Relationship of Retinal Morphology and Retinal Sensitivity in the Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Using Aflibercept
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PURPOSE. To relate the functional response to distinct morphological features of the retina during aflibercept treatment for neovascular AMD (nAMD).

METHODS. A total of 726 retinal locations in 22 consecutive eyes presenting with treatment-naive nAMD underwent a standardized examination with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) and topographic microperimetry (MP) at baseline, after 3 and 12 months of continuous intravitreal aflibercept therapy. The retinal sensitivity at each stimulus location was registered to the corresponding location on SD-OCT morphology. Subsequently, the micropimetric responses were evaluated with respect to the following underlying SD-OCT features: neovascular complex (NVC), subretinal fluid (SRF), intraretinal fluid (IRF), intraretinal cystoid space (IRC), serous pigment epithelium detachment (sPED), and fibrovascular pigment epithelium detachment (fPED).

RESULTS. Baseline sensitivity was reduced to mean values of 1.8 dB in NVC, 2.2 dB in IRC, 2.8 dB in IRF, 2.6 dB in sPED, 3.6 dB in SRF, and 4.6 dB in fPED. Improvements in retinal sensitivity were most pronounced during the initial 3-month interval, when significant recovery was documented for SRF and sPED with +4.0/5.5 dB (P < 0.0001) and to a lesser extent for IRF, IRC, IPED, with +1.1, 1.7, 2.3 dB, respectively. From month 3 to 12, the additional benefit ranged from 0.3 to 1.0 dB (P > 0.05 for each category).

CONCLUSIONS. Significant functional benefits following intravitreal aflibercept treatment could be detected over all defined morphological pathologies. The level of improvement varied dependent on the associated feature with the best prognosis for visual improvement in SRF and sPED and least with intraretinal fluid and particularly intraretinal cysts.
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Recently, the progression of neovascular AMD, one of the most frequent macular disease entities, to a severe sight-threatening manifestation can successfully be prevented by modern pharmacological interventions. In particular, the introduction of intravitreal anti-VEGF agents greatly improved the visual prognosis for patients with neovascular AMD.1,2 The proportion of patients experiencing substantial visual loss (>15 letters) was reduced from 47.1% to 10%.1 Excellent efficacy of monthly ranibizumab treatment (Lucentis; Genentech, South San Francisco, CA, USA), an affinity-matured anti-VEGF antibody fragment that binds all VEGF isoforms, was demonstrated in the MARINA3 and ANCHOR4 trials and supported by the subsequent HARBOR study.5 Bevacizumab (Avastin; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) is the parent molecule of ranibizumab and is approved for the treatment of several systemic malignancies. Its intravitreal use has become widely adopted as an off-label, cost-effective alternative to ranibizumab, with recent randomized controlled data demonstrating comparable efficacy in treating neovascular AMD, although at the burden of monthly retreatments to achieve equivalent visual outcomes.6,7

The investigation of efficacy and safety in wet AMD (VIEW 1 and 2 studies), the largest therapeutic trial in neovascular AMD, evaluating intravitreal aflibercept demonstrated that a dose of 2 mg of aflibercept administered monthly or every 8 weeks was noninferior to 0.5 mg of ranibizumab dosed monthly, both with a loading dose of three monthly injections.8,9 Intravitreal aflibercept is a fusion protein of key domains from human VEGF receptors 1 and 2 with the constant (Fc) region of human IgG. Its binding affinity was suggested to be substantially greater than that of bevacizumab or ranibizumab.10

Clinically, the therapeutic response to intravitreal aflibercept is rapid, significant, and maintained both at the functional and morphological level.8,9 While the central best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) improves, central retinal thickness (CRT) decreases.

However, cumulative evidence suggests that the correlation between BCVA and CRT is poor.11 In contrast to quantitative overall thickness measurements, qualitative morphologic features appear to present a more solid correlation with functional prognosis.12,13 Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.
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Methods

Patients

The present study was conducted at the Department of Ophthalmology of the Medical University of Vienna. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. Every patient gave written informed consent prior to study inclusion. Twenty-two consecutive patients with untreated active subfoveal choroidal neovascularization (CNV, or juxtapfoveal lesions with leakage affecting the fovea) secondary to AMD were included.

Patients had to be 50 years of age or older with BCVA between 25 and 73 Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) letters (20/320–20/40 Snellen equivalent) and the fundus-related microperimetry (MP1; Nidek, Gamagory, Japan) enables the precise evaluation of treatment success as well as the detection of prognostic factors resulting from characteristic SD-OCT findings.

In this study, a standardized quantitative relationship between the functional recovery in decibel and the descriptive morphologic change was provided characterizing the therapeutic response of neovascular AMD to intravitreal aflibercept treatment.

Data Analysis and Correlation

VirtualDub (Ver.1.8.6; available in the public domain at www.virtualdub.org) software and Paint.NET (Ver.3.36; available in the public domain at www.getpaint.net) software were used to manually superimpose MP-1 color fundus photographic (FP) images, including the sensitivity map of each eye on corresponding infrared images of the SD-OCT. The corresponding infrared-OCT image of SD-OCT was generated by VirtualDub software and imported to Paint.NET software. Subsequently, the fundus photograph with the sensitivity map was cut and matched to the infrared picture according to retinal landmarks by adapting the opacity mode. Finally, the OCT scan shown by the green arrow at locations of the light presentation was selected and matched with the adapted fundus sensitivity map. Subsequently, Image J software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to transfer each stimulus location in the sensitivity map to the corresponding location of the B-scan in SD-OCT true to scale. The micropimetry spot size measured 132 µm assuming a diameter of 26 arc minutes (or 0.45°) for a Goldmann III stimulus.

The majority of the cases showed very good agreement in correct alignment of image superimposition and light stimulus transfer. Some remaining concerns were possible inaccuracies of the devices themselves: The Spectralis was observed to sometimes miss the targeted scan line, the MP1 was observed to show a certain degree in test-retest variability of point wise sensitivity (PWS). Fundusphoto adaption to the size of the infrared beam of the SD-OCT also may have induced inaccuracies. For that reason, the appearance of the retinal vessels in both modalities before and after superimposition was used for ensuring correct alignment and measurement. After transferring each stimulus location of the FP to the SD-OCT B-scan, the retinal morphology at each test point was evaluated using the following tags: neovascular complex (NVC), fibrovascular pigment epithelium detachment (PED), serous pigment epithelium detachment (SPEd), subretinal fluid (SRF), intraretinal fluid (IRF), and intraretinal cystoid spaces (IRC). The Table summarizes the grading definitions of the morphologic entities imaged by SD-OCT. Evaluation took into account the horizontal volume scan involving 49 scans with 25 frames, in 5 of 22 eyes only 37 scans were obtained due to fixation problems of the patients. Mean image quality was 24.5 dB (range, 15–34 dB). Separation between B-scans was 0.41°, independently if 49 or 37 scans were obtained. The scan width used was 6000 µm. The vertical dimension of the A-scan was 1556 per B-scan. The Micro Perimeter 1 (MP1; Nidek) was used to perform fundus-monitored microperimetry. Microperimeter settings were based on a 4-2-1 staircase strategy with Goldmann III-size stimuli presented for 200 ms. (stimulus intensity range, 0–20 dB). A Cartesian grid with 33 stimulus locations (a standardized quadratic grid of 5 × 5 and eight peripheral points) covering the central area of 12° × 12° was selected, while a 5° ring was used as the fixation target. The period of adaptation to background luminance was 10 minutes while the mean exam duration was 9 minutes and 31 seconds (range, 7 minutes 41 seconds to 12 minutes 12 seconds). Patient’s fixation was relatively unstable with a mean value of 41.5% within 2° and 76.2% within 4° at baseline. The mean bivariate contour ellipse area (BCEA) value encompassing 68% of fixation points was 10.28 minarc² interquartile range (IQR) 0.95–55.18 minarc².

Mean amount of false positive was 0.58 of 10 reliability test stimuli of the blind spot (range, 0–2). Background luminance was set at 1.27 cd/m².

Determination of Retinal Morphology and Sensitivity

Optical coherence tomography images were obtained using a spectral-domain imaging device (Spectralis HRA + OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The infrared-OCT tool of the Spectralis OCT was used to obtain a
account that these findings could appear as individual and/or combined manifestations (e.g., NVC+IRCS). Accordingly, the integrity of the photoreceptor inner and outer segment layer (IS/OS), the RPE, and the external limiting membrane (ELM) were evaluated. The IS/OS was graded as complete if the hyperreflective line (interface) between the IS/OS, or termed as inner segment ellipsoid band (ISe band) was present and as altered if the ISe band was interrupted for greater than 50%. The RPE layer was graded as complete if the hyperreflective band was continuous and as altered if the RPE was disrupted and only partly visible. The ELM was graded as intact if present or altered if absent.

Optical coherence tomography readings were performed by FS and coread by MEK, certified by the Vienna Reading Center (VRG). The mean intergrader agreement was 89.5% (range, 84.5% for IRF and 94.5% for SRF). For each morphologic alteration the corresponding retinal sensitivity results were identified at baseline, month 3, and 12. The use of the follow-up mode of the SD-OCT device and the micrometer device facilitated reliable results. Out of the 726 locations transferred 19 testing points constituted missing values and were therefore not included in the statistical analyses. Since we do not assume any relationship between missingness and the actual value of the observation ("missing at random") we believe that simply omitting these items did not bias our results.

**Statistical Analysis**

Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) models were performed to evaluate the treatment effect on retinal sensitivity levels in the course of 1 year (measured at baseline, month 3, and 12). Additionally, the random block factor patient was included in the ANOVA models. Separate ANOVA models were performed for the different SD-OCT findings, considering only locations with the specific feature at baseline. In a first step, locations with the SD-OCT finding with and without combined manifestations were analyzed, whereas in a second step only locations with individual manifestations of the particular finding were used for analyses. Results of the ANOVA models are presented by the estimated means (± standard errors). Changes due to therapy at 3 and 12 months are presented by the estimated mean differences (± standard error) and P values are corrected for multiple pairwise comparisons by the Tukey-Kramer method.

### RESULTS

A total of 726 individual locations detecting focal retinal sensitivity in 22 eyes of 22 patients with neovascular AMD were evaluated at baseline and at months 3 and 12 during a fixed regimen of intravitreal aflibercept injections and correlated with the defined morphological entity on corresponding SD-OCT images. In general, improvement of retinal sensitivity was found in the majority of retinal sites with morphologic alterations under aflibercept treatment. Functional recovery was most pronounced from baseline to month 3 and less intensive during follow-up between month 3 and 12. Mean BCVA behaved in a similar pattern and increased from 51.6 ± 14.9 letters at baseline to 60.4 ± 13.1 letters at month 3 (P = 0.0048) and remained stable at 60.2 ± 14.9 letters (P = 0.975) at month 12 (the second P value refers to the BCVA change between month 3 and 12).

In general, the degree of functional loss was dependent on the morphological lesion type. The improvement of retinal sensitivity under therapeutic recovery was also strongly influenced by the type of morphologic alteration.

### Retinal Recovery at Sites of Neovascular Activity

Loci of NVC (with and without additional findings) improved significantly from a mean retinal sensitivity value of 1.8 ± 0.6 dB at baseline to 4.4 ± 0.7 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and to 5.4 ± 0.7 dB (P = 0.0270) at month 12 (Fig. 1).

The first P value of each phrase refers to the sensitivity change between baseline and month 3, the second P value refers to the sensitivity change between month 3 and month 12.

Consistently, with a NVC as an individual manifestation a significant increase of mean retinal sensitivity from 2.0 ± 0.8 dB at baseline to 4.8 ± 0.9 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and to a lesser extent 5.6 ± 1.0 dB (P = 0.3878) at month 12 was observed (Fig. 2). Sites of a NVC with additional IRC, however, showed only a limited increase of retinal sensitivity from a mean of 1.4 ± 0.6 dB at baseline to 2.4 ± 0.8 dB (P = 0.720) at month 3 followed by a stabilization at 1.9 ± 1.0 dB (P = 0.880) at month 12 (Figs. 3, 4).

Loci with SRF (with and without additional findings) improved significantly from a mean sensitivity value of 3.6 ± 1.0 dB at baseline to 7.5 ± 1.0 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and to 8.5 ± 0.9 dB (P = 0.1873) at month 12. In SRF as an individual manifestation a significant increase of mean retinal sensitivity from 3.7 ± 1.0 dB at baseline to 7.9 ± 1.1 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and to a lesser extent 8.9 ± 1.1 dB (P = 0.2911) at month 12 was observed (Fig. 2).

Loci with IRF (with and without additional findings) improved significantly from 2.8 ± 0.8 dB at baseline to 5.2 ± 0.9 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and remained stable at 5.9 ± 0.8 dB (P = 0.5912) at month 12. Intraretinal fluid as individual manifestation was associated with a mean retinal sensitivity value of 6.6 ± 1.4 dB at baseline and improved to 8.9 ± 0.9 dB (P = 0.2524) at month 3 decreasing to 6.6 ± 1.3 dB (P = 0.516) at month 12. Intraretinal fluid with an additional NVC was associated with a mean retinal sensitivity value of 0.8 ± 1.4 dB at baseline and remained stable at 0.5 ± 1.1 dB (P = 0.9177) at month 3 and improved to 0.5 ± 1.5 dB (P = 0.0005) at month 12. Loci of IRC (with and without additional findings) improved significantly from a mean retinal sensitivity value of 2.2 ± 0.8 dB at baseline to 4.2 ± 0.9 dB (P < 0.0001) at month 3 and remained stable at 4.3 ± 0.9 dB (P = 0.4149) at month 12.
2.2 ± 0.6 dB at baseline to 3.9 ± 0.7 dB ($P = 0.0009$) at month 3 and to 4.2 ± 0.7 dB at month 12 ($P = 0.7855$).

Sites with a sPED (with and without additional findings) improved significantly from a mean retinal sensitivity of 2.6 ± 1.4 dB at baseline to 8.2 ± 1.6 dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 3 and to 9.1 ± 1.6 dB ($P = 0.5540$) at month 12, whereas loci of a iPED (with and without additional findings) exhibited a flatter curve of recovery from 4.6 ± 1.1 dB at baseline to 6.9 ± 1.0 dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 3 and remained stable at 7.9 ± 1.1 dB ($P = 0.1655$) at month 12.

Loci with sPED and iPED as individual manifestation showed similar results as in combination with additional findings. Serous pigment epithelial detachment improved significantly from a mean retinal sensitivity of 2.7 ± 1.8 dB at baseline to 7.3 ± 2.0 dB ($P = 0.0008$) at month 3 and to 9.3 ± 2.0 dB ($P = 0.1666$) at month 12, whereas sites with a iPED as individual manifestation consistently demonstrated a flatter curve of improvement from 6.7 ± 1.4 dB at baseline to 8.3 ± 1.4 dB ($P = 0.0447$) at month 3 and to 9.3 ± 1.6 dB ($P = 0.5635$) at month 12 (Fig. 5).

**Neurosensory Layers and Macular Sensitivity Response (Fig. 6)**

Loci of RPE layer alteration improved significantly from a mean retinal sensitivity value of 1.3 ± 0.5 dB at baseline to 3.5 ± 0.6 dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 3 and to 3.8 ± 0.7 dB ($P = 0.7907$) at month 12.
Retinal areas initially presenting an alteration of the IS/OS layer showed an increase in sensitivity from $3.2 \pm 0.7$ dB at baseline to $5.8 \pm 0.7$ dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 3 and to $7.5 \pm 0.7$ dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 12.

At sites of ELM layer alteration a significant increase of mean retinal sensitivity of $4.0 \pm 0.8$ dB at baseline to $6.4 \pm 0.8$ dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 3 and to $7.7 \pm 0.8$ dB ($P < 0.0001$) at month 12 was documented.

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of the present study was the evaluation of the functional retinal response to intravitreal aflibercept in neovascular AMD related to individual morphological characteristics. Advanced modalities were used to assess the retinal structure-function relationship on the basis of a topographic correlation using image processing software. This procedure allowed a point-to-point analysis of morphological data and the corresponding functional impact of microstructural alterations and has already been used by our study group to evaluate the therapeutic response with the preexisting gold standard ranibizumab treatment. Recently, aflibercept has been approved as an alternative substance in neovascular AMD therapy, ranibizumab treatment. Recently, aflibercept has been formulated as a drug with superior affinity and durability, while functional results were similar to ranibizumab, and ophthalmologists have begun to consider aflibercept as an alternative treatment option for neovascular AMD.

In the present study, aflibercept treatment shows the greatest functional benefit at sites with serous PED or SRF (i.e., fluid underneath the neurosensory layers) independent if occurring with other findings or as individual manifestation (Figs. 1–3). Moreover, aflibercept treatment showed a marked increase in macular sensitivity at loci of NVC and neovascular activity, with restoration of a primarily altered RPE layer, compromised IS/OS junction or an initially indiscernible ELM line (Fig. 5). Importantly, in associated intraretinal cystic changes overlying the NVC lesion less functional improvement was observed. Mostly, retinal edema resolved and a subretinal fibrotic scar developed. Consistently, loci of an iPED as individual manifestation are associated with a limited increase in retinal sensitivity as well. Loci of IRF or IRC both as individual manifestation or combined generally demonstrate the poorest recovery of retinal sensitivity and may even demonstrate a continuous decrease in retinal function from month 3 to 12. For combined manifestations, again, a condition of NVC+IRC is associated with the lowest increase in retinal sensitivity and exhibits a progressive decrease from month 3 to 12 (Fig. 4). All other features show a slight increase in retinal sensitivity between month 3 and 12, which is, however much less robust than the initial recovery of function generally noted during the initial 3 months of the loading regimen. In terms of functional recovery assessed by visual acuity testing we observed a similar trend showing most pronounced increase in BCVA within the initial 3 months of monthly therapy. This effect is accompanied by resolution of fluid and a decrease in PED height.

Several investigators have analyzed the impact of morphologic features on retinal function. Shin et al. found that restoration of foveal photoreceptor integrity and decreased CNV thickness were closely associated with visual improvement after treatment of neovascular AMD. However, Mathew et al. concluded that none of the morphologic features at baseline could predict the therapeutic change in visual acuity by 12 months. Patient numbers were small and because only the central foveal BCVA was assessed, no correlation between retinal function and morphology could be found. In our study, a more solid approach was selected, measuring retinal function at a large number of retinal locations with the entire spectrum of well-defined morphologic features, providing large data sets and numbers of effective correlations. Clearly, microperimetric assessment is a more reliable way to provide structure-function relationships. Comparative testing of different morphologic sites in one eye excludes the psychophysical errors, which occur with interindividual functional testing.

Besides, the fundus monitoring feature of the microperimeter is especially useful in individuals with macular disease, who may have poor or unsteady fixation. Nevertheless, we would like to acknowledge that users should be aware of possible floor, ceiling, and learning effects in the microperimetry results, which could mask changes between visits. As some items show a non normal distribution especially at baseline with a lot of 0-dB values, sensitivity analyses were performed using rank-transformed values instead of the actual measured sensitivity levels to check the reliability.

**Figure 3.** Initial SD-OCT findings at baseline (as combined manifestations) and mean retinal sensitivity with error bars indicating one SD in decibels at BL, month 3, and month 12 under aflibercept treatment. The absolute number of test points reflects the occurrence of the respective finding. The $P$ value at the 3 month bar refers to the sensitivity change between baseline and month 3, the $P$ value at the 12 month bar refers to the sensitivity change between month 3 and 12.
of our results. Background adaption of only 10 minutes can furthermore lead to an underestimation of the results especially in people with photoreceptor damage. The microperimetry results were examined in terms of absolute decibel values and no account for the potential age-related decline in sensitivity was made. As 7 of 22 eyes were pseudophakic, the mean absolute values do not account for the relative increase in sensitivity in pseudophakes compared with their age-matched counterparts.

The Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials (CATT) study provided a large patient sample. However, only BCVA values were used to determine retinal function and morphologic determination was based on conventional stratus OCT with only six radial scans and no topographic raster pattern. In CATT, larger CNV area, greater total foveal thickness and RPE elevation were independently associated with less improvement at 1 year.25

Only SD-OCT providing dense pattern raster scanning allows for a distinct identification of the morphological microstructure of the retina, as used in our studies. Intraretinal cysts were identified in 43.5% and SRF was identified in 60.7% of visits by OCT, TD-OCT radial scanning demonstrated a significantly lower rate of detection with 71.8% when compared with Spectralis SD raster scanning with 92.0%.26 The results of this study are further supported by a previous finding in which macular function, as determined by focal macular ERGs, was improved in response to three consecutive bevacizumab injections, which is consistent with our findings during the loading interval.27 Our group has quantified the therapeutic response of intraretinal, subretinal and subpigment epithelial components in exudative AMD during anti-VEGF
therapy in an earlier study. Subretinal fluid was found to demonstrate greater positive sensitivity responses to therapeutic effects. The current analysis also indicates a superior functional outcome, if fluid is located underneath the retina and RPE.

A “protective” effect of subretinal fluid has recently been identified in several structure-function correlations in AMD and was presented at scientific meetings (Simader C, et al. IOVS 2014;55:ARVO E-Abstract 868), while other features are associated with a negative prognosis such as intraretinal cysts or persistent PED.

A study of Pelosini et al. highlighted the negative impact of intraretinal cystic changes to predict visual acuity in macular edema. A linear relationship between the amount of intraretinal tissue between the plexiform layers and BCVA was demonstrated. It is likely that cystic changes in the retina may represent chronic degenerations associated with loss in function and absence of regeneration rather than exudative fluid pooling. The “morphofunctional” evaluation of ranibizumab in neovascular AMD showed an identical therapeutic response of the corresponding OCT findings. The highest benefit was observed at loci of initial sPED, SRF, and NVC without IRC, whereas the lowest benefit was observed at loci of initial iPED, or NVC with cystic changes. The VIEW trials involving more than 2400 patients showed that intravitreal aflibercept dosed monthly (2q4 or 0.5q4) or every 2 months (2q8) after three initial monthly doses resulted in similar visual and anatomic outcomes as ranibizumab dosed monthly, as well
as similar safety and tolerability. Furthermore all intravitreal aflibercept regimens were as effective as ranibizumab in increasing visual acuity and reducing retinal thickness and CNV size in the second year receiving mandatory quarterly dosing with intervening as-needed injections (capped PRN). As the visual acuity results of these trials did not show statistically significant difference between the different dosage regimens we suppose equal significance of retinal sensitivity results and disclaimed a subgroup analyses. Based on the small number of cases of our sample, results could be distorted especially in rare findings.

The current study could show similar efficacy of aflibercept and ranibizumab for their therapeutical response based on distinct OCT features. However, there seems to be a trend toward a superior response for aflibercept in features associated with PED, an alteration with deep subretinal location. Another study suggests that aflibercept may reduce the serous component of the PED, but the mature fibrocellular component may be resistant to treatment.

The option of a target-orientated application of anti-VEGF agents is still a challenge. Neovascular AMD often progresses to a chronic refractory disease despite multiple injections. To counteract these phenomena switching to a higher-dosed treatment and conversion to another drug was discussed in several studies. The Super-Dose Anti-VEGF (SAVE) study postulates that intravitreal injections of 2.0 mg ranibizumab leads to statistically significant VA gains and anatomic improvement in patients with persistent intraretinal, subretinal, or subretinal pigment epithelial fluid during a previous regimen of chronic monthly 0.5 mg ranibizumab injections. Another study states that conversion to aflibercept in eyes with refractory or recurrent neovascular AMD treated with multiple ranibizumab and/or bevacizumab injection results in maintenance of vision and improved anatomic outcomes, while allowing for slightly increased injection intervals. It remains to be proven that the long-term changes will respond in a functionally favorable way to pharmacologic intervention. Nevertheless, identification of the morphologic features and their functional value may help to decide upon the prognostic benefit of enhanced or switched regimens.

Concluding our observations we have shown that aflibercept has a beneficial effect on the anatomical recovery of the RPE layer, also the IS/OS line and the ELM. In general, evaluation of retinal sensitivity determined by microperimetric testing in distinct morphologic features assessed by raster SD-OCT imaging was successfully used to establish a topographic structure-function relationship in aflibercept treatment of neovascular AMD. A significant improvement of focal retinal function was documented in the majority of retinal sites and for the majority of morphologic features in a lesion specific degree. This improvement was most pronounced during the early therapeutic interval of 3 months, while the subsequent recovery was usually not significant. The level of functional improvement strongly depended on the morphologic entities with more benefit with subretinal and sub-RPE fluid, while the functional prognosis with intraretinal fluid and cysts was limited.

The identification of morphologic biomarkers to guide patient management is of utmost importance to reduce therapeutic complications, that is, geographic atrophy increasingly seen with continuous intravitreal VEGF inhibition and provide a practical and socioeconomically sound treatment strategy in large populations. Clear answers to this question will be provided by subgroup analysis of large randomized trials (VIEW, HARBOUR) providing adequate OCT follow-up that are underway.
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