated kinase (Pak) (Y27632 and IPA-3) and a direct LIMK inhibitor (BMS-5). Porcine retinal explants were also treated with BMS-5 and analyzed 24 hours after detachment. Because Ca\(^{2+}\) influx contributes to axonal retraction, L-type channels were blocked in some experiments with nicardipine.

RESULTS. Phosphorylated LIMK is present in rod terminals during retraction and in newly formed processes. Axonal retraction over 7 hours was significantly reduced by inhibition of LIMK or its regulators, ROCK and Pak. Process growth was reduced by LIMK or Pak inhibition especially at the basal (axon-bearing) region of the rod cells. Combining Ca\(^{2+}\) channel and LIMK inhibition had no additional effect on retraction but did further inhibit sprouting after 3 days. In detached porcine retina, LIMK inhibition reduced rod axonal retraction and improved retinal morphology.

CONCLUSIONS. Thus structural remodeling, in the form of either axonal retraction or neuritic growth, requires LIMK activity. LIM kinase inhibition may have therapeutic potential for reducing pathologic rod terminal plasticity after retinal injury.
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Photoreceptors demonstrate presynaptic structural remodeling in response to injury or disease. After retinal detachment, rod cells retract their axons and terminals from the outer plexiform layer (OPL), whereas cone cells respond by rounding their synaptic terminal.\(^1,2\) In contrast, after retinal reattachment or during retinal disease, rod photoreceptors sprout neuritic processes.\(^3–8\) Both axonal/terminal retraction and neuritic sprouting presumably disrupt the normal connectivity between photoreceptors and second-order neurons, and thus are potentially disruptive for vision. Here we focus on rod photoreceptor axonal retraction in response to the injury of retinal detachment.

Retinal detachment occurs with severe myopia, advancing age, complication from cataract surgery, or after trauma from high-impact or high-speed activities.\(^9–14\) To prevent potential visual loss after detachment due to disrupted circuitry, it is necessary to understand the mechanisms behind the reactive structural changes by photoreceptors. RhoA-Rho kinase (ROCK) activity\(^15,16\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) influx\(^17,18\) have been reported to play a role in regulating axonal retraction by rod photoreceptors in both isolated tiger salamander rod cells and detached porcine retinas. This study expands these findings by examining (1) the role of ROCK’s downstream effector, LIM kinase (LIMK)\(^19–21\), (2) the role of p21-activated kinase (Pak), like ROCK, an upstream regulator of LIMK\(^21–24\), and (3) the relationship between Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and ROCK or LIMK in rod cell injury.

LIM kinase regulates actin cytoskeletal dynamics, through cofilin, in various species and tissues.\(^25–28\) Since rod axonal and neuritic plasticity requires morphologic change, actin filament rearrangement is presumably necessary. Thus LIM kinase activity is very likely to be involved in the response of photoreceptors to injury. However, involvement of LIMK does not preclude a role for actomyosin contraction, which has been suggested to coordinate with increased actin dynamics in complex physiologic activities, such as axon guidance\(^29–31\) and cell migration.\(^32–34\) ROCK and Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, previously demonstrated to be necessary for axonal retraction, promote actomyosin contraction through regulating myosin light chain (MLC) and myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP), and myosin light chain kinase (MLCK), respectively.\(^19,20,55–57\) We postulate, therefore, that both actin filament turnover and actomyosin contraction contribute to rod cell axonal retraction (Fig. 1).

LIM kinase may also play a role in the photoreceptor response to injury in the form of neuritic process outgrowth.\(^5,7,38\) LIM kinase is well known to function in regulating actin polymerization in cooperation with the pathways that promote nucleation and extension of new actin filament
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Pharmacologic Reagents and Antibodies

The direct LIMK inhibitor BMS-5 (SYN-1024; Synkinease, San Diego, CA, USA), Y27632 (C3912; Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA), IPA-3 (3622; Tocris, Bristol, UK), and nicardipine (N7510; Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) before they were added to the culture medium. For cells and retinal explants, the final DMSO concentration was 0.5%.

The primary antibodies used were rabbit polyclonal anti-LIMK1 (No. 3842; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-LIMK1 (Thr508)/LIMK2 (Thr505) (No. 3841; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), mouse monoclonal anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, 1D4; sc-59540; Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA), mouse monoclonal anti-synaptic vesicle protein 2 (SY2; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA, USA), and mouse monoclonal anti-rodopsin (6D2; MABN15; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The secondary antibodies used were: peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (111-035-045; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA), peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG + IgM (115-035-068; Jackson ImmunoResearch), Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (A21206; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-mouse IgG (A21236; Life Technologies).

Cell and Tissue Culture

Rod photoreceptors were obtained from salamander retinal dissociation as previously described.57–49 Salamanders were decapitated, pithed, and enucleated. Retinas were digested in Ringer solution containing 14 U/mL papain (10108014001; Roche Life Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA) with agitation for 45 minutes. After rinsing and trituration, the cell suspension was plated onto glass coverslips coated with Sal-I antibody (provided by Peter MacLeish, Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA) in 35-mm culture dishes as previously described.50 Cultures were maintained in a dark, humidified incubator at 37°C in serum-free medium containing 108 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 2 mM HEPES, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 0.5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 24 mM glucose, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM Na pyruvate, 7% medium 199, 1X minimum essential (MEM) vitamin mix, 0.1X MEM essential amino acids, 0.1X MEM nonessential amino acids, 2 mM glutamine, 2 μg/mL bovine insulin, 1 μg/mL transferrin, 5 μM taurine, 0.8 μg/mL thyroxin, 10 μg/mL gentamicin, and 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (pH 7.7). A total of 235 cell cultures from 46 tiger salamanders was examined (3463 rod photoreceptors in total, 10 to 15 cells per dish for axonal retraction and immunocytochemistry, 30 cells per dish for growth analysis). The numbers for each experiment are noted in the figure legends.

For salamander and porcine retinal explants, the anterior segment and vitreous body were removed from the eye. Whole salamander retinas were dissected free from retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and incubated in the same conditions as cell cultures (described above). Porcine retinal explants were produced with a 7-mm-diameter trephine; for retinal detachment, the inner limiting membrane of the retina was overlaid produced with a 7-mm-diameter trephine; for retinal detachment, the inner limiting membrane of the retina was overlaid.

METHODS

Animals

Adult, aquatic-phase tiger salamanders (Ambystoma tigrinum, 18–23 cm in length) were used to obtain retinal tissue and cells. Salamanders were maintained at 5°C on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle for 1 week before use. Porcine eyes from Yorkshire pigs (6 months old, weighing 65–80 kg) were obtained from a local slaughterhouse (Animal Parts, Scotch Plains, NJ, USA). All protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Jersey Medical School and were in strict compliance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. A total of 52 tiger salamanders and 3 pigs were used in this study.
mixture of 5% CO₂/95% O₂ for 24 hours after detachment. Twelve salamander retinal explants from six tiger salamanders and six porcine retinal explants from three pigs were cultured. Numbers for specific experiments are noted below and in the figure legends.

**Measurement of Axonal Retraction in Isolated Cells**

To examine axonal retraction, a Zeiss inverted light microscope (Axiovert S100TV; Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), equipped with a motorized stage (Assy Stage 25; Cell Robotics, Inc., Albuquerque, NM, USA) and a 40×, 0.75 NA (numerical aperture) objective, was used to view retinal cultures. Rod photoreceptors were identified by morphology (cell shape and presence of an ellipsoid and axon terminal). Cells were selected by viewing the culture at an arbitrary location and then systematically scanning in rows. Rod cells with axon terminals (20–23 per dish) were selected by bright-field microscopy within the first hour after cell plating. At 7 hours, the same cells were located again. Their images were captured with a charge-coupled device camera (XC-75CE; Sony, Tokyo, Japan).

Axon length was measured with National Institutes of Health ImageJ 1.48 (http://image.nih.gov/ij/; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Reduction in length, indicating retraction, was the difference between the axonal lengths at the first (L1) and seventh (L7) hour of the same cell. The percent decrease in length was calculated with the following formula: \((L1 - L7) / L1 \times 100\%\).

**Western Blotting**

After a 2-hour incubation, detached salamander retinal explants were homogenized and lysed in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (20-188; Millipore) supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (04693116001; Roche Life Science), 1 mM Na₂VO₄, and 10 mM NaF. The lysate was clarified with centrifugation, 21,130 g for 10 minutes at 4°C (5424; Eppendorf, Hauppaugge, NY, USA). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bradford protein assay (500-0001; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Total lysate was boiled for 5 minutes in 2× Laemmlí sample buffer (161-0737; Bio-Rad), and loaded onto a 12% Mini-Protein TGX SDS-PAGE Gel (456-1041; Bio-Rad). Equal amounts of lysate were loaded into each lane of the same gel; depending on the gel, the loaded lysate ranged from 6 to 15 μg protein. To confirm the detection of phosphorylated LIMK (p-LIMK), blots were incubated with 1 ml 5% BSA blocking buffer in the presence or absence of 1200 units of Lambda Protein Phosphatase (P0753S; NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). Blots were probed with appropriate primary and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (34094; Thermo Scientific, Somerset, NJ, USA) or SuperSignal West Dura Substrate (34077; Thermo Scientific) was used for detection. GAPDH was used as a loading control; blots were also subject to a Ponceau-S total protein stain (K793; AMRESCO, Solon, OH, USA).

**Fluorescence Immunocytochemistry and Immunohistochemistry**

Porcine retinas and salamander photoreceptor cell cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (PBS; pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C. Retinal explants were then embedded in 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C, frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound (No. 4583; Sakura, Torrance, CA, USA), and sectioned at 40 μm. Sections and cell cultures were immunolabeled with appropriate primary antibodies and fluorescent secondary antibodies. All specimens for each experiment were processed together. Control sections and cultures were processed simultaneously without primary antibodies. Specimens were mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (P36930; Life Technologies) and sealed for further examination. For both retinal explants and rod photoreceptors, 1-μm optical sections were obtained with a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM510; Carl Zeiss) equipped with argon and helium/neon lasers, a 40×, 1.2 NA water immersion objective, and a 63×, 1.4 NA oil immersion objective. Laser power, scan rate, objective, aperture, and exposure time were unchanged throughout each experiment for all specimens. Enhancements in brightness and contrast were performed with ImageJ (version 1.46r) only for presentation purposes.

**Analysis of Process Growth**

Rod photoreceptors in 3-day cultures were identified by rod opsin immunolabeling. Cells were selected for analysis by viewing the culture at an arbitrary location and then systematically scanning in rows. Every isolated rod photoreceptor encountered was digitally captured until 20 to 30 cells per dish were imaged. Process growth was examined by measuring the length of the longest process for each cell. In addition, the length of the longest process at the basal (nuclear) and the apical (ellipsoidal) pole of the cell was measured.

**Measurement of Axonal Retraction in Retinal Sections**

Porcine retinal sections were immunolabeled for SV2 and examined with confocal microscopy as described above. The immunolabel was analyzed (ImageJ 1.46r) by measuring the area of fluorescent signal within the outer nuclear layer (ONL) and reported as labeled area per 100 μm of retinal length. Data were collected from a total of 14 porcine retinal cryosections (6 porcine retinal explants from 3 animals, two or three cryosections per retinal explant); three or four different areas per cryosection were examined (see legend of Fig. 9).

**Statistics**

Data were analyzed with either Student’s t-test or 1-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test for all pairwise multiple comparisons (GraphPad Prism 5; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) and expressed as mean ± SEM. Significance was considered to be achieved at \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

**Active LIMK Localizes in the Axon Terminal and Varicosities of Isolated Rod Photoreceptors**

To study the activity of LIMK in rod cell presynaptic remodeling, we examined intact salamander retina and single, isolated rod photoreceptors for the presence of active LIMK, p-LIMK, with Western blot and immunocytochemistry, respectively.

Previous data in porcine retina indicated that the active form of RhoA, an upstream regulator of LIMK, is present soon after retinal detachment. Here we detached salamander retina and incubated it for 2 hours to examine for LIMK in Western blot. Antibodies for both total-LIMK (t-LIMK) and p-
LIMK (Fig. 2, left and center lane, respectively) detected antigens at the appropriate molecular weight. Lambda Phosphatase treatment to reduce p-LIMK eliminated all labeling (Fig. 2, right lane), confirming the detection of p-LIMK in detached retina.

Immunohistochemistry was then conducted to examine the distribution of t-LIMK and p-LIMK. Confocal microscopy revealed that t-LIMK is distributed throughout all retinal layers, including the ONL and OPL, where the photoreceptor somas and synaptic terminals reside, respectively (Fig. 3, right column). Phosphorylated LIMK is present both diffusely and in large spots throughout the normal, undetached retina; however, there is an apparent increase of p-LIMK 2 hours after detachment (Fig. 3, first and second columns). Comparisons were made within the same animal: One eye provided the normal retinal explant, and the other eye provided the detached retinal explant, which was incubated for 2 hours. The increase in p-LIMK label suggests that retinal detachment correlates with an increase in LIMK activity.

Next, we examined the localization of p-LIMK in isolated rod cells. To be consistent with previous studies, we chose cells without an outer segment but with an axon terminal. Although rod opsin labeling predominantly localizes in the outer segments of rod photoreceptors in the intact retina, studies have shown that after retinal detachment and dissociation, rod opsin redistributes and is present throughout the entire plasma membrane of rod cells. Here we used rod opsin labeling to confirm the identification of rod cells. Axon terminals retract over a 24-hour period in culture; new processes appear at around 24 hours and develop presynaptic varicosities after several days in vitro.

Enriched p-LIMK staining consistently appeared in the axon terminals of rod cells in 2-hour and 1-day cultures if the terminals had not yet completely retracted (Figs. 4Aa, 4Ab). In addition, varicosities in neuritic processes, which emerged from the basal (nuclear) pole and leading edge of lamellipodia (Fig. 4Ac) after 3 days in culture, also had strong p-LIMK labeling. Finally, labeling was present in the inner segment identified by the presence of the ellipsoid (an accumulation of mitochondria), of rod cells in 2-hour, 1-day, and 3-day cultures (Fig. 4A). There was little nonspecific labeling in controls (Fig. 4B).

Confocal microscopy at high magnification suggested that p-LIMK localization was cytosolic: The cell membrane was marked by opsin immunolabel, whereas the adjacent cytosol demonstrated p-LIMK labeling (Figs. 4Aa–4c). In some optical sections, overlap of the opsin and p-LIMK label was apparent. However, in these cases, the plane of the section through the plasma membrane was oblique. Thus, immunocytochemistry indicated the presence of active LIMK in rod axon terminals both while axons retract and later when outgrowth occurs.

![Figure 2](image-url) Figure 2. Active LIMK is present in salamander retina 2 hours after detachment. Antibodies against total-LIMK (t-LIMK, upper left lane) and phosphorylated LIMK (p-LIMK, upper middle lane) labeled a 72-kDa band. Lambda Phosphatase–treated blot showed no band (upper right lane). GAPDH, from the same SDS-PAGE gel, served as an internal loading control (lower lanes). \( n = 3 \) animals, 6 retinal explants.

![Figure 3](image-url) Figure 3. Confocal microscopy demonstrates distribution of LIMK in salamander retina. The outer segments (OS) of rod photoreceptors are labeled for opsin (green). The outer nuclear layer (ONL) contains the photoreceptor cell bodies; nuclei are labeled with propidium iodide (blue). The outer plexiform layer (OPL) is located immediately beneath the ONL as a thin layer where nuclei are absent. Normal and 2-hour detached retinas, in the first and second columns, respectively, are labeled for p-LIMK (red); third column, a labeling control, anti-p-LIMK is omitted in 2-hour detached retina; fourth column, normal retina labeled for t-LIMK (red). Labeling of t-LIMK occurs throughout the normal undetached retina; p-LIMK signal is present but spotty in the normal retina and more diffuse, with an apparent increase, in 2-hour detached retina. Optical sections, 1 \( \mu m \). Scale bar: 50 \( \mu m \). \( n = 3 \) animals. 2 retinal explants per animal (retina from 1 eye was detached and cultured for 2 hours, retina from other eye was not detached and fixed immediately); 9 cryosections per group (3 cryosections per retinal explant), 2 or 3 images per cryosection.
To examine the role of the LIMK pathway in axonal retraction, we applied inhibitors to isolated rod photoreceptors after retinal dissociation and compared their effects on axonal retraction with untreated, control cells. Three inhibitors, Y27632, IPA-3, and BMS-5, were used. Y27632 and IPA-3 are ROCK and Pak inhibitors, respectively, both of which are immediate upstream regulators of LIMK but are themselves activated by different upstream components (Fig. 1). BMS-5 inhibits LIMK activity directly.

We examined cultures at 7 hours. As rod cell axons are relatively short and 80% of rod cells complete their retraction process within 24 hours after cell plating, 7 hours in culture allows for significant length reduction and yet is before total absorption of the axon into the cell body. In control cultures, treated only with DMSO, rod cell axons shortened noticeably after 7 hours in culture; their axon terminals and cell somas rounded up as well (Fig. 5A). Axon length was reduced 41%, from a mean of 9.3 to 5.5 μm (Fig. 5B).

All three inhibitors prevented axonal retraction in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 6A–C). The lowest doses used were based on either the reported IC50 in solution (IPA-3, 2.5 μM) or previous studies (Y27632, 15, 16; BMS-5, 32, 57). The highest dose of each inhibitor yielded 50% inhibition compared to the control group. All doses showed no cytotoxicity.

Treatment with Y27632 demonstrated a significant reduction in axonal retraction at the doses above 10 μM (Fig. 6A). These results are consistent with results from our previous study, which showed an increase in the number of cells with a remaining, extended axon, and therefore an inhibition of retraction, after 24 hours. IPA-3 showed a significant reduction of retraction at the doses above 0.7 μM (Fig. 6B). For BMS-5, inhibition was significant at the concentrations greater than 1 μM (Fig. 6C). These results suggest that inhibiting LIMK for up to 7 hours reduces axonal retraction regardless of whether the inhibition is direct or from ROCK- or Pak-associated pathways.

Finally, a combination of ROCK and Pak inhibition demonstrated an additive effect of the RhoA and Rac/Cdc42 pathways. To eliminate the possibility of saturating the inhibitory effect of either ROCK or Pak, we chose low doses of the inhibitors Y27632 and IPA-3 (Figs. 6A, 6B). Y27632 (10 μM) plus IPA-3 (1 μM) decreased axonal retraction to only 40% of the control group value (Fig. 5D). The prevention of retraction by the combined inhibitors was significantly greater than that for either drug at its highest dose alone. Thus both RhoA and Rac/Cdc42 pathways contribute to retraction.

**Figure 4.** Active LIMK is present in isolated salamander rod cells in culture. (A) Rod photoreceptors, which had lost their outer segments, double labeled for p-LIMK (red) and rod opsin (blue) and examined with confocal microscopy. Labeling occurs throughout the cell except in the nucleus, both during retraction, which occurs during day 1, and after growth of new processes, seen at 3 days. (a–c) Enlarged images show the presence of opsin in the cell membrane and p-LIMK in the cytosol and directly under the plasmalemma (arrowheads). (B) Control for p-LIMK labeling. Rod photoreceptor in 2-hour culture labeled with anti-opsin but without anti–p-LIMK, T, axon terminal; N, nucleus; E, ellipsoid, a collection of mitochondria, in the inner segment. Optical sections, 1 μm. Scale bars: 10 μm. n = 3 animals, 3 or 4 cultures per animal, 10 to 15 cells imaged per dish (~39 cells per group).

**Figure 5.** Retraction of rod photoreceptor axon terminals begins during the first 7 hours in culture. (A) Representative isolated rod photoreceptor in culture after 1 and 7 hours. Axon length was measured from the base of the cell body to the tip of the axon terminal, shown with red bars. N, nucleus; E, ellipsoid. Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Mean length of axons was reduced by approximately 40% over 7 hours. n = 3 animals, 6 cultures, 59 rod cells, ~30 cells per group; ***P < 0.001; Student’s t-test.

**Rod Photoreceptor Axonal Retraction in Isolated Cells Is Regulated Through the ROCK-LIMK and Pak-LIMK Pathways**

To examine the role of the LIMK pathway in axonal retraction, we applied inhibitors to isolated rod photoreceptors after retinal dissociation and compared their effects on axonal retraction with untreated, control cells. Three inhibitors, Y27632, IPA-3, and BMS-5, were used. Y27632 and IPA-3 are ROCK and Pak inhibitors, respectively, both of which are immediate upstream regulators of LIMK but are themselves activated by different upstream components (Fig. 1). BMS-5 inhibits LIMK activity directly. We examined cultures at 7 hours. As rod cell axons are relatively short and 80% of rod cells complete their retraction process within 24 hours after cell plating, 7 hours in culture allows for significant length reduction and yet is before total absorption of the axon into the cell body. In control cultures, treated only with DMSO, rod cell axons shortened noticeably after 7 hours in culture; their axon terminals and cell somas rounded up as well (Fig. 5A). Axon length was reduced 41%, from a mean of 9.3 to 5.5 μm (Fig. 5B).

All three inhibitors prevented axonal retraction in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 6A–C). The lowest doses used were based on either the reported IC50 in solution (IPA-3, 2.5 μM) or previous studies (Y27632, 15, 16; BMS-5, 32, 57). The highest dose of each inhibitor yielded 50% inhibition compared to the control group. All doses showed no cytotoxicity.

Treatment with Y27632 demonstrated a significant reduction in axonal retraction at the doses above 10 μM (Fig. 6A). These results are consistent with results from our previous study, which showed an increase in the number of cells with a remaining, extended axon, and therefore an inhibition of retraction, after 24 hours. IPA-3 showed a significant reduction of retraction at the doses above 0.7 μM (Fig. 6B). For BMS-5, inhibition was significant at the concentrations greater than 1 μM (Fig. 6C). These results suggest that inhibiting LIMK for up to 7 hours reduces axonal retraction regardless of whether the inhibition is direct or from ROCK- or Pak-associated pathways.

Finally, a combination of ROCK and Pak inhibition demonstrated an additive effect of the RhoA and Rac/Cdc42 pathways. To eliminate the possibility of saturating the inhibitory effect of either ROCK or Pak, we chose low doses of the inhibitors Y27632 and IPA-3 (Figs. 6A, 6B). Y27632 (10 μM) plus IPA-3 (1 μM) decreased axonal retraction to only 40% of the control group value (Fig. 5D). The prevention of retraction by the combined inhibitors was significantly greater than that for either drug at its highest dose alone. Thus both RhoA and Rac/Cdc42 pathways contribute to retraction.
The Effect of LIMK Inhibition on Rod Cell Axonal Retraction Is Not Increased by a Ca\(^{2+}\) Channel Blocker

Influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through L-type channels has been described as necessary for retraction in salamander rod cells\(^{18}\); its effect is likely due to activation of MLCK to promote actomyosin contraction (Fig. 1)\(^{16,59,60}\). Here we applied the channel blocker nicardipine (Nc) alone or in the presence of ROCK or LIMK inhibitors to examine how reduction in Ca\(^{2+}\) influx together with decreased LIMK or ROCK activity affects axonal retraction. We used 10 \(\mu\)M Nc to be consistent with previous studies\(^{16,18}\).

Y27632 (10 \(\mu\)M) plus Nc (10 \(\mu\)M) resulted in more inhibition of retraction than Y27632 (10 \(\mu\)M) alone (Fig. 7). When 30 \(\mu\)M Y27632 plus Nc (10 \(\mu\)M) was applied, inhibition increased and resulted in more inhibition of retraction than either Y27632 (30 \(\mu\)M) or Nc (10 \(\mu\)M) alone (Fig. 7). These data are consistent with our proposed pathways for regulation of photoreceptor axonal and neuritic plasticity (Fig. 1) in which ROCK activates multiple effectors, LIMK, MLC, and MLCP, whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling activates MLCK to promote axonal retraction. ROCK and Ca\(^{2+}\) together would reasonably be expected to increase actomyosin contraction by increasing the number of activated effectors.

We also examined the effect of BMS-5 (10 \(\mu\)M) plus Nc (10 \(\mu\)M) treatment. In contrast to ROCK inhibition, LIMK inhibition plus Ca\(^{2+}\) blockage did not result in any further effect (Fig. 7). This suggests a complex relationship between Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling and LIMK activity, which will be discussed below.

LIMK Inhibition Prevents Rod Cell Process Growth and the Effect Is Enhanced With Nicardipine Treatment

In vitro, neuritic sprouting by rod cells closely follows retraction. As previously described,\(^{48}\) filopodial processes are present by 24 hours of culture; some filopodia elongate and become thicker neuritic processes by 3 days (Fig. 4A). The growth is similar to rod cell sprouting seen in retinal disease and after retinal reattachment\(^{5,7,15,17,38,48,61}\). Here we assessed the effect of LIMK or Pak inhibition on process growth after 3 days in culture.

Growth was examined for each cell as a whole and for the basal (nuclear) and apical (ellipsoidal) poles separately. Photoreceptors are highly polarized cells. They have different...
Inhibition of LIMK Prevents Photoreceptor Axonal Retraction After Retinal Detachment

To examine whether the LIMK signaling pathway is active in rod photoreceptors in the intact retina after injury, we analyzed axonal retraction by rod photoreceptors after detachment of porcine retina. Porcine retina shares similarities with human retina in terms of anatomy, vasculature, and photoreceptor distribution. These features make this animal model useful for translational experiments.

After detachment, retinal explants were incubated with or without BMS-5 (10 μM). Since previous studies in our lab had shown significant structural change after 24-hour incubation compared to normal retina, here we examined the effect of LIMK inhibition in retina detached for 24 hours.

In normal, healthy retina, SV2 labeling forms a bright band in the OPL layer where synaptic connections between photoreceptors and horizontal and bipolar cells occur. When rod cell axons retract, SV2 signal shows up in the ONL region, where photoreceptor cell bodies reside. With confocal microscopy, we observed prominent SV2 signal in the ONL after 24 hours (Fig. 9A, left column). This is not ascribed to a defect in vesicle localization because previous studies, using opsin labeling, showed that the cell plasma membrane retreats along with synaptic protein. Thus prominent SV2 label in the ONL indicates retraction of synaptic terminals. BMS-5–treated retina had significantly less SV2 staining in the ONL (Fig. 9A, right column; Fig. 9B). This result demonstrated that inhibition of LIMK reduces axonal retraction of rod photoreceptor after injury in mammalian retina.

Treatment with BMS-5 also seemed to affect the general morphology of the retina. Culture of detached retina often promotes an increase in the width of the ONL, presumably the result of structural loosening. With LIMK inhibition, the width of the ONL was 10% less than that of the control group (39.3 ± 2.18 vs. 43.7 ± 1.79 μm; n = 3 animals). Although not statistically significant, this suggests closer cell–cell and cell–matrix contact. Furthermore, the OPL appeared to be more organized and linear and the SV2 label more intense and compact (Fig. 9A). This suggests that LIMK inhibition may also help retain retinal morphology after detachment. In conclusion, both in single cells and in mammalian retina, LIMK inhibition significantly reduced axonal retraction in response to injury.

Discussion

The LIMK family, which includes LIMK1 and LIMK2, is involved in a variety of physiological and pathologic activities through its regulation of actin, and possibly microtubule, cytoskeletal dynamics. For instance, LIMK has been reported to be important for regulating axon guidance of retinal ganglion cells and photoreceptors during development and in central nervous system (CNS) synaptic plasticity. In the case of retinal detachment, rod photoreceptors retrace their synaptic terminals back to the cell body immediately after injury and show neuritic sprouting at later stages in response to reattachment. The dramatic morphologic change of the axonal and presynaptic region suggests increased actin filament turnover and significant structural change in the actin cytoskeleton. Our previous studies demonstrated that RhoA-ROCK activity regulated synaptic plasticity in rod photoreceptors: Inhibition of ROCK blocked retraction of photoreceptor

**Figure 7.** Blockage of Ca$^{2+}$ influx increases the effect of ROCK inhibition on axonal retraction over 7 hours, but does not affect LIMK inhibition. 10 μM nicardipine (Nc), an L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channel blocker, reduced axonal retraction; 10 μM Nc plus Y27632 (Y27), a ROCK inhibitor, at 10 or 30 μM, had significantly more effect on reducing axonal retraction than Y27632 treatment alone. Ten μM Nc plus 10 μM BMS-5, a LIMK inhibitor, did not demonstrate an additional effect on inhibition significantly reduced axonal retraction; 10 μM Nc10 μM, Y27 30 μM, or (Y27 30 μM, Y27 30 μM + Na 10 μM, Na 10 μM), or (BMS-5 10 μM, BMS-5 10 μM + Na 10 μM, Na 10 μM).

Kinds of processes that emerge from their two ends, axon processes from the nuclear/basal pole and calycal processes (filopodial-like processes that normally surround the base of the outer segment) from the ellipsoidal/apical pole (Fig. 8A, left). In the control group, the average length of the longest process originating from any point on the cell’s circumference (designated the overall group), was 27 μm after 3 days in culture, whereas processes from the nuclear and ellipsoidal poles were 20 μm long on average (Figs. 8B, 8C). The overall average length was longer than either of the regional process lengths because the longest process from each cell does not consistently originate from either pole.

Treatment with IPA-3 (1 μM) reduced process growth in all three groups (Fig. 8B), the overall, the nuclear, and the ellipsoidal poles, with the basal processes significantly shorter than IPA-3 treatment than the apical processes. Thus, Pak activity, which is involved in retraction, continues to be involved when rod cells begin the later stage of neuritic growth. Treatment with BMS-5 (10 μM) also reduced overall growth (Fig. 8C); however, when examining the separate regions of the rod cell, it was clear that while basal process growth was reduced, there was no significant effect on processes from the apical pole (Fig. 8C).

These data suggest that basal processes are more sensitive to regulation by the LIMK pathway than apical processes. Effects on the basal processes are consistent with results shown in Figure 4A (1 and 3 days), which demonstrated that the localization of p-LIMK is enriched in the axon processes (arrowheads) and suggests that there is continuous activity of p-LIMK in the axonal region of rod photoreceptors during both axonal retraction and process outgrowth.

For growth, in contrast to the results on retraction, simultaneous application of a LIMK inhibitor and Nc further reduced rod cell outgrowth in both nuclear and ellipsoidal regions (Fig. 8C). Thus, LIMK and Ca$^{2+}$ inhibition demonstrated a different relationship after 3 days in culture during process outgrowth than after 7 hours in culture during axonal retraction.
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Figure 8. Growth of processes by rod cells is reduced with Pak or LIMK inhibition; blockage of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx furthers the effect of LIMK inhibition. (A) Left: Cartoon of regional designations for rod cell processes. In the intact retina, axonal processes grow from the basal/nuclear pole, whereas calycal processes that surround the outer segment grow from the apical/ellipsoidal pole. Right: Representative rod cells with rod opsin labeling from DMSO control, 10 \(\mu\)M BMS-5, and 10 \(\mu\)M Nc plus 10 \(\mu\)M BMS-5-treated cultures after 3 days. Cells in control, untreated cultures show the most growth. Scale bar: 10 \(\mu\)m. (B) Length of the longest process from three regions (overall, longest process regardless of region of origin; basal, from the cell surface close to the nucleus; apical, from the cell surface close to the ellipsoid) of each rod cell. Pak inhibition with 1 \(\mu\)M IPA-3 reduced process growth of rod cells after 3 days in culture from all regions, compared to control. In control cells, length of apical and basal processes is similar; in IPA-3-treated group, basal processes shortened significantly more than apical processes. \(n = 3\) animals, 12 cultures, 360 rod cells, \(\sim 180\) cells per group; *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.005\), ***\(P < 0.001\). (C) LIM kinase inhibition with 10 \(\mu\)M BMS-5 reduced process growth overall and from the basal but not the apical pole. Blockage of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx increased the effect of LIMK inhibition and reduced process growth from basal and apical regions. \(n = 5\) animals, 18 cultures, 540 rod cells, \(\sim 180\) cells per group; *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.01\), ***\(P < 0.001\); (B) Student’s t-test; (C) 1-way ANOVA.

The presence of LIMK activity in retina was demonstrated with Western blot and immunocytochemistry. With confocal microscopy we observed the localization of p-LIMK in rod axon terminals, precisely the area that undergoes dramatic morphologic change after retinal dissociation. Measuring the reduction in axon length allowed us to demonstrate the prevention of axonal retraction by inhibiting LIMK. Furthermore, inhibiting LIMK upstream regulators, ROCK and Pak, increased inhibition of axonal retraction, and the two pathways demonstrated an additive effect. The involvement of ROCK is not surprising, as we have previously demonstrated that inhibiting the Rhoa-ROCK pathway can block axonal retraction.\(^{15,16}\) ROCK has been reported to be responsible for actomyosin contractility as well as actin filament turnover (Fig. 1).\(^{19,20,35–37}\) Blocking its activity therefore presumably reduces both contraction and actin filament turnover. Pak has been reported to regulate formation of filopodia and lamellipodia via the Rac and Cdc42 pathways, respectively.\(^{19,20,35–37}\) The role of Rac and Cdc42 in promoting growth is achieved through actin filament nucleation by ARP2/3 and Pak activities.\(^{39–41}\) We speculate that the role of Pak in retraction may be to promote depolymerization of actin filaments through LIMK activity. Such depolymerization would be necessary to form new actin cytoskeleton in either filopodia or lamellipodia. Thus inhibiting Pak may result in less disassembly, and less turnover, of the actin cytoskeleton and hence reduced axonal retraction in our study. The enhanced inhibition of axonal retraction by combining ROCK and Pak is consistent with our hypothesized mechanism (Fig. 1) if we assume that neither drug, at the dosages used, is able to maximally inhibit LIMK. However, enhanced inhibition by combining ROCK and Pak inhibitors could conceivably occur if each is working along an independent pathway. Definitive evidence of the convergence of ROCK and Pak onto LIMK in rod cells remains to be demonstrated.

With longer times in vitro, the presynaptic remodeling of the rod photoreceptor moves from axonal retraction to the formation of neuritic processes. In the intact retina, sprouting may be as destructive as axonal retraction to vision because neuritic processes in retina grow beyond the OPL layer, where the normal synaptic connection between photoreceptors and horizontal or bipolar cells is located, and hence disrupt normal circuitry.\(^{68–70}\) Either LIMK or Pak inhibition resulted in less process growth from the axonal region, the basal/nuclear pole, of the rod cell. In contrast, ROCK inhibition in previous studies showed no effect on process growth.\(^{15}\)

Based on the above results, we propose that LIMK is a better therapeutic target than either ROCK or Pak for...
The dual therapeutic benefits of LIMK inhibition also revealed that the two seemingly opposite responses, axon retraction and neurite outgrowth, share a common mechanism through LIMK. Both require turnover of actin filaments. The direction of synaptic morphologic changes, to retract or to sprout, may depend on the balance of actin depolymerization and polymerization, the latter being regulated by Rac/Cdc42 pathways as stated above. 79–81 In our study, the contribution of Pak to both retraction and growth suggests that although process growth in isolated rod cells appears to be a late-stage development, the Rac/Cdc42 growth pathways may be activated early on. However, when and where actin filament nucleation and elongation begins still needs to be examined. While we demonstrate here that LIMK serves as a coordinator, more studies are required to define the temporal and spatial relationship between other potential players that contribute to actin filament turnover during presynaptic structural plasticity of the rod photoreceptor in response to injury.

Although our hypothesized mechanism focuses on regulation of actin dynamics by LIMK, the process of axonal retraction in rod photoreceptor involves another important cytoskeletal structure, microtubules. Microtubules support the axonal shaft, whereas actin filaments are enriched in axon terminals. When the axon terminal retracts, shortening of the axon occurs concurrently. This strongly suggests that microtubules depolymerize during retraction. LIMK inhibition may stabilize microtubules. There are two possible mechanisms, indirectly by reduced tension between actin filaments and microtubules 88–90 and directly by reduced LIMK-promoted microtubule depolymerization. 91–95 However, the stabilization of microtubules in axons of rod cells with inhibition of the LIMK pathway remains to be tested.

Another interesting player in the regulation of photoreceptor presynaptic remodeling is Ca²⁺. In our 7-hour experiments, combining ROCK inhibition and L-type Ca²⁺ channel blockage demonstrated significantly more effect than either treatment alone. A likely explanation involves the downregulation of actomyosin contractile force; Ca²⁺-calmodulin promotes actomyosin contraction through activating MLCK, and ROCK achieves the same effect through phosphorylating MLCK and MLCP.

Combining LIMK inhibition with Ca²⁺ channel blockage, however, had no increased effect on retraction. As our proposed mechanism indicates (Fig. 1), inhibiting LIMK and Ca²⁺ influx does not affect the signaling pathway from ROCK to MLCK or MLCP, which will upregulate actomyosin contraction. Thus, LIMK inhibition plus blockage of Ca²⁺ influx does not prevent axonal retraction due to active actomyosin contraction. ROCK inhibition plus blockage of Ca²⁺ influx, on the other hand, affects all immediate components needed for actomyosin contraction as well as actin filament turnover. In addition, the relationship between the LIMK-cofilin pathway and Ca²⁺ is not well understood and has been reported to vary under different circumstances in neurons. 96–97

In contrast to the 7-hour retraction data, at 3 days in culture, a period when process outgrowth occurs, combined LIMK inhibition and Ca²⁺ influx blockage showed significantly further inhibition than LIMK inhibition alone. As actomyosin contraction is unlikely to contribute to process growth directly, one possible role of Ca²⁺ signaling in neuritic growth is that elevated intracellular Ca²⁺ upregulates LIMK activity through a Ca²⁺-calmodulin-CaMKIV-LIMK signaling pathway. 97 Additionally, slingshot (SSH), the major phosphatase for cofilin, has been reported to be under regulation by Ca²⁺ signaling. 98,99 Thus, it is also possible that under combined treatments, the phosphatase (SSH) activity increased due to Ca²⁺ blockage by NC while the kinase (LIMK) activity was inhibited directly by...
BMS-5. More research is required to understand the role of Ca³⁺, especially its microdomain specificity, and spatial and temporal relationships with LIMK-cofilin, SSH-cofilin, and the MLC pathway.

Previous studies have demonstrated LIMK pathway activity during axon guidance in developing CNS. Our study reports a role for LIMK in adult neurons as well, during structural remodeling of rod cell axon terminals after mechanical injury. Our findings further suggest that there may be a therapeutic benefit in inhibiting LIMK after retinal detachment. By preventing both axonal retraction and neuritic sprouting, the retinal circuitry may be stabilized.
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