Central corneal thickness (CCT) is a continuously distributed quantitative trait. As a sum of the thickness of the three corneal tissue layers (the epithelium, stroma, and endothelium), CCT remains relatively stable over time within individuals, but varies widely between individuals and ethnicities. Extreme variations in CCT are often associated with rare connective tissue disorders such as brittle cornea syndrome and osteogenesis imperfecta, whereas modest differences are associated with relatively common diseases such as keratoconus and risk of primary open-angle glaucoma. Although CCT is highly heritable, known genetic variations explain only a fraction of the phenotypic variability. Our findings demonstrate a quantitative multigenic pattern of CCT inheritance in mice and identify three previously unrecognized CCT-influencing loci: Cctq4, Cctq5, and Cctq6. This is the first demonstration that distinct layers of the cornea are under differential genetic control and highlights the need to refine the design of future genome-wide association studies of CCT.
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One means of identifying genes that quantitatively influence CCT is phenotype-driven mouse genetics. The mouse and human cornea are very similar in structure and function, and are therefore likely to be influenced by similar genetic pathways. Based on the demonstration that corneal thickness is continuously distributed among inbred strains of mice, we have initiated approaches to map quantitative trait loci (QTL) that influence CCT. Here we report results from crosses between two inbred strains of mice. These identify several previously unknown CCT-influencing QTL, and also demonstrate that distinct genetic pathways regulate the thicknesses of the corneal epithelium and stroma.

METHODS

Experimental Animals

BXD24/TyJ-Cep290rd16/J (abbreviated as BXD24b throughout) and CAST/EiJ (abbreviated as CAST throughout) mice were subjected to quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis.
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Bioinformatics Analysis

The 95% Bayes credible intervals for Cctq4-6 were calculated using R/qtl. The protein-coding genes and noncoding RNA genes within the Bayes credible intervals for Cctq4-6 were identified using the Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) Genes & Markers Query (http://www.informatics.jax.org/marker [in the public domain]). The interrogated CM positions for the genes of interest spanned the following intervals: for Cctq4, Chr 3
from 28 to 48 cM; for Cctq5, Chr 11 from 43.6 to 58.6 cM; for Cctq6, Chr 14 from 5 to 37.5 cM. The genome base-position coordinates provided in the Supplementary Tables are from assembly GRCh38/mm10. The resulting gene lists were then filtered such that genes were included as candidates underlying the QT intervals only if they (1) contained one or more DNA base pair changes that affect the encoded protein and (2) were expressed in mouse corneal tissue (see Supplementary Tables S1–S3).

To identify genes with potentially important DNA base pair changes within the Bayes credible intervals, the chromosomal regions used for the MGI query (converted to Mb using GRCh38/mm10 assembly coordinates) were examined using the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute’s Mouse Genomes Project SNP and Indel Query tool (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/sanger/Mouse_SnpViewer/rel-1410 [in the public domain]).57,58 The SNP/Indel types selected for analysis were as follows: coding sequence variants, frame-shift variants, in-frame deletions, in-frame insertions, initiator codon variants, missense variants, regulatory region ablations, regulatory region amplifications, splice acceptor variants, splice donor variants, stop-gain variants, stop-loss variants, transcription factor binding site (TFBS) ablation, and TFBS amplification. The strains selected for analysis were CAST/EiJ and DBA/2J (C57BL6/J is the reference strain). The results were exported as a spreadsheet and sorted by the Snp/Indel consequence (Csq).

To further prioritize genes of interest within each of the Bayes credible intervals of Cctq4–6, we determined which are expressed in corneal tissue using our previously published microarray data.1 In that study, RNA had been isolated from central corneal tissue derived from C57BL/6J, C57BLKS/J, and SJL/J mice. Genes were considered to be expressed in the cornea if their log_{2} expression values were greater than 5.0 in at least one of the strains analyzed. The overlap of genes with at least one protein-altering DNA base pair change and with corneal expression was compiled into a single spreadsheet each for Cctq4, Cctq5, and Cctq6 (Supplementary Tables S1, S2, and S3, respectively).

**RESULTS**

**Phenotypes of Parental Strains, N2 Mice, and F2 Mice**

The CAST and BXD24b parental mouse strains are genetically distinct from one another59 and have overly healthy corneas that differ significantly in thickness. We found that CAST mice have a CCT of 91.8 ± 6.2 µm, whereas BXD24b have a CCT of 99.9 ± 3.2 µm (six mice each group; P = 0.02). Although the phenotypes of these inbred strains are next to one another on the relative spectrum of CCT in mice,59 they are significantly different from each other. The phenotypic distributions of both the backcross (N2) and intercross (F2) mice followed a broad bell-shaped curve, suggesting the presence of many genes causing the difference in phenotype (Supplementary Fig. S1). A Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit test indicated that the data did not differ statistically from a normal distribution and therefore did not need to be transformed (P = 0.89 and P = 0.51, N2 and F2, respectively). Central cornea thickness of the N2 progeny ranged from 83.5 to 121.5 µm (a difference of 38 µm; n = 82 mice; Supplementary Fig. S1A), and the mean was 102.4 ± 7.1 µm; this is thicker than the CCT of the parental strains. In the F2 progeny, the phenotypic distribution was similar to that in the N2 progeny (range, 82–121.5 µm; n = 99 mice), and the mean CCT was also greater than that in either of the parental strains (102.4 ± 8.5 µm; Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Combining information from multiple mouse crosses has proven to be an effective means of increasing the ability to detect and resolve QTL.54,56,58 We employed this methodology using our datasets. The combined N2 and F2 dataset of total corneal thickness followed a normal distribution (goodness-of-fit, P = 0.55; Fig. 2A). The N2 and F2 crosses alone (Supplementary Fig. S1) differed statistically from a normal distribution and therefore did not need to be transformed (P = 0.02). Although the distribution of phenotypes of these inbred strains are next to one another on the relative spectrum of CCT in mice,59 they are significantly different from each other. The phenotypic distributions of both the backcross (N2) and intercross (F2) mice followed a broad bell-shaped curve, suggesting the presence of many genes causing the difference in phenotype (Supplementary Fig. S1). A Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit test indicated that the data did not differ statistically from a normal distribution and therefore did not need to be transformed (P = 0.89 and P = 0.51, N2 and F2, respectively). Central cornea thickness of the N2 progeny ranged from 83.5 to 121.5 µm (a difference of 38 µm; n = 82 mice; Supplementary Fig. S1A), and the mean was 102.4 ± 7.1 µm; this is thicker than the CCT of the parental strains. In the F2 progeny, the phenotypic distribution was similar to that in the N2 progeny (range, 82–121.5 µm; n = 99 mice), and the mean CCT was also greater than that in either of the parental strains (102.4 ± 8.5 µm; Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Our CCT measurement data were generated using OCT. A benefit of this approach is that it produces an image of the corneal cross section in which the epithelial and stromal layers are visually distinct. Thus it is possible not only to measure total corneal thickness, but also to independently measure the epithelial and stromal thicknesses. The phenotypic distribution of both of these variables in the combined N2 + F2 dataset resembled that of total CCT in that it followed a normal distribution (goodness-of-fit, P = 0.30 and 0.16 for epithelium and stroma, respectively; Figs. 2B, 2C). The CAST and BXD24b parental strains mice had similar epithelial thicknesses (29.3 ± 2.9 vs. 29.7 ± 2.0 µm, respectively; P = 0.8), but significantly different stromal thicknesses (62.3 ± 7.9 vs. 70.1 ± 3.1 µm, respectively; P < 0.05). Although epithelial thickness is the same for the two parental strains, there is a continuous distribution of phenotypes in their crossed progeny (Fig. 2B). This suggests that the similarity in CCT phenotype is due to different genetic causes. Collectively, the data on CCT,
epithelial thickness, and stromal thickness suggested that multiple loci influence CCT and were well suited for identifying QTL.

**QTL Analysis**

To identify loci that affect the CCT phenotype, N2 and F2 mice were genotyped based on 96 polymorphic markers (see Fig. 1) and genotype: Phenotype associations were assessed using R/qtl. A one-dimensional genome-wide scan of total CCT across the combined dataset (82 N2 mice and 99 F2 mice) identified two loci that passed the $P = 0.05$ significance threshold: one on Chr 3 and one on Chr 11 (Fig. 3A; dotted-dashed horizontal line). The SNP with the maximum LOD score on Chr 3 was at 44 cM ($rs3720779$; LOD = 3.5), and that on Chr 11 was at 53 cM ($rs3688569$; LOD = 4.2). These QTL were named Cctq4 and Cctq5 (central corneal thickness QTL 4 and 5, respectively). Several other loci of interest were detected on Chr 12, 13, 14, 17, and 19; they all exceeded the suggestive threshold of $P = 0.63$ (Fig. 3A; dashed horizontal line). No additional statistically significant loci were identified when the N2 or the F2 datasets were analyzed independently (Supplementary Figs. S2, S3).

A striking result was uncovered when epithelial thickness was analyzed independent of stromal thickness: A genome-wide one-dimensional scan using epithelial thickness as the quantitative trait resulted in the preservation of Cctq4 on Chr 3, but resulted in the loss of Cctq5 on Chr 11 (Fig. 3B). An analysis of stromal thickness resulted in the disappearance of Cctq4 and the presence of Cctq5 (Fig. 3C). These findings suggest that the thicknesses of the corneal epithelial and stromal layers are controlled by different sets of genes. Several other loci of potential interest exceeded the suggestive significance threshold of $P = 0.63$. For epithelial thickness, these loci were on Chr 1, 6, 9, and 10; for stromal thickness, they were on Chr 5, 12, 14, 17, and 19.

A two-dimensional pairwise scan, which examines two loci simultaneously to consider epistatic interactions and/or additive effects, identified a third CCT-regulating QTL. Specifically, this analysis identified an additive effect between loci on Chr 11 (at 53.6 cM; significant in the one-dimensional scan) and Chr 14 (at 7.5 cM; suggestive in the one-dimensional scan; Fig. 4A). In the case of an additive effect, an interaction is considered interesting if the pair of loci exceed two $P = 0.05$ significance thresholds: $T_a$ (additive threshold) and $T_{av1}$ (additive versus one threshold). Permutation testing on the total CCT dataset revealed that the maximum LOD scores for this additive interaction exceeded both of these thresholds ($M_a = 9.6 > T_a = 5.9$ and $M_{av1} = 4.4 > T_{av1} = 3.1$).

A two-dimensional pairwise scan using stromal thickness as the quantitative trait identified the same additive interaction between Chr 11 and Chr 14 ($M_a = 8.8 > T_a = 6.0, M_{av1} = 3.8 > T_{av1} = 3.2$; Fig. 4B). No significant interactive loci were detected using the epithelial thickness data. Because the locus on Chr 14 was significant in the two-dimensional analyses of total CCT and stromal thickness, we consider this a true CCT-
regulating QTL and therefore name it Cctq6. Cctq6 likely exerts its effect through the stroma.

To further test the importance of the loci identified through the one- and two-dimensional scans, multiple regression analysis was performed, with the full model compared to reduced models in which one locus is dropped. For all analyses, the combined (N2 + F2) datasets were used. Also, all loci exceeding the $P = 0.63$ suggestive significance threshold were included (Table). That is, for total CCT, a seven-QTL model was used; for epithelial thickness, a five-QTL model; and for stromal thickness, a six-QTL model. Support for a QTL was determined by comparing the LOD scores in the Table to the genome-wide significance thresholds. Multiple regression analysis of total CCT resulted in evidence for QTL on Chr 3, 11, 14, and 19, but not on Chr 12, 13, or 17. Analysis of epithelial thickness supported QTL on Chr 3, 6, and 10, but not on Chr 1 and 9. Analysis of stromal thickness supported a model with QTL on Chr 5, 11, 14, and 19. In sum, multiple regression analysis provided evidence that up to seven QTL regulate CCT in these mouse crosses, through independent
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**Figure 4.** Plots of the two-dimensional pairwise scans showing an additive interaction between loci on Chr 11 and Chr 14. (A) Results using total CCT as the quantitative phenotype. (B) Results using stromal thickness as the quantitative phenotype. For both (A) and (B), the lower right triangle displays the additive LOD score (LOD$_a$; right side of the heat map), and the upper left triangle displays the LOD score in which the additive model is compared to the single QTL model (LOD$_{a1}$; left side of the heat map).

| Layer   | QTL* | df†  | Type III SS‡ | LOD  | %Var§ | F Value||
|---------|------|------|--------------|------|-------|--------|
| Total CCT | 3 @ 42.0 | 2  | 636.2 | 3.552 | 5.669 | 7.851 |
| 11 @ 52.1 | 2  | 1554.2 | 7.210 | 12.07 | 16.712 |
| 12 @ 27.0 | 2  | 291.8 | 1.669 | 2.600 | 3.601 |
| 13 @ 57.3 | 2  | 218.0 | 1.254 | 1.942 | 2.690 |
| 14 @ 10.0 | 2  | 543.9 | 3.056 | 4.847 | 6.712 |
| 17 @ 6.5 | 2  | 225.0 | 1.293 | 2.005 | 2.776 |
| 19 @ 15.2 | 2  | 408.0 | 2.315 | 3.635 | 5.035 |
| Epithelium | 1 @ 39.3 | 2  | 51.81 | 1.491 | 2.777 | 3.287 |
| 3 @ 38.0 | 2  | 83.93 | 3.818 | 7.327 | 8.671 |
| 6 @ 47.8 | 2  | 44.35 | 2.064 | 3.872 | 4.582 |
| 9 @ 35.5 | 2  | 29.04 | 1.364 | 2.536 | 3.001 |
| 10 @ 22.0 | 2  | 46.85 | 2.177 | 4.090 | 4.841 |
| Stroma | 5 @ 72.0 | 2  | 478.2 | 2.753 | 4.622 | 6.095 |
| 11 @ 51.1 | 2  | 1366.9 | 7.407 | 13.21 | 17.419 |
| 12 @ 30.0 | 2  | 321.1 | 1.870 | 3.103 | 4.093 |
| 14 @ 5.0 | 2  | 668.6 | 3.797 | 6.461 | 8.520 |
| 17 @ 8.5 | 2  | 198.6 | 1.167 | 1.919 | 2.531 |
| 19 @ 14.2 | 2  | 369.4 | 2.143 | 3.570 | 4.708 |

Multiple regression analysis for the combined dataset (N2 + F2). Values shown are for the full model compared to a reduced model in which the indicated QTL is omitted.

* Chromosome and centimorgan position of the QTL.
† Degrees of freedom.
‡ Type III sum of squares.
§ Phenotypic variance (%) attributed to the indicated QTL.
|| F statistic.
actions on either epithelial or stromal thickness. Based on the significance thresholds from the one- and two-dimensional scans and the multiple regression analyses, the strongest evidence is for QTL on Chr 3 (Cctq4), Chr 11 (Cctq5), and Chr 14 (Cctq6).

The markers nearest to the loci with the maximum LOD score for each significant QTL were examined for their effects on the CCT phenotype in these mouse crosses. The allelic effects at the peak Cctq4 SNP (rs3720779) and peak Cctq6 SNP (rs3707741) revealed that the CAST sequence at these loci promotes an increase in corneal thickness (Figs. 5A, 5C). The allelic effect at the peak Cctq5 SNP (rs3688569) showed that the CAST sequence at that locus is associated with a decrease in corneal thickness (Fig. 5B).

**Bioinformatics Analysis Within the Cctq4-6 Loci**

Genes within each QTL were prioritized based on two levels of filtering: first, by comparing known protein-coding and regulatory region differences between C57BL/6j or DBA/2j (the two strains from which BXD24b is derived) and CAST/EiJ, using the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute’s Mouse SNP query tool; second, by considering corneal gene expression in our previously published microarray study.1 The 95% Bayes credible interval for Cctq4 spanned 28 to 48 cm (57.6–109.2 Mb; GRCm38 build). Within this interval, there are 573 protein-coding genes and 41 noncoding RNA genes. Of the regulatory elements that were queried (regulatory region amplifications and ablations, transcription factor binding site amplifications and ablations), there were no known variants. Of the protein-coding genes expressed in the cornea, 178 contained one or more missense changes and/or in-frame insertions or deletions, 3 contained frame-shift mutations (Ttf2, Atp5f1, and Gstm7), 15 contained splice-site acceptor or donor mutations (Rsrc1, Lmna, Adam15, Ubap2l, Adamts14, Bola1, Bcl2l15, Pogz, Arnt, Pbgdb, Wars2, Trim45, Wdr77, Slc6a17, and Ccake), and 5 contained stop-gain mutations (Bc027582, Glrb, Pmvk, Dclre1b, and Stxbp3a; Supplementary Table S1).

The 95% Bayes credible interval for Cctq5 spanned 43.6 to 58.6 cm (71.6–93.2 Mb; GRCm38 build). Within this interval, there are 316 protein-coding genes and 54 noncoding RNA genes. Of the protein-coding genes expressed in the cornea, 133 contained one or more missense changes and/or in-frame insertions or deletions, 10 contained frame-shift mutations (Tj2, Atfs5f1, and Gstm7), 15 contained splice-site acceptor or donor mutations (Rsrc1, Lmna, Adam15, Ubap2l, Adamts14, Bola1, Bcl2l15, Pogz, Arnt, Pbgdb, Wars2, Trim45, Wdr77, Slc6a17, and Ccake), and 5 contained stop-gain or -loss mutations (Xaf1, Slfn8, Slfn9, Spns3, and Crk; Supplementary Table S2). There were no known variants in regulatory elements.
The 95% Bayes interval for Cctq6 spanned a large portion of the chromosome (~60%), 5 to 37.5 cm (8.8–72.2 Mb; GRChm38 build). There are 659 protein-coding genes and 51 noncoding RNA genes within this interval. Of the protein-coding cornea-expressed genes, 170 have one or more missense changes and/or in-frame insertions or deletions. 7 have frame-shift changes and one or more missense mutations in the strains of mice used does not contain any donor mutations (1700112E06Rik, 20101070H7Rik, A630023A22Rik, Glud1, Hac1l, Hmbox1, and Kcd9), 7 have splice site acceptor or donor mutations (Nkri31, Ndtd, Tsondc16, Lgals3, Osgep, Mett3, and Rthb1), 6 have stop-gain or -loss mutations (Fbit, Nisch, Dlap5p, Mtm9r, Ints9, and Gfra2), and 1 has an initiator-codon variant (Ptprg; Supplementary Table S3). There were no known variants in regulatory elements.

**DISCUSSION**

Quantitative trait analysis can be a powerful approach for studying medically important phenotypes. 42,43 Though simply measured, CCT is a complex and intriguing quantitative trait. It is highly heritable and follows a continuous distribution among the general population, with distinct variations across ethnicities. Within the range of CCT among normal human eyes (473–595 μm; mean = 534 μm), 4 the phenotypic variation has little direct impact on the quality of vision. However, individuals at the lower end of the CCT spectrum are at greater risk for developing keratoconus or progressing from ocular hypertension to glaucoma. Although CCT is highly heritable, the genes known to influence the phenotype account for only a very low proportion of the heritability. In this study, we sought to identify genetic determinants of CCT by using mouse genetics to identify QTL. In crosses of BXD24b and CAST mice, significant CCT-modifying loci were identified on Chr 5 (Cctq4) and Chr 11 (Cctq5). Several loci were also found to pass the suggestive significance threshold in this analysis; of particular interest is a locus on Chr 14. Pairwise scans showed that this locus has a significant additive effect with Cctq5. Further support for this was provided by multiple regression analysis. For this reason, we considered this to be a true QTL and named it Cctq6. Finally, independent analyses of epithelial and stromal thickness uncovered that Cctq4 specifically affects thickness of the epithelium, whereas Cctq5 and Cctq6 specifically affect thickness of the stroma. This is the first indication that the epithelium and stroma are under differential genetic control.

To date, GWAS in humans have identified 27 CCT-associated loci, 1,3,10-23-25 and QTL analysis in mice has identified 6 CCT-associated loci. Some of the genes identified in GWAS were independently identified as CCT regulators because of their association with rare connective tissue disorders (i.e., brittle cornea syndrome and osteogenesis imperfecta). 11,12,15 The genes identified by GWAS show an enrichment of pathways involving collagen and extracellular matrix (ECM), which might be expected since the cornea is composed largely of an ECM-rich stroma. Other CCT-influencing genes (e.g., Twist2, Bnc1, Bel-2, and Bax) have been identified through studies that used candidate-driven approaches. 44-46 A comparison of the syntenic regions of Cctq4 indicated that this locus overlaps partially with Tiparp, a locus previously reported to be associated with CCT in humans based on a meta-analysis by Lu et al. 46 However, Tiparp is unlikely to be the gene underlying the association between Cctq4 and CCT because it (1) does not harbor any protein-affecting DNA base pair changes between the inbred strains we used, and (2) is approximately 37 Mb distant from the SNP with the maximum LOD score. Cctq5 appears not to overlap with previously identified loci. In the case of Cctq5, the 95% Bayes credible interval was quite large, spanning ~60% of the chromosome. Although two previously identified CCT-associated genes (Fgfl5 and Srgg) lie within the syntenic interval of Cctq6, neither of these is likely to be responsible for the association between Cctq6 and CCT because (1) they are a large distance away from the location with the max LOD score, (2) Srgg is not expressed in the mouse cornea, and (3) Fgfl9 in the strains of mice used does not contain any donor mutations linked to the DNA base pair changes.

In our previous study using F2 mice from a cross of C57BLKS/J and SJL/J strains, we identified three other CCT-associated QTL: Cctq1 (at 49 cM on Chr 7), Cctq2 (at 14 cM on Chr 11), and Cctq3 (at 60 cM on Chr 17). 35 None of them overlap with the loci found in the present study. This is not surprising as it is common for quantitative traits, such as CCT, to be complex (controlled by many genes) and their associated QTL to be context specific. Because this was an independent cross using different inbred strains of mice, we anticipated discovering different QTL. The wild-derived CAST mouse used here provided substantial genetic heterogeneity from the C57BL6J-derived BXD24b strain, as well as an increased power to identify loci. The human and mouse data combined suggest that Cctq4-6 are likely novel discoveries. Among the potential caveats of this study, two merit particular mention. First, the genome-wide scan we conducted was low resolution; consequently, the QTL intervals were large. Because of this, we included only major regulatory element changes and DNA base pair changes that affected an encoded protein in the bioinformatics analyses; we did not include noncoding RNAs or less obvious regulatory element variants. Since the three QTL intervals identified were large and each contained hundreds of genes with many missense polymorphisms, we did not find it reasonable to consider less obvious variants yet. The physical sizes of the QTL need to be narrowed through recombination mapping before any gene (or noncoding element) could be confidently suggested as the causative variant underlying the QTL. The combined mouse and human data point to the possibility that numerous genes contribute to the CCT phenotype. Because these genes contribute to continuous variation in CCT, it is plausible that each of the contributing alleles individually has only small effects on the phenotype and is associated with only subtle changes to protein structure-function. As such, it is possible that genes with subtle amino acid changes should be prioritized before genes with changes such as frame-shift or premature stop mutations that have more drastic consequences for protein function. Regulatory regions and noncoding RNAs are also worthy of closer inspection.

A second caveat of the study is that the analysis of CCT was an accompaniment to an ongoing study using the same strains of mice to examine retinal phenotypes associated with the Cep290 gene. Independently studying a second phenotype in these cohorts was an efficient and ethical means of reducing the overall numbers of mice used, but came with the caveat that all mice studied were homozygous for the Cep290 19716 mutation. Thus, sequence variations linked to Cep290 on chromosome 10 did not segregate in these crosses, and our design did not address Cep290 dependency.

The three loci identified in this study exert their influence specifically on a single corneal layer. This is thought-provoking not only in the context of the basic biology of the cornea, but also with respect to the association of CCT with glaucoma. It is unknown if the risk correlated with a thinner cornea is mediated via the epithelium, the stroma, or a combination of the two. In humans, the stroma composes ~90% of the total corneal thickness and is more variable in thickness than the epithelium. 47,48 Thus far, most of the CCT genes identified by GWAS encode structural proteins (e.g., collagens) and are presumably associated with the stroma; however, none of these genes has been linked to glaucoma susceptibility. If the glaucoma risk is actually associated with a thinner epithelium,
the implications would be quite different and would likely support different hypotheses about how CCT and glaucoma are related. Future GWAS studies of CCT might also benefit from separately considering epithelial versus stromal thickness; as their variability can be genetically independent, studying the thickness of each layer might result in an increase in the number of gene associations made.

In sum, this study has identified a multigenic pattern of CCT inheritance between two inbred strains of mice, and identifies three previously unrecognized loci, Cctq4, Cctq5, and Cctq6, of particular significance. These results are relevant not only to studies of CCT, but also to a broad array of ophthalmologic studies using mice with the DBA/2J, C57BL/6J, or CAST/EiJ backgrounds, including the collaborative cross and Diversity Outbred mice, which would be under the influence of these same QTL. In our ongoing work, we intend to pursue fine-mapping experiments to narrow the intervals and ultimately identify the underlying genes.
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